

Non-integrability of the optimal control problem for n -level quantum systems

Witold Respondek

Laboratory of Mathematics, INSA de Rouen
FRANCE

based on a joint research with

Andrzej J. Maciejewski

Institute of Astronomy, University of Zielona Góra
POLAND

Control, Constraints, and Quanta
Będlewo, October 11-14, 2007

Aim

- To study integrability of the hamiltonian equation (adjoint equation) for the optimal control problem for n -level quantum systems
- To show usefulness of the Morales-Ramis theory in proving non-integrability
- More precisely, to prove:

Theorem 1 *The adjoint equation for the optimal control problem for n -level quantum systems is not integrable for $n \geq 4$*

Plan

- Schrödinger equation for n -level systems
- Formulation and simplifications of the optimal problem;
- Sub-Riemannian formulation of the problem
- Optimal controls: Pontryagin Maximum Principle
- Main result: nonintegrability for $n \geq 4$
- Morales-Ramis theorem and differential Galois group
- Classification of integrable homogeneous sub-Riemannian problems in dimension 3

Introduction

- Consider a quantum system with a finite number of (distinct) levels in interaction with a time dependent external field.
- The energies of the system state appearing on the diagonal, we put $\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{diag}(E_1, \dots, E_n)$.
- The time-functions $\Omega_j(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ have their supports in $[t_0, t_1]$. They couple the states by pairs.
- The hamiltonian \mathcal{H} is given by:

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & \Omega_1(t) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \Omega_1^*(t) & E_2 & \Omega_2(t) & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \Omega_2^*(t) & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & E_{n-1} & \Omega_{n-1}(t) \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \Omega_{n-1}^*(t) & E_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \mathcal{H}_0 + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Omega_1(t) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \Omega_1^*(t) & 0 & \Omega_2(t) & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \Omega_2^*(t) & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \Omega_{n-1}(t) \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \Omega_{n-1}^*(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Schrödinger equation

- The state vector $\psi(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$i \frac{d\psi(t)}{dt} = \mathcal{H}\psi = (\mathcal{H}_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \Omega_j(t) \mathcal{H}_j) \psi$$

(we have assumed coupling of neighboring levels only).

- We represent

$$\psi(t) = \psi_1(t)e_1 + \psi_2(t)e_2 + \cdots + \psi_n(t)e_n,$$

where e_1, \dots, e_n is the canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^n

- We have $|\psi_1(t)|^2 + |\psi_2(t)|^2 + \cdots + |\psi_n(t)|^2 = 1$.
- For $t < t_0$ and $t > t_1$, $|\psi_j(t)|^2$ is the probability of measuring the energy E_j . Notice that $\frac{d}{dt} |\psi_j(t)|^2 = 0$, for $t < t_0$ and $t > t_1$.

Optimal problem

Problem :

Assuming that

$$|\psi_1(t)|^2 = 1, \quad \text{for } t < t_0$$

find suitable interaction functions $\Omega_j(t)$, $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, such that

$$|\psi_i(t)|^2 = 1, \quad \text{for } t > t_1$$

for some chosen $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, say $i = n$, and such that the cost

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |\Omega_j(t)|^2 dt \longrightarrow \min.$$

(minimize the energy of the transfer pulses).

Resonant case

Optimal interaction functions Ω_j correspond to lasers that are in resonance (*real resonant case*, Brockett, Khaneja, Glaser, and Boscain, Charlot, Gauthier):

$$\Omega_j(t) = u_j(t)e^{i\omega_j t}, \quad \omega_j = E_{j+1} - E_j,$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, where $u_j(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are real controls. The cost function becomes

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_o}^{t_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j^2(t) dt.$$

Eliminating the drift

We will show how to eliminate the drift $\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{diag}(E_1, \dots, E_n)$ using a unitary change of coordinates. Assume $\psi(t)$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation. Choose $U(t) = \text{diag}(e^{-iE_1 t}, e^{-iE_2 t}, \dots, e^{-iE_n t})$, a unitary time dependent matrix, and put

$$\psi(t) = U(t)\tilde{\psi}(t).$$

Then $\tilde{\psi}(t)$ satisfies the Schrödinger equation

$$i\frac{d\tilde{\psi}(t)}{dt} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}\tilde{\psi},$$

where the new hamiltonian

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = U^{-1}\mathcal{H}U - iU^{-1}\frac{dU}{dt}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Omega_1(t)e^{-i\omega_1 t} & 0 & 0 \\ \Omega_1^*(t)e^{i\omega_1 t} & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \Omega_2^*(t)e^{i\omega_2 t} & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & 0 & \Omega_{n-1}(t)e^{-i\omega_{n-1} t} \\ 0 & \dots & \Omega_{n-1}^*(t)e^{i\omega_{n-1} t} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1(t) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ u_1(t) & 0 & u_2(t) & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & u_2(t) & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & u_{n-1}(t) \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & u_{n-1}(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Invariance under the unitary transformation

- Notice that, if we write $\tilde{\psi}(t) = \tilde{\psi}_1(t)e_1 + \tilde{\psi}_2(t)e_2 + \dots + \tilde{\psi}_n(t)e_n$, then $|\tilde{\psi}_j(t)|^2 = |\psi_j(t)|^2$ implying that \mathcal{H} and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ have the same population distribution.
- Moreover, the cost

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_o}^{t_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} |\Omega_j(t)|^2 dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_o}^{t_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j^2(t) dt$$

does not change since we have applied a change of coordinates in the state-space only.

