

## FREE CUMULANTS OF SOME PROBABILITY MEASURES

MELANIE HINZ

*Institut für Mathematik und Informatik  
Ernst-Moritz-Arndt Universität Greifswald  
Jahnstrasse 15a, D-17487 Greifswald, Germany  
E-mail: melanie.hinz@uni-greifswald.de*

WOJCIECH MŁOTKOWSKI

*Institute of Mathematics, Wrocław University  
Pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland  
E-mail: mlotkow@math.uni.wroc.pl*

**1. Preliminaries.** Let  $X$  be a finite, linearly ordered set. By a *noncrossing partition* of  $X$  we will mean a collection  $\pi$  of nonempty, pairwise disjoint subsets (called *blocks* of  $\pi$ ) such that  $\bigcup \pi = X$ , which satisfies the following condition: if  $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4$ , with  $x_1, x_3 \in U_1 \in \pi$  and  $x_2, x_4 \in U_2 \in \pi$ , then  $U_1 = U_2$ . The class of all noncrossing partitions of  $X$  will be denoted by  $\text{NC}(X)$ . We also define  $\text{NC}_{1,2}(X)$  as the family of those  $\sigma \in \text{NC}(X)$  for which every block has at most 2 elements. We will write  $\text{NC}(m)$  and  $\text{NC}_{1,2}(m)$  instead of  $\text{NC}(\{1, 2, \dots, m\})$  and  $\text{NC}_{1,2}(\{1, 2, \dots, m\})$ .

Every  $\pi \in \text{NC}(X)$  admits a natural partial order. Namely, for  $U, V \in \pi$  we write  $U \prec V$  whenever there are  $r, s \in V$  such that  $r < k < s$  holds for every  $k \in U$ . We define the *depth* of a block as  $d(U, \pi) := |\{V \in \pi : U \prec V\}|$ . A block is called *outer* if  $d(U, \pi) = 0$ , otherwise it is called *inner*. Note that for every inner block  $U \in \pi$  there is a unique block in  $\pi$ , denoted by  $U'$ , such that  $U \prec U'$  and  $d(U, \pi) = d(U', \pi) + 1$ . We also define derivatives of higher orders by  $V^{(0)} := V$  and  $V^{(k)} := (V^{(k-1)})'$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46L54; Secondary 60C05.

*Key words and phrases*: noncrossing partitions, free cumulants, Jacobi coefficients.

Research of W. Młotkowski has been supported by KBN grant 1P03A 01330, by a Marie Curie Transfer of Knowledge Fellowship of the European Community's Sixth Framework Programme under contract number MTKD-CT-2004-013389 and by 7010 POLONIUM project "Non-Commutative Harmonic Analysis with Applications to Operator Spaces, Operator Algebras and Probability".

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

Let  $\mu$  be a compactly supported probability measure on the real line, with the moment sequence

$$s_m(\mu) := \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} t^m d\mu(t). \tag{1}$$

Then there is a unique sequence  $\{P_m(x)\}_{m=0}^\infty$  of monic polynomials, with  $\deg P_m = m$ , which are orthogonal with respect to  $\mu$ . It is known that they satisfy the recurrence relation:  $P_0(x) = 1$  and for  $m \geq 0$

$$xP_m(x) = P_{m+1}(x) + \beta_m P_m(x) + \gamma_{m-1} P_{m-1}(x), \tag{2}$$

under convention that  $P_{-1} = 0$ , where the *Jacobi coefficients* satisfy:  $\beta_m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\gamma_m \geq 0$  and if  $\gamma_m = 0$  for some  $m$  then  $\gamma_n = \beta_n = 0$  for every  $n > m$  (see [Ch]). These coefficients show up in the continued fraction expansion of the Cauchy transform of  $\mu$ , namely:

$$G_\mu(z) := \int_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{t - z} = \frac{1}{z - \beta_0 - \frac{\gamma_0}{z - \beta_1 - \frac{\gamma_1}{z - \beta_2 - \frac{\gamma_2}{z - \beta_3 - \frac{\gamma_3}{\ddots}}}}}. \tag{3}$$

There is a combinatorial formula, due to Accardi and Bożejko, connecting moments and the Jacobi coefficients of  $\mu$ , namely

$$s_m(\mu) = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{NC}_{1,2}(m)} \prod_{\substack{V \in \sigma \\ |V|=1}} \beta_{d(V,\sigma)} \prod_{\substack{V \in \sigma \\ |V|=2}} \gamma_{d(V,\sigma)}. \tag{4}$$

