

Covering properties of ideals

Szymon Głab

joint work with Marek Balcerzak and Barnabás Farkas

Theorem (Elekes)

Let $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence of measurable sets that covers μ -almost every $x \in [0, 1]$ infinitely many times. Then there exists a set $M \subseteq \omega$ of asymptotic density zero such that $(A_n)_{n \in M}$ also covers μ -almost every $x \in [0, 1]$ infinitely many times.

Corollary

The density zero ideal is random-indestructible.

\mathcal{J} is \mathbb{P} -indestructible if $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \exists A \in \mathcal{J} |\dot{X} \cap A| = \aleph_0$ for each \mathbb{P} -name \dot{X} for an infinite subset of ω , i.e. in $V^{\mathbb{P}}$ the ideal generated by \mathcal{J} is tall.

Theorem (Elekes)

Let $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence of measurable sets that covers μ -almost every $x \in [0, 1]$ infinitely many times. Then there exists a set $M \subseteq \omega$ of asymptotic density zero such that $(A_n)_{n \in M}$ also covers μ -almost every $x \in [0, 1]$ infinitely many times.

Corollary

The density zero ideal is random-indestructible.

\mathcal{J} is \mathbb{P} -indestructible if $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \exists A \in \mathcal{J} |\dot{X} \cap A| = \aleph_0$ for each \mathbb{P} -name \dot{X} for an infinite subset of ω , i.e. in $V^{\mathbb{P}}$ the ideal generated by \mathcal{J} is tall.

Theorem (Elekes)

Let $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence of measurable sets that covers μ -almost every $x \in [0, 1]$ infinitely many times. Then there exists a set $M \subseteq \omega$ of asymptotic density zero such that $(A_n)_{n \in M}$ also covers μ -almost every $x \in [0, 1]$ infinitely many times.

Corollary

The density zero ideal is random-indestructible.

\mathcal{J} is \mathbb{P} -indestructible if $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \exists A \in \mathcal{J} |\dot{X} \cap A| = \aleph_0$ for each \mathbb{P} -name \dot{X} for an infinite subset of ω , i.e. in $V^{\mathbb{P}}$ the ideal generated by \mathcal{J} is tall.

Theorem (Elekes)

Let $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ be a sequence of measurable sets that covers μ -almost every $x \in [0, 1]$ infinitely many times. Then there exists a set $M \subseteq \omega$ of asymptotic density zero such that $(A_n)_{n \in M}$ also covers μ -almost every $x \in [0, 1]$ infinitely many times.

Corollary

The density zero ideal is random-indestructible.

\mathcal{J} is \mathbb{P} -indestructible if $\Vdash_{\mathbb{P}} \exists A \in \mathcal{J} |\dot{X} \cap A| = \aleph_0$ for each \mathbb{P} -name \dot{X} for an infinite subset of ω , i.e. in $V^{\mathbb{P}}$ the ideal generated by \mathcal{J} is tall.

Definition

A sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ is an I -a.e. infinite-fold cover of X if

$$\{x \in X : \{n \in \omega : x \in A_n\} \text{ is finite}\} \in I, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \limsup_{n \in \omega} A_n \in I^*.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a σ -algebra of subsets of X and an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω . The pair (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{I} -covering property if for every I -a.e. infinite-fold cover $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of X by sets from \mathcal{A} , there is a set $S \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $(A_n)_{n \in S}$ is also an I -a.e. infinite-fold cover of X .

$$\text{CP}(I) = \text{CP}(\mathcal{A}, I) = \{\mathcal{I} : (\mathcal{A}, I) \text{ has the } \mathcal{I}\text{-covering property}\},$$

Definition

A sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ is an I -a.e. infinite-fold cover of X if

$$\{x \in X : \{n \in \omega : x \in A_n\} \text{ is finite}\} \in I, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \limsup_{n \in \omega} A_n \in I^*.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a σ -algebra of subsets of X and an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω . The pair (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{I} -covering property if for every I -a.e. infinite-fold cover $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of X by sets from \mathcal{A} , there is a set $S \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $(A_n)_{n \in S}$ is also an I -a.e. infinite-fold cover of X .

