

Чтобы доказать соотношение (24) заметим, что для любой функции $\varphi \in C_0^2(\bar{\Pi}_T)$ с вероятностью единица

$$\int_{\bar{\Pi}_T} L_z \varphi(z) \left\{ \int_{\bar{\Pi}_T} I(z < v) R(z, v) \frac{A(v)}{B^2(v)} d\xi_v \right\} dz = \int_{\bar{\Pi}_T} f(v) \frac{A(v)}{B^2(v)} d\xi_v,$$

где $f(v) = \int I(z < v) R(z, v) L_z \varphi(z) dz$ и, как нетрудно проверить, $f(v) = \varphi(v)$. Отсюда следует (24) и, значит, $\bar{\theta}_z$ удовлетворяет уравнению (5) (в обобщенном смысле).

В заключение автор выражает признательность Р. Ишнеру и А. Н. Ширяеву за внимание к работе.

Литература

- [1] В. С. Владими́ров, Уравнения математической физики, Наука, Москва 1971.
- [2] И. М. Гельфанд, Н. Я. Вilenкин, Некоторые применения гармонического анализа, оснащенные гильбертовы пространства, Москва 1961.
- [3] [К. Ито] K. Ito, Multiple Wiener integrals, J. Math. Soc. Japan 3 (1951), стр. 157–169.
- [4] [Г. Калиапур] G. Kalliaupur, C. Striebel, Stochastic differential equations, occurring in the estimation of continuous parameter stochastic processes, Теория вероятн. и ее примен. 14 (1969), стр. 597–622.
- [5] [Р. Е. Калман] R. E. Kalman, R. S. Busby, New results in linear prediction and filtering theory, J. Basic Eng. (Trans. Asme. Ser. D) 83 D (1961), стр. 95–108.
- [6] Р. Курант, Уравнения с частными производными, Мир, Москва 1972.
- [7] Р. Ш. Липштер, А. Н. Ширяев, Статистика случайных процессов, Наука, Москва 1974.
- [8] [Р. Пайк] R. Pyke, Partial sums of matrix arrays and Brownian sheets, Stochastic Analysis, London 1973.
- [9] Л. Пономаренко, Линейная фильтрация случайных полей, управляемых стохастическими уравнениями, Кibernetika 2 (1973), стр. 87–91.
- [10] [Е. Уонг] E. Wong, Recursive filtering for two-dimensional random fields, IEEE Trans. Information Theory 21 (1975), стр. 84–86.
- [11] [Е. Уонг, М. Закаи] E. Wong, M. Zakai, Martingales and stochastic integrals for processes with a multidimensional parameter, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 29 (1974), стр. 109–122.
- [12] Н. Н. Ченцов, Обоснование статистических критериев методами теории случайных процессов, Диссертация, МИАН, 1958.
- [13] —, Винеровские случайные поля от нескольких параметров, ДАН СССР 106 (1965), стр. 607–609.

Presented the Semester
Probability Theory
February 11–June 11, 1976

PROBABILITY THEORY
BANACH CENTER PUBLICATIONS VOLUME 5
PWN—POLISH SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHERS
WARSAW 1979

ON THE RATE OF CONVERGENCE IN THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM

Z. RYCHLIK and D. SZYNAL

Institute of Mathematics, Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, Lublin, Poland

This note studies the rate of convergence in the central limit theorem in terms of Trotter's operators. The obtained results are more general and, in some cases, give sharper estimates than those of [1].

1. Introduction and notations

Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables with variances

$$0 < \sigma_n^2 = \sigma^2 X_n < \infty, \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Write

$$\alpha_{ij} = EX_i^j, \quad \beta_{ij} = E|X_i|^j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq r; i, j \in \mathbb{N}, r \geq 2.$$

Further on, we assume that

$$(1) \quad \frac{\alpha_{ij}}{\sigma_i^j} = \gamma_j, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq r; i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us observe that (1) takes place if, for instance, we have the pseudomoments

$$\nu_i(j) = \int_R x^j d[F_{X_i}(x) - \Phi(x/\sigma_i)] = 0, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq r; i \in \mathbb{N},$$

where Φ denotes the standard normal distribution function.