From \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{R}^n

Consider the control system in \mathbb{C}^n

$$\dot{\psi}_1 = -iu_1(t)\psi_2$$

$$\dot{\psi}_j = -i(u_{j-1}(t)\psi_{j-1} + u_j\psi_{j+1}) \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq n-1$$

$$\dot{\psi}_n = -iu_{n-1}(t)\psi_{n-1}.$$

- Denote $\psi_j = v_j + iw_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and consider the real and the imaginary part of the above equation.
- Set $x_1 = v_1$, $x_2 = w_2$ and, in general, $x_j = v_j$, if $j = 2k - 1$, and $x_j = w_j$ if $j = 2k$.
- Replace u_j by $-u_j$, for $j = 2k$ (does not change the cost) to get

$$\dot{x} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u_1(t) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -u_1(t) & 0 & u_2(t) & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & -u_2(t) & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & u_{n-1}(t) \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -u_{n-1}(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Introduce the vector fields (infinitesimal generators of rotation in the (x_i, x_j) -space)

$$f_{i,j} = x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n$$

Optimal problem in \mathbb{R}^n

The problem is now: find real controls $u_1(t), \dots, u_{n-1}(t)$ such that the corresponding trajectory of

$$\dot{x} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}x = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j f_{j,j+1}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

joins given x_0 and x_T and

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j^2(t) dt \longrightarrow \min.$$

Lifting the problem to $\text{SO}(n)$

- The Lie algebra

$$\{f_{1,2}, \dots, f_{n-1,n}\}_{LA} = \text{vect}_{\mathbb{R}} \{f_{i,k}, \quad 1 \leq i < k \leq n\} = \mathfrak{so}(n)$$

- Let $F_{i,k}$ stand for the left invariant vector fields on $\text{SO}(n)$ that satisfy exactly the same commutation relations as $f_{i,k}$.
- We lift our optimal control problem to the following left invariant on $G=\text{SO}(n)$: find controls $u_j(t)$ that minimize the energy E of the curve $X(t) \in G = \text{SO}(n)$ (time evolution operator) satisfying

$$\dot{X} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j F_{j,j+1}, \quad E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j^2(t) dt \longrightarrow \min.$$

- It is a sub-Riemannian problem!!!

Sub-Riemannian manifold

A *sub-Riemannian manifold* is a triple (M, \mathcal{D}, B) , where

- M is a smooth manifold,
- \mathcal{D} is a smooth distribution of rank m on M
- B a smoothly varying positive definite bilinear form on \mathcal{D} , that is, an Euclidean product on \mathcal{D} .

Controllability: Rashevsky and Chow

Put $\mathcal{D}_0 = \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{s+1} = \mathcal{D}_s + [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}_s]$. If for each point $q \in M$, there exists an integer $r(q)$ (called the nonholonomy degree at q) such that $\mathcal{D}_{r(q)}(q) = T_q M$, then any two points in M can be joined by a curve that is almost everywhere tangent to \mathcal{D} , called a *horizontal curve*.

Sub-Riemannian metric

Put $\|v\| = (B(v, v))^{1/2}$, for any $v \in \mathcal{D}(q) \subset T_q M$, and let $\gamma : I \rightarrow M$ be a horizontal curve. We define the length $l(\gamma)$ of γ as

$$l(\gamma) = \int_I \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\| dt.$$

We can thus endow M with a metric d : the sub-Riemannian distance $d(q_1, q_2)$ between two points q_1 and q_2 is the infimum of $l(\gamma)$ over all horizontal curves joining q_1 and q_2 .

- Sub-Riemannian geometry problem: find horizontal curves minimizing the length $l(\gamma)$, i.e. find sub-Riemannian geodesics.

Minimizing: energy versus length

- The energy $E(\gamma)$ of a curve γ is defined as

$$E(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_I \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|^2 dt.$$

- Analytically it is more convenient to minimize the energy $E(\gamma)$ rather than the length $l(\gamma)$.
- As in Riemannian geometry, due to Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, the minimizers of both problems coincide. Namely, a horizontal curve γ minimizes the energy E among all horizontal curves joining q_1 and q_2 in time T if and only if it minimizes the length l among all horizontal curves joining q_1 and q_2 and is parameterized to have constant speed $c = d(q_1, q_2)/T$.

