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## Goal

Hairs for transcendental entire functions
Case of exponential maps $z \mapsto \lambda e^{z}$


Devaney-Krych (1984) existence of hairs (Cantor bouquet) for symbol sequences satisfying "admissibility condition"

Viana (1988) hairs are $C^{\infty}$-curves
Our case: $f(z)=P(z) e^{Q(z)}$, where $P$ and $Q$ are polynomials with $\operatorname{deg} Q \geq 1 \quad$ ("structurally finite")

Construction and $C^{\infty}$-smoothness of hairs

Compare with A Classical Theorem (Dichotomy) by Fatou?:
If the Julia set $J_{f}$ of a rational function $f$ is a Jordan curve and $f$ is expanding on $J_{f}$, then either $J_{f}$ is a round circle or it has no tangent at any point. (Round or fractal)
$f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}:$ a transcendental entire function.
$F(f):=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \exists U:\right.$ nbd of $z,\left\{\left.f^{n}\right|_{U}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is normal $\}$ Fatou set $J(f):=\mathbb{C} \backslash F(f)$ Julia set
$I(f):=\left\{z \mid f^{n}(z) \rightarrow \infty\right\}$ escaping set
Eremenko $J(f)=\partial I(f)$
Let $f(z)=P(z) e^{Q(z)}$, where $P, Q$ are polyn. and $\operatorname{deg} Q \geq 1$. Define the reference function $g(t)=t^{m} e^{t^{d}}$, where $m=\operatorname{deg} P$, $d=\operatorname{deg} Q$. Take $t_{*}$ large so that $g:\left[t_{*}, \infty\right) \rightarrow\left[t_{*}, \infty\right)$ and $g(t)>t$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\text {fast }}^{0}(f):=\left\{z| | f^{n}(z)\left|\geq\left|g^{n}\left(t_{*}\right)\right|(n \geq 0)\right\}\right. \\
& I_{\text {fast }}(f):=\left\{z \mid \exists n \text { such that } f^{n}(z) \in I_{\text {fast }}^{0}(f)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem. If $t_{*}$ is very large, then $I_{\text {fast }}^{0}(f)$ consists of $C^{\infty}$ _ curves. $\left(I_{\text {fast }}(f)\right.$ consists of their inverse images, which may have branching via critical points.)

Case of exponential maps $E_{\lambda}: z \mapsto \lambda e^{z}$


Theorem (Devaney-Krych, 1984) If $s \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies a growth condition ("admissibility")
$\Longrightarrow \exists h_{\boldsymbol{s}}(t) \subset J\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ s.t.
(i) $E_{\lambda}\left(h_{\boldsymbol{s}}(t)\right)=h_{\sigma(s)}(g(t)), \quad g(t):=|\lambda| e^{t}, \quad \sigma$ : shift map
(ii) $E_{\lambda}^{n}\left(h_{s}(t)\right) \rightarrow \infty(n \rightarrow \infty)$
$h_{\boldsymbol{s}}(t)$ is called a hair.
Theorem (Viana, 1988) $\quad h_{\boldsymbol{s}}(t)$ is a $C^{\infty}$ curve.
In the proof, many estimates were exponential specific.

We need to reformulate the proof and we will see how different the proofs are. In fact, the estimates for exponential maps turned out to be simpler than general cases.

## Strategy

Set up an appropriate symbolic dynamics $\left((\mathbb{Z} / d \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})^{\mathbb{N}}\right)$. Fix a symbol sequence $\boldsymbol{s}=\left(s_{n}\right)$. Symbol $\Leftrightarrow$ Subtract.

Define initial curves $h_{n, n}(t)$ corresponding to symbol $s_{n}$ and parametrized by $t \in\left[g^{n}\left(t_{*}\right), \infty\right)$. Need to satisfy the conditions below.

Pull-back by the dynamics to define $h_{n, n-1}, h_{n, n-2}, \ldots, h_{n, 1}, h_{n, 0}$. The inverse branches are specified by symbols $s_{n-1}, s_{n-2}, \ldots, s_{1}, s_{0}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{n, j}(t) \text { is defined on }\left[g^{j}\left(t_{*}\right), \infty\right), \\
f \circ h_{n, j}(t)=h_{n, j+1} \circ g(t) \quad(0 \leq j<n)
\end{gathered}
$$

Show that $h_{n, n}(t)$ and $h_{n+1, n}$ have bounded $C^{r}$ distance. (initial distance)

By pull-back, show that $h_{n, j}(t)$ and $h_{n+1, j}$ have exponentially small $C^{r}$ distance with respect to $n-j$.


