

INDIRECT APPROXIMATION THEOREMS
 IN L^p -METRICS ($1 < p < \infty$)

R. TABERSKI

Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań, Poznań, Poland

1. Preliminaries

Let L^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) be the space of all 2π -periodic real-valued functions Lebesgue-integrable with p th power over the interval $(-\pi, \pi)$; the norm of $f \in L^p$ is defined by the formula

$$\|f(\cdot)\|_{L^p} = \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p}.$$

Consider functions f belonging to L^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$). Suppose that x, h are real, and set

$$\begin{aligned} A_h^k f(x) &= \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (-1)^v \binom{k}{v} f(x + (k-v)h), \\ \omega_k(\delta; f)_{L^p} &= \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \|A_h^k f(\cdot)\|_{L^p}, \end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary positive k . These quantities are called the k -th difference of f at the point x , and the k -th modulus of smoothness of this function in L^p -metrics, respectively (see [5]). Denote by $E_n(f)_{L^p}$ the constants of the best approximation of f by trigonometric polynomials

$$T_n(x) = \sum_{v=-n}^n d_v e^{ivx},$$

that is

$$E_n(f)_{L^p} = \inf_{T_n} \|f(\cdot) - T_n(\cdot)\|_{L^p}.$$

Write

$$E_n^2(f)_{L^p} = (E_n(f)_{L^p})^2 \quad \text{for positive } \varrho.$$

Suppose now that

$$(1) \quad S[f] = \sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} c_v e^{ivx} = \frac{1}{2} a_0 + \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} (a_v \cos vx + b_v \sin vx)$$

is the Fourier series of $f \in L^p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$). Put

$$f_\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x-u) \Psi_\alpha(u) du \quad \text{for } \alpha > 0,$$

where

$$\Psi_\alpha(u) = \sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} (iv)^{-\alpha} e^{ivu}, \quad (iv)^{-\alpha} = |v|^{-\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\pi i v \operatorname{sign} v\right);$$

the dash ' indicates that the term with $v = 0$ is omitted in summation. As is well known ([9], I, pp. 36, 42, 69, 90–94; II, p. 134), the convolution $f_\alpha(x)$ is Lebesgue-integrable over $(-\pi, \pi)$ and

$$S[f_\alpha] = \sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} (iv)^{-\alpha} c_v e^{ivx}.$$

The last series converges, eventually, for almost every x ; its sum

$$I_\alpha(x) = I_\alpha(x; f)$$

coincides with $f_\alpha(x)$ almost everywhere.

Given any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we define the derivative $f^{(\alpha)}(x)$ of $f \in L^p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) by the formula

$$f^{(\alpha)}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} I_{1-\alpha}(x; f),$$

provided the right-hand side exists. We set

$$f^{(\alpha+r)}(x) = (f^{(\alpha)}(x))^{(r)} = \frac{d^{r+1}}{dx^{r+1}} I_{1-\alpha}(x; f) \quad \text{if } r = 1, 2, \dots$$

For n th partial sums of the series (1) and its conjugate

$$\tilde{S}[f] = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} (a_v \sin vx - b_v \cos vx),$$

the symbols $S_n(x; f)$ and $\tilde{S}_n(x; f)$ will be used, respectively. The function conjugate to f is defined as usual:

$$\tilde{f}(x) = -\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \{f(x+t) - f(x-t)\} \cot \frac{1}{2}t dt.$$

In this paper we extend to positive k and r two indirect approximation theorems of M. F. Timan, given in [8], p. 126. We denote by $A_{\alpha, \beta, \dots}$, $B_{\alpha, \beta, \dots}$ etc., the suitable positive constants depending only on the parameters α, β, \dots shown explicitly. As in [5], $C(k)$ will mean the sum of the series

$$\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \left| \binom{k}{v} \right| \quad (k > 0).$$

2. Fundamental lemmas

In the sequel the following three auxiliary results will be needed.