Formulating an optimal control problem

- For a given framing $\mathcal{D} = \langle f_1, \dots, f_m \rangle$ by m orthonormal vector fields, any integral curve $x(t)$ of \mathcal{D} satisfies

$$\Sigma : \dot{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x(t))u_i(t),$$

where $u_i(t)$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, are controls.

- A geodesic is a trajectory of Σ that minimizes the energy

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \int_I \sum_{i=1}^m u_i^2(t) dt.$$

- The geometric problem of minimizing the subriemannian distance is the optimal control problem of minimizing the energy E for the control-linear system Σ (for example, for our quantum system).

Pontryagin Maximum Principle (PMP)

- To solve this optimal control problem, we will apply the Pontryagin Maximum Principle (PMP) to the problem of minimization of E .
- Define the hamiltonian of the optimal control problem

$$\hat{h} : T^*\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \hat{h}(x, p, u) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\langle p, u_j f_{j,j+1}(x) \rangle - \frac{1}{2}u_j^2).$$

- Define the maximized hamiltonian h (solve $\frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial u} = 0$ which gives $u_j = \langle p, f_{j,j+1} \rangle$) by

$$h(x, p) = \max_u \hat{h}(x, p, u) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\langle p, f_{j,j+1}(x) \rangle)^2$$

(a quadratic function on fibres).

Pontryagin Maximum Principle - statement

Theorem 2 *If a control $u(t)$ and the corresponding normal trajectory $x(t)$ minimize the cost E , then there exists a curve $p(t) \in T_{x(t)}^* \mathbb{R}^n$ in the cotangent bundle such that $\lambda(t) = (x(t), p(t))$ satisfies the following hamiltonian equation $\dot{\lambda}(t) = \overrightarrow{h}(\lambda(t))$ on $T^* \mathbb{R}^n$:*

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= \frac{\partial h}{\partial p}(x(t), p(t)) \\ \dot{p} &= -\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(x(t), p(t)),\end{aligned}$$

where h is the maximized hamiltonian, and $u_j(t) = \langle p(t), f_{j,j+1}(x(t)) \rangle$ are optimal controls.

Integrability of the geodesic equation

- Our main problem: study integrability of the geodesic equation.
- Brockett and Dai started a systematic study of integrability of the geodesic equation (in terms of elliptic functions).
- 3-dimensional nilpotent cases are integrable: Heisenberg (in terms of trigonometric functions) and Martinet (in terms of elliptic functions, Bonnard, Chyba, Trelat); and the tangent case?
- Jurdjevic has shown integrability (in terms of elliptic functions) of several invariant SR-problems on Lie groups.
- There exist nonintegrable sub-Riemannian geodesic equations in nilpotent cases (a 6-dim. example of Montgomery-Shapiro).
- Complete list of integrable cases for 3-dim. homogenous SR-spaces

Pontryagin Maximum Principle on $\text{SO}(n)$

Using the PMP we conclude that if $X(t)$ is a minimizing curve in $G=\text{SO}(n)$, then there exists a curve $P(t) \in T_{X(t)}^*G$ such that $(X(t), P(t))$ satisfies the hamiltonian system

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{X} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial P}(X(t), P(t)) \\ \dot{P} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial X}(X(t), P(t)),\end{aligned}$$

where $H : T^*G \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$H(X, P) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\langle P, F_{j,j+1} \rangle)^2.$$

Poisson structure on \mathfrak{g}^*

- Upon the identification of the space of left invariant vector fields on $G=SO(n)$ with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n)$ of G , the hamiltonian $H(X, P) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\langle P, F_{j,j+1} \rangle)^2$ becomes identified with a quadratic function on \mathfrak{g}^* .
- The dual \mathfrak{g}^* of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} carries a Poisson bracket defined, for any smooth functions φ_1 and φ_2 on \mathfrak{g}^* , by

$$\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\}(\eta) = \langle \eta, [d\varphi_1, d\varphi_2](\eta) \rangle, \quad \text{for each } \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

Adjoint equation

To the hamiltonian H on \mathfrak{g}^* (considered as a Poisson manifold) we associate the *Hamiltonian vector field* \overrightarrow{H} on \mathfrak{g}^* defined by

$$\overrightarrow{H}(\varphi) = \{\varphi, H\}, \quad \text{for each } \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{g}^*).$$