$$\text{CP}(I) = \text{CP}(\mathcal{A}, I) = \{\mathcal{I} : (\mathcal{A}, I) \text{ has the } \mathcal{I}\text{-covering property}\},$$

Definition

A sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ is an I -a.e. infinite-fold cover of X if

$$\{x \in X : \{n \in \omega : x \in A_n\} \text{ is finite}\} \in I, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \limsup_{n \in \omega} A_n \in I^*.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a σ -algebra of subsets of X and an ideal \mathcal{I} on ω . The pair (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{I} -covering property if for every I -a.e. infinite-fold cover $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of X by sets from \mathcal{A} , there is a set $S \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $(A_n)_{n \in S}$ is also an I -a.e. infinite-fold cover of X .

$$\text{CP}(I) = \text{CP}(\mathcal{A}, I) = \{\mathcal{I} : (\mathcal{A}, I) \text{ has the } \mathcal{I}\text{-covering property}\},$$

Definition

A sequence $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ is an I -a.e. infinite-fold cover of X if

$$\{x \in X : \{n \in \omega : x \in A_n\} \text{ is finite}\} \in I, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \limsup_{n \in \omega} A_n \in I^*.$$

Let \mathcal{A} be a σ -algebra of subsets of X and an ideal \mathcal{J} on ω . The pair (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J} -covering property if for every I -a.e. infinite-fold cover $(A_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of X by sets from \mathcal{A} , there is a set $S \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $(A_n)_{n \in S}$ is also an I -a.e. infinite-fold cover of X .

$$\text{CP}(I) = \text{CP}(\mathcal{A}, I) = \{\mathcal{J} : (\mathcal{A}, I) \text{ has the } \mathcal{J}\text{-covering property}\},$$

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \Rightarrow \text{CP}(I_1) \subseteq \text{CP}(I_2)$$

Elekes' theorem says that $\mathcal{Z} \in \text{CP}(\mathcal{N})$.

If (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J} -covering property, then $\text{non}^*(\mathcal{J}) > \omega$.

$$\text{non}^*(\mathcal{J}) = \min \{ |\mathcal{H}| : \mathcal{H} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega \text{ and } \nexists A \in \mathcal{J} \forall H \in \mathcal{H} |A \cap H| = \omega \}.$$

If $\mathcal{J}_0 \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}_1$ and (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J}_0 -covering property, then (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J}_1 -covering property as well.

$\mathcal{J}_0 \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}_1$ iff there is a finite-to-one function $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f^{-1}[A] \in \mathcal{J}_1$ for each $A \in \mathcal{J}_0$.

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \Rightarrow \text{CP}(I_1) \subseteq \text{CP}(I_2)$$

Elekes' theorem says that $\mathcal{Z} \in \text{CP}(\mathcal{N})$.

If (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J} -covering property, then $\text{non}^*(\mathcal{J}) > \omega$.

$$\text{non}^*(\mathcal{J}) = \min \{ |\mathcal{H}| : \mathcal{H} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega \text{ and } \nexists A \in \mathcal{J} \forall H \in \mathcal{H} |A \cap H| = \omega \}.$$

If $\mathcal{J}_0 \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}_1$ and (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J}_0 -covering property, then (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J}_1 -covering property as well.

$\mathcal{J}_0 \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}_1$ iff there is a finite-to-one function $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f^{-1}[A] \in \mathcal{J}_1$ for each $A \in \mathcal{J}_0$.

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \Rightarrow \text{CP}(I_1) \subseteq \text{CP}(I_2)$$

Elekes' theorem says that $\mathcal{Z} \in \text{CP}(\mathcal{N})$.