If $\left\|h_{n, j}-h_{n+1, j}\right\|_{C^{r}} \leq$ const $\kappa_{r}^{n-j}$ with $\kappa_{r}<1$, then for each $j$, $\left\{h_{n, j}\right\}_{n=j}^{\infty}$ converges in $C^{r}$-topology and the limit $h_{j}$ satisfies $f \circ h_{j}(t)=h_{j+1} \circ g(t)$.

However, usual $C^{r}$-norm does not work. So we consider weighted $C^{r}$-norms: for an weight function $\rho:[\tau, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, define

$$
\|\psi\|_{\rho, \tau}=\sup _{t \in[\tau, \infty)}|\psi(t)| \rho(t) .
$$

For suitable weight functions $\rho_{r}, C^{0}$-distance will be measured by $\|\cdot\|_{\rho_{*}, \tau}$ and $C^{r+1}$-distance $(r \geq 0)$ between $h(t)$ and $\tilde{h}(t)$ will be measured by $\left\|\left(\log h^{\prime}(t)\right)^{(r)}-\left(\log \tilde{h}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{(r)}\right\|_{\rho_{r}, \tau}$.

We will see later how this estimate goes.

Tracts and Sub-tracts for $d=3$.

Initial curves are given by

$$
f(\zeta t(1+w(t)))=\zeta^{\prime} t^{m} e^{t^{d}}
$$

where $\zeta, \zeta^{\prime}$ are roots of unity determined by the symbol sequence and $w(t)$ is small.

## Estimates of differentiability

For simplicity, let us consider the case $s_{0}=s_{1}=s_{2}=\ldots$. $h_{m, n}$ 's are renamed so that $h_{m, m-n}$ becomes new $h_{n}$.


Initial curve: $h_{0}(t)$;
Initial distance: $h_{0}(t)-h_{1}(t)$.

Suppose $h_{n}(t)$ are already defined and $C^{0}$-distance $h_{n+1}-h_{n}$ is exponentially small with respect to some weight function.

From $f \circ h_{n+1}(t)=h_{n} \circ g(t)$, we have

$$
\log h_{n+1}^{\prime}=\log h_{n}^{\prime} \circ g+\log g^{\prime}-\log f^{\prime} \circ h_{n+1} .
$$

Define $\psi_{n}(t):=\log h_{n}^{\prime}(t)$. Then

$$
\psi_{n+1}-\psi_{n}=\left(\psi_{n}-\psi_{n-1}\right) \circ g-\left(\log f^{\prime} \circ h_{n+1}-\log f^{\prime} \circ h_{n}\right) .
$$

If $\psi_{n}-\psi_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, by composing $g,\left(\psi_{n}-\psi_{n-1}\right) \circ g$ may go to 0 faster.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\psi \circ g\|_{\rho_{0}, \tau} & =\sup _{t \geq \tau}|\psi(g(t))| \rho_{0}(t)=\sup _{t \geq \tau} \frac{\rho_{0}(t)}{\rho_{0}(g(t))} \cdot|\psi(g(t))| \rho_{0}(g(t)) \\
& \leq\left(\sup _{t \geq \tau} \frac{\rho_{0}(t)}{\rho_{0}(g(t))}\right) \cdot\left(\sup _{t^{\prime} \geq g(\tau)}\left|\psi\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right| \rho_{0}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\sup _{t \geq \tau} \frac{\rho_{0}(t)}{\rho_{0}(g(t))}\right)\|\psi\|_{\rho_{0}, g(\tau)}
\end{aligned}
$$

So if we define $\rho_{0}$ so that $\sup _{t \geq \tau} \frac{\rho_{0}(t)}{\rho_{0}(g(t))}<1$ then $\|\cdot\|_{\rho_{0}, \tau}$-norm is contracted by composing $g$.