We will call the differential equation

$$\dot{\eta}(t) = \overrightarrow{H}(\eta(t)), \quad \eta(t) \in \mathfrak{g}^*,$$

defined on \mathfrak{g}^* by the Hamiltonian vector field \overrightarrow{H} associated to H , the *adjoint equation* of the hamiltonian system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial P}(X(t), P(t)) \\ \dot{P} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial X}(X(t), P(t)) \quad \left(\dot{\eta}(t) = \overrightarrow{H}(\eta(t)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall the functions

$$H_{i,k} = \langle P, F_{i,k} \rangle,$$

for $1 \leq i < k \leq n$, which allow to rewrite the hamiltonian as

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} H_{j,j+1}^2,$$

the optimal controls as

$$u_j(t) = H_{j,j+1}(t) = \langle P(t), F_{j,j+1}(X(t)) \rangle,$$

and the corresponding hamiltonian system as

$$\dot{X} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} H_{j,j+1} F_{j,j+1}$$

$$\dot{H}_{i,k} = \{H, H_{i,k}\}, \quad 1 \leq i < k \leq n, \quad \left(\dot{\eta}(t) = \overrightarrow{H}(\eta(t)) \right).$$

Integrability

- The adjoint equation is a Lie-Poisson equation defined by a Poisson structure on \mathfrak{g}^* whose structure constants $C_{i,k}^{q,s}{}_{j,l}$ are those defining the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(n)$.
- This Poisson structure is degenerated and of rank, say, $2r$.
- Since $\dim \mathfrak{g}^* = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = N$, the Poisson structure admits $k = N - 2r$ Casimir functions C_1, \dots, C_{N-2r} whose common constant level sets $M_c = \{\eta \in \mathfrak{g}^* : C_1(\eta) = c_1, \dots, C_{N-2r}(\eta) = c_{N-2r}\}$ are $2r$ -dimensional submanifolds of \mathfrak{g}^* equipped with a symplectic structure defined by the restriction of the Poisson structure to M_c .
- The adjoint equation restricted to M_c is a hamiltonian equation.

Integrability - definition

- If a Lie-Poisson equation possesses $k + r$ functionally independent first integrals belonging to a category \mathcal{C} such that the first k integrals are Casimir functions and the remaining r ones commute, then we will say that this equation is integrable in the category \mathcal{C} .

3-level system

Easy to integrate (Brockett, Boscain et al. for the quantum system)

The adjoint equation takes the form

$$\dot{H}_{1,2} = H_{1,3}H_{2,3}$$

$$\dot{H}_{2,3} = -H_{1,3}H_{1,2}$$

$$\dot{H}_{1,3} = 0$$

We get $H_{1,3}(t) = \text{const.} = a$ and

$$u_1(t) = H_{1,2}(t) = r \cos(at + \varphi)$$

$$u_2(t) = H_{1,2}(t) = -r \sin(at + \varphi).$$

$H_{1,3}$ is a Casimir function; we integrate the system on its constant level sets.

Now it suffices to integrate the linear time-varying system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 \end{pmatrix} = u_1 \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 \\ x_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + u_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -x_3 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

which has the first integral:

$$h = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2. \quad (2)$$

Three linearly independent solutions can be taken as:

The first solution is

$$x_1(t) = u_2(t), \quad x_2(t) = a, \quad x_3(t) = u_1(t). \quad (3)$$

The second solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= -\omega u_1 \sin \omega t - a u_2 \cos \omega t, \\ x_2(t) &= r^2 \cos \omega t, \\ x_3(t) &= \omega u_2 \sin \omega t - a u_1 \cos \omega t, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\omega = \sqrt{r^2 + a^2}$. The third solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1(t) &= \omega u_1 \cos \omega t - a u_2 \sin \omega t, \\ x_2(t) &= r^2 \sin \omega t, \\ x_3(t) &= -\omega u_2 \cos \omega t - a u_1 \sin \omega t, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Main result

Theorem 3 *For the n -level system, $n \geq 4$, the complexification of the adjoint equation on $\mathfrak{so}(n)^*$ is not integrable in the meromorphic category. More precisely, restricted to the leaves M_c of the symplectic foliation on $\mathfrak{so}(n)^*$, does not possess any meromorphic first integral independent of the hamiltonian, i.e. is not Liouville integrable on M_c .*

4-level system: Adjoint equation on $\mathfrak{so}(4)^*$

- By restricting the AE to $\{H_{i,k} = 0\}$, where $i \geq 5$ or $k \geq 5$, the nonintegrability problem of the general n -level system reduces to that of the 4-level system.
- We will consider the complexification $AE_{\mathbb{C}}$ of AE on $\mathfrak{so}(4)^*$ by taking $x_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $t \in \mathbb{C}$, where $x_1 = H_{1,2}$, $x_2 = H_{2,3}$, $x_3 = H_{1,3}$, $x_4 = H_{3,4}$, $x_5 = H_{1,4}$, and $x_6 = H_{4,2}$.
- The complexified $AE_{\mathbb{C}}$ reads as

$$\frac{d}{dt}x = J(x)\nabla H(x), \quad x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \in \mathbb{C}^6, \quad t \in \mathbb{C}$$

where

$$H = H(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_4^2),$$

and

$$J(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_3 & -x_2 & 0 & x_6 & -x_5 \\ -x_3 & 0 & x_1 & -x_6 & 0 & x_4 \\ x_2 & -x_1 & 0 & x_5 & -x_4 & 0 \\ 0 & x_6 & -x_5 & 0 & x_3 & -x_2 \\ -x_6 & 0 & x_4 & -x_3 & 0 & x_1 \\ x_5 & -x_4 & 0 & x_2 & -x_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

It is a Lie-Poisson system: $\text{rank } J(x) = 4$ so $J(x)$ defines a Poisson structure (a "degenerated symplectic structure").