If (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J} -covering property, then $\text{non}^*(\mathcal{J}) > \omega$.

$$\text{non}^*(\mathcal{J}) = \min \{ |\mathcal{H}| : \mathcal{H} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega \text{ and } \nexists A \in \mathcal{J} \forall H \in \mathcal{H} |A \cap H| = \omega \}.$$

If $\mathcal{J}_0 \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}_1$ and (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J}_0 -covering property, then (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J}_1 -covering property as well.

$\mathcal{J}_0 \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}_1$ iff there is a finite-to-one function $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f^{-1}[A] \in \mathcal{J}_1$ for each $A \in \mathcal{J}_0$.

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \Rightarrow \text{CP}(I_1) \subseteq \text{CP}(I_2)$$

Elekes' theorem says that $\mathcal{Z} \in \text{CP}(\mathcal{N})$.

If (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J} -covering property, then $\text{non}^*(\mathcal{J}) > \omega$.

$$\text{non}^*(\mathcal{J}) = \min \{ |\mathcal{H}| : \mathcal{H} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega \text{ and } \nexists A \in \mathcal{J} \forall H \in \mathcal{H} |A \cap H| = \omega \}.$$

If $\mathcal{J}_0 \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}_1$ and (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J}_0 -covering property, then (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J}_1 -covering property as well.

$\mathcal{J}_0 \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}_1$ iff there is a finite-to-one function $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f^{-1}[A] \in \mathcal{J}_1$ for each $A \in \mathcal{J}_0$.

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \Rightarrow \text{CP}(I_1) \subseteq \text{CP}(I_2)$$

Elekes' theorem says that $\mathcal{Z} \in \text{CP}(\mathcal{N})$.

If (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J} -covering property, then $\text{non}^*(\mathcal{J}) > \omega$.

$$\text{non}^*(\mathcal{J}) = \min \{ |\mathcal{H}| : \mathcal{H} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega \text{ and } \nexists A \in \mathcal{J} \forall H \in \mathcal{H} |A \cap H| = \omega \}.$$

If $\mathcal{J}_0 \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}_1$ and (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J}_0 -covering property, then (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J}_1 -covering property as well.

$\mathcal{J}_0 \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}_1$ iff there is a finite-to-one function $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f^{-1}[A] \in \mathcal{J}_1$ for each $A \in \mathcal{J}_0$.

$$I_1 \subseteq I_2 \Rightarrow \text{CP}(I_1) \subseteq \text{CP}(I_2)$$

Elekes' theorem says that $\mathcal{Z} \in \text{CP}(\mathcal{N})$.

If (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J} -covering property, then $\text{non}^*(\mathcal{J}) > \omega$.

$$\text{non}^*(\mathcal{J}) = \min \{ |\mathcal{H}| : \mathcal{H} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega \text{ and } \nexists A \in \mathcal{J} \forall H \in \mathcal{H} |A \cap H| = \omega \}.$$

If $\mathcal{J}_0 \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}_1$ and (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J}_0 -covering property, then (\mathcal{A}, I) has the \mathcal{J}_1 -covering property as well.

$\mathcal{J}_0 \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}_1$ iff there is a finite-to-one function $f : \omega \rightarrow \omega$ such that $f^{-1}[A] \in \mathcal{J}_1$ for each $A \in \mathcal{J}_0$.

\mathcal{N} does not have the $\mathcal{I}_{1/n}$ -covering property.

there is no ideal \mathcal{J} on ω such that I has the \mathcal{J} -covering property if $I = [\omega^\omega]^{\leq \omega}$, NWD (the ideal of nowhere dense subsets of ω^ω), or \mathcal{K}_σ .

Fubini product of ideals $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $K \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y)$:

$$I \otimes K = \{A \subseteq X \times Y : \{x \in X : (A)_x \in K\} \in I^*\}.$$

Theorem

$$\text{CP}_{P\text{-ideals}}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = \text{CP}_{P\text{-ideals}}(\mathcal{N}).$$

Question

Is the analogous result true for $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$?