Let us put

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad s_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2, \quad X_i^* = X_i/s_n,$$

$$S_n^* = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^*, \quad a_m^{-1}(\delta) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \int_{|x| < \delta s_n} |x|^t dF_i(x),$$

where $\delta > 0, t > 0$;

$$b_{it} = E \frac{|X_i|^t}{s_n^{2t} + X_i^{2t}}, \quad b'_{it} = E \frac{\sigma_i^t |Y|^t}{s_n^{2t} + (\sigma_i Y)^{2t}},$$

where Y denotes a normally distributed random variable with mean value 0 and variance 1;

$$\begin{aligned} B_{nt} &= \sum_{i=1}^n b_{it}, & B'_{nt} &= \sum_{i=1}^n b'_{it}, \\ B''_{nt} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^{3-t} b'_{it}, & B''_{nt}^* &= \sum_{i=1}^n \max_{r+1 \leq j \leq s} \sigma_i^j E \frac{|Y|^t}{s_n^{2t} + (\sigma_i Y)^{2t}}. \end{aligned}$$

We will use

$$\begin{aligned} F_n^{-1} &= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{\sigma_i}{s_n}, & K_n^{(t)}(\delta) &= \int_{|x| \geq \delta s_n} |x|^t d\Phi(x), \\ L_n^{(t)}(\delta) &= \frac{1}{s_n^t} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{|x| \geq \delta s_n} |x|^t dF_i(x), & D_{nm}^{(t)} &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^m}{s_n^t}, \quad m \in N. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n^{-1} = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n^{(t)}(\delta) = 0$, $\delta > 0$, then we say that *Feller's condition* and a *generalized Lindeberg condition* are satisfied, respectively. Since $L_n^{(t)}(\delta) \leq L_n^{(t+1)}(\delta)/\delta$, the condition $L_n^{(t+1)}(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ implies $L_n^{(t)}(\delta) \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, we see that Lapunov's condition implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n^{(3)}(\delta) = 0$.

Let us set

$$\begin{aligned} A_{nm}^{(t)}(\delta) &= L_n^{(t)}(\delta) + D_{nm}^{(t)} K_n^{(t)}(\delta), \\ \tilde{A}_{nm}^{(t)}(\delta) &= s_n L_n^{(t+1)}(\delta) + D_{nm}^{(t)} K_n^{(t+1)}(\delta), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\bar{A}_n^{(t)}(\delta) = \int_{|x| \geq \delta \sqrt{n}} |x|^t dF(x) + \int_{|x| \geq \delta \sqrt{n}} |x|^t d\Phi(x).$$

Let $C_B^{(r)}(\mathbf{R}) = \{f \in C_B(\mathbf{R}): f^{(j)} \in C_B(\mathbf{R}), 1 \leq j \leq r\}$, where $C_B(\mathbf{R})$ stands for the class of bounded, uniformly continuous functions defined on \mathbf{R} . By $\omega(f; \delta) = \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} |f(x+h) - f(x)|$, for $f \in C_B(\mathbf{R})$, $\delta > 0$, we define the modulus of continuity. A function $f \in C_B(\mathbf{R})$ is said to satisfy a *Lipschitz condition of order α* , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, in symbols $f \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, if $\omega(f; \delta) = O(\delta^\alpha)$. One can prove that

$$(2) \quad \omega(f; \lambda \delta) \leq (1+\lambda)\omega(f; \delta).$$

The operator $T_X: C_B(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow C_B(\mathbf{R})$ defined by

$$T_X f(y) = Ef(X+y) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(x+y) dF_X(x)$$

will be called *Trotter's operator*. It is well known [3] ([2], p. 516) that

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[S_n - ES_n < xs_n] = \Phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2/2} dt$$

holds if there exists an $r \in N$ such that for each $f \in C_B^{(r)}(\mathbf{R})$

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|T_{S_n} f - T_Y f\|}{s_n} = 0.$$

In [1] has been established the rate of convergence in (4). The aim of this note is to extend the results of [1] to a larger class of independent random variables and to give more precise estimates in some particular cases.

