

WAVE FRONTS TO SOME MODIFICATIONS OF KORTEWEG–de VRIES AND BURGERS–KORTEWEG–de VRIES EQUATIONS

BOGDAN PRZERADZKI and KATARZYNA SZYMAŃSKA-DEBOWSKA

Institute of Mathematics, Technical University of Łódź

90-924 Łódź, ul. Wólczajska 215, Poland

E-mail: przeradz@p.lodz.pl, katarzyna.szymanska-debowska@p.lodz.pl

Abstract. The existence of a traveling wave with special properties to modified KdV and BKdV equations is proved. Nonlinear terms in the equations are defined by means of a function f of an unknown u satisfying some conditions.

1. Introduction. Waves on shallow water can be described by nonlinear evolution equations such as Korteweg–de Vries equation

$$u_t + u_{xxx} - 6uu_x = 0.$$

One can extend possible applications if the dispersive term has a more general form $f(u)u_x$ with some appropriate function f . If we want to include dissipation in the model, the Burgers–Korteweg–de Vries equation will fit better:

$$u_t + u_{xxx} + \mu u_{xx} - 6uu_x = 0.$$

The difference between the two equations lies in the term μu_{xx} which has the effect that the second equation is similar to the diffusion equation (and also has similar properties). Again, we replace the dispersive term by a general one $f(u)u_x$. Equations of these type model many physical phenomena such as shallow-water waves with weakly non-linear restoring forces, ion-acoustic waves in a plasma, and acoustic waves on a crystal lattice. They first appeared in [8] but the history is long and complicated, see [1, 2].

Most nonlinear partial differential equations cannot be explicitly solved; one can study only special solutions such as steady-state ones ($u_t = 0$) or traveling waves

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 34B15; Secondary 35Q53, 35A24.

Key words and phrases: Traveling waves, heteroclinic orbits, Burgers–Korteweg–de Vries equation, Korteweg–de Vries equation.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

$u(t, x) = z(x - vt)$, [9]. This last case is especially important since all considered equations are models of wave phenomena and solutions of this form vary strongly in time in the whole future: they do not converge as $t \rightarrow \infty$ to stationary solutions. The resulting equation for the function z is an ordinary differential equation which simplifies considerations: methods from the theory of dynamical systems can be used. On the other hand, ODEs have many solutions and we can put additional conditions on the behavior of the function z . If the limits $z_{\pm} := \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \pm\infty} z(\xi)$ exist and $z_+ = z_-$, then we have a solitary wave; if $z_+ \neq z_-$, we have found a wave front. Some authors distinguish wave front solutions (which have constant sign) from so called kick-profile waves (which change sign infinitely many times). The existence of traveling waves has been shown for many other equations [10, 12].

Sometimes, a nonlinear equation has such a form that the method of inverse scattering can be applied [3]. This method gives the exact solution although the formula for the solution is not explicit. Many authors search for exact solutions to a given equation which has a special form (sine or cosine functions, exponential functions) [5, 7, 11, 12] but this is impossible if the nonlinearity f is general. Here, we will show the existence of a traveling wave assuming only qualitative behavior of f .

2. Definitions. Let us consider the following modified KdV equation

$$u_{xxx} + u_t + f(u)u_x = 0, \quad (1)$$

and the modified BKdV equation

$$u_{xxx} + u_t + f(u)u_x + \mu u_{xx} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $\mu > 0$.

DEFINITION 1. By a *traveling wave* of equations (1) and (2) we mean any solution

$$u(x, t) = z(\xi),$$

where $z \in C^3(\mathbb{R})$, $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, $\xi = x - vt$, $v \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that there exist finite limits

$$z_- := \lim_{\xi \rightarrow -\infty} z(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad z_+ := \lim_{\xi \rightarrow +\infty} z(\xi).$$

DEFINITION 2. We say that the traveling wave is a *wave front* if

$$z_- \neq z_+.$$

DEFINITION 3. We say that a wave front is a *kick-profile wave solution* if $z(\xi)$ tends oscillating to z_- (alternatively z_+) when $\xi \rightarrow -\infty$ (alternatively $\xi \rightarrow +\infty$).

3. The modified KdV equation. In this section, we shall study equation (1) under the following hypotheses on $f \in C^1([0, \infty))$:

- (i) $f(0) = 0$,
- (ii) there exists z_0 such that $f'(z) > 0$ for $z \in (0, z_0)$ and $f'(z) < 0$ for $z > z_0$,
- (iii) there exists $R > 0$ such that $zf'(z)$ is decreasing for $z > R$.