LEMMA 1. Let $\gamma_k, \bar{\gamma}_k$ be the conjugate complex numbers, and let

$$\gamma_0 = 0, \quad \gamma_{-k} = \bar{\gamma}_k \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Write

$$\nabla_\mu(x) = \sum_{v=-2^{\mu-1}}^{2^\mu-1} \gamma_v e^{ivx}, \quad \nabla_{-\mu}(x) = \sum_{v=-2^{\mu-1}+1}^{-2^{\mu-1}} \gamma_v e^{ivx} \quad (\mu = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then, if $1 < p < \infty$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{v=-2^n+1}^{2^n-1} \gamma_v e^{ivx} \right|^p dx \leq A_p \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\{ \sum_{\mu=1}^n |\nabla_\mu(x) + \nabla_{-\mu}(x)|^2 \right\}^{p/2} dx.$$

Proof. By Lemma 6 of [2], p. 351, there is a system of numbers $\eta_m = \pm 1$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) such that

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^n \eta_m (\nabla_m(x) + \nabla_{-m}(x)) \right|^p \leq B_p \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^n |\nabla_m(x) + \nabla_{-m}(x)|^2 \right\}^{p/2} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

In view of the second inequality (1) of [1], p. 86,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{v=-2^n+1}^{2^n-1} \gamma_v e^{ivx} \right|^p dx &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{\mu=-n}^n \nabla_\mu(x) \right|^p dx \\ &\leq G_p \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{m=1}^n \eta_m (\nabla_m(x) + \nabla_{-m}(x)) \right|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Collecting these results, we get the desired estimate.

LEMMA 2. Suppose that $q \geq 0$, $k > 0$ and $0 < h \leq \pi/2^\mu$, where μ is a positive integer. Then,

$$\sum_{v=2^{\mu-1}}^{2^\mu-1} |v^q \sin^k v h - (v+1)^q \sin^k (v+1) h| \leq B_{q, k} \cdot 2^{\mu(q+k)} h^k.$$

Proof. The left-hand side of the last inequality does not exceed

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v=2^{\mu-1}}^{2^\mu-1} (v+1)^q |\sin^k v h - \sin^k (v+1) h| + \sum_{v=2^{\mu-1}}^{2^\mu-1} |(v^q - (v+1)^q) \sin^k v h| \\ \leq 2^{\mu q} \sum_{v=2^{\mu-1}}^{2^\mu-1} |\sin^k v h - \sin^k (v+1) h| + \sum_{v=2^{\mu-1}}^{2^\mu-1} \{(v+1)^q - v^q\} v^k h^k. \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$|\sin^k v h - \sin^k (v+1) h| \leq \begin{cases} k(v+1)^{k-1} h^{k-1} |\sin v h - \sin (v+1) h| & \text{if } k \geq 1, \\ |\sin v h - \sin (v+1) h|^k & \text{if } 0 \leq k < 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(v+1)^q - v^q \leq \begin{cases} q(v+1)^{q-1} & \text{if } q \geq 1, \\ qv^{q-1} & \text{if } 0 \leq q < 1. \end{cases}$$

Considering the cases

- | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| (a) $r \geq 1, k \geq 1$ | (b) $0 \leq r < 1, k \geq 1$, |
| (c) $r \geq 1, 0 < k < 1$, | (d) $0 \leq r < 1, 0 < k < 1$, |

we conclude our argumentation.

LEMMA 3. Let $f, f_n \in L^p$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). Suppose that, for a certain $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the functions

$$g_n(x) = I_{1-\alpha}(x; f_n) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

are absolutely continuous in $(-\pi, \pi)$ and that their derivatives

$$g'_n(x) = f_n^{(0)}(x) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

belong to L^p . Suppose further that

$$\|f_n(\cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \rightarrow 0, \quad \|g'_n(\cdot) - \varphi(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where φ is of class L^p . Then the function

$$(2) \quad g(x) = I_{1-\alpha}(x; f)$$

is equivalent to a 2π -periodic function h absolutely continuous in $(-\pi, \pi)$, such that

$$h'(x) = \varphi(x) \text{ a.e.}$$

The proof of this lemma can be found in [6], § 2 (see also [3], pp. 532–534).