2. Results

We shall first give the estimates in the case of non-identically distributed random variables. Without loss of generality we can assume that $EX_i = 0$, $i \in N$.

THEOREM 1. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables such that (1) holds with γ_j , $0 \leq j \leq r$, as the moments of a normally distributed random variable.

Suppose that

$$(5) \quad \beta_{si} = E|X_i|^s < \infty \quad \text{for some } s > 0, i \in N.$$

A. If (5) holds with $s \geq r$, then for any $f \in C_B^{(r)}(\mathbf{R})$

$$(6) \quad \|T_{S_n} f - T_Y f\| \leq \frac{2\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1})}{r!} s_n^{2s-r} \{a_{ns}^{1-r/s}(1)B_{ns} + a_{ns}^{1-(r+1)/(s+1)}(1)s_n^2 B_{n(s+1)} + B'_{ns} + s_n^2 B'_{n(s+1)}\} + \frac{2}{r!} \min[\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1}) \tilde{A}_{ns}^{(0)}(1); \|f^{(r)}\| A_{ns}^{(0)}(1)],$$

and moreover, for $s > r$,

$$(7) \quad \|T_{S_n} f - T_Y f\| \leq \frac{2\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1})}{r!} s_n^{2s-r} \{a_{ns}^{1-r/s}(1)B_{ns} + B'_{ns}\} + \frac{2}{r!} \min[\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1}) \tilde{A}_{ns}^{(0)}(1); \|f^{(r)}\| A_{ns}^{(0)}(1)].$$

B. If (5) holds with $s \geq r$, then for any $f^{(r)} \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$,

$$(8) \quad \|T_{S_n} f - T_Y f\| = O(s_n^{2s-r+\alpha} [a_{n(s+\alpha)}^{1-(r+\alpha)/(s+\alpha)}(1)B_{n(s+\alpha)} + B'_{n(s+\alpha)}] + A_{n(s+\alpha)}^{(s+\alpha)}(1)),$$

and moreover, for $s > r$,

$$(9) \quad \|T_{S_n} f - T_Y f\| = O(s_n^{2s-r-\alpha} [a_{ns}^{1-(r+\alpha)/s}(1)B_{ns} + B'_{ns}] + A_{ns}^{(s)}(1)).$$

C. If (5) holds with $s > r$, then for any $f \in C_B^{(s)}(\mathbf{R})$

$$(10) \quad \|T_{S_n} f - T_Y f\| = O(s_n^{2s-r-\alpha} \left[\sum_{j=r+1}^s a_{ns}^{1-j/s}(\delta) B_{ns} + B'_{ns} \right] + A_{ns}^{(s)}(\delta)),$$

and moreover, if r is even,

$$(11) \quad \|T_{S_n} f - T_Y f\| = O(s_n^{2s-r-1} \left[\sum_{j=r+1}^s a_{ns}^{1-j/s}(\delta) B_{ns} + s_n^{-1} B'_{ns} \right] + A_{ns}^{(s)}(\delta))$$

holds.

One can observe that, in the case $s = r$, the estimates (6) and (8) concern a larger class of independent random variables than those of [1] (Theorem 1), and they are, in general, more precise.

If $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of independent random variables such that $a_{ns}^{(1)}(\delta) = a > 0$ for $n \geq n_0$, then we can obtain more convenient bounds of the type in question. For example, Theorem 1 implies