- Besides the Hamiltonian H , $AE_{\mathbb{C}}$ admits two additional first integrals

$$C_1 = \sum_{i=1}^6 x_i^2, \quad C_2 = x_1x_4 + x_2x_5 + x_3x_6,$$

which are actually the Casimir function of the Poisson structure defined by $J(x)$; the first integrability requirement is satisfied.

- Each level set

$$\mathcal{M}_{a,b} := \{x \in \mathbb{C}^6 \mid C_1(x) = a, \quad C_2(x) = b\},$$

is a 4-dimensional symplectic manifold on which $AE_{\mathbb{C}}$ is hamiltonian with Hamiltonian function $H|_{\mathcal{M}_{a,b}}$. We need one more first integral!

Morales-Ramis theory

Consider a complex analytic hamiltonian differential equation

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = v(x), \quad t \in \mathbb{C},$$

on an analytic symplectic manifold M (say, \mathbb{C}^n). Let $\varphi(t)$ be its non-stationary solution and Γ its maximal analytic prolongation (Riemann surface). Take the linearization (variational equation) along Γ

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(\varphi(t))\xi$$

Theorem 4 (Morales-Ramis) *If the hamiltonian system on M (\mathbb{C}^n) is Liouville integrable in the meromorphic category, then the identity component of the differential Galois group of the (normal) variational equation along Γ is abelian.*

Differential Galois group

Consider a homogeneous ordinary linear differential equation in \mathbb{C}^n , over the field $F = \mathbb{C}(z)$ of rational functions of $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$L(Y) = \frac{d}{dz}Y - A(z)Y = 0, \quad Y \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

where $A_i^j \in \mathbb{C}(z)$

- Where do the solutions live?

Theorem 5 *There exists a unique (up to isomorphism) $PV_L \supset \mathbb{C}(z)$, the smallest differential field extension containing n linearly independent, over \mathbb{C} , solutions of $L(Y) = 0$ (Picard-Vessiot extension).*

We have $(PV_L, D) \supset (\mathbb{C}(z), \frac{d}{dz})$, where the derivation D restricted to $\mathbb{C}(z)$ is $\frac{d}{dz}$.

Differential Galois group - continuation

The space of solutions $V = \{Y \in PV_L \mid L(Y) = 0\}$ is a linear space over \mathbb{C} .

Definition 1 Differential Galois group of L is the group of differential automorphisms of PV_L (i.e., commuting with the derivation D) preserving all elements of $\mathbb{C}(z)$.

The differential Galois group, denoted $Gal(PV_L \setminus \mathbb{C}(z))$

- preserves solutions
- preserves polynomial relations among them
- is an algebraic subgroup of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ (in the hamiltonian case of $Sp(n, \mathbb{C})$).

$AE_{\mathbb{C}}$ admits the invariant space

$$\mathcal{M}^3 = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^6 \mid x_4 = x_5 = x_6 = 0\},$$

foliated by the phase curves $\Gamma_{h,f} = \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$, complex circles, given by

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 = h, \quad x_3 = f$$

The normal variational equations along $\Gamma_{h,f}$ reduces to the form

$$w'' = r(z)w, \quad r(z) = \frac{\alpha_0}{z^2} + \frac{\alpha_h}{(z-h)^2} + \frac{\beta_0}{z} + \frac{\beta_h}{z-h}$$

Singular points at $z = 0$ and $z = h$ are regular but at ∞ is irregular.

Indeed, we have

Lemma 1 *The differential Galois group of $w'' = r(z)w$ is $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$.*

$\mathrm{SL}^0(2, \mathbb{C})$ is non-abelian, hence the adjoint equation is not integrable.

How to calculate $Gal(PV_L \setminus \mathbb{C}(z))$, for $n = 2$?