3. Main results

Passing to indirect approximation theorems, let us suppose that $k > 0$ and put

$$\varrho = p \quad \text{if } 1 < p \leq 2, \quad \varrho = 2 \quad \text{if } 2 < p < \infty.$$

THEOREM 1. Consider an arbitrary $f \in L^p$ ($1 < p < \infty$). Then, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\omega_k \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; f \right)_{L^p} \leq \frac{M_{p,k}}{(n+1)^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^n (v+1)^{\varrho k-1} E_v^{\varrho}(f)_{L^p} \right\}^{1/\varrho}.$$

Proof. Evidently, we may suppose that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x) dx = 0.$$

Then, the Fourier series of f is of the form

$$S[f] = \sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} c_v e^{ivx}, \quad \text{with } c_0 = 0.$$

Let σ be a positive integer such that

$$(3) \quad 2^{\sigma-1} \leq 2n+1 < 2^\sigma.$$

Write

$$S_m(x) = S_m(x; f) = \sum_{v=-m}^m c_v e^{ivx}.$$

By Minkowski's inequality,

$$\|\Delta_h^k f(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \leq \|\Delta_h^k(f(\cdot) - S_{2^\sigma-1}(\cdot))\|_{L^p} + \|\Delta_h^k S_{2^\sigma-1}(\cdot)\|_{L^p}.$$

Applying the well-known estimate

$$(4) \quad \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x) - S_m(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq M_p E_m(f)_{L^p},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_h^k(f(\cdot) - S_{2^\sigma-1}(\cdot))\|_{L^p} &\leq C(k) \|f(\cdot) - S_{2^\sigma-1}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C(k) M_p E_{2^\sigma-1}(f)_{L^p} \leq C(k) M_p E_{2n+1}(f)_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(5) \quad \|\Delta_h^k f(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \leq C(k) M_p E_{2n+1}(f)_{L^p} + \|\Delta_h^k S_{2^\sigma-1}(\cdot)\|_{L^p}.$$

It is easily seen that

$$\Delta_h^k S_{2^\sigma-1} \left(x - \frac{k}{2} h \right) = \sum_{v=-2^\sigma+1}^{2^\sigma-1} \left(2i \sin v \frac{h}{2} \right)^k c_v e^{ivx},$$

whenever $0 < h < 2\pi/(2^\sigma-1)$ (see [5], § 2). Putting

$$\nabla_\mu(x) = \sum_{v=2^\mu-1}^{2^\mu-1} \left(2i \sin v \frac{h}{2} \right)^k c_v e^{ivx} \quad (\mu = 1, 2, \dots),$$

$$\nabla_{-\mu}(x) = \sum_{v=-2^\mu+1}^{-2^\mu+1} \left(2i \sin v \frac{h}{2} \right)^k c_v e^{ivx} \quad (\mu = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and applying Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \Delta_h^k S_{2^\sigma-1} \left(x - \frac{k}{2} h \right) \right|^p dx \leq A_p \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{\sigma} |\nabla_\mu(x) + \nabla_{-\mu}(x)|^2 \right)^{p/2} dx.$$

Consequently,

$$\|\Delta_h^k S_{2^\sigma-1}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \leq A_p^{1/p} \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\sum_{\mu=1}^{\sigma} |\nabla_\mu(x) + \nabla_{-\mu}(x)|^2 \right)^{p/2} dx \right\}^{1/p}.$$

If $1 < p \leq 2$, the last inequality leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_h^k S_{2^{\mu}-1}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} &\leq A_p^{1/p} \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\sigma} |\nabla_{\mu}(x) + \nabla_{-\mu}(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &= A_p^{1/p} \left[\sum_{\mu=1}^{\sigma} \|\nabla_{\mu}(x) + \nabla_{-\mu}(x)\|_{L^p}^p \right]^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

If $2 < p < \infty$, the Minkowski inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_h^k S_{2^{\mu}-1}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} &\leq A_p^{1/p} \left\{ \sum_{\mu=1}^{\sigma} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\nabla_{\mu}(x) + \nabla_{-\mu}(x)|^p dx \right)^{2/p} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= A_p^{1/p} \left[\sum_{\mu=1}^{\sigma} \|\nabla_{\mu}(\cdot) + \nabla_{-\mu}(\cdot)\|_{L^p}^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mu}(x) + \nabla_{-\mu}(x) &= \sum_{\nu=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-1} \left(2 \sin \nu \frac{h}{2} \right)^k (c_{\nu} e^{i(\nu x + k\pi/2)} + \bar{c}_{\nu} e^{-i(\nu x + k\pi/2)}) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-1} \left(2 \sin \nu \frac{h}{2} \right)^k \cdot 2 \operatorname{Re}(c_{\nu} e^{i(\nu x + k\pi/2)}), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$2^{-k} \|\nabla_{\mu}(\cdot) + \nabla_{-\mu}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} = \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{\nu=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-1} \left(\sin \nu \frac{h}{2} \right)^k U_{\nu}(x) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p},$$