THEOREM 2. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables such that $EX_1 = 0$, $EX_1^2 = 1$ and that

$$(12) \quad \alpha_j = \gamma_j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq r, \quad r \in N,$$

where γ_j , $0 \leq j \leq r$, are the moments of the standard normal distribution function and

$$(13) \quad \beta_s = E|X_1|^s < \infty, \quad \text{for some } s > 0.$$

A'. If (13) holds with $s \geq r$, then for any $f \in C_b^{(r)}(\mathbb{R})$

$$(6') \quad \|T_{S_n/\sqrt{n}}f - T_Y f\| = O\left(\omega(f^{(r)}; n^{-1/2})n^{s-(r-2)/2}\left[E\frac{|X|^s}{n^s + X^{2s}} + nE\frac{|X|^{s+1}}{n^{s+1} + X^{2(s+1)}} + E\frac{|Y|^s}{n^s + Y^{2s}} + nE\frac{|Y|^{s+1}}{n^{s+1} + Y^{2(s+1)}}\right] + n^{-(s-2)/2}\min[\omega(f^{(r)}; n^{-1/2})\bar{A}_n^{(s+1)}(1); \bar{A}_n^{(s)}(1)]\right)$$

and moreover, for $s > r$,

$$(7') \quad \|T_{S_n/\sqrt{n}}f - T_Y f\| = O\left(\omega(f^{(r)}; n^{-1/2})n^{s-(r-2)/2}\left[E\frac{|X|^s}{n^s + X^{2s}} + E\frac{|Y|^s}{n^s + Y^{2s}}\right] + n^{-(s-2)/2}\min[\omega(f^{(r)}; n^{-1/2})\bar{A}_n^{(s+1)}(1); \bar{A}_n^{(s)}(1)]\right).$$

B'. If (13) holds with $s \geq r$, then for $f^{(r)} \in \text{Lip } \alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$,

$$(8') \quad \|T_{S_n/\sqrt{n}}f - T_Y f\| = O\left(n^{s-(r-2-\alpha)/2}\left[E\frac{|X|^{s+\alpha}}{n^{s+\alpha} + X^{2(s+\alpha)}} + E\frac{|Y|^{s+\alpha}}{n^{s+\alpha} + Y^{2(s+\alpha)}}\right] + n^{-(s-2+\alpha)/2}\bar{A}_n^{(s+\alpha)}(1)\right),$$

and moreover, for $s > r$,

$$(9') \quad \|T_{S_n/\sqrt{n}}f - T_Y f\| = O\left(n^{s-(r-2+\alpha)/2}\left[E\frac{|X|^s}{n^s + X^{2s}} + E\frac{|Y|^s}{n^s + Y^{2s}}\right] + n^{-(s-2)/2}\bar{A}_n^{(s)}(1)\right).$$

C'. If (13) holds with $s > r$, then for $f \in C_b^{(s)}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$(10') \quad \|T_{S_n/\sqrt{n}}f - T_Y f\| = O\left(n^{s-(r-1)/2}\left[E\frac{|X|^s}{n^s + X^{2s}} + E\frac{|Y|^s}{n^s + Y^{2s}}\right] + n^{-(s-2)/2}\bar{A}_n^{(s)}(\delta)\right),$$

and moreover, if r is even,

$$(11') \quad \|T_{S_n/\sqrt{n}}f - T_Y f\| = O\left(n^{s-(r-1)/2}\left[E\frac{|X|^s}{n^s + X^{2s}} + n^{-1/2}E\frac{|Y|^s}{n^s + Y^{2s}}\right] + n^{-(s-2)/2}\bar{A}_n^{(s)}(\delta)\right).$$

It can be observed that estimates (6')–(9') can be used in more general situations than those of [1] (Theorems 1 and 2).

COROLLARY. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2 (the point C'):

$$(14) \quad \|T_{S_n/\sqrt{n}}f - T_Y f\| = O(n^{-(r-1)/2})$$

and in the case where r is even

$$(14') \quad \|T_{S_n/\sqrt{n}}f - T_Y f\| = O\left(n^{s-(r-1)/2}E\frac{|X|^s}{n^s + X^{2s}}\right).$$

Proof of Theorem 1. By the definition of Trotter's operator and the assumptions $f \in C_b^{(r)}(\mathbb{R})$ and (5) we have

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{X_i^*}f(y) &= Ef(X_i/s_n + y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x/s_n + y) dF_i(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r f^{(j)}(y) \alpha_{ij}/j!s_n^j + \frac{1}{r!s_n^r} \int_{|x| < s_n} x^r [f^{(r)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(r)}(y)] dF_i(x) + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r!s_n^r} \int_{|x| \geq s_n} x^r [f^{(r)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(r)}(y)] dF_i(x), \end{aligned}$$

where θ is some number between 0 and 1.