Lemma 2 *Let \mathcal{G} be the differential Galois group of the equation $w'' = r(z)w$. Then one and only one of four cases can occur:*

- (i) *\mathcal{G} is conjugated to a triangular group; in this case equation $w'' = r(z)w$ has an exponential solution,*
- (ii) *\mathcal{G} is conjugated to a diagonal - antidiagonal group; in this case the equation $w'' = r(z)w$ has a solution of the form $w = \exp \int \omega$, where ω is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(z)$ of degree 2,*
- (iii) *\mathcal{G} is finite; in this case all solutions of $w'' = r(z)w$ are algebraic,*
- (iv) *$\mathcal{G} = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $w'' = r(z)w$ has no Liouvillian solution.*

Lemma 3 For equation $w'' = r(z)w$ we have:

- (i) If the case (i) of Lemma 2 occurs, then $\text{ord}(z_i) = 1$ or $\text{ord}(z_i) = 2k$ for all $z_i \in \text{Sing}$, the set of singular points of $r(z)$, and $\text{ord}(\infty) = 2k$ or $\text{ord}(\infty) > 2$
- (ii) If the case (ii) of Lemma 2 occurs, then $\text{Sing} \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{ord}(z_i) = 2k + 1 > 2$ or $\text{ord}(z_i) = 2$, for all $z_i \in \text{Sing}$.
- (iii) If the case (iii) of Lemma 2 occurs, then $\text{ord}(z_i) \leq 2$ for all $z_i \in \text{Sing}$ and $\text{ord}(\infty) \geq 2$

From the above Lemma it follows that for the equation $w'' = r(z)w$ the cases (i) and (iii) of Lemma 2 cannot occur. In order to show that the case (ii) of Lemma 2 does not occur either, we apply the Kovacic algorithm.

n -level quantum system



optimal control problem: Pontryagin Maximum Principle



sub-Riemannian problem on $\mathbf{SO}(n)$



nonintegrability of a hamiltonian system



Differential Galois group and complex analysis

3-dimensional sub-Riemannian spaces

- Classify all cases of integrable adjoint geodesic equation for homogeneous spaces
- Study integrability of the nilpotent tangent case.

Homogenous and symmetric SR-spaces

- An sub-Riemannian *isometry* between SR-manifolds (M, \mathcal{D}, B) and $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \tilde{B})$ is a diffeomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ such that $\psi_*(\mathcal{D}) = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ and $B = \psi^*(\tilde{B})$.
- A *homogeneous sub-Riemannian space*, shortly, a *sub-homogeneous space*, is a sub-Riemannian manifold for which the group of its sub-Riemannian isometries is a Lie group that acts smoothly and transitively on the manifold.
- A sub-homogeneous space is said to be *symmetric*, shortly, *sub-symmetric*, if for each point $q \in M$ there exists an isometry ψ such that $\psi(q) = q$ and $\psi_*|_{\mathcal{D}(q)} = -\text{Id}$.

3-dimensional homogeneous sub-Riemannian spaces

Lemma 4 (*Falbel-Gorodski*) *To any 3-dimensional SR-homogeneous space (M, \mathcal{D}, B) there corresponds a Lie group G that acts simply and transitively on M*

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G has a decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} + [\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}]$, where for a chosen base point $q \in M$ we identify \mathfrak{g} with $T_q M$, the subspace \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{g} with $\mathcal{D}(q)$, and the quadratic form \mathfrak{b} defined on \mathfrak{p} with B . The triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{b})$ will be called a *sub-Riemannian Lie algebra* (does not depend on the chosen base point q).

$$\mathfrak{g} = \text{span} \{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$$

The Lie algebra of an orthonormal frame can be brought in the SR-symmetric case to the following normal form (sub-symmetric Lie algebras):

$$\begin{aligned} [X_1, X_2] &= X_3, \\ [X_1, X_3] &= aX_2, \\ [X_2, X_3] &= bX_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Integrability of the SR-symmetric case

Theorem 6 *For a given sub-Riemannian homogeneous space, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The sub-Riemannian space is symmetric.*
- (ii) *The adjoint equation has two functionally independent quadratic first integrals;*
- (iii) *The optimal controls are elliptic functions;*
- (iv) *All solutions of the complexified adjoint equation are single-valued functions of the complex time;*

Nonintegrability of the SR-non symmetric

The Lie algebra of an orthonormal frame can be brought in the SR-symmetric case to the following normal form

$$[X_1, X_2] = X_3,$$

$$[X_1, X_3] = aX_2 + bX_3,$$

$$[X_2, X_3] = 0,$$

where $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $ab \neq 0$. When $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ the underlying space is isometric to a sub-symmetric space. By a proper rescaling we can assume $b = 1$.

We distinguish two subsets of the classification parameter:

- $a \in \Lambda_p \subset \mathbb{R}$ if and only if there exist positive integers m and n such that $a = mn/(m - n)^2$
- $a \in \Lambda_r \subset \mathbb{R}$ if and only if there exist integers m and n such that $a = mn/(m - n)^2$ and $a \neq -1/4$.

Theorem 7 *We have the following integrability properties of the adjoint equation of given non symmetric sub-homogeneous space defined by the parameter a .*

- (i) *The adjoint equation admits a polynomial first integral independent with the hamiltonian H if and only if $a \in \Lambda_p$;*
- (ii) *The adjoint equation admits a rational first integral independent with the hamiltonian H if and only if $a \in \Lambda_r$;*
- (iii) *If $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Lambda_r$ then the adjoint equation does not admit any real-meromorphic first integral independent with the hamiltonian H .*

Lie algebra of the system

Consider the system

$$\dot{\xi} = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i(\xi)u_i.$$

on a manifold M . Denote $\mathcal{D} = \text{span} \{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$.