where

$$U_{\nu}(x) = 2 \operatorname{Re}(c_{\nu} e^{ix} \cdot e^{ik\pi/2}).$$

By the Abel transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\nu=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-1} \left(\sin \nu \frac{h}{2} \right)^k U_{\nu}(x) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-2} \left\{ \sin^k \nu \frac{h}{2} - \sin^k (\nu+1) \frac{h}{2} \right\} \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{\nu} U_l(x) + \sin^k (2^{\mu}-1) \frac{h}{2} \cdot \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-1} U_l(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-k} \|\nabla_{\mu}(\cdot) + \nabla_{-\mu}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} &\leq \sum_{\nu=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-2} \left| \sin^k \nu \frac{h}{2} - \sin^k (\nu+1) \frac{h}{2} \right| \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{\nu} U_l(x) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} + \\ &\quad + \left| \sin^k (2^{\mu}-1) \frac{h}{2} \right| \cdot \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-1} U_l(x) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $2^{\mu-1} \leq \nu \leq 2^{\mu}-1$ ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots$),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{\nu} U_l(x) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &= 2 \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \operatorname{Re} \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{\nu} c_l e^{ilx} \cos k \frac{\pi}{2} - \operatorname{Im} \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{\nu} c_l e^{ilx} \sin k \frac{\pi}{2} \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2 \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \operatorname{Re} \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{\nu} c_l e^{ilx} \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} + 2 \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \operatorname{Im} \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{\nu} c_l e^{ilx} \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{\nu} c_l e^{ilx} &= \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{\nu} (a_l \cos lx + b_l \sin lx) = S_{\nu}(x; f) - S_{2^{\mu}-1-1}(x; f), \\ 2 \operatorname{Im} \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{\nu} c_l e^{ilx} &= \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{\nu} (a_l \sin lx - b_l \cos lx) = \tilde{S}_{\nu}(x; f) - \tilde{S}_{2^{\mu}-1-1}(x; f). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{\nu} U_l(x) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} &\leq \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| S_{\nu}(x; f) - S_{2^{\mu}-1-1}(x; f) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} + \\ &\quad + \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \tilde{S}_{\nu}(x; f) - \tilde{S}_{2^{\mu}-1-1}(x; f) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} = G + H. \end{aligned}$$

By inequality (4) and the well-known theorem of M. Riesz ([9], p. 253),

$$\begin{aligned} G &\leq \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |S_{\nu}(x; f) - f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} + \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |S_{2^{\mu}-1-1}(x; f) - f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq M_p E_p(f)_{L^p} + M_p E_{2^{\mu}-1-1}(f)_{L^p} \leq 2M_p E_{2^{\mu}-1-1}(f)_{L^p}, \\ H &\leq \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\tilde{S}_{\nu}(x; f) - f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} + \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\tilde{S}_{2^{\mu}-1-1}(x; f) - f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq Q_p \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |S_{\nu}(x; f) - f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} + Q_p \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |S_{2^{\mu}-1-1}(x; f) - f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2Q_p M_p E_{2^{\mu}-1-1}(f)_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(6) \quad \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{\nu} U_l(x) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq N_p E_{2^{\mu}-1-1}(f)_{L^p} \quad (2^{\mu-1} \leq \nu < 2^{\mu}-1).$$

Applying Lemma 2, we obtain

$$2^{-k} \|\nabla_\mu(\cdot) + \nabla_{-\mu}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \leq N_p E_{2^{\mu-1}-1}(f)_{L^p} \left\{ B_{0,k} \cdot 2^{\mu k} \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^k + (2^\mu - 1)^k \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^k \right\},$$

i.e.