First, we shall show properties of three functions defined through f which will be used later. The function $k : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$k(z) = zf(z) - \int_0^z f(s) ds. \quad (3)$$

Notice that

$$k'(z) = zf'(z) \quad \text{and} \quad k''(z) = zf''(z) + f'(z).$$

By assumptions (i) and (ii), k is positive and increasing for $z \in (0, z_0)$ and decreasing for $z > z_0$. By assumption (iii), $zf''(z) + f'(z) \leq 0$, hence k is concave for z sufficiently large, so

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} k(z) = -\infty.$$

So, there exists only one point $z_k > z_0$ such that $k(z_k) = 0$. Moreover, observe that

$$k(z) < 0 \quad \text{for} \quad z > z_k. \tag{4}$$

Now, let us consider the function $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{2}z \int_0^z f(s) ds - \int_0^z sf(s) ds. \tag{5}$$

We have

$$g'(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(zf(z) - \int_0^z f(s) ds \right) = -\frac{1}{2}k(z)$$

and

$$g''(z) = -\frac{1}{2}zf'(z).$$

By assumptions (i) and (ii) we see that $g'(0) = 0$ and $g''(z) < 0$ for $z \in (0, z_0)$, hence $g'(z) < 0$ in $(0, z_0)$. Thus g is negative, decreasing and concave in $(0, z_0)$. Moreover, if $z > z_k$ then by (4) $g'(z) > 0$ and by (ii) $g''(z) > 0$. Therefore g becomes increasing and convex for $z > z_k$. Finally, there exists the unique $z_g > z_k$ such that $g(z_g) = 0$.

Define the third auxiliary function by the formula

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{z} \int_0^z f(s) ds. \tag{6}$$

Observe that $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = -\infty$. Indeed, let $\bar{z} > \max(z_0, R)$, then, by (iii), we get $zf'(z) < \bar{z}f'(\bar{z})$, for $z \geq \bar{z}$. Hence

$$\int_{\bar{z}}^z f'(s) ds < \int_{\bar{z}}^z \frac{\bar{z}f'(\bar{z})}{s} ds,$$

and

$$f(z) < \bar{z}f'(\bar{z}) (\ln z - \ln \bar{z}) + f(\bar{z}).$$

Since $\bar{z}f'(\bar{z}) < 0$, we have $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) = -\infty$.

Now, by assumptions (i) and (ii), $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0^+} h(z) = 0$. Moreover, $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} h(z) = -\infty$. By (3) and (6), we get

$$h'(z) = \frac{zf(z) - \int_0^z f(s) ds}{z^2} = \frac{k(z)}{z^2}.$$

By (4), we know that h is increasing for $z \in (0, z_k)$ and then decreasing.

Now, assume that

$$(iv) \int_0^{z_g} f(s) ds > 0.$$

THEOREM 1. *Under assumptions (i)–(iv), there exists a velocity of wave $v > 0$ such that the equation (1) has at least one wave front solution.*

Proof. Looking for a traveling wave of (1) we get the differential equation

$$z''' - vz' + f(z)z' = 0, \tag{7}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} z' = x \\ x' = y \\ y' = vx - f(z)x. \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

We can write down equation (7) as

$$\left(z'' - vz + \int_0^z f(s) ds \right)' = 0.$$

Hence, we get

$$z'' = vz - \int_0^z f(s) ds + A, \quad A \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We have got a conservative system with the potential

$$U(z) = -\frac{1}{2}vz^2 - Az + \int_0^z (z - s)f(s) ds.$$

The existence of wave fronts of (1) is equivalent to existence of a heteroclinic orbit of the system (8) between points $(z_-, 0, 0)$ and $(z_+, 0, 0)$.

In our case, to get the heteroclinic orbit of (8) the potential U might have two maximum points: z_- and z_+ at which U has the same values and one minimum point z_1 , where $z_- < z_1 < z_+$.

Let $z_- = 0$ and $U(0) = 0$, hence $A = 0$. We have

$$U(z) = -\frac{1}{2}vz^2 + \int_0^z (z - s)f(s) ds, \tag{9}$$

and

$$U'(z) = -vz + \int_0^z f(s) ds. \tag{10}$$

Notice that $U'(0) = 0$ and $U''(0) = -v + f(0) < 0$. Hence, the potential U has a maximum at 0.

Set

$$v := \frac{1}{z_g} \int_0^{z_g} f(s) ds, \tag{11}$$

where z_g is the zero of g . By (iv), we get $v > 0$. Now, we have

$$U(z_g) = g(z_g) = 0.$$

Observe that there exists a point z_1 , $z_1 < z_k < z_g$ such that $h(z_1) = h(z_g)$. By (10), we have

$$U'(z_1) = U'(z_g) = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$U''(z_g) = -\frac{1}{z_g} \int_0^{z_g} f(s) ds + f(z_g) < 0.$$

Indeed, by (4), $k(z_g) < 0$, so $z_g f(z_g) - \int_0^{z_g} f(s) ds < 0$. Similarly, we get

$$U''(z_1) = -\frac{1}{z_1} \int_0^{z_1} f(t) dt + f(z_1) > 0.$$

Finally, we get that the potential U has a maximum equal to 0 at 0 and z_g and a minimum at z_1 . Moreover, $U(z) \leq 0$ for $z \in (0, z_g)$. Indeed, by (6), (10) and (11), $U(z)$ is increasing for $z \in (z_1, z_g)$ and decreasing in the remaining cases. Hence, there exists a heteroclinic orbit between 0 and z_g and the proof is complete. ■

4. The modified BKdV equation. Here, we shall consider equation (2).

THEOREM 2. *Let $f \in C^2([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ satisfy the following assumptions:*

- (i) $f(0) = 0$,
- (ii) $f'(z) > 0$ for $z > 0$.