Now let $\alpha_{0}(\tau):=\sup _{t \geq \tau} \frac{\rho_{0}(t)}{\rho_{0}(g(t))}$ and assume $\alpha_{0}\left(t_{*}\right) \leq \kappa_{0}<1$. In addition, assume that $\left|\left(\log f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right| \times\left|h_{1}(t)-h_{0}(t)\right| \leq C$ for $z$ near $h_{0}(t)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\psi_{n+1}-\psi_{n}\right\|_{\rho_{0}, \tau} & \leq \alpha_{0}(\tau)\left\|\psi_{n}-\psi_{n-1}\right\|_{\rho_{0}, g(\tau)}+\left\|\log f^{\prime} \circ h_{n+1}-\log f^{\prime} \circ h_{n}\right\|_{\rho_{0}, \tau} \\
& \leq \kappa_{0}\left\|\psi_{n}-\psi_{n-1}\right\|_{\rho_{0}, g(\tau)}+C \leq \kappa_{0}\left\|\psi_{n}-\psi_{n-1}\right\|_{\rho_{0}, \tau}+C .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows the convergence of $\psi_{n}$ which implies that the limit $h=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{n}$ is $C^{1}$.

## Higher order derivatives

Differentiating

$$
\psi_{n+1}-\psi_{n}=\left(\psi_{n}-\psi_{n-1}\right) \circ g-\left(\log f^{\prime} \circ h_{n+1}-\log f^{\prime} \circ h_{n}\right) .
$$

and using $h_{n+1}^{\prime}=e^{\psi_{n+1}}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{n+1}^{\prime}= & \left(\psi_{n}^{\prime} \circ g\right) \cdot g^{\prime}+\left(\log g^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}-\left(\left(\log f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \circ h_{n+1}\right) e^{\psi_{n+1}}, \\
\psi_{n+1}^{\prime \prime}= & \left(\psi_{n}^{\prime \prime} \circ g\right) \cdot\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(\psi_{n}^{\prime} \circ g\right) \cdot g^{\prime \prime}+\left(\log g^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime} \\
& -\left(\left(\log f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime \prime} \circ h_{n+1}\right) e^{2 \psi_{n+1}}-\left(\left(\log f^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \circ h_{n+1}\right) e^{\psi_{n+1}} \psi_{n+1}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

More generally, for $k=1,2, \ldots$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{n+1}^{(k)}=\left(\psi_{n}^{(k)} \circ g\right)\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{k}+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell<k \\
j_{1} \geq \ldots \geq j \geq 1 \\
j_{1}+\cdots+j_{\ell}=k}} \operatorname{const}\left(\psi_{n}^{(\ell)} \circ g\right) g^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \ldots g^{\left(j_{\ell}\right)}+\left(\log g^{\prime}\right)^{(k)} \\
& -\sum_{1 \leq \rho \leq k_{0} \leq \nu} \text { cost }\left(\left(\log f^{\prime}\right)^{(\ell)} \circ h_{n+1}\right) e^{\ell \psi_{n+1}} \psi_{n+1}^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \ldots \psi_{n+1}^{\left(j_{\nu}\right)} \text {, } \\
& \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq k, 0 \leq \nu \\
j_{1} \geq \cdots \geq j_{v} \geq 1}}=0 \text { for exponential }
\end{aligned}
$$

where the coefficients "const" are some constants depending the indices $\ell, j_{1}, j_{2}, \ldots$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{n+1}^{(k)}-\psi_{n}^{(k)}=\left(\left(\psi_{n}^{(k)}-\psi_{n-1}^{(k)}\right) \circ g\right)\left(g^{\prime}\right)^{k}+\sum_{1 \leq \ell<k} \operatorname{const}\left(\left(\psi_{n}^{(\ell)}-\psi_{n-1}^{(\ell)}\right) \circ g\right) g^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \cdots g^{\left(j_{\ell}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \ell \leq k, 0 \leq \nu \\
\text { jil } \\
\ell+j_{1}+\cdots+j_{j} \geq 1 \\
j_{\nu}=k}} \text { const } & {\left[\left(\left(\log f^{\prime}\right)^{(\ell)} \circ h_{n+1}-\left(\log f^{\prime}\right)^{(\ell)} \circ h_{n}\right) e^{\ell \psi_{n+1}} \psi_{n+1}^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \cdots \psi_{n+1}^{\left(j_{\nu}\right)}\right.} \\
& +\left(\left(\log f^{\prime}\right)^{(\ell)} \circ h_{n}\right)\left(e^{\ell \psi_{n+1}}-e^{\ell \psi_{n}}\right) \psi_{n+1}^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \cdots \psi_{n+1}^{\left(j_{\nu}\right)} \\
& +\left(\left(\log f^{\prime}\right)^{(\ell)} \circ h_{n}\right) e^{\ell \psi_{n}}\left(\psi_{n+1}^{\left(j_{1}\right)}-\psi_{n}^{\left(j_{1}\right)}\right) \psi_{n+1}^{\left(j_{2}\right)} \cdots \psi_{n+1}^{\left(j_{\nu}\right)} \\
& \left.+\cdots+\left(\left(\log f^{\prime}\right)^{(\ell)} \circ h_{n}\right) e^{\ell \psi_{n}} \psi_{n}^{\left(j_{1}\right)} \cdots \psi_{n}^{\left(j_{\nu-1}\right)}\left(\psi_{n+1}^{\left(j_{\nu}\right)}-\psi_{n}^{\left(j_{\nu}\right)}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to get a contraction from $k$-th order derivative term, define $\alpha_{k}(\tau):=\sup _{t \geq \tau} \frac{\rho_{k}(t) \mid g^{\prime}(t){ }^{k}}{\rho_{k}(g(t))}$ and assume that

$$
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{k}(\tau)<\kappa_{k}<1
$$

In order to control other terms, we need more assumptions involving the weight functions and derivatives of $g$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{k}(t):=\sup _{z \in B_{f}(t)}\left|\left(\log f^{\prime}\right)^{(k)}(z)\right|, \\
& \text { where } B_{f}(t):=\left\{z \in U:\left|f(z)-h_{0}(g(t))\right| \leq R(g(t))\right\} \\
& \text { and } R(t) \doteqdot\left|h_{1}(t)-h_{0}(t)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathbf{C}_{k}: h_{0}, h_{1}$ are $C^{k+1}$ and $\psi_{0}=\log h_{0}^{\prime}$ and $\psi_{1}=\log h_{1}^{\prime}$ satisfy

$$
\left\|\psi_{1}^{(k)}-\psi_{0}^{(k)}\right\|_{\rho_{k}, \tau_{*}}<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\psi_{0}^{(k)}\right\|_{\sigma_{k}, \tau_{*}}<\infty .
$$

$\mathrm{D}_{k}: \lim _{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{k}(\tau)<1$.
$\mathrm{E}_{k}$ : For $1 \leq \ell<k$ and $j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\ell} \geq 1$ with $j_{1}+\cdots+j_{\ell}=k$,

$$
\sup _{t \geq \tau_{*}} \frac{\rho_{k}(t)\left|g^{\left(j_{1}\right)}(t) \cdots g^{\left(j_{\ell}\right)}(t)\right|}{\rho_{\ell}(g(t))}<\infty .
$$

$\mathrm{F}_{k}$ : For $1 \leq \ell \leq k, \nu \geq 0, j_{1}, \ldots, j_{\nu} \geq 1$ with $\ell+j_{1}+\cdots+j_{\nu}=k$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{t \geq \tau_{*}} D_{\ell+1}(t) R(t) \frac{\rho_{k}(t)}{\sigma_{j_{1}}(t) \cdots \sigma_{j_{\nu}}(t)}<\infty ; \\
& \sup _{t \geq \tau_{*}} D_{\ell}(t) \frac{\rho_{k}(t)}{\rho_{0}(t) \sigma_{j_{1}}(t) \cdots \sigma_{j_{\nu}}(t)}<\infty ;
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\nu \geq 1$, then for $1 \leq i \leq \nu, \sup _{t \geq \tau_{*}} D_{\ell}(t) \frac{\rho_{k}(t)}{\sigma_{j_{1}}(t) \cdots \sigma_{j_{\nu}}(t)} \frac{\sigma_{j_{i}}(t)}{\rho_{j_{i}}(t)}<\infty$.
Here if $\nu=0$, set $\sigma_{j_{1}}(t) \cdots \sigma_{j_{\nu}}(t)=1$. Note that the last condition should be satisfied only when $\nu \geq 1$.
If these conditions are satisfied, $\left\{\psi_{n}^{(k)}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges with respect to $C^{k}$-norm with weight $\rho_{k}$, and this implies that the limit $h(t)$ is $C^{k}$.
For $f(z)=P(z) e^{Q(z)}$, we can take weight functions of the form $\rho_{k}(t)=$ const $\frac{e^{\varepsilon t}}{t^{\mu_{k}}}$ with suitable $\mu_{k}>0$.

Future plan
Use the same estimates for the renormalization of irrationally indifferent fixed points of high type.


Quadratic polynomial is transcendental if you consider renormalizations

## Thank you!