$$\|\nabla_\mu(\cdot) + \nabla_{-\mu}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \leq N_p (B_{0,k} + 1) h^k \cdot 2^{\mu k} E_{2^{\mu-1}-1}(f)_{L^p} \quad (\mu = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Hence

$$\|\Delta_h^k S_{2^{\sigma-1}}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \leq A_{p,\sigma}^{1/p} \cdot N_p (B_{0,k} + 1) h^k \left\{ \sum_{\mu=1}^{\sigma} 2^{\mu k} E_{2^{\mu-1}-1}^{\sigma}(f)_{L^p} \right\}^{1/q}.$$

It can easily be observed that

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{\sigma} 2^{\mu k} E_{2^{\mu-1}-1}^{\sigma}(f)_{L^p} \leq 2^{\sigma k} E_{2^{\sigma-1}}^{\sigma}(f)_{L^p} + C_{0,k} \sum_{\mu=2}^{\sigma} \sum_{\nu=2^{\mu-2}}^{2^{\mu-1}-1} \nu^{\sigma-1} E_{\nu}^{\sigma}(f)_{L^p}.$$

This implies

$$(7) \quad \|\Delta_h^k S_{2^{\sigma-1}}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \leq D_{p,\sigma,k} h^k \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{\sigma-1}-1} (\nu+1)^{\sigma-1} E_{\nu}^{\sigma}(f)_{L^p} \right\}^{1/q},$$

provided $0 < h \leq 2\pi/2^\sigma$.

Further, by Theorem 6 of [5] and the estimates (5), (7),

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_k \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; f \right)_{L^p} &= \omega_k \left(\frac{2\pi}{2n+2}; f \right)_{L^p} \leq \omega_k \left(\frac{2\pi}{2n+1}; f \right)_{L^p} \\ &\leq \omega_k \left(\frac{2\pi}{2^{\sigma-1}}; f \right)_{L^p} \leq C(k) \omega_k \left(\frac{2\pi}{2^\sigma}; f \right)_{L^p} = C(k) \cdot \sup_{0 < h \leq \frac{2\pi}{2^\sigma}} \|\Delta_h^k f(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C^2(k) M_p E_{2n+1}(f)_{L^p} + C(k) \cdot \sup_{0 < h \leq \frac{2\pi}{2^\sigma}} \|\Delta_h^k S_{2^{\sigma-1}}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \\ &\leq C^2(k) M_p E_{2n+1}(f)_{L^p} + C(k) D_{p,\sigma,k} \left(\frac{2\pi}{2^\sigma} \right)^k \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{2^{\sigma-1}-1} (\nu+1)^{\sigma-1} E_{\nu}^{\sigma}(f)_{L^p} \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\leq C^2(k) M_p E_{2n+1}(f)_{L^p} + C(k) D_{p,\sigma,k} \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)^k \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{2n} (\nu+1)^{\sigma-1} E_{\nu}^{\sigma}(f)_{L^p} \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=0}^{2n} (\nu+1)^{\sigma-1} E_{\nu}^{\sigma}(f)_{L^p} &= \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^n + \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{2n} \right) (\nu+1)^{\sigma-1} E_{\nu}^{\sigma}(f)_{L^p} \\ &\leq \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu+1)^{\sigma-1} E_{\nu}^{\sigma}(f)_{L^p} + E_{n+1}^{\sigma}(f)_{L^p} \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{2n} (\nu+1)^{\sigma-1} \\ &\leq G_{p,\sigma} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu+1)^{\sigma-1} E_{\nu}^{\sigma}(f)_{L^p} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$E_{2n+1}(f)_{L^p} \leq \frac{H_{0,k}}{(n+1)^k} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu+1)^{\sigma-1} E_{\nu}^{\sigma}(f)_{L^p} \right\}^{1/q}.$$

Thus the assertion follows.

THEOREM 2. Let, for some positive p and α ($1 < p < \infty, 0 < \alpha < 1$), the function f be of class L^p and

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu^{\alpha-1} E_{\nu}(f)_{L^p} < \infty.$$

Suppose that the function g defined by (2) is continuous in the interval $(-\pi, \pi)$. Then g is absolutely continuous in $(-\pi, \pi)$ and its derivative $g' = f^{(\alpha)}$ (existing almost everywhere) is of class L^p . Moreover, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_k \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; f^{(\alpha)} \right)_{L^p} &\leq M_{p,k,\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{\alpha-1} E_{\nu}(f)_{L^p} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{(n+1)^k} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu+1)^{\alpha+k-1} E_{\nu}^{\alpha}(f)_{L^p} \right)^{1/q} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider the positive integer σ satisfying condition (3). Retain the symbol $S_m(x)$ used above.