Then, for all $v \in (0, v_0)$, where $v_0 = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} f(z) \in (0, +\infty]$, the equation (2) has at least one wave front solution (a kick-profile wave solution in a case).

Proof. When we look for traveling wave solutions of (2) we get the ordinary differential equation

$$z''' - vz' + f(z)z' + \mu z'' = 0.$$

Hence

$$(z'' - vz + F(z) + \mu z')' = 0,$$

where $F(z) = \int_0^z f(s) ds$. By the above, we get

$$z'' = vz - F(z) - \mu z' + A,$$

which is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} z' = y \\ y' = vz - F(z) - \mu y + A. \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

Due to the definition, the existence of wave fronts of (2) is equivalent to the existence of an orbit of system (12) connecting points $(z_-, 0)$ and $(z_+, 0)$.

Set $A = 0$. The stationary points of (12) sit on z axis, where $vz = F(z)$. Since F is convex and $F(0) = 0$, we have at most two such points and exactly two (notice that F is defined only for $z \geq 0$) iff $v \in (0, v_0)$. The Jacobi matrix of the vector field defined by the right-hand side of (12) equals at these stationary points

$$J(z_{\pm}, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ v - f(z_{\pm}) & -\mu \end{bmatrix}$$

and the characteristic polynomial is

$$P_{\pm}(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + \mu\lambda + f(z_{\pm}) - v.$$

Since $0 = f(z_-) < v$, the eigenvalues at z_- have opposite signs and this stationary point is a saddle. Similarly $f(z_+) > v$ and the eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\mu \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4}\mu^2 + v - f(z_+)} \right)$$

are both real negative if $f(z_+) - v \leq \frac{1}{4}\mu^2$ —in this case the second stationary point is a stable node, or complex conjugate with negative real parts if $f(z_+) - v > \frac{1}{4}\mu^2$ —it is a stable focus.

It remains to show that the trajectory tending to $(z_-, 0)$ as $t \rightarrow -\infty$ is the sought heteroclinic orbit. Let us consider the function of energy $E(z, y) = \frac{1}{2}y^2 + \int_0^z (F(t) - vt) dt$. The directional derivative of E in direction given by the vector field (12) $E' = -y^2$, hence E is decreasing along all trajectories. On the other hand, E tends to ∞ if $\|(z, y)\| \rightarrow \infty$, that gives all trajectories are bounded as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Moreover, $E(z_-, 0) = 0$ and $E(0, y) = \frac{1}{2}y^2 \geq 0$, hence the trajectory outgoing from $(z_-, 0)$ cannot escape from the half-plane $z > 0$.

On the other hand, the divergence of the vector field equals -1 , thus, by the Bendixson criterion, there are no periodic orbits neither homoclinic ones. Therefore the trajectory outgoing from $(z_-, 0)$ will tend to the second stationary point due to the Poincaré–Bendixson Theorem. This ends the proof; the kick-profile wave is obtained for the case $f(z_+) - v > \frac{1}{4}$. ■

REMARK. We have set arbitrarily $A = 0$. For $A \neq 0$, at least one of two stationary points of (12) is lost: if $A > 0$ it remains only z_+ , if $A < 0$ we can even lose both points for sufficiently small v .

References

- [1] L. Debnath, *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations for Scientists and Engineers*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- [2] P. G. Drazin, R. S. Johnson, *Solitons: an Introduction*, Cambridge Texts Appl. Math., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [3] C. S. Gardner, J. M. Greene, M. D. Kruskal, R. M. Miura, *Method for solving the Korteweg–de Vries equation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967), 1095–1097.
- [4] S. Haq, Siraj-Ul-Islam, M. Uddin, *A mesh-free method for the numerical solution of the KdV–Burgers equation*, Appl. Math. Model. 33 (2009), 3442–3449.
- [5] M. M. Hassan, *Exact solitary wave solutions for a generalized KdV–Burgers equation*, Chaos Solitons Fractals 19 (2004), 1201–1206.
- [6] M. A. Helal, *Soliton solution of some nonlinear partial differential equations and its applications in fluid mechanics*, Chaos Solitons Fractals 13 (2002), 1917–1929.