- Let $\mathcal{L}_1 = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$.
- Define inductively

$$\mathcal{L}_s = \mathcal{L}_{s-1} + [\mathcal{L}_{s-1}, \mathcal{L}_1] \quad \text{for } s \geq 2.$$

- Clearly $\mathcal{L}_s(p) = \mathcal{D}_s(p)$ and the sum

$$\mathcal{L}(X_1, \dots, X_m) = \mathcal{L} = \sum_{s \geq 1} \mathcal{L}_s,$$

is the Lie algebra of the system.

Weights

- For $q \in M$, put $L_s(q) = \{X(q) : X \in \mathcal{L}_s\}$
- Denote $n_s(q) = \dim L_s(q)$. For a completely nonholonomic system we have

$$1 \leq n_1(q) \leq n_2(q) \leq \cdots \leq n_{r(q)}(q) = n$$

and we will call $(n_1(q), n_2(q), \dots, n_{r(q)}(q))$ the *growth vector* of the system (we will omit indicating the point if it is not confusing).

- Define weights $w_1 \leq \cdots \leq w_n$ by putting $w_j = s$ if $n_{s-1} < j \leq n_s$, with $n_0 = 0$.

Privileged coordinates

- We will call $X_1\varphi, \dots, X_m\varphi$ the nonholonomic partial derivatives of order 1 of a function φ
- $X_{i_1}X_{i_2}\varphi$ nonholonomic derivatives of order two of φ etc.
- If all the nonholonomic derivatives of order $\leq s - 1$ of φ vanish at q , we say that φ is of order $\geq s$ at q . A function φ is of *order* s at q if it is of order $\geq s$ but not of order $\geq s + 1$.
- Local coordinates (ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n) are *privileged coordinates* at q if the order of ξ_i is w_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- The integers (w_1, \dots, w_n) are the *weights* of the privileged coordinates (ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n) . Homogeneity is considered with respect to them.

Nilpotent approximations

- Using privileged coordinates we can rewrite the system as

$$\dot{\xi}_j = \sum_{i=1}^m X_{ij}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j-1}) u_i + O(\|\xi\|^{w_j})$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$, where the components X_{ij} are homogeneous polynomials of weighted degree $w_j - 1$.

- By dropping the terms $O(\|\xi\|^{w_j})$, we get

$$\dot{\xi} = \sum_{i=1}^m \hat{X}_i(\xi) u_i, \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{X}_i = \sum_{j=1}^n X_{ij}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j},$$

called the *nilpotent approximation* of the system. The Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_m)$ is nilpotent.

3-dimensional sub-Riemannian manifolds

Consider a 3-dimensional sub-Riemannian manifold (M, \mathcal{D}, B) , where

- M is a 3-dimensional manifold,
- \mathcal{D} is a rank 2 smooth distribution on M
- B is a smoothly varying positive definite quadratic form on \mathcal{D} .
- Represent locally the sub-Riemannian structure (M, \mathcal{D}, B) by the control system

$$\dot{\xi} = X_1(\xi)u_1 + X_2(\xi)u_2,$$

where the smooth vector fields X_1 and X_2 form an orthonormal frame of \mathcal{D} .

Normal form

An *isometry* between two sub-Riemannian manifolds (M, \mathcal{D}, B) and $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}, \tilde{B})$ is a diffeomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ such that $\phi_*(\mathcal{D}) = \tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ and $B = \phi^*(\tilde{B})$. Agrachev et al have shown that there exists a sub-Riemannian isometry transforming the orthonormal frame $\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle$ into an orthonormal frame, which in local coordinates (x, y, z) takes the following normal form around $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$X_1(x, y, z) = (1 + y^2\beta(x, y, z)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - xy\beta(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{y}{2}\gamma(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$
$$X_2(x, y, z) = -xy\beta(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (1 + x^2\beta(x, y, z)) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{x}{2}\gamma(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

Contact case

- If $\gamma(0, 0, 0) \neq 0$, then we are in the contact case.
- The growth vector in the contact case is $(2, 3)$ and the variables x, y, z have weights 1, 1, and 2, respectively.
- The normal form for the nilpotent approximation is

$$\widehat{X}_1(x, y, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + c \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$
$$\widehat{X}_2(x, y, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - c \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

- All cases are isometric to the Heisenberg case $c = 1$.
- The Heisenberg case is integrable in trigonometric functions.
- The general contact case (non nilpotent) has been completely analyzed by Agrachev, Gauthier, Kupka, and Chakir.