By the inequality of Bernstein–Civin type ([5], § 2), for $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{2^{\nu-1}}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - S_{2^{\nu-1}-1}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} &\leq 2 \cdot (2^\nu - 1)^\alpha \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |S_{2^{\nu-1}}(x) - S_{2^{\nu-1}-1}(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2 \cdot 2^{\nu\alpha} \left\{ \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |S_{2^{\nu-1}}(x) - f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} + \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(x) - S_{2^{\nu-1}-1}(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \right\} \\ &\leq 2 \cdot 2^{\nu\alpha} \{ M_p E_{2^{\nu-1}}(f)_{L^p} + M_p E_{2^{\nu-1}-1}(f)_{L^p} \} \leq 4M_p \cdot 2^{\nu\alpha} E_{2^{\nu-1}-1}(f)_{L^p}; \end{aligned}$$

whence, if $l > j \geq 0$,

$$\|S_{2^l-1}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - S_{2^j-1}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \leq 4M_p \cdot \sum_{\nu=j+1}^l 2^{\nu\alpha} E_{2^{\nu-1}-1}(f)_{L^p} \leq 4M_p C_\alpha \sum_{\nu=2^{l-1}}^{2^{l-1}-1} \nu^{\alpha-1} E_{\nu}(f)_{L^p}.$$

Thus the sequence $\{S_{2^l-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)\}$ satisfies the Cauchy condition in L^p -metric. Consequently, there is a function $\varphi \in L^p$, such that

$$\|S_{2^l-1}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - \varphi(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also

$$\|S_{2^l-1}(\cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \leq M_p E_{2^l-1}(f)_{L^p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3, the function g is absolutely continuous in the interval $(-\pi, \pi)$ and $g'(x) = \varphi(x)$ a.e.

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_k\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; f^{(x)}\right)_{L^p} &= \omega_k\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; g'\right)_{L^p} = \omega_k\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; \varphi\right)_{L^p} \\ &\leq \omega_k\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; \varphi - S_{2^{\sigma}-1}^{(\alpha)}\right)_{L^p} + \omega_k\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; S_{2^{\sigma}-1}^{(\alpha)}\right)_{L^p} \\ &\quad \text{if } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

It can easily be observed that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_k\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; \varphi - S_{2^{\sigma}-1}^{(\alpha)}\right)_{L^p} &\leq C(k) \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\varphi(x) - S_{2^{\sigma}-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq C(k) \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \varphi(x) - \sum_{v=1}^{\sigma} (S_{2^v-1}^{(\alpha)}(x) - S_{2^{v-1}-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq C(k) \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \varphi(x) - \sum_{v=1}^{\sigma+\tau} (S_{2^v-1}^{(\alpha)}(x) - S_{2^{v-1}-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} + \\ &\quad + C(k) \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{v=\sigma+1}^{\sigma+\tau} (S_{2^v-1}^{(\alpha)}(x) - S_{2^{v-1}-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\quad \text{for } \tau = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\tau \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_k\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; \varphi - S_{2^{\sigma}-1}^{(\alpha)}\right)_{L^p} &\leq C(k) \sum_{v=\sigma+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |S_{2^{v-1}}^{(\alpha)}(x) - S_{2^{v-1}-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\leq C(k) \sum_{v=\sigma+1}^{\infty} 4M_p \cdot 2^{\alpha} E_{2^{v-1}-1}(f)_{L^p} \\ &\leq 4C(k) M_p C_{\alpha} \sum_{v=n+1}^{\infty} v^{\alpha-1} E_v(f)_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1,