Another important numbers related to  $\mu$  are the *free cumulants*  $r_m(\mu)$ ,  $m \geq 1$  (see [S1, S2]), which are defined by:

$$s_m(\mu) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \prod_{U \in \pi} r_{|U|}(\mu). \tag{5}$$

Their generating function

$$R_\mu(z) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty r_{k+1}(\mu) z^k \tag{6}$$

is called the *R-transform*. These two functions are related by

$$\frac{1}{G_\mu(z)} = z - R_\mu(G_\mu(z)). \tag{7}$$

From now on we will confine ourselves to a special class of measures  $\mu$ . For  $a > 0$ ,  $b \geq 0$  and  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$  we define  $\mu(a, b, u, v)$  as the unique  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$  such that its Jacobi coefficients are:

$$\gamma_m = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } m = 0, \\ b & \text{if } m \geq 1, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

$$\beta_m = \begin{cases} u & \text{if } m = 0, \\ v & \text{if } m = 1, \\ v & \text{if } m > 1 \text{ and } b > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 1 \text{ and } b = 0. \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

This class of measures was first studied by Cohen and Trenholme [CT] from the point of view of harmonic analysis. Then Saitoh and Yoshida studied them from the point of view of free probability. For  $\mu = \mu(a, b, u, v)$  they calculated the Cauchy transform:

$$G_\mu(z) = \frac{2b(z-u) - a(z-v) - a\sqrt{(z-v)^2 - 4b}}{2b(z-u)^2 - 2a(z-u)(z-v) + 2a^2} \quad (10)$$

and the  $R$ -transform:

$$R_\mu(w) = u + \frac{aw}{1 + (u-v)w}. \quad (11)$$

if  $a = b$  and

$$R_\mu(w) = u + \frac{a}{b-a} \frac{1 - (v-u)w + \sqrt{((v-u)w - 1)^2 - 4(b-a)w^2}}{2w} \quad (12)$$

otherwise. For  $a \leq b$  the authors of [SY] found the Lévy-Khinchin formula:

$$R_\mu(z) = u + a \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{z}{1-tz} d\nu(t), \quad (13)$$

where if  $a = b$  then  $\nu = \delta_{v-u}$  and if  $a < b$  then  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with density

$$\frac{1}{2\pi(b-a)} \sqrt{4(b-a) - (t - (v-u))^2} \quad (14)$$

on the interval  $(t - (v-u))^2 \leq 4(b-a)$ . Basing on this they proved that  $\mu(a, b, u, v)$  is infinitely divisible with respect to the free convolution if and only if  $a \leq b$ . Bożejko and Bryc [BB] observed that one can use (13) to find the free cumulants of  $\mu(a, b, u, v)$  when  $a \leq b$ . Now, since every free cumulant  $r_m(\mu(a, b, u, v))$  is a polynomial in  $a, b, u, v$ , the resulting formula holds for all  $\mu(a, b, u, v)$ . The aim of this paper is to find the free cumulants of  $\mu(a, b, u, v)$  in a purely combinatorial way.

**2. The result.** Now we are ready to state the result.

**THEOREM.** *For the free cumulants  $r_m := r_m(\mu(a, b, u, v))$  we have:  $r_1 = u$  and for  $n \geq 1$*

$$r_{2n} = a \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(2n-2)!}{(2k-2)!(n-k)!(n-k+1)!} (b-a)^{n-k} (v-u)^{2k-2}, \quad (15)$$

$$r_{2n+1} = a \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(2n-1)!}{(2k-1)!(n-k)!(n-k+1)!} (b-a)^{n-k} (v-u)^{2k-1}. \quad (16)$$

*Proof.* Putting  $s_m := s_m(\mu(a, b, u, v))$  we have from the Bożejko-Accardi formula:

$$s_m = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{NC}_{1,2}(m)} a^{\text{out}_2(\sigma)} u^{\text{out}_1(\sigma)} b^{\text{inn}_2(\sigma)} v^{\text{inn}_1(\sigma)}, \quad (17)$$

where  $\text{out}_2(\sigma)$ ,  $\text{out}_1(\sigma)$ ,  $\text{inn}_2(\sigma)$ ,  $\text{inn}_1(\sigma)$  denotes the number of outer or inner blocks  $V \in \sigma$ , with  $|V| = 2$  or  $|V| = 1$ , respectively. For fixed  $\sigma \in \text{NC}_{1,2}(m)$  the related

summand can be written as

$$a^{\text{out}_2(\sigma)} u^{\text{out}_1(\sigma)} ((b-a) + a)^{\text{inn}_2(\sigma)} ((v-u) + u)^{\text{inn}_1(\sigma)}. \tag{18}$$

