

ON UNCONDITIONAL CONVERGENCE OF HAAR SERIES

G. TKEBUCHAVA

University of Tbilisi, Institute of Applied Mathematics, Tbilisi, U.S.S.R.

The following result of A. Pełczyński [10] is well known: there are no unconditional bases in the space $L(0, 1)$. In particular, the Haar system is not an unconditional basis in the space $L(0, 1)$. Moreover, as was shown by V. F. Gaposhkin [4], [5], the Haar system is an unconditional basis in an Orlicz space if and only if it is reflexive (for the sufficiency of the theorem see also [3]). According to the results of A. M. Olevski [8], reflexivity is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an unconditional basis in an Orlicz space. P. L. Uljanov [13] and M. B. Petrovskaja [9] considered the question under what conditions the function f has its Fourier-Haar series unconditionally convergent in the metric of the space $L(0, 1)$. Analogical questions about the unconditional convergence in classes of spaces, containing in particular the spaces $L\ln^\alpha(0, 1)$ ($\alpha > 0$), have been considered in [8], [11], and [12]. In this paper we consider necessary conditions for the unconditional convergence of multidimensional Fourier-Haar series in certain nonreflexive spaces of functions.

Let R^n be the n -dimensional real Euclidean space of points $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $I^n = [0, 1; \dots; 0, 1]$ the n -dimensional unit cube, and $N^n \subset R^n$ the subset of positive integer points $\bar{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ and $|\bar{m}| = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i$.

Moreover, we use below the following notation; $r\bar{m} = (rm_1, \dots, rm_n)$, $\bar{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$, $\{a_{\bar{m}}\}_{\bar{m}}^\infty \equiv \{a_{m_1, \dots, m_n}\}_{m_1, \dots, m_n=1}^\infty$, $\sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\bar{p}} (\cdot) = \sum_{m_1=1}^{p_1} \dots \sum_{m_n=1}^{p_n} (\cdot)$.

The convergence $\bar{M} \rightarrow \infty$ is understood in the sense of Pringsheim, i.e. it is equivalent to $M_i \rightarrow \infty$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $\Phi(L)$ denotes the class of measurable functions $f(\bar{x})$ on the cube I^n for which $\int_{I^n} \Phi(f(\bar{x})) d\bar{x} < \infty$. In the sequel, $L_\Phi^* \equiv L_\Phi^*(I^n)$ denotes a Banach space of functions defined on the cube I^n which is generated by N -functions with Φ as their principal part (for details cf. [7]). We assume that the function Φ considered below satisfies condition Δ_2 (i.e. $\Phi(2u) < C\Phi(u)$ for $u \geq u_0$). This condition is necessary and sufficient for the Orlicz space L_Φ^* to be separable.

In the sequel the following notation will be used: C —absolute positive constants, $\lg u \equiv \lg_2 u$,

$$\Delta^k(p(m)) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} p(m+j), \quad \Delta(p(m)) = \Delta^1(p(m)),$$

$$\Delta_i(p(\bar{m})) = p(\bar{m}) - p(\bar{m}_{(i)}), \quad \bar{m}_{(i)} = (m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_i+1, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n),$$

$$\Delta_{j_1, \dots, j_k}(\varrho(\bar{m})) = \Delta_{j_k}(\Delta_{j_{k-1}} \dots \Delta_{j_1}(\varrho(\bar{m}))),$$

$$\varrho(\bar{m}) = 2^{-|\bar{m}|} \Phi(2^{|\bar{m}|}) \quad \forall \bar{m} \in N^n \text{ (the function } \Phi \text{ is defined below),}$$

$$b_{\bar{m}} = |\bar{m}|^{-n} \varrho^{-1}(\bar{m}).^*$$

DEFINITION 1. Let B be a Banach space and let A be a subset of B . The sequence $\{x_{\bar{m}}\}_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty}$ in the space B is called an (unconditional) basis of A in the norm of the space B if for every $x \in A$ there exists a unique sequence of scalars $\{a_{\bar{m}}\}_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty}$ such that the series $\sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} a_{\bar{m}} x_{\bar{m}}$ (unconditionally) converges to x .

DEFINITION 2. The function Φ satisfies condition (*) iff Φ is an N -function and the following conditions hold:

$$(1) \quad 0 \leq \varrho(m) \Delta^k(m^{-n} \varrho^{-1}(m)) \leq C m^{-n-k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

LEMMA 1. Let the function Φ satisfy condition (*). Set

$$(2) \quad \Psi(u) = \begin{cases} |u| \left(\int_1^{|u|} \Phi(t) t^{-2} dt \right) \ln^{n-1}(|u|+2) & \text{for } |u| > 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } |u| \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then the following inequalities hold:

$$(3) \quad \Phi(u^2) \leq C |u| \Phi(u),$$

$$(4) \quad \Phi(u) \leq C |u| (\lg(|u|+2))^C,$$

$$(5) \quad C^{-1} \Phi(u) (\lg^+ |u|)^n \leq \Psi(u) \leq C \Phi(u) (\lg^+ |u|)^n,$$

$$(6) \quad \varrho(u+v) \leq C(\varrho(u) + \varrho(v)).$$

Proof. It follows from Definition 2 for $k=1$ that

$$\Phi(2^{m+1}) \leq 2(1 + C m^{-1}) \Phi(2^m),$$

whence for large $|u|$,

$$\Phi(u^2) \leq$$

$$2^{2+[\lg |u|]} \left(1 + \frac{C}{2^{[\lg |u|]+1}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{C}{2^{[\lg |u|]}} \right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{C}{2^{[\lg |u|]}} \right) \Phi(2^{[\lg |u|]}) \leq C |u| \Phi(u),$$

and this implies (3).

