Expanding Fraissé classes into Ramsey classes

L. Nguyen Van Thé (joint with Y. Gutman and T. Tsankov)

Universit d'Aix-Marseille

July 2012

Ramsey property

Definition

A class K of finite (first order) structures has the Ramsey property (= is Ramsey) when for any:

- ▶ $X \in \mathcal{K}$ (small structure, to be colored),
- $ightharpoonup Y \in \mathcal{K}$ (medium structure, to be reconstituted),
- ▶ $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (number of colors),

there exists $Z \in \mathcal{K}$ (very large structure) such that:

$$Z \longrightarrow (Y)_k^X$$
.

i.e. whenever copies of X in Z are colored with k colors, there is $\tilde{Y} \cong Y$ where all copies of X have same color.

Examples and non examples of Ramsey classes

The following are Ramsey classes:

- Finite sets (Ramsey, 30).
- Finite Boolean algebras (Graham-Rothschild, 71).
- ► Finite vector spaces (Graham-Leeb-Rothschild, 72).

The following are NOT Ramsey classes:

- ▶ Finite graphs, finite relational structures in a fixed countable language.
- ▶ Finite K_n -free graphs.
- Finite posets.
- Finite equivalence relations.

...BUT...

Non-examples of Ramsey classes

... They can be expanded into Ramsey classes:

- ► Finite graphs, finite relational structures in a fixed countable language: Add arbitrary linear orderings (Abramson-Harrington, 78).
- ▶ Finite K_n -free graphs: Arbitrary linear orderings (Nešetřil-Rödl, 83).
- ► Finite posets: Linear extensions (Nešetřil-Rödl, 84).
- ▶ Finite equivalence relations: Convex linear orderings (Folklore).

Those results do have a substantial combinatorial content. In some sense, those classes are "close" to be Ramsey.

Question

Can we formalize this notion of being "close to be Ramsey" more precisely?

G-flows

Definition

Let G be a Hausdorff topological group.

A G-flow is a continuous action of G on a compact Hausdorff space X.

Notation: $G \cap X$.

 $G \curvearrowright X$ is minimal when every $x \in X$ has dense orbit in X:

$$\forall x \in X \ \overline{G \cdot x} = X$$

 $G \curvearrowright X$ is universal when:

 $\forall G \curvearrowright Y \text{ minimal,} \quad \exists \pi: X \longrightarrow Y \text{ continuous, onto, and so that}$ $\forall g \in G \quad \forall x \in X \quad \pi(g \cdot x) = g \cdot \pi(x).$

"Every minimal G-flow is a continuous image of $G \curvearrowright X$."

Universal minimal flow

Theorem (Folklore)

Let G be a Hausdorff topological group.

Then there is a unique G-flow that is both minimal and universal.

Notation: $G \curvearrowright M(G)$.

Remark

- ▶ When G is compact, M(G) = G with action on itself by left translation.
- ▶ When G is not compact:
 - ▶ M(G) may be not metrizable (E.g. G locally compact)
 - ▶ M(G) may be a singleton, G is then called extremely amenable (eg: $Aut(\mathbb{Q}, <)$, Pestov, 98).
 - ▶ M(G) may be metrizable (eg: $M(S_{\infty}) = S_{\infty} \cap LO(\mathbb{N})$, Glasner-Weiss, 02)

Kechris-Pestov-Todorcevic theorem

Theorem (Kechris-Pestov-Todorcevic, 05)

Let K be a Fraissé class whose elements are rigid (have no non-trivial automorphisms). Let $\mathbb F$ be its Fraissé limit. TFAE:

- i) $Aut(\mathbb{F})$ is extremely amenable.
- ii) K has the Ramsey property.

Question

Is there a similar theorem for those Fraïssé classes that admit a Ramsey expansion?

A trivial answer

Proposition

Every Fraïssé class K admits a Ramsey expansion.

Proof.

Consider $\mathbb{F} = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, the Fraïssé limit of \mathcal{K} . Expand it with countably many unary relations $A_n^*, n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$A_n^*(x) \Leftrightarrow x = x_n.$$

Then $\mathbb{F}^* := (\mathbb{F}, (A_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$ is rigid, and the class of its finite substructures is a Ramsey expansion of \mathcal{K} .

Of course, the above result has empty combinatorial content. We must rephrase the question and ask which classes admit "non-trivial" expansions.

Only linear orderings?

- ▶ In view of the aforementioned classical results, expansions by linear orderings should definitely by considered as "non-trivial".
- ▶ But we should allow more: Recall that the dense local order S(2) is the tournament defined by:

Vertices: Rational points of \mathbb{S}^1 (no antipodal pair).

Arcs: $x \to y$ iff (counterclockwise angle from x to y) $< \pi$.



▶ For a linear ordering < on S(2), the class of finite substructures of (S(2), <) is never Ramsey: there is 2-coloring of the vertices with no monochromatic 3-cycle, namely, left and right part.

The case of S(2)

▶ Ramsey property holds if S(2) is enriched differently:



- ▶ Key fact: $(S(2), S_1, S_2) \cong (\mathbb{Q}, Q_1, Q_2, <)$, Q_1, Q_2 dense subsets of \mathbb{Q} (Reversing the arcs between points in different parts).
- ► The corresponding class of finite substructures is Ramsey, and not for trivial reasons.

Precompact expansions

Definition

Let $\mathcal K$ be a class of finite structures in some some language L, $\mathcal K^*$ an expansion of $\mathcal K$ in a language $L^*\supset L$. Then $\mathcal K^*$ is a precompact expansion of $\mathcal K$ when every element of $\mathcal K$ only has finitely many expansions in $\mathcal K^*$.

Theorem

Let K be a Fraïssé class. Call $\mathbb F$ the corresponding Fraïssé limit and set $G = \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb F)$. TFAE:

- 1. K admits a Fraissé, precompact expansion K^* that is Ramsey and has rigid elements.
- 2. M(G) is metrizable and has a generic orbit.
- 3. G admits a closed, extremely amenable subgroup G^* such that G/G^* is precompact.

What the theorem says

- Admitting a precompact Ramsey expansion seems to be a reasonable notion for "being close to Ramsey", and suggests that many other non trivial Ramsey theorems could be found: start from your favorite Fraïssé class, and try to expand it in a precompact way to make it Ramsey!
- ▶ Item 3 indicates that looking for a large extremely amenable subgroup is the right thing to do in order to prove that a universal minimal flow is metrizable (this method is due to Pestov, and is so far the most powerful one to compute universal minimal flows in concrete cases).

A few words on the proof

- ▶ $1\Rightarrow 2$ and $3\Rightarrow 1$ are essentially due to KPT. $2\Rightarrow 3$ uses other facts.
- ▶ 1⇒2: Given \mathcal{K}^* , refine it into a precompact Ramsey \mathcal{K}^{**} with the so-called the Expansion Property. Ramsey ensures that the flow $\widehat{G/G^{**}}$ is precompact, Expansion property ensures that it is minimal.
- ▶ 2⇒3: Let H be the stabilizer of some point in the generic orbit of M(G).
 - i) G/H is precompact. Proved by showing that the Samuel compactification of G/H is a continuous image of M(G), hence metrizable.
 - ii) The pair (G, H) is relatively extremely amenable (every continuous G-action on a compact space has an H-fixed point). Due to the fact that H is contained in a stabilizer of a point of M(G).
 - iii) There is a closed extremely amenable sugbroup G^* of G containing H.
- ▶ $3\Rightarrow 1$: Take K^* corresponding to G^* .

Which Fraïssé classes have Fraïssé precompact Ramsey expansions?

The following admit Fraissé precompact Ramsey expansions:

- ▶ All Fraïssé classes of finite graphs (based on known results).
- ► All Fraïssé classes of finite tournaments (idem+Laflamme-NVT-Sauer).
- All Fraïssé classes of finite posets (based on work of Sokić).
- In fact, apparently, all Fraïssé classes of finite directed graphs! (Jasiński-Laflamme-NVT).

Conjecture

Every Fraïssé class with finitely many isomorphism types in each cardinality have a Fraïssé precompact Ramsey expansion. Equivalently, every oligomorphic closed subgroup of S_{∞} has a metrizable universal minimal flow with a generic orbit.

About the conjecture

My view on the conjecture:

- ► Test it on any specific case.
- ► Test it on any class of structures where a classification result is known (e.g. Fraïssé classes of *n*-tournaments).
- ▶ There are known counterexamples when G is not oligomorphic (e.g $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z},<^{\mathbb{Z}},d^{\mathbb{Z}})=\mathbb{Z})$
- ▶ Would say that Ramsey classes are not so rare after all, and that there are plenty of interesting combinatorial cases to be discovered.
- Will not say anything about how to expand Fraïssé class into Ramsey classes in practice (so no risk of losing your job if you are working in structural Ramsey theory).
- ► So far, the most reasonable attempt of proof is from topological dynamics, as the combinatorics still exhibits a variety of seemingly different situations.