\mathcal{N} does not have the $\mathcal{I}_{1/n}$ -covering property.

there is no ideal \mathcal{J} on ω such that I has the \mathcal{J} -covering property if $I = [\omega^\omega]^{\leq \omega}$, NWD (the ideal of nowhere dense subsets of ω^ω), or \mathcal{K}_σ .

Fubini product of ideals $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $K \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y)$:

$$I \otimes K = \{A \subseteq X \times Y : \{x \in X : (A)_x \in K\} \in I^*\}.$$

Theorem

$$\text{CP}_{P\text{-ideals}}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = \text{CP}_{P\text{-ideals}}(\mathcal{N}).$$

Question

Is the analogous result true for $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$?

\mathcal{N} does not have the $\mathcal{I}_{1/n}$ -covering property.

there is no ideal \mathcal{J} on ω such that I has the \mathcal{J} -covering property if $I = [\omega^\omega]^{\leq \omega}$, NWD (the ideal of nowhere dense subsets of ω^ω), or \mathcal{K}_σ .

Fubini product of ideals $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $K \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y)$:

$$I \otimes K = \{A \subseteq X \times Y : \{x \in X : (A)_x \in K\} \in I^*\}.$$

Theorem

$$\text{CP}_{P\text{-ideals}}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = \text{CP}_{P\text{-ideals}}(\mathcal{N}).$$

Question

Is the analogous result true for $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$?

\mathcal{N} does not have the $\mathcal{I}_{1/n}$ -covering property.

there is no ideal \mathcal{J} on ω such that I has the \mathcal{J} -covering property if $I = [\omega^\omega]^{\leq \omega}$, NWD (the ideal of nowhere dense subsets of ω^ω), or \mathcal{K}_σ .

Fubini product of ideals $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $K \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y)$:

$$I \otimes K = \{A \subseteq X \times Y : \{x \in X : (A)_x \in K\} \in I^*\}.$$

Theorem

$$\text{CP}_{P\text{-ideals}}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = \text{CP}_{P\text{-ideals}}(\mathcal{N}).$$

Question

Is the analogous result true for $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$?

\mathcal{N} does not have the $\mathcal{I}_{1/n}$ -covering property.

there is no ideal \mathcal{J} on ω such that I has the \mathcal{J} -covering property if $I = [\omega^\omega]^{\leq \omega}$, NWD (the ideal of nowhere dense subsets of ω^ω), or \mathcal{K}_σ .

Fubini product of ideals $I \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ and $K \subseteq \mathcal{P}(Y)$:

$$I \otimes K = \{A \subseteq X \times Y : \{x \in X : (A)_x \in K\} \in I^*\}.$$

Theorem

$$\text{CP}_{P\text{-ideals}}(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = \text{CP}_{P\text{-ideals}}(\mathcal{N}).$$

Question

Is the analogous result true for $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$?

$\mathbb{P}_I = \text{Borel}(X) \setminus I$ ordered by inclusion where X is a Polish space and I is a σ -ideal on X (with Borel base).

Theorem

Let I be a σ -ideal on a Polish space X , and assume that \mathbb{P}_I is proper. If I has the \mathcal{J} -covering property, then \mathcal{J} is \mathbb{P}_I -indestructible.

Example

\mathcal{ED} and $\text{Fin} \otimes \text{Fin}$ are Cohen-indestructible but \mathcal{M} does not have the \mathcal{ED} - or $\text{Fin} \otimes \text{Fin}$ -covering properties.

$$\mathcal{ED} = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega \times \omega : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(A)_n| < \infty \right\}$$

$\mathbb{P}_I = \text{Borel}(X) \setminus I$ ordered by inclusion where X is a Polish space and I is a σ -ideal on X (with Borel base).