* Here and later on $\varrho^{-1}(\bar{m}) = 1/\varrho(\bar{m})$.

According to the results of P. L. Uljanov ([14], p. 664) (3) implies (4). Since, for $u > 1$,

$$\Psi(u) \geq u \left(\int_{\sqrt{u}}^u \Phi(t) t^{-2} dt \right) \ln^{n-1} u \geq (2C)^{-1} \Phi(u) \ln^n u,$$

it follows that the left-hand inequality in (5) holds. Since the function $u^{-1} \Phi(u)$ is monotone for $u > 1$, the right-hand inequality in (5) holds, too.

Finally, let $m_1 \geq m_2$; then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(2^{m_1+m_2}) &\leq 2^{m_2} \left(1 + \frac{C}{m_1+m_2-1} \right) \dots \left(1 + \frac{C}{m_1} \right) \Phi(2^{m_1}) \\ &\leq 2^{m_2} \left(1 + \frac{C}{m_1} \right)^{m_2} \Phi(2^{m_1}) \leq C 2^{m_2} \Phi(2^{m_1}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying this estimation, we obtain (6).

Let $\{\chi_m(x)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ denote the Haar system on the interval $I^1 = [0, 1]$ defined as follows (cf. [13], pp. 54–55):

$\chi_1(x) = 1$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, and

$$\chi_m(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2^p} & \text{for } \frac{2k-2}{2^{p+1}} < x < \frac{2k-1}{2^{p+1}}, \\ -\sqrt{2^p} & \text{for } \frac{2k-1}{2^{p+1}} < x < \frac{2k}{2^{p+1}}, \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere in } I^1 \end{cases}$$

for $m = 2^p + k$, $p = 0, 1, \dots$, $k = 1, \dots, 2^p$. Let $\{\chi_{\bar{m}}(\bar{x})\}_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty}$ denote the Haar system defined on I^n , where $\chi_{\bar{m}}(\bar{x}) = \chi_{m_1}(x_1) \dots \chi_{m_n}(x_n)$.

We shall use below the following facts:

$$(7) \quad \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} |\bar{m}|^{-n} = \infty,$$

$$(8) \quad \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} |\bar{m}|^{-n-\alpha} < \infty \quad \forall \alpha > 0.$$

It follows directly from (7) that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} b_{\bar{m}} \varrho(|\bar{m}|) = \infty$$

and (1), (3), (6) imply that

$$(10) \quad \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} \Delta(b_{\bar{m}}) \varrho(|\bar{m}|) = \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} \Delta^n(|\bar{m}|^{-n} \varrho^{-1}(\bar{m})) \varrho(|\bar{m}|) \leq C \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} |\bar{m}|^{-2n} < \infty.$$

Let $\varepsilon(t)$ be such a function that $\varepsilon(t) \downarrow 0$ while $t \rightarrow \infty$, $0 < \varepsilon(t) < 1$ and let $\{l_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ ($l_0 = 0$) be an increasing sequence of integers satisfying some conditions specified below.

Let us define the following sets:

$$(11) \quad V_k = \{\bar{m}: l_k + 1 \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} m_i \leq l_{k+1}\}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(12) \quad V_k^* = \{\bar{m}: l_k + 1 \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} m_i \leq l_{k+1}\}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(13) \quad S_k = V_k \setminus V_k^*, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(14) \quad W_k = \{\bar{m}: l_k + 1 \leq m_i \leq l_{k+1}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

In addition, we set

$$(15) \quad D_k = \sum_{\bar{m} \in W_k} |\bar{m}|^{-n}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(16) \quad A_k = \sum_{\bar{m} \in V_k} |\bar{m}|^{-n}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(17) \quad a_{\bar{m}} = \begin{cases} A_k^{-1} \varrho_k^{-1}(|\bar{m}|) |\bar{m}|^{-n} & \text{for } \bar{m} \in V_k, k \geq 1, \\ |\bar{m}|^{-n} & \text{for } \bar{m} \in V_0. \end{cases}$$

Let us note that

$$(18) \quad a_{\bar{m}} = \begin{cases} b_{\bar{m}} A_k^{-1} & \text{for } \bar{m} \in V_k, k \geq 1, \\ |\bar{m}|^{-n} & \text{for } \bar{m} \in V_0. \end{cases}$$

Since

$$(19) \quad \Delta_{j_1, \dots, j_k}(b_{\bar{m}}) \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n,$$

we have

$$(20) \quad \Delta_{j_1, \dots, j_k}(a_{\bar{m}}) \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n.$$

In view of $\varepsilon(t) \downarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, using (7) we can choose a sequence $\{l_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in such a way that the conditions introduced below are fulfilled for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$(21) \quad \varepsilon(|\bar{m}|) < k^{-2}, \quad \text{for } |\bar{m}| > l_k,$$

$$(22) \quad D_k > k^2,$$

$$(23) \quad D_{k+1} > 4D_k,$$

$$(24) \quad D_k > \frac{1}{2} A_k,$$

$$(25) \quad A_{k+1} > A_k.$$

Consequently, the following inequalities hold:

$$(26) \quad \sum_{\bar{m} \in V_k} |\bar{m}|^{-n} \varepsilon(|\bar{m}|) < A_k \cdot k^{-2}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

LEMMA 2. Let Φ be a function satisfying condition $(*)$ and let $\varepsilon(t) \downarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, $0 < \varepsilon(t) < 1$. Then the sequence $\{a_{\bar{m}}\}_{\bar{m}=\overline{1}}$, constructed according to (18), (21)–(25),

is decreasing for every m_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), tends to 0, and satisfies the inequalities

$$(27) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{m} \in W_k} a_{\bar{m}} \varrho(|\bar{m}|) = \infty,$$

$$(28) \quad \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{j_1, \dots, j_k}(a_{\bar{m}}) \varrho(|\bar{m}|) < \infty, \quad 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n,$$

$$(29) \quad \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} 2^{-|\bar{m}|} (\ln^+ (2^{|\bar{m}|} \Delta(a_{\bar{m}})))^n \varepsilon(2^{|\bar{m}|} \Delta(a_{\bar{m}})) \Phi(2^{|\bar{m}|} \Delta(a_{\bar{m}})) < \infty.$$

Proof. (27) follows immediately from (16) and (24)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{m} \in W_k} a_{\bar{m}} \varrho(|\bar{m}|) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k A_k^{-1} \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} = \infty.$$

The proof of (28) runs as follows:

$$(30) \quad \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{j_1, \dots, j_k}(a_{\bar{m}}) \varrho(|\bar{m}|) = \sum_{\bar{m} \in V_0} + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{m} \in V_p^*} + \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{m} \in S_p} \equiv \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3.$$

It follows from (1), (8), (12) and (16) that for $1 \leq k \leq n$

$$(31) \quad \Delta_2 \leq C \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} A_p^{-1} \sum_{\bar{m} \in V_p^*} |\bar{m}|^{-n-k} \leq C \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} |\bar{m}|^{-n-k} < \infty.$$

Since for $\bar{m} \in S_p$

$$\Delta_{j_1, \dots, j_k}(a_{\bar{m}}) = A_p^{-1} b_{\bar{m}},$$

and moreover,

$$\sum_{\bar{m} \in S_p} |\bar{m}|^{-n} < \infty \quad (\forall p \text{ uniformly}),$$

we obtain, by (22) and by the fact that $D_k < A_k$,

$$(32) \quad \Delta_3 \leq \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} A_p^{-1} \sum_{\bar{m} \in S_p} |\bar{m}|^{-n} < \infty.$$

Δ_1 is finite, and so by (30)–(32) we have (28).

To prove (29) we set

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_k^{(1)} &\equiv \{\bar{m}: \bar{m} \in V_k, 1 \leq 2^{|\bar{m}|} \Delta(a_{\bar{m}}) \leq |\bar{m}|\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \tau_k^{(2)} &\equiv \{\bar{m}: \bar{m} \in V_k^*, 2^{|\bar{m}|} \Delta(a_{\bar{m}}) > |\bar{m}|\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \\ \tau_k^{(3)} &\equiv \{\bar{m}: \bar{m} \in S_k, 2^{|\bar{m}|} \Delta(a_{\bar{m}}) > |\bar{m}|\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Now we have the following decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} 2^{-|\bar{m}|} \Phi(2^{|\bar{m}|} \Delta(a_{\bar{m}})) \varepsilon(2^{|\bar{m}|} \Delta(a_{\bar{m}})) \left(\ln + (2^{|\bar{m}|} \Delta(a_{\bar{m}})) \right)^n \\ = \sum_{\bar{m} \in V_0} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{m} \in \tau_k^{(1)}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{m} \in \tau_k^{(2)}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{m} \in \tau_k^{(3)}} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Pi_i. \end{aligned}$$

According to (4) and the properties of the function $\varepsilon(t)$ we have

$$\Pi_2 \leq \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} 2^{-|\bar{m}|} \ln^n |\bar{m}| \Phi(|\bar{m}|) < \infty.$$

Further, by (1) and (26) we get

$$\Pi_3 \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k^{-1} \sum_{\bar{m} \in V_k} \varepsilon(|\bar{m}|) \Delta(b_{\bar{m}}) \varrho(|\bar{m}|) |\bar{m}|^n \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k^{-1} \sum_{\bar{m} \in V_k} |\bar{m}|^{-n} \varepsilon(|\bar{m}|) < \infty.$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_4 \leq 2^n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{m_1=1}^{l_k-1} \dots \sum_{m_p=1}^{l_k-1} \right) \Delta(a_{m_1, \dots, m_p, l_k, \dots, l_k}) \times \\ \times \left(\sum_{i=1}^p m_i + l_k(n-p) \right)^n \cdot \varrho \left(\sum_{i=1}^p m_i + l_k(n-p) \right) \\ \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k^{-1} \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{m_1=1}^{l_k-1} \dots \sum_{m_p=1}^{l_k-1} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p m_i + l_k(n-p) \right) \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k^{-1} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and this completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Let $\{c_{\bar{m}}\}$ denote either $\{a_{\bar{m}}\}$ or $\{b_{\bar{m}}\}$, and let us set, for a positive integer r ,

$$\begin{aligned} T(c_{r\bar{p}}) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n} \left(\sum_{m_{j_1}=1}^{p_{j_1}-1} \dots \sum_{m_{j_k}=1}^{p_{j_k}-1} \right) 2^{r(m_{j_1} + \dots + m_{j_k} + p_{j_{k+1}} + \dots + p_{j_n})} \times \\ \times c_{rm_{j_1}, \dots, rm_{j_k}, rp_{j_{k+1}}, \dots, rp_{j_n}}. \end{aligned}$$

The following equality will be useful: for arbitrary positive integer r and such \bar{x} that $x_i \in (2^{-r p_i-1}, 2^{-r p_i})$, $1 \leq p_i \leq M_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$(34) \quad \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^M 2^{\frac{r}{2} |\bar{m}|} c_{r\bar{m}} \chi_{2^{r\bar{m}+1}}(\bar{x}) = T(c_{r\bar{p}}).$$

It is easy to verify this fact by induction with respect to n .

LEMMA 3. For a sufficiently large r the following inequalities hold:

$$(35) \quad T(b_{r\bar{p}}) \geq 2^{r|\bar{p}|-1} b_{r\bar{p}},$$

$$(36) \quad T(b_{r\bar{p}}) \geq 2^{\frac{r}{2} |\bar{p}|}.$$

Proof. First we shall prove that for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ one can find such an $R(\varepsilon)$ that for $r > R(\varepsilon)$,

$$(37) \quad G(b_{r\bar{p}}) \equiv T(b_{r\bar{p}}) b_{r\bar{p}}^{-1} - 2^{r|\bar{p}|} \leq \varepsilon 2^{r|\bar{p}|}.$$

Indeed, $G(b_{r\bar{p}})$ can be represented as a finite combination of sums of the form

$$\begin{aligned} H \equiv \sum_{m_{j_1}=1}^{[p_{j_1}/2]} \dots \sum_{m_{j_s}=1}^{[p_{j_s}/2]} \sum_{m_{j_{s+1}}=1}^{p_{j_{s+1}}-1} \dots \sum_{m_{j_k}=[p_{j_k}/2]}^{p_{j_k}-1} b_{r\bar{p}}^{-1} 2^{r(m_{j_1} + \dots + m_{j_s} + p_{j_{s+1}} + \dots + p_{j_n})} \times \\ \times b_{rm_{j_1}, \dots, rm_{j_k}, rp_{j_{k+1}}, \dots, rp_{j_n}} \quad (0 \leq s \leq k \leq n, k \geq 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the first group of sums is degenerated for $s = 0$ and the second one for $s = k$. However, from (3), (4), (6) and the estimate

$$|\bar{p}|(m_{j_1} + \dots + m_{j_s} + \frac{1}{2}(p_{j_{s+1}} + \dots + p_{j_k}) + p_{j_{k+1}} + \dots + p_{j_n})^{-1} \leq p_{j_1} + \dots + p_{j_s} + 2$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H \leq C \left(2 + \sum_{i=1}^s p_{j_i} \right)^{n-s} \left(\frac{r}{2} \sum_{i=s+1}^n p_{j_i} \right) \left(\varrho \left(r \sum_{i=1}^s p_{j_i} \right) + \varrho \left(r \sum_{i=s+1}^n p_{j_i} \right) \right) 2^{-\frac{r}{2} \sum_{i=1}^s p_{j_i}} \times \\ \times (2^r - 1)^{s-k} 2^{r|\bar{p}|} \\ \leq C 2^{r|\bar{p}|} \left(\left(r \sum_{i=1}^s p_{j_i} \right)^C + C \right) \left(2 + \sum_{i=1}^s p_{j_i} \right)^n 2^{-\frac{r}{2} \sum_{i=1}^s p_{j_i}} (2^r - 1)^{s-k}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the last term tends to 0 while $r \rightarrow \infty$ (because of the first factor for $s = 0$ and of the second one for $s > 0$). Now it is easy to find such an $R(\varepsilon)$ that for $r > R(\varepsilon)$ we get (37). Setting $\varepsilon = 1/2$, we obtain (35). Since for a sufficiently large r

$$(38) \quad b_{r\bar{p}} |r\bar{p}|^{-n-c} \geq 2^{1-\frac{r}{2} |\bar{p}|},$$

(36) immediately follows from (37).

LEMMA 4. There are a positive integer R and a set $P \subset N^n$ such that for $r > R$ we have

$$(39) \quad \sum_{r\bar{p} \in P} a_{r\bar{p}} \varrho(r|\bar{p}|) = \infty,$$

$$(40) \quad T(a_{r\bar{p}}) \geq 2^{r|\bar{p}|-1} a_{r\bar{p}} \quad \forall r\bar{p} \in P,$$

$$(41) \quad T(a_{r\bar{p}}) \geq 2^{\frac{r}{2} |\bar{p}|} \quad \forall r\bar{p} \in P.$$

Proof. Set

$$(42) \quad P = \bigcup_{k=2}^{\infty} Y_k,$$

where

$$(43) \quad Y_k = \{\bar{m}: \bar{m} \in W_k; 4l_k \leq m_i \leq l_{k+1}; 1 \leq i \leq n, A_k \leq \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} 2^{m_i/4}\},$$

$$(44) \quad Z_k = \{\bar{m}: \bar{m} \in W_k, 4l_k \leq m_i \leq l_{k+1}, 1 \leq i \leq n, A_k > \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} 2^{m_i/4}\}.$$

Now by (44) and (27) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\bar{p} \in P} a_{\bar{p}} q(|\bar{p}|) &\geq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{p} \in W_k} a_{\bar{p}} q(|\bar{p}|) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{p} \in Z_k} |\bar{p}|^{-n} A_k^{-1} - \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{p_l=l_k}^{\infty} \dots \\ &\dots \sum_{p_{l-1}=l_k}^{\infty} \sum_{p_l=l_k}^{4l_k} \sum_{p_{l+1}=l_k}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{p_n=l_k}^{\infty} |\bar{p}|^{-n} A_k^{-1} \geq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{p} \in W_k} a_{\bar{p}} q(|\bar{p}|) - \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{p} \in W_k} |\bar{p}|^{-n} 2^{-p_l} \\ &- C \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{-2} \sum_{p_1=l_k}^{4l_k} (p_1 + (n-1)l_k)^{-1} > \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{p} \in W_k} a_{\bar{p}} q(|\bar{p}|) - C - C = \infty; \end{aligned}$$

hence taking into account the monotonicity of the function $a_{\bar{p}} q(|\bar{p}|)$ (for separated coordinates $p_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$), we obtain (39).

Now we shall prove (40). Since for an arbitrary positive integer r there exist infinitely many $\bar{r} \in P$ (as follows from (39)), there is a k_0 such that $\bar{r} \in Y_{k_0}$. Since the set P is symmetric with respect to the diagonal of an n -dimensional matrix, then it is enough to show that for any $\varepsilon > 0, 1 \leq l \leq n$, and for a sufficiently large r , the following inequality is satisfied:

$$(45) \quad U \equiv \sum_{m_{j_1}=1}^{p_{j_1}-1} \dots \sum_{m_{j_l}=1}^{p_{j_l}-1} 2^{r(m_{j_1}+\dots+m_{j_l}+p_{j_{l+1}}+\dots+p_{j_n})} a_{rm_{j_1}, \dots, rm_{j_l}, rp_{j_{l+1}}, \dots, rp_{j_n}} \leq \varepsilon 2^{r|\bar{p}|} a_{\bar{r}}.$$

Let

$$X \equiv \{\bar{q}: q_{j_i} \leq r(p_{j_i}-1), 1 \leq i \leq k; q_{j_i} = p_{j_i}, k+1 \leq i \leq n\};$$

hence we have the following composition (see (42)):

$$\begin{aligned} U &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{k_0-1} \sum_{\bar{r} \in W_k} + \sum_{\bar{r} \in X} \\ &\leq a_{\bar{r}} \left\{ A_{k_0} \sum_{m_{j_1}=1}^{[4^{-1}p_{j_1}]} \dots \sum_{m_{j_l}=1}^{[4^{-1}p_{j_l}]} 2^{r(m_{j_1}+\dots+m_{j_l}+p_{j_{l+1}}+\dots+p_{j_n})} b_{\bar{r}}^{-1} b_{rm_{j_1}, \dots, rm_{j_l}, rp_{j_{l+1}}, \dots, rp_{j_n}} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{m_{j_1}=1}^{p_{j_1}-1} \dots \sum_{m_{j_l}=1}^{p_{j_l}-1} 2^{r(m_{j_1}+\dots+m_{j_l}+p_{j_{l+1}}+\dots+p_{j_n})} b_{\bar{r}}^{-1} b_{rm_{j_1}, \dots, rm_{j_l}, rp_{j_{l+1}}, \dots, rp_{j_n}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By estimations (37), (43) we get (45), and by (38) we obtain (41).

LEMMA 5. Let the function Φ satisfy condition (*). Then

(1) For

$$\theta_{\bar{p}} = c_{\bar{p}+1} - c_{\bar{p}} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n} \Delta_{k+1, \dots, n}(c_{p_{j_1}+1}, \dots, p_{j_k+1}, p_{j_{k+1}}, \dots, p_{j_n}),$$

we have

$$(46) \quad \int_{I^n} \Phi \left(\sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} |2^{|\bar{m}|/2} \theta_{\bar{m}} \chi_{2^{\bar{m}+1}}(\bar{x}) \right) d\bar{x} < \infty.$$

(2) Also we have

$$(47) \quad \sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\infty} 2^{-|\bar{p}|} \Phi(2^{|\bar{p}|} c_{\bar{p}}) = \infty.$$

Proof. Applying (6) and (4) for all \bar{m} (uniformly), we get

$$\sum_{\bar{p}=\bar{m}}^{\infty} q(|\bar{p}|) e^{-1} (|\bar{m}|) 2^{|\bar{m}|-|\bar{p}|} \leq \sum_{\bar{p}=\bar{m}}^{\infty} \{q(|\bar{p}|-|\bar{m}|) e^{-1} (|\bar{m}|) + 1\} 2^{|\bar{m}|-|\bar{p}|} < C.$$

Hence, by the properties of Φ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (48) \quad \int_{I^n} \Phi \left(\sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} |2^{|\bar{m}|/2} \theta_{\bar{m}} \chi_{2^{\bar{m}+1}}(\bar{x}) \right) d\bar{x} \\ \leq C \sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\infty} 2^{-|\bar{p}|} \Phi \left(\sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\bar{p}} 2^{|\bar{m}|} \theta_{\bar{m}} \right) \leq C \sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\infty} q(|\bar{p}|) \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\bar{p}} 2^{|\bar{m}|-|\bar{p}|} \theta_{\bar{m}} \\ \leq C \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} q(|\bar{m}|) \theta_{\bar{m}} \sum_{\bar{p}=\bar{m}}^{\infty} q(|\bar{p}|) e^{-1} (|\bar{m}|) 2^{|\bar{m}|-|\bar{p}|} \leq C \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} q(|\bar{m}|) \theta_{\bar{m}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta_{\bar{m}}$ is estimated by the finite combination of elements $\Delta_{j_1, \dots, j_k}(\bar{m})$, according to (28) we have

$$\sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} q(|\bar{m}|) \theta_{\bar{m}} < \infty,$$

whence by (48) we get (46).

Applying properties (3) and (4), we can easily get (47). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} C \sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\infty} 2^{-|\bar{p}|} \Phi(2^{|\bar{p}|} c_{\bar{p}}) &\geq C \sum_{c_{\bar{p}} \geq 2^{-|\bar{p}|/2}} 2^{-|\bar{p}|/2} \Phi(2^{|\bar{p}|/2} c_{\bar{p}}) \\ &\geq \left(\sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\infty} - \sum_{c_{\bar{p}} < 2^{-|\bar{p}|/2}} \right) c_{\bar{p}} q(|\bar{p}|) = \sum_{\bar{p}=1}^{\infty} c_{\bar{p}} q(|\bar{p}|) - C. \end{aligned}$$

Because of (9) and (27), the last equality is equal to ∞ ; hence (47) holds true and this completes the proof of Lemma 5.

THEOREM 1. Under condition (*) the function

$$f(\bar{x}) = f(\bar{x}, \{c_{\bar{m}}\}) = \begin{cases} 2^{n+|\bar{m}|} \Delta(c_{\bar{m}}) & \text{for } \bar{x} \in (2^{-\bar{m}-1}, 2^{-\bar{m}}), \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere in } I^n, \end{cases}$$

has the following property: there exists a subseries of Haar-Fourier series which converges to ∞ in the norm of the Orlicz space $L_{\Phi}^{\infty}(I^n)$, though $f \in L_{\Phi}^{\infty}(I^n)$.

Proof. According to the definition of the function f and according to conditions (1), (8) and (28), by using properties of function Φ , we obtain

$$\int_{I^n} \Phi(f(\bar{x})) d\bar{x} < \infty.$$

Thus $f \in L_\Phi^*(I^n)$.

Now, choosing by Lemma 3 and 4 a sufficiently large r , let us consider the series

$$\sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} 2^{\frac{r}{2}|\bar{m}|} c_{r\bar{m}} \chi_{2^{r\bar{m}+1}}(\bar{x})$$

and let

$$E_{\bar{M}} = \begin{cases} P \cap \{\bar{m}: \bar{1} \leq \bar{m} \leq \bar{M}\} & \text{for } c_{\bar{m}} = a_{\bar{m}}, \\ N^n \cap \{\bar{m}: \bar{1} \leq \bar{m} \leq \bar{M}\} & \text{for } c_{\bar{m}} = b_{\bar{m}}. \end{cases}$$

Taking into account (34), (35) and (40) and the fact that Φ is even and using the definition of the set $E_{\bar{M}}$, we get

$$(49) \quad B = \int_{I^n} \Phi \left(\sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\bar{M}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}|\bar{m}|} c_{r\bar{m}} \chi_{2^{r\bar{m}+1}}(\bar{x}) \right) d\bar{x} \geq \sum_{\bar{p}=2}^{\bar{M}} \int_{2^{-r\bar{p}-1}}^{2^{-r\bar{p}}} \Phi \left(\sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\bar{M}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}|\bar{m}|} c_{r\bar{m}} \chi_{2^{r\bar{m}+1}}(\bar{x}) \right) d\bar{x} \geq 2^{-n} \sum_{\bar{p}=2}^{\bar{M}} 2^{-r\bar{p}} \Phi(T(c_{r\bar{p}})) \geq C \sum_{r\bar{p} \in E_{\bar{M}}} 2^{-r\bar{p}} \Phi(2^{r|\bar{p}|} c_{r\bar{p}}),$$

whence by (47) it follows that $B \rightarrow \infty$ for $\bar{M} \rightarrow \infty$ (49). Now let us compute the $d_{2\bar{p}+1}(f)$ — Fourier coefficients of the function f :

$$(50) \quad d_{2\bar{p}+1}(f) = 2^{|\bar{p}|/2} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq n} \int_0^{2^{-p_{j_1}-1}} \dots \int_0^{2^{-p_{j_k}-1}} \dots \int_{2^{-p_{j_n}-1}}^{2^{-p_{j_n}}} f(\bar{x}) d\bar{x} = 2^{|\bar{p}|/2} (c_{\bar{p}} - \theta_{\bar{p}}).$$

Thus by the properties of the function Φ and by (46), (50) and (49) we obtain

$$(51) \quad \lim_{\bar{M} \rightarrow \infty} \int_{I^n} \Phi \left(\sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\bar{M}} d_{2^{r\bar{m}+1}}(f) \chi_{2^{r\bar{m}+1}}(\bar{x}) \right) d\bar{x} \geq C \lim_{\bar{M} \rightarrow \infty} \int_{I^n} \Phi \left(\sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\bar{M}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}|\bar{m}|} c_{r\bar{m}} \chi_{2^{r\bar{m}+1}}(\bar{x}) \right) d\bar{x} - C \lim_{\bar{m} \rightarrow \infty} \int_{I^n} \Phi \left(\sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\bar{M}} 2^{\frac{r}{2}|\bar{m}|} \theta_{r\bar{m}} \chi_{2^{r\bar{m}+1}}(\bar{x}) \right) d\bar{x} = \infty.$$

However, it follows from (51) (see [7], p. 92) that for the Haar–Fourier series of the function f there exists a subseries which diverges in the norm of the Orlicz space $L_\Phi^*(I^n)$:

$$\sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} d_{2^{r\bar{m}+1}}(f) \chi_{2^{r\bar{m}+1}}(\bar{x}).$$

According to the well-known theorem of Orlicz concerning unconditional convergence (see [6], p. 20) we get our statement.

THEOREM 2. Let the function Φ satisfy condition (*). If $\theta(u) = o(\Phi(u) \ln^n u)$ for $u \rightarrow \infty$ is an even, nonnegative and nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ and $\Phi(u) = O(\theta(u))$, then the Haar system does not form an unconditional basis in the norm of the Orlicz space $L_\Phi^*(I^n)$ for the class $\theta(L)$.

Proof. It follows that $\theta(L) \subset \Phi(L)$. It will be seen that by the assumption of the theorem there exists a function from the class $\theta(L)$ with the Haar–Fourier series which does not converge unconditionally in the norm of $L_\Phi^*(I^n)$. One can find such an $N \geq 2$ that $\theta(u) > 0$ for $n \geq N$. Then $\theta(u) = \varepsilon(u) \Phi(u) \ln^n u$ for $n \geq N$, where $\varepsilon(u) \rightarrow 0$ for $u \rightarrow \infty$. Set

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}(u) = \begin{cases} \sup_{t \geq u} \varepsilon(t) & \text{for } u \geq N, \\ \varepsilon(N) & \text{for } 0 \leq u < N, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tilde{\theta}(u) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon(u) \Phi(u) \ln^n u & \text{for } u \geq N, \\ \theta(N) & \text{for } 0 \leq u < N. \end{cases}$$

Since $\tilde{\varepsilon}(u) \geq \varepsilon(u)$ for $u \geq N$, $\tilde{\varepsilon}(u) \downarrow 0$ for $u \rightarrow \infty$, $\tilde{\theta}(u) \geq \theta(u)$ for $u > 0$, we can construct according to (18), (21)–(25) the desired sequence $\{a_{\bar{m}}\}$. Thus by Theorem 1 the function $f(\bar{x}, \{a_{\bar{m}}\}) \in L_\Phi^*(I^n)$, and its Haar–Fourier series does not converge unconditionally in the norm of this space. We shall show that $f \in \theta(L)$. Indeed, letting

$$E = \{\bar{x}: \bar{x} \in I^n, f(\bar{x}) > N\},$$

we infer by (29) and the definition of $\theta(u)$, that

$$\int_{I^n} \theta(f(\bar{x})) d\bar{x} \leq \left(\int_{I^n \setminus E} + \int_E \right) \tilde{\theta}(f(\bar{x})) d\bar{x} \leq \tilde{\theta}(N) + C \sum_{\bar{m}=1}^{\infty} 2^{-|\bar{m}|} \varepsilon(2^{-|\bar{m}|} \Delta(a_{\bar{m}}^*)) \Phi(2^{|\bar{m}|} \Delta(a_{\bar{m}})) (\ln^+ (2^{|\bar{m}|} \Delta(a_{\bar{m}})))^n < \infty,$$

and this completes the proof.

References

- [1] G. Alexits, *Konvergenzprobleme der Orthogonalreihen*, IL, Moscow 1963.
- [2] L. A. Balaschov, *On Haar series*, Mat. Zametki 10 (4) (1971), pp. 369–374.
- [3] S. V. Botschkarev, *On unconditionally bases*, ibid. 1 (4) (1967), pp. 391–398.
- [4] V. F. Gaposhkin, *On existence of unconditionally bases in Orlicz spaces*, Funkcional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 1 (4) (1967), pp. 26–32.
- [5] —, *On unconditionally bases in Orlicz spaces*, Siberian Math. J. 9 (2) (1968), pp. 280–287.
- [6] S. Kaczmarz, H. Steinhaus, *Theorie der Orthogonalreihen*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow 1958.
- [7] M. A. Krasnoselskij, J. B. Rutickij, *Convex function and Orlicz spaces*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1958.

- [8] A. M. Oleviski, *Fourier series and Lebesgue function*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 22 (3) (1967), pp. 237–239.
- [9] M. B. Petrovskaja, *On Haar series and function classes H_ω^1* , Siberian Math. J. 9 (4) (1968), pp. 863–879.
- [10] A. Pełczyński, *Projections in certain Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 19 (1960), pp. 209–228.
- [11] G. E. Tkebuchava, *On Haar series*, Soobšč. Acad. Nauk Gruz. SSR, 69 (2) (1973), pp. 277–280.
- [12] —, *On bases of certain nonreflexive spaces*, Mat. Zametki 19 (4) (1976).
- [13] P. L. Uljanov, *On certain properties of the Haar series*, Mat. Zametki 1 (1) (1967), pp. 17–24.
- [14] —, *The imbedding of certain function classes H_p^ω* , Izv. Acad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 32 (1968), pp. 649–686.

Presented to the Semester
Approximation Theory
September 17–December 17, 1975

ТЕОРИЯ ЭКСТРЕМАЛЬНЫХ ЗАДАЧ И ТЕОРИЯ ПРИБЛИЖЕНИЙ

В. М. ТИХОМИРОВ

МГУ, Мехмат, Москва 117234, СССР

1. Постановки некоторых экстремальных задач теории приближений

Постановки экстремальных задач сопровождают всю историю теории приближений. Еще в 18 веке Лежандр нашел, выражаясь современным языком, полиномы наименее уклоняющиеся от нуля в метрике пространства $\mathcal{L}_2([-1, 1])$. Точнее говоря, фактически он разрешил следующую проблему минимизации ⁽¹⁾:

$$(1) \quad f_{r,2}(x) = \int_{-1}^1 \left(t^r + \sum_{k=1}^r x_k t^{k-1} \right)^2 dt = \|t^r + p_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_2([-1, 1])} \rightarrow \inf.$$

Получившиеся в результате решения задачи (1) полиномы имеют вид:

$$(1') \quad T_{r,2}(t) = \frac{r!}{(2r)!} \frac{d^r}{dt^r} (t^2 - 1)^r;$$

они пропорциональны полиномам $P_r(t)$, названных *полиномами Лежандра*. Чебышев решил аналогичную задачу в двух других метриках: $C([-1, 1])$ и $\mathcal{L}_1([-1, 1])$. Решением задачи

$$(2) \quad f_{r,\infty}(x) = \max_{t \in [-1, 1]} \left| t^r + \sum_{k=1}^r x_k t^{k-1} \right| = \|t^r + p_r(t)\|_{C([-1, 1])} \rightarrow \inf$$

являются *полиномы Чебышева*: $T_{r,\infty}(t) = 2^{-(r-1)} \cos(r \arccos t)$, а решением задачи

$$(3) \quad f_{r,1}(x) = \int_{-1}^1 \left| t^r + \sum_{k=1}^r x_k t^{k-1} \right| dt = \|t^r + p_r(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}_1([-1, 1])} \rightarrow \inf$$

⁽¹⁾ Если X — некоторое множество, $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ — функционал на нем, а $C \subset X$ — подмножество X , называемое ограничением, то задача отыскания минимума f на C обозначается далее $f \rightarrow \inf; x \in C$.