Martinet case

- If γ is of order 1 with respect to (x, y) , then we are in the Martinet case
- The growth vector at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ in the Martinet case is $(2, 2, 3)$ and the weights of the variables x, y, z are 1, 1, and 3, respectively.
- the set of points, at which the growth vector is $(2, 2, 3)$, is a smooth surface (called *Martinet surface*) and the distribution \mathcal{D} spanned by X_1 and X_2 is transversal to the Martinet surface.
- The normal form for the nilpotent approximation is

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{X}_1(x, y, z) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{y}{2}(ax + by) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ \widehat{X}_2(x, y, z) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{x}{2}(ax + by) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.\end{aligned}$$

Martinet case - cont.

- All nilpotent Martinet cases are integrable in terms of elliptic functions.
- sub-Riemannian geometry in the general (non nilpotent) case has been intensively studied by Bonnard, Chyba, and Trélat.

Tangent case

- The next degeneration, *tangent case*, occurs at points at which the distribution \mathcal{D} is tangent to the Martinet surface.
- Generically, the growth vector at such a tangency point is $(2, 2, 2, 3)$ and the variables x, y, z are of weights 1, 1, and 4, respectively.
- γ is of order 2 with respect to (x, y) .
- The normal form of the nilpotent approximation of the tangent case is

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{X}_1(x, y, z) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{y}{2}(ax^2 + by^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ \widehat{X}_2(x, y, z) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{x}{2}(ax^2 + by^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.\end{aligned}$$

We can assume that $a = 1$ (by normalizing z).

Tangent case: geodesic equation

The geodesic equation in the nilpotent tangent case is:

$$(GE) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= p + \frac{ry}{2}(x^2 + by^2), \\ \dot{y} &= q - \frac{rx}{2}(x^2 + by^2), \\ \dot{z} &= \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + by^2)(yp - xq) + \frac{r}{4}(x^2 + y^2)(x^2 + by^2)^2, \\ \dot{p} &= -rxyu_1 + \frac{r}{2}(3x^2 + by^2)u_2, \\ \dot{q} &= -\frac{r}{2}(x^2 + 3by^2)u_1 + brxyu_2. \\ \dot{r} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $u_1 = p + \frac{ry}{2}(x^2 + by^2)$ and $u_2 = q - \frac{rx}{2}(x^2 + by^2)$.

Integrability problem

- The hamiltonian H and $H_1 = r$ are first integrals.
- **Integrability problem:** find a third first integral H_2 , commuting with H and H_1 , and functionally independent with H and H_1 (Liouville integrability).
- We will distinguish the *elliptic nilpotent tangent case*, for which $a = 1$ and $b > 0$ and the *hyperbolic nilpotent tangent case*, for which $a = 1$ and $b < 0$.

Tangent case: integrable cases

- M. Pelletier proved that if $b = 1$ (symmetric elliptic case), then the Hamiltonian (GE) is integrable in the Liouville sense with an additional first integral given by

$$H_2 = xq - yp.$$

- Geometric reason: if $b = 1$, then the rotation in the (x, y) space is a sub-Riemannian isometry.
- For $b = 0$, the geodesic equation (GE) is also integrable. In this case the third first integral has the form

$$H_2 = 6q + rx^3.$$

- Both cases are integrable in terms of elliptic functions.

Main result

Theorem 8 *The complexified geodesic equation for the 3-dimensional nilpotent tangent case is not meromorphically integrable in the Liouville sense, except for $b = 1$ and $b = 0$, that is, for $b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ the complexified system (GE) does not possess a meromorphic first integral, commuting with H and H_1 and functionally independent with H and H_1 .*

Proof

Our proof is based on the following:

Theorem 9 (Morales-Ruiz and Ramis) *Assume that a Hamiltonian system is meromorphically integrable in the Liouville sense in a neighborhood of a phase curve Γ . Then the identity component of the differential Galois group of the normal variational equation associated with Γ is Abelian.*

The (x, y, p, q) -part of the geodesic equation can be transformed to

$$\dot{z}_1 = z_3,$$

$$\dot{z}_2 = z_4,$$

$$\dot{z}_3 = r\gamma z_1 z_2 [(z_4 - z_3) - b(z_3 + z_4)],$$

$$\dot{z}_4 = r\gamma z_1 z_2 [(z_4 - z_3) + b(z_3 + z_4)].$$

It is obvious that $z(t) = (0, ct, 0, c)$ with $c \neq 0$ is a solution of the above equations.

The normal variational equation can be represented as

$$\ddot{\xi}_1 = (1 - b)\gamma r c^2 t \xi_1.$$

where $(1 - b)\gamma r c^2 \neq 0$, which gives the Airy equation. It is known that the differential Galois group of this equation is $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and thus non Abelian.

Conclusions

- We discussed (non)integrability of the geodesic equation (adjoint equation) for various Sub-Riemannian problems
- We show usefulness of the Morales-Ramis theory in proving non-integrability
- open problems: homogenous 4-dimensional SR-problems, general contact and quasi-contact SR-problems,...