After expanding we get a sum of products of factors of the form  $a, u, (b-a), (v-u)$ , which can be described in terms of *signed noncrossing partitions*. By a *signing* of  $\sigma \in \text{NC}_{1,2}(m)$  we will mean a function  $\epsilon : \sigma \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  such that  $\epsilon(V) = 0$  whenever  $V \in \sigma$  is an outer block. We will denote by  $\text{Sign}(\sigma)$  the family of all signings of  $\sigma$ . Now we define the *weight* of a signed block:

$$w(V, \epsilon) := \begin{cases} u & \text{if } |V| = 1 \text{ and } \epsilon(V) = 0, \\ v - u & \text{if } |V| = 1 \text{ and } \epsilon(V) = 1, \\ a & \text{if } |V| = 2 \text{ and } \epsilon(V) = 0, \\ b - a & \text{if } |V| = 2 \text{ and } \epsilon(V) = 1, \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

and the weight of a signed partition:

$$w(\sigma, \epsilon) := \prod_{V \in \sigma} w(V, \epsilon). \tag{20}$$

Then the expansion of the product (18) can be written as

$$\sum_{\epsilon \in \text{Sign}(\sigma)} w(\sigma, \epsilon). \tag{21}$$

Now, for a fixed pair  $(\sigma, \epsilon)$ , with  $\sigma \in \text{NC}_{1,2}(m)$ ,  $\epsilon \in \text{Sign}(\sigma)$  we define a partition  $\Pi(\sigma, \epsilon)$  by gluing a block  $V$  with  $V'$  whenever  $\epsilon(V) = 1$ . More precisely, define a relation  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $\sigma$ :  $URV$  iff  $V = U'$  and  $\epsilon(U) = 1$ . Let  $\sim$  be the smallest equivalence relation on  $\sigma$  containing  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then we define a partition  $\Pi(\sigma, \epsilon)$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  whose blocks are of the form  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\mathcal{C} \in \sigma / \sim$ . This means that  $k$  and  $l$  are in the same block of  $\Pi(\sigma, \epsilon)$  if and only if there are blocks  $U, V \in \sigma$ , with  $k \in U, l \in V$ , and numbers  $r, s \geq 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(U) = \epsilon(U') = \dots = \epsilon(U^{(r-1)}) &= 1, \\ \epsilon(V) = \epsilon(V') = \dots = \epsilon(V^{(s-1)}) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

and  $U^{(r)} = V^{(s)}$ . It is easy to see that  $\Pi(\sigma, \epsilon)$  is noncrossing.

On the other hand, for fixed  $\pi \in \text{NC}(m)$ , we have  $\Pi(\sigma, \epsilon) = \pi$  if and only if  $\sigma$  is finer than  $\pi$  (i.e. for every  $V \in \sigma$  there is  $U \in \pi$  such that  $V \subseteq U$ ) and for every  $U \in \pi$ , if  $U = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ ,  $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_r$ , then we have  $\{k_1, k_r\} \in \sigma$ , with sign 0, and all the blocks of  $\sigma$  which are contained in  $\{k_2, \dots, k_{r-1}\}$  have sign 1. In particular, every one-element block  $U \in \pi$  must be a block of  $\sigma$  with sign 0. Therefore the sum of weights  $w(\sigma, \epsilon)$  with  $\Pi(\sigma, \epsilon) = \pi$  is equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \text{NC}_{1,2}(m), \\ \epsilon \in \text{Sign}(\sigma), \\ \Pi(\sigma, \epsilon) = \pi}} w(\sigma, \epsilon) = u^{N_1(\pi)} \prod_{\substack{U \in \pi \\ |U| > 1}} \sum_{\sigma_U \in \text{NC}_{1,2}(|U|-2)} a(v-u)^{N_1(\sigma_U)} (b-a)^{N_2(\sigma_U)}, \tag{22}$$