Now, using (2), the inequality $E|X| \leq (E|X|)^{1/r}$, and by simple evaluations, we have

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{1}{r!s_n^r} \int_{|x| < s_n} x^r [f^{(r)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(r)}(y)] dF_i(x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r!s_n^r} \int_{|x| < s_n} |x|^r \omega(f^{(r)}; |\theta x/s_n|) dF_i(x) \\ &\leq \frac{\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1})}{r!s_n^r} \int_{|x| < s_n} |x|^r dF_i(x) + \frac{\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1})}{r!s_n^r} \int_{|x| < s_n} |x|^{r+1} dF_i(x) \\ &\leq \frac{\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1})}{r!s_n^r} a_{ns}^{1-r/s}(1) \int_{|x| < s_n} |x|^s dF_i(x) + \\ &\quad + \frac{\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1})}{r!s_n^r} a_{n(s+1)}^{1-(r+1)/(s+1)}(1) \int_{|x| < s_n} |x|^{s+1} dF_i(x) \\ &\leq 2 \frac{\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1})}{r!} a_{ns}^{1-r/s}(1) s_n^{2s-r} b_{is} + \\ &\quad + 2 \frac{\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1})}{r!} a_{n(s+1)}^{1-(r+1)/(s+1)}(1) s_n^{2(s+1)-r} b_{i(s+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \quad & \frac{1}{r! s_n^r} \left| \int_{|x| \geq s_n} x^r [f^{(r)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(r)}(y)] dF_i(x) \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1})}{r! s_n^s} \int_{|x| \geq s_n} |x|^{s+1} dF_i(x) + \frac{\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1})}{r! s_n^s} \int_{|x| \geq s_n} |x|^s dF_i(x) \\
 & \leq 2 \frac{\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1})}{r! s_n^s} \int_{|x| \geq s_n} |x|^{s+1} dF_i(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$(17') \quad \frac{1}{r! s_n^r} \left| \int_{|x| \geq s_n} x^r [f^{(r)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(r)}(y)] dF_i(x) \right| \leq \frac{2||f^{(r)}||}{r! s_n^s} \int_{|x| \geq s_n} |x|^s dF_i(x).$$

Hence, by (15)–(17), and (17'), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (18) \quad & \left\| T_{X_i^s} f - \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{f^{(j)}}{j! s_n^j} \alpha_{ij} \right\| \\
 & \leq \frac{2\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1})}{r!} [a_n^{1-r/s} s_n^{2s-r} b_{ls} + a_{n(s+1)}^{1-(r+1)/(s+1)} (1) \cdot s_n^{2(s+1)-r} b_{l(s+1)}] + \\
 & + \frac{2}{r! s_n^s} \min \left[\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1}) \int_{|x| \geq s_n} |x|^{s+1} dF_i(x); ||f^{(r)}|| \int_{|x| \geq s_n} |x|^s dF_i(x) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that for Y there are moments of arbitrary order, we can obtain by the same methods

$$\begin{aligned}
 (19) \quad & \left\| T_{\sigma_i Y / s_n} f - \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{f^{(j)}}{j! s_n^j} \sigma_i^j \gamma_j \right\| \leq \frac{2\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1})}{r!} s_n^{2s-r} [b'_{ls} + s_n^2 b'_{s(s+1)}] + \\
 & + \frac{2}{r!} \min \left[\omega(f^{(r)}; s_n^{-1}) \frac{\sigma_i^s}{s_n^s} \int_{|x| \geq F_n} |x|^{s+1} d\Phi(x); ||f^{(r)}|| \frac{\sigma_i^s}{s_n^s} \int_{|x| \geq F_n} |x|^s d\Phi(x) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

By (18) and (19), and using the triangle inequality, we get (6). Estimate (7) can be obtained in the same way.