Theorem

Let I be a σ -ideal on a Polish space X , and assume that \mathbb{P}_I is proper. If I has the \mathcal{J} -covering property, then \mathcal{J} is \mathbb{P}_I -indestructible.

Example

\mathcal{ED} and $\text{Fin} \otimes \text{Fin}$ are Cohen-indestructible but \mathcal{M} does not have the \mathcal{ED} - or $\text{Fin} \otimes \text{Fin}$ -covering properties.

$$\mathcal{ED} = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega \times \omega : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(A)_n| < \infty \right\}$$

$\mathbb{P}_I = \text{Borel}(X) \setminus I$ ordered by inclusion where X is a Polish space and I is a σ -ideal on X (with Borel base).

Theorem

Let I be a σ -ideal on a Polish space X , and assume that \mathbb{P}_I is proper. If I has the \mathcal{J} -covering property, then \mathcal{J} is \mathbb{P}_I -indestructible.

Example

\mathcal{ED} and $\text{Fin} \otimes \text{Fin}$ are Cohen-indestructible but \mathcal{M} does not have the \mathcal{ED} - or $\text{Fin} \otimes \text{Fin}$ -covering properties.

$$\mathcal{ED} = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega \times \omega : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(A)_n| < \infty \right\}$$

$\mathbb{P}_I = \text{Borel}(X) \setminus I$ ordered by inclusion where X is a Polish space and I is a σ -ideal on X (with Borel base).

Theorem

Let I be a σ -ideal on a Polish space X , and assume that \mathbb{P}_I is proper. If I has the \mathcal{J} -covering property, then \mathcal{J} is \mathbb{P}_I -indestructible.

Example

\mathcal{ED} and $\text{Fin} \otimes \text{Fin}$ are Cohen-indestructible but \mathcal{M} does not have the \mathcal{ED} - or $\text{Fin} \otimes \text{Fin}$ -covering properties.

$$\mathcal{ED} = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega \times \omega : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(A)_n| < \infty \right\}$$

$\mathbb{P}_I = \text{Borel}(X) \setminus I$ ordered by inclusion where X is a Polish space and I is a σ -ideal on X (with Borel base).

Theorem

Let I be a σ -ideal on a Polish space X , and assume that \mathbb{P}_I is proper. If I has the \mathcal{J} -covering property, then \mathcal{J} is \mathbb{P}_I -indestructible.

Example

\mathcal{ED} and $\text{Fin} \otimes \text{Fin}$ are Cohen-indestructible but \mathcal{M} does not have the \mathcal{ED} - or $\text{Fin} \otimes \text{Fin}$ -covering properties.

$$\mathcal{ED} = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega \times \omega : \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(A)_n| < \infty \right\}$$

Theorem

Assume \mathcal{J} is a Borel ideal. Then \mathcal{M} has the \mathcal{J} -covering property iff \mathcal{J} is not a weak Q-ideal (i.e. $\mathcal{ED}_{\text{fin}} \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}$). In other words,

$$\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{M}) = \{\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{J} \text{ is a Borel non weak Q-ideal}\}.$$

\mathcal{J} on ω is called *weak Q-ideal* if for each partition $(P_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of ω into finite sets, there is an $X \in \mathcal{J}^+$ such that $|X \cap P_n| \leq 1$ for each n .

$\mathcal{ED}_{\text{fin}} = \mathcal{ED} \upharpoonright \Delta$ where $\Delta = \{(n, m) \in \omega \times \omega : m \leq n\}$.

\mathcal{J} is a weak Q-ideal iff $\mathcal{ED}_{\text{fin}} \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}$.

Theorem

Assume \mathcal{J} is a Borel ideal. Then \mathcal{M} has the \mathcal{J} -covering property iff \mathcal{J} is not a weak Q-ideal (i.e. $\mathcal{ED}_{\text{fin}} \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}$). In other words,

$$\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{M}) = \{\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{J} \text{ is a Borel non weak Q-ideal}\}.$$

\mathcal{J} on ω is called *weak Q-ideal* if for each partition $(P_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of ω into finite sets, there is an $X \in \mathcal{J}^+$ such that $|X \cap P_n| \leq 1$ for each n .

