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## Definition

$\alpha \rightarrow(\beta, \gamma)$ means
$\forall E \subset[\alpha]^{2}\left(\exists X \in[\alpha]^{\beta}:[X]^{2} \subset E \vee \exists X \in[\alpha]^{\gamma}: E \cap[X]^{2}=\emptyset\right)$.

## Remark

Here we are always referring to the order-type, i.e. $[\gamma]^{8}$ is the set of all subsets of $\gamma$ whose order-type is $\delta$.

## Fact (AC)

For any linear order $\varphi$ we have $\varphi \nrightarrow(\bar{\varphi}+1, \omega)$.

## Definition

$r(\beta, \gamma)$ is the least ordinal $\alpha$ such that $\alpha \rightarrow(\beta, \gamma)$.

Theorem (Specker, 1957)
$r\left(\omega^{2}, m\right)=\omega^{2}$ for all $m<\omega$.
Theorem (Specker, 1957)
$r\left(\omega^{l}, 3\right)>\omega^{l}$ for all $l \in \omega \backslash 3$.
Theorem (Baumgartner, 1989)
$\mathrm{MA}_{\aleph_{1}}$ implies $r\left(\omega_{1} \omega, m\right)=\omega_{1} \omega$ for all $m<\omega$
Theorem (Baumgartner, 1989)
$\mathrm{MA}_{\aleph_{1}}$ implies $r\left(\omega_{1} \omega^{2}, m\right)=\omega_{1} \omega^{2}$ for all $m<\omega$.

## Notation

$r\left(I_{l}, L_{m}\right)$ is the least $n$ such that any digraph on $n$ vertices contains an independent set of size $l$ or a transitive induced subtournament of size $m$.

Theorem (Erdős \& Rado, 1956)
$r(\omega l, m)=\omega r\left(I_{l}, L_{m}\right)$.
Theorem (Baumgartner, 1974)
$r(\lambda l, m)=\lambda r\left(I_{l}, L_{m}\right)$ for all infinite cardinals $\lambda$.

## Theorem (Larson, Mitchell, 1997)

$\forall n \in \omega \backslash 2: r\left(I_{n}, L_{3}\right) \leqslant n^{2}$.
Theorem (Erdős, Moser, 1964)
$\forall n \in \omega \backslash 3: r\left(I_{2}, L_{n}\right) \leqslant 2^{n-1}$.
Theorem (Larson, Mitchell, 1997)
$\forall m \in \omega \backslash 3, n \in \omega \backslash 4: r\left(I_{2}, L_{n}\right) \leqslant u(m, n)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(m, n):= & \frac{1}{2}\left(2 ^ { n - 3 } \left(4\binom{m+n-4}{n-1}+6\binom{m+n-5}{n-2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+9\binom{m+n-6}{n-3}\right)+2^{n-4} \cdot 17\binom{m+n-6}{m-2}-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $m$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 18 | 23 | 28 | 36 |  |
| 4 | 9 | 18 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ |
| $\lambda 2$ | $\lambda 4$ | $\lambda 8$ | $\lambda 14$ | $\lambda 28$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\lambda 3$ | $\lambda 9$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ |
| $\omega^{2} 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\omega^{3}$ | $\omega^{4}$ | $\omega^{4}$ | $\omega^{5}$ | $\omega^{5}$ | $\omega^{5}$ | $\omega^{5}$ | $\omega^{6}$ | $\omega^{2+1 \mathrm{Id}(m) \mid}$ |
| $\omega^{4}$ | $\omega^{7}$ | $\omega^{7}$ | $\omega^{10}$ | $\omega^{10}$ | $\omega^{10}$ | $\omega^{10}$ |  |  |
| $\omega^{5+l}$ | $\omega^{9+2 l}$ | $\omega^{9+2 l}$ | $\omega^{13+3 l}$ | $\omega^{13+3 l}$ | $\omega^{13+3 l}$ | $\omega^{13+3 l}$ | $\omega^{17+4 l}$ | $\omega^{1+(4+l)\lceil 1 \mathrm{l}(m) T}$ |
| $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ |
| $\omega^{\omega^{2}}$ | $\omega^{\omega^{2}}$ | $\omega^{\omega^{2}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\kappa \lambda 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\kappa \lambda 3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Definition

A triple is called agreeable if and only if it is one of the following.


## Fact

A triple is disagreeable if and only if it is either...

- . . a cyclic triple, regardless of the colouring, i.e.

- ... or one of the following transitive triples:



## Notation

$r\left(I_{l}, A_{m}\right)$ is the least $n$ such that any arc-3-coloured digraph on $n$ vertices contains an independent set of size $l$ or an induced subtournament of size $m$ all induced
3-person-subtournaments of which are agreeable.

## Theorem (W.)

Let $\kappa$ be such that $r(\kappa, \kappa)=\kappa$ and $\kappa \in \Omega \backslash 3$-this means that $\kappa=\omega$ or $\kappa$ is weakly compact.
Then $r\left(\kappa^{2} m, n\right)=\kappa^{2} r\left(I_{m}, A_{n}\right)$.
Theorem (Erdős, Hajnal, 1971)
$2^{\kappa}=\kappa^{+}$implies that $\kappa^{+^{2}} \nrightarrow\left(\kappa^{+^{2}}, 3\right)$.