where  $N_1(\sigma), N_2(\sigma)$  denotes the number of one- and two-element blocks in  $\sigma$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} s_m &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{NC}_{1,2}(m)} a^{\text{out}_2(\sigma)} u^{\text{out}_1(\sigma)} b^{\text{inn}_2(\sigma)} v^{\text{inn}_1(\sigma)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{NC}_{1,2}(m)} a^{\text{out}_2(\sigma)} u^{\text{out}_1(\sigma)} ((b-a) + a)^{\text{inn}_2(\sigma)} ((v-u) + u)^{\text{inn}_1(\sigma)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} u^{N_1(\pi)} \prod_{\substack{U \in \pi \\ |U| > 1}} \sum_{\tau \in \text{NC}_{1,2}(|U|-2)} a(v-u)^{N_1(\tau)} (b-a)^{N_2(\tau)} \\
 &= \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(m)} \prod_{U \in \pi} c_{|U|},
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_1 = u$  and for  $m \geq 2$

$$c_m = a \cdot \sum_{\tau \in \text{NC}_{1,2}(m-2)} (v-u)^{N_1(\tau)} (b-a)^{N_2(\tau)}. \tag{23}$$

Since the numbers  $c_m$  satisfy the same recurrence relation (5) as  $r_m$ , we have  $r_m = s_m$  for every  $m \geq 1$ . It is well known that the number of those  $\pi \in \text{NC}(2j)$  for which  $|V| = 2$  for every  $V \in \pi$  is equal to the Catalan number  $\frac{1}{j+1} \binom{2j}{j}$ . Hence the number of partitions  $\sigma \in \text{NC}_{1,2}(m)$  with  $i$  blocks of order one and  $j$  blocks of order two,  $i + 2j = m$ , is equal to  $\binom{m}{i} \frac{1}{j+1} \binom{2j}{j}$ , which leads to the coefficients in (10) and (11). ■

Observe that the subclass  $\{\mu(a, 0, u, v) : a > 0, u, v \in \mathbb{R}\}$  coincides with the family of two-point probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ , namely:

$$\mu(a, 0, u, v) = p_- \delta_{x_-} + p_+ \delta_{x_+}, \tag{24}$$

where

$$p_{\pm} = \frac{\sqrt{(u-v)^2 + 4a} \pm (u-v)}{2\sqrt{(u-v)^2 + 4a}}, \tag{25}$$

$$x_{\pm} = \frac{u+v \pm \sqrt{(u-v)^2 + 4a}}{2}. \tag{26}$$

and, on the other hand,

$$a = p_+ p_- (x_+ - x_-)^2, \tag{27}$$

$$u = p_+ x_+ + p_- x_-, \tag{28}$$

$$v = p_+ x_- + p_- x_+. \tag{29}$$

**COROLLARY.** For  $a, b > 0, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \geq 0$  the free power  $\mu(a, b, u, v)^{\boxplus t}$  exists if and only if  $b - a + ta \geq 0$  and then

$$\mu(a, b, u, v)^{\boxplus t} = \mu(ta, b - a + ta, tu, v - u + tu). \tag{30}$$

In particular,  $\mu(a, b, u, v)$  is infinitely divisible if and only if  $a \leq b$ .

If  $0 \leq b < a$  then  $\mu(a, b, u, v)$  is a free power of a two point measure:

$$\mu(a, b, u, v) = \mu\left(a - b, 0, \frac{a-b}{a}u, v - u + \frac{a-b}{a}u\right)^{\boxplus \frac{a}{a-b}}. \tag{31}$$

*Proof.* The first statement holds because one can see from the theorem that for every  $m \geq 1$

$$r_m(\mu(ta, b - a + ta, tu, v - u + tu)) = t \cdot r_m(\mu(a, b, u, v)). \tag{32}$$

The rest is an obvious consequence. ■

**References**

- [AB] L. Accardi and M. Bożejko, *Interacting Fock spaces and Gaussianization of probability measures*, *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.* 1 (1998), 663–670.
- [BB] M. Bożejko and W. Bryc, *On a class of free Lévy laws related to a regression problem*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 236 (2006), 59–77.
- [Ch] T. S. Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, 1978.
- [CT] J. M. Cohen and A. Trenholme, *Orthogonal polynomials with a constant recursion formula and an application to harmonic analysis*, *J. Funct. Anal.* 59 (1984), 175–184.
- [SY] N. Saitoh and H. Yoshida, *The infinite divisibility and orthogonal polynomials with a constant recursion formula in free probability theory*, *Probab. Math. Statist.* 21 (2001), 159–170.
- [S1] R. Speicher, *A new example of “independence” and “white noise”*, *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* 84 (1990), 141–159.
- [S2] R. Speicher, *Multiplicative functions on the lattice of non-crossing partitions and free convolution*, *Math. Ann.* 298 (1994), 611–628.
- [Vi] G. Viennot, *Une théorie combinatoire des polynômes orthogonaux généraux*, Lecture Notes, UQAM, 1983.