$$\left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |\Delta_h^k S_{2^{\sigma}-1}^{(\alpha)}(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq A_p^{1/p} \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\sum_{\mu=1}^{\sigma} |\nabla_{\mu}(x) + \nabla_{-\mu}(x)|^2)^{p/2} dx \right\}^{1/p},$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mu}(x) &= \sum_{v=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-1} (iv)^{\alpha} \left(2i \sin v \frac{h}{2} \right)^k c_v e^{ivx}; \\ \nabla_{-\mu}(x) &= \sum_{v=-2^{\mu}+1}^{-2^{\mu}-1} (iv)^{\alpha} \left(2i \sin v \frac{h}{2} \right)^k c_v e^{ivx}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mu}(x) + \nabla_{-\mu}(x) &= \sum_{v=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-1} v^{\alpha} \left(2 \sin v \frac{h}{2} \right)^k (c_v e^{i(vx+(x+k)\pi/2)} + \bar{c}_v e^{-i(vx+(x+k)\pi/2)}), \\ &= \sum_{v=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-1} v^{\alpha} \left(2 \sin v \frac{h}{2} \right)^k \cdot 2 \operatorname{Re}(c_v e^{i(vx+(x+k)\pi/2)}), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$2^{-k} \|\nabla_{\mu}(\cdot) + \nabla_{-\mu}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} = \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{v=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-1} v^{\alpha} \left(\sin v \frac{h}{2} \right)^k V_v(x) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p},$$

where

$$V_v(x) = 2 \operatorname{Re}(c_v e^{ivx} \cdot e^{i(x+k)\pi/2}).$$

The Abel transformation and Minkowski's inequality give

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-k} \|\nabla_{\mu}(\cdot) + \nabla_{-\mu}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} &\leq \sum_{v=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-2} \left| v^{\alpha} \sin^k v \frac{h}{2} - (v+1)^{\alpha} \sin^k (v+1) \frac{h}{2} \right| \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^v V_l(x) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} + \\ &\quad + \left| (2^{\mu}-1)^{\alpha} \sin^k (2^{\mu}-1) \frac{h}{2} \right| \left\{ \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{l=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-1} V_l(x) \right|^p dx \right\}^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Estimate (6) remains valid for $V_l(x)$, too. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-k} \|\nabla_{\mu}(\cdot) + \nabla_{-\mu}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} &\leq N_p \left\{ \sum_{v=2^{\mu}-1}^{2^{\mu}-2} \left| v^{\alpha} \sin^k v \frac{h}{2} - (v+1)^{\alpha} \sin^k (v+1) \frac{h}{2} \right| + \left| (2^{\mu}-1)^{\alpha} \sin^k (2^{\mu}-1) \frac{h}{2} \right| \right\} E_{2^{\mu}-1-1}(f)_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2, we get

$$2^{-k} \|\nabla_{\mu}(\cdot) + \nabla_{-\mu}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \leq N_p \left\{ B_{\alpha, k} \cdot 2^{\mu(\alpha+k)} \left(\frac{h}{2} \right)^k + 2^{\mu(\alpha+k)} \left(\frac{h}{2} \right)^k \right\} E_{2^{\mu}-1-1}(f)_{L^p},$$

if $0 < h \leq 2\pi/2^{\sigma}$ ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma$). Consequently,

$$\|\Delta_h^k S_{2^{\sigma}-1}^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)\|_{L^p} \leq A_p^{1/p} N_p (B_{\alpha, k} + 1) h^k \left\{ \sum_{\mu=1}^{\sigma} 2^{\mu(\alpha+k)} E_{2^{\mu}-1-1}^{\theta}(f)_{L^p} \right\}^{1/\theta}.$$

Proceeding further as in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\omega_k\left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; S_{2^{\sigma}-1}^{(\alpha)}\right)_{L^p} \leq \frac{L_{p, k, \alpha}}{(n+1)^k} \left\{ \sum_{v=0}^n (v+1)^{\theta(\alpha+k)-1} E_v^{\theta}(f)_{L^p} \right\}^{1/\theta},$$

and the desired result is now evident.

Analogously, the following related theorem can easily be deduced (cf. [7], pp. 346–348, 352–353; [8], pp. 126–130).