The assertions of B follow from analogous considerations, by using the following estimates: for $s \geq r$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{r! s_n^s} \left| \int_{|x| < s_n} x^r [f^{(r)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(r)}(y)] dF_i(y) \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{r! s_n^{r+\alpha}} \int_{|x| < s_n} |x|^{r+\alpha} dF_i(x) \leq \frac{1}{r! s_n^{r+\alpha}} a_{n(s+\alpha)}^{1-(r+\alpha)/(s+\alpha)} \int_{|x| < s_n} |x|^{s+\alpha} dF_i(x) \\
 & \leq \frac{2}{r!} s_n^{2s-r+\alpha} a_{n(s+\alpha)}^{1-(r+\alpha)/(s+\alpha)} (1) b_{l(s+\alpha)},
 \end{aligned}$$

and for $s > r$

$$\frac{1}{r! s_n^r} \left| \int_{|x| < s_n} x^r [f^{(r)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(r)}(y)] dF_i(y) \right| \leq \frac{2}{r!} s_n^{2s-r-\alpha} a_{ns}^{1-(r+\alpha)/s} (1) b_{ls}$$

and similar estimates if we consider the random variable $\sigma_i Y$.

Now we are going to prove C. Assuming that $EX_i = 0$, $i \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (20) \quad T_{X_i^s} f(y) &= \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{f^{(j)}(y)}{j! s_n^j} \alpha_{ij} + \sum_{j=r+1}^s \frac{f^{(j)}(y)}{j! s_n^j} \int_{|x| < \delta s_n} x^j dF_i(x) + \\
 & + \frac{1}{s! s_n^s} \int_{|x| < \delta s_n} x^s [f^{(s)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(s)}(y)] dF_i(x) + \\
 & + \sum_{j=r+1}^s \frac{f^{(j)}(y)}{j! s_n^j} \int_{|x| \geq \delta s_n} x^j dF_i(x) + \\
 & + \frac{1}{s! s_n^s} \int_{|x| \geq \delta s_n} x^s [f^{(s)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(s)}(y)] dF_i(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta > 0$ is any given number (which will be fixed later). But for $s_n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (21) \quad & \left| \sum_{j=r+1}^s \frac{f^{(j)}(y)}{j! s_n^j} \int_{|x| < \delta s_n} x^j dF_i(x) \right| \leq C \frac{1}{s_n^s} \sum_{j=r+1}^s (s_n a_{ns}(\delta))^{1-j/s} \int_{|x| < \delta s_n} |x|^s dF_i(x) \\
 & \leq 2C s_n^{2s-r-1} \sum_{j=r+1}^s a_{ns}^{1-j/s}(\delta) E \frac{|X_i|^s}{s_n^{2s} + X_i^{2s}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $f \in C_B^0(\mathcal{R})$, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ (previously introduced) such that $|\theta x/s_n| < \delta$ implies $|f^{(s)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(s)}(y)| < \varepsilon$. Therefore,

$$(22) \quad \frac{1}{s! s_n^s} \left| \int_{|x| < \delta s_n} x^s [f^{(s)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(s)}(y)] dF_i(x) \right| \leq 2\varepsilon s_n^s E \frac{|X_i|^s}{s_n^{2s} + X_i^{2s}}.$$

Now we observe that

$$(23) \quad \left| \sum_{j=r+1}^s \frac{f^{(j)}(y)}{j! s_n^j} \int_{|x| \geq \delta s_n} x^j dF_i(x) \right| \leq C \frac{1}{s_n^s} \int_{|x| \geq \delta s_n} |x|^s dF_i(x),$$

and

$$(24) \quad \frac{1}{s! s_n^s} \left| \int_{|x| \geq \delta s_n} x^s [f^{(s)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(s)}(y)] dF_i(x) \right| \leq \frac{2||f^{(s)}||}{s! s_n^s} \int_{|x| \geq \delta s_n} |x|^s dF_i(x).$$