$\mathcal{ED}_{\text{fin}} = \mathcal{ED} \upharpoonright \Delta$ where $\Delta = \{(n, m) \in \omega \times \omega : m \leq n\}$.

\mathcal{J} is a weak Q-ideal iff $\mathcal{ED}_{\text{fin}} \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}$.

Theorem

Assume \mathcal{J} is a Borel ideal. Then \mathcal{M} has the \mathcal{J} -covering property iff \mathcal{J} is not a weak Q-ideal (i.e. $\mathcal{ED}_{\text{fin}} \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}$). In other words,

$$\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{M}) = \{\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{J} \text{ is a Borel non weak Q-ideal}\}.$$

\mathcal{J} on ω is called *weak Q-ideal* if for each partition $(P_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of ω into finite sets, there is an $X \in \mathcal{J}^+$ such that $|X \cap P_n| \leq 1$ for each n .

$\mathcal{ED}_{\text{fin}} = \mathcal{ED} \upharpoonright \Delta$ where $\Delta = \{(n, m) \in \omega \times \omega : m \leq n\}$.

\mathcal{J} is a weak Q-ideal iff $\mathcal{ED}_{\text{fin}} \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}$.

Theorem

Assume \mathcal{J} is a Borel ideal. Then \mathcal{M} has the \mathcal{J} -covering property iff \mathcal{J} is not a weak Q-ideal (i.e. $\mathcal{ED}_{\text{fin}} \leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}$). In other words,

$$\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{M}) = \{\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{J} \text{ is a Borel non weak Q-ideal}\}.$$

\mathcal{J} on ω is called *weak Q-ideal* if for each partition $(P_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of ω into finite sets, there is an $X \in \mathcal{J}^+$ such that $|X \cap P_n| \leq 1$ for each n .

$\mathcal{ED}_{\text{fin}} = \mathcal{ED} \upharpoonright \Delta$ where $\Delta = \{(n, m) \in \omega \times \omega : m \leq n\}$.

\mathcal{J} is a weak Q-ideal iff $\mathcal{ED}_{\text{fin}} \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}$.

$$\mathcal{Z}_u = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n(A)}{n} = 0 \right\}.$$

$$S_n(A) = \max \{ |A \cap [k, k+n)| : k \in \omega \},$$

Theorem

$\mathcal{Z} \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{Z}_u$ and \mathcal{N} has the \mathcal{Z}_u -covering property. (\mathcal{Z} is not KB-minimal in $\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{N})$)

Question

Does there exist a KB-smallest (or at least KB-minimal) element in $\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{N})$?

$$\mathcal{Z}_u = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n(A)}{n} = 0 \right\}.$$

$$S_n(A) = \max \{ |A \cap [k, k+n)| : k \in \omega \},$$

Theorem

$\mathcal{Z} \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{Z}_u$ and \mathcal{N} has the \mathcal{Z}_u -covering property. (\mathcal{Z} is not KB-minimal in $\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{N})$)

Question

Does there exist a KB-smallest (or at least KB-minimal) element in $\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{N})$?

$$\mathcal{Z}_u = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n(A)}{n} = 0 \right\}.$$

$$S_n(A) = \max \{ |A \cap [k, k+n]| : k \in \omega \},$$

Theorem

$\mathcal{Z} \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{Z}_u$ and \mathcal{N} has the \mathcal{Z}_u -covering property. (\mathcal{Z} is not KB-minimal in $\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{N})$)

Question

Does there exist a KB-smallest (or at least KB-minimal) element in $\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{N})$?

$$\mathcal{Z}_u = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n(A)}{n} = 0 \right\}.$$

$$S_n(A) = \max \{ |A \cap [k, k+n]| : k \in \omega \},$$

Theorem

$\mathcal{Z} \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{Z}_u$ and \mathcal{N} has the \mathcal{Z}_u -covering property. (\mathcal{Z} is not KB-minimal in $\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{N})$)

Question

Does there exist a KB-smallest (or at least KB-minimal) element in $\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{N})$?

$$\mathcal{Z}_u = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n(A)}{n} = 0 \right\}.$$

$$S_n(A) = \max \{ |A \cap [k, k+n]| : k \in \omega \},$$

Theorem

$\mathcal{Z} \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{Z}_u$ and \mathcal{N} has the \mathcal{Z}_u -covering property. (\mathcal{Z} is not KB-minimal in $\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{N})$)

Question

Does there exist a KB-smallest (or at least KB-minimal) element in $\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{N})$?

$$\mathcal{Z}_u = \left\{ A \subseteq \omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n(A)}{n} = 0 \right\}.$$

$$S_n(A) = \max \{ |A \cap [k, k+n]| : k \in \omega \},$$

Theorem

$\mathcal{Z} \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{Z}_u$ and \mathcal{N} has the \mathcal{Z}_u -covering property. (\mathcal{Z} is not KB-minimal in $\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{N})$)

Question

Does there exist a KB-smallest (or at least KB-minimal) element in $\text{CP}_{\text{Borel}}(\mathcal{N})$?

Theorem

Assume $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{c}$ and $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \mathfrak{c}$. Then there is no KB-smallest element in $\text{CP}(\mathcal{A}, I)$.

Theorem

After adding ω_1 Cohen-reals, there is an ideal \mathcal{J} such that $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}_{\text{fin}} \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}$ (in particular, $\mathcal{Z}_U \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}$) but \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{M} have the \mathcal{J} -covering property.

Question

Is it provable in **ZFC** that there are no KB-smallest elements of $\text{CP}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\text{CP}(\mathcal{M})$? Or at least, is it provable that \mathcal{Z}_U and $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}_{\text{fin}}$ are not the KB-smallest members of these families?

Theorem

Assume $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{c}$ and $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \mathfrak{c}$. Then there is no KB-smallest element in $\text{CP}(\mathcal{A}, I)$.

Theorem

After adding ω_1 Cohen-reals, there is an ideal \mathcal{J} such that $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}_{\text{fin}} \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}$ (in particular, $\mathcal{Z}_u \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}$) but \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{M} have the \mathcal{J} -covering property.

Question

Is it provable in **ZFC** that there are no KB-smallest elements of $\text{CP}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\text{CP}(\mathcal{M})$? Or at least, is it provable that \mathcal{Z}_u and $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}_{\text{fin}}$ are not the KB-smallest members of these families?

Theorem

Assume $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{c}$ and $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \mathfrak{c}$. Then there is no KB-smallest element in $\text{CP}(\mathcal{A}, I)$.

Theorem

After adding ω_1 Cohen-reals, there is an ideal \mathcal{J} such that $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}_{\text{fin}} \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}$ (in particular, $\mathcal{Z}_u \not\leq_{\text{KB}} \mathcal{J}$) but \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{M} have the \mathcal{J} -covering property.

Question

Is it provable in **ZFC** that there are no KB-smallest elements of $\text{CP}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\text{CP}(\mathcal{M})$? Or at least, is it provable that \mathcal{Z}_u and $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{D}_{\text{fin}}$ are not the KB-smallest members of these families?

Theorem

Assume that I is a translation invariant ccc σ -ideal on \mathbb{R} fulfilling the condition

$$\mathbb{Q} + A \in I^* \text{ for each } A \in \text{Borel}(\mathbb{R}) \setminus I.$$

Fix a P-ideal \mathcal{J} on ω . If I does not have the \mathcal{J} -covering property, then there exists an infinite-fold Borel cover $(A'_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of \mathbb{R} with $\limsup_{n \in S} A'_n \in I$ for all $S \in \mathcal{J}$.