THEOREM 3. Suppose that $f \in L^p$ ($1 < p < \infty$) and that

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{r-1} E_v(f)_{L^p} < \infty,$$

for some positive integer r . Then

$$f(x) = \lambda(x) \quad \text{for almost every } x \in (-\infty, \infty),$$

where λ is a function having the derivatives $\lambda^{(j)}$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$) absolutely continuous in $(-\pi, \pi)$. Moreover, the derivative $\lambda^{(r)}$ (existing almost everywhere) belongs to L^p and, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_k \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; \lambda^{(r)} \right)_{L^p} \\ & \leq C_{p,k,r} \left\{ \sum_{v=n+1}^{\infty} v^{r-1} E_v(f)_{L^p} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^k} \left(\sum_{v=0}^n (v+1)^{p(k+r)-1} E_v^p(f)_{L^p} \right)^{1/p} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we shall present the following

THEOREM 4. Consider positive p, α, r ($1 < p < \infty, 0 < \alpha < 1, r$ is integer) and a continuous function f of period 2π , such that

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\alpha+r-1} E_v(f)_{L^p} < \infty.$$

Then f is absolutely continuous in $(-\pi, \pi)$ and the function g defined by (2) possesses the derivatives

$$g' = f^{(\alpha)}, \dots, g^{(r)} = f^{(\alpha+r-1)},$$

absolutely continuous in $(-\pi, \pi)$. Moreover,

$$g^{(r+1)} = f^{(\alpha+r)}$$

is of class L^p and, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_k \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; f^{(\alpha+r)} \right)_{L^p} \\ & \leq Q_{p,k,r,\alpha} \left\{ \sum_{v=n+1}^{\infty} v^{\alpha+r-1} E_v(f)_{L^p} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^k} \left(\sum_{v=0}^n (v+1)^{p(k+\alpha+r)-1} E_v^p(f)_{L^p} \right)^{1/p} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 3, the function f is absolutely continuous in $(-\pi, \pi)$, whence the function g is continuous therein for every positive $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

Applying the inequalities

$$(8) \quad E_v(g)_{L^p} \leq \frac{H_\alpha}{(v+1)^{1-\alpha}} E_v(f)_{L^p} \quad \text{for } v = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(see [4], § 4), we obtain

$$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{r-1} E_v(g)_{L^p} \leq H_\alpha \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} v^{\alpha+r-1} E_v(f)_{L^p} < \infty.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3, the function g possesses the derivatives

$$g', \dots, g^{(r)}$$

absolutely continuous in $(-\pi, \pi)$ and $g^{(r+1)} \in L^p$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_k \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; g^{(r+1)} \right)_{L^p} \\ & \leq M_{p,k,r} \left\{ \sum_{v=n+1}^{\infty} v^r E_v(g)_{L^p} + \frac{1}{(n+1)^k} \left(\sum_{v=0}^n (v+1)^{p(k+r+1)-1} E_v^p(g)_{L^p} \right)^{1/p} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, the inequalities (8) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_k \left(\frac{\pi}{n+1}; f^{(\alpha+r)} \right)_{L^p} \\ & \leq M_{p,k,r} \left\{ \sum_{v=n+1}^{\infty} v^r \frac{H_\alpha}{v^{1-\alpha}} E_v(f)_{L^p} + \frac{H_\alpha}{(n+1)^k} \left(\sum_{v=0}^n (v+1)^{p(k+r+1)-1} \frac{E_v^p(f)_{L^p}}{(v+1)^{1-\alpha}} \right)^{1/p} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed.

References

- [1] J. E. Littlewood, R. E. A. C. Paley, *Theorems on Fourier series and power series II*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 42 (1937), pp. 52–89.
- [2] R. E. A. C. Paley and A. Zygmund, *On some series of functions*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 26 (1930), pp. 337–357.
- [3] E. S. Q u a d e, *Trigonometric approximation in the mean*, Duke Math. Journal 3 (1937), pp. 529–543.
- [4] R. Taberski, *Approximation of functions possessing derivatives of positive orders*, Ann. Polon. Math. 34 (1977), pp. 13–23.
- [5] —, *Differences, moduli and derivatives of positive orders*, Comment. Math. 19 (1977), pp. 389–400.
- [6] —, *Two indirect approximation theorems*, Demonstratio Math. 9 (1976), pp. 243–255.
- [7] [A. F. T iman] A. F. Т и м а н, *Теория приближения функций действительного переменного*, Москва 1960.
- [8] [M. F. T iman] M. F. Т и м а н, *Обратные теоремы конструктивной теории функций в пространствах L_p* ($1 < p < \infty$), Мат. Сборник 46 (1958), 125–132.
- [9] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series, I, II*, Cambridge 1959.

Presented to the Semester
Approximation Theory
September 17–December 17, 1975