Hence, by (20)–(24), we get for sufficiently large n

$$(25) \quad \left\| T_{x_i} f - \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{f^{(j)}}{j!} \sigma_{ij} \right\| \\ \leq 2C \sum_{j=r+1}^s a_{ns}^{1-j/s}(\delta) s_n^{2s-r-1} E \frac{|X_i|^s}{s_n^{2s} + X_i^{2s}} + \frac{2||f^{(s)}||}{s! s_n^s} \int_{|x| \geq \delta s_n} |x|^s dF_i(x).$$

In a similar way one can obtain for $n \geq n_0$

$$(26) \quad \left\| T_{\alpha_i Y / s_n} - \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{f^{(j)}}{j!} \sigma_i^j \gamma_j \right\| \\ \leq 2Cs_n^{2s} \sum_{j=r+1}^s s_n^{-j} \max_{r+1 \leq j \leq s} \sigma_i^j E \frac{|Y|^s}{s_n^{2s} + (\sigma_i Y)^{2s}} + 2C \frac{\sigma_i^s}{s_n^s} \int_{|x| \geq \delta F_n} |x|^s d\Phi(x).$$

Combining (25) and (26), by the triangle inequality, we get (10).

To prove (11) it is enough to remark that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^r d\Phi(x) = 0$ if r is odd.

The statements of Theorem 2 follow immediately from Theorem 1.

Estimates of the type “ α -small” give the following theorems:

THEOREM 3. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables such that (1) holds with γ_j , $0 \leq j \leq r$, as the moments of the standard normally distributed random variable.

If (5) holds with $s \geq r$, then for any $f \in C_B^{(r)}(\mathbb{R})$ and an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(27) \quad ||T_{S_n} f - T_Y f|| \leq 2\varepsilon s_n^{2s-r} (a_{ns}^{1-r/s}(\delta) B_{ns} + B'_{ns}) + \frac{2||f^{(r)}||}{r!} A_{ns}^{(s)}(\delta),$$

where δ is the number introduced above.

THEOREM 4. Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent random variables such that (12) holds with γ_j , $0 \leq j \leq r$, as the moments of the standard normally distributed random variable.

If (13) holds with $s \geq r$, then for any $f \in C_B^{(r)}(\mathbb{R})$ and an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(28) \quad ||T_{S_n/\sqrt{n}} f - T_Y f|| \\ \leq 2n^{s-(r-2)/2} \left[E \frac{|X|^s}{n^s + X^{2s}} + E \frac{|Y|^s}{n^s + Y^{2s}} \right] + 2Cn^{-(s-2)/2} \bar{A}_n^{(s)}(\delta).$$

To prove (27) it is enough to use for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the decomposition

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^r [f^{(r)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(r)}(y)] dF_i(x) \\ = \int_{|x| < \varepsilon s_n} x^r [f^{(r)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(r)}(y)] dF_i(x) + \int_{|x| \geq \varepsilon s_n} x^r [f^{(r)}(\theta x/s_n + y) - f^{(r)}(y)] dF_i(x),$$

Estimate (28) follows from (27).

COROLLARY. Under the assumptions of Theorem 4 for $s > r$

$$||T_{S_n/\sqrt{n}} f - T_Y f|| = o \left(n^{s-(r-2)/2} \left[E \frac{|X|^s}{n^s + X^{2s}} + E \frac{|Y|^s}{n^s + Y^{2s}} \right] \right).$$

References

- [1] P. L. Butzer, L. Hahn, and U. Westphal, *On the rate of approximation in the central limit theorem*, J. Approximation Theory 13 (1975), pp. 327–340.
- [2] A. Rényi, *Probability theory*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest 1970.
- [3] H. F. Trotter, *An elementary proof of the central limit theorem*, Arch. Math. 9 (1959), pp. 226–234.

Presented to the Semester
Probability Theory
February 11–June 11, 1976

and then to follow the considerations given in the proof of Theorem 1.