## An analogue theorem



## An analogue theorem



Two counterexamples


Two counterexamples


## Theorem (W.)

$$
\forall n \in \omega \backslash 2: r\left(I_{n}, A_{3}\right) \leqslant \frac{(2 n+1)\left(n^{2}+4 n-6\right)}{3} .
$$

## Corollary

$r\left(I_{2}, A_{3}\right)=10$.
Remark
We have $r(n, 3), r\left(I_{n}, L_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$.

$\xrightarrow[\text { 三를 }]{\longrightarrow}$ つQく

## A variation



## A variation



## Definition

A triple is called strongly agreeable if and only if it is agreeable and does not contain any yellow arrow. So it is strongly agreeable precisely if it is one of these:


1

## Notation

$r\left(I_{l}, S_{m}\right)$ is the least $n$ such that any arc-2-coloured digraph on $n$ vertices contains an independent set of size $l$ or an induced subtournament of size $m$ all induced
3-person-subtournaments of which are strongly agreeable.

Theorem (W.)
Let $\kappa$ be weakly compact, let $\lambda \in \kappa \backslash \omega$ be a cardinal and let $l, m<\omega$. Then $r(\kappa \lambda l, m)=\kappa \lambda r\left(I_{l}, S_{m}\right)$.

Theorem (W.)
Assume $\mathrm{MA}_{\aleph_{1}}$. Then for all $l, m<\omega$ we have
$r\left(\omega_{1} \omega l, m\right)=\omega_{1} \omega r\left(I_{l}, S_{m}\right)$.
Theorem (W.)
For all $m \in \omega \backslash 2$ and all $n \in \omega \backslash 3$ we have $r\left(I_{m}, S_{n}\right) \leqslant u(m, n)$ where

$$
u(m, n):=\frac{1}{4}\left(3+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\binom{i+m-2}{i} 4^{i}\right)
$$

## Theorem (W.)

For all $m \in \omega \backslash 2$ and all $n \in \omega \backslash 3$ we have $r\left(I_{m}, S_{n}\right) \leqslant u(m, n)$ where

$$
u(m, n):=\frac{1}{4}\left(3+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\binom{i+m-2}{i} 4^{i}\right)
$$

## Corollary

For all $m \in \omega \backslash 3$ we have $r\left(I_{m}, S_{3}\right) \leqslant m(2 m-1)$.
Corollary

$$
\text { For any } n \in \omega \backslash 3 \text { we have } r\left(I_{2}, S_{n}\right) \leqslant \frac{4^{n-1}+2}{3} \text {. }
$$



Now in colour 0000000

## Two other counterexamples



|  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $m$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 18 | 23 | 28 | 36 |  |
| 4 | 9 | 18 | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ | $\lambda$ |
| $\lambda 2$ | $\lambda 4$ | $\lambda 8$ | $\lambda 14$ | $\lambda 28$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\lambda 3$ | $\lambda 9$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ | $\omega^{2}$ |
| $\omega^{2} 2$ | $\omega^{2} 10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\omega^{3}$ | $\omega^{4}$ | $\omega^{4}$ | $\omega^{5}$ | $\omega^{5}$ | $\omega^{5}$ | $\omega^{5}$ | $\omega^{6}$ | $\omega^{2+\mid l(m) /}$ |
| $\omega^{4}$ | $\omega^{7}$ | $\omega^{7}$ | $\omega^{10}$ | $\omega^{10}$ | $\omega^{10}$ | $\omega^{10}$ |  |  |
| $\omega^{5+l}$ | $\omega^{9+2 l}$ | $\omega^{9+2 l}$ | $\omega^{13+3 l}$ | $\omega^{13+3 l}$ | $\omega^{13+3 l}$ | $\omega^{13+3 l}$ | $\omega^{17+4 l}$ | $\omega^{1+(4+l) / l \mathrm{ld}(m) \top}$ |
| $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ | $\omega^{\omega}$ |
| $\omega^{\omega^{2}}$ | $\omega^{\omega^{2}}$ | $\omega^{\omega^{2}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\kappa \lambda 2$ | $\kappa \lambda 6$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\kappa \lambda 3$ | $\kappa \lambda 15$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\kappa \lambda^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Open questions

## Question

What are $\mathcal{O}\left(r\left(I_{n}, A_{3}\right)\right)$ and $\Omega\left(r\left(I_{n}, A_{3}\right)\right)$ ?

## Remark

Proving lower bounds is often difficult.

## Example (Jeong Han Kim, 1995) $r(n, 3) \in \Theta\left(\frac{n^{2}}{\log n}\right)$

## Example (Noga Alon, 2005)

 $r(n, 3,3) \in \Theta\left(\frac{n^{3}}{\operatorname{poly} \log n}\right)$.
## Question

Assume that $r\left(\omega_{1} \omega, m\right)=\omega_{1} \omega$ for all $m<\omega$. Does it follow that $r\left(\omega_{1} \omega l, m\right)=\omega_{1} \omega r\left(I_{l}, S_{m}\right)$ for all $l, m<\omega$ ?

Results by other people 0000

## Gratitude

## Gratitude

## Thank you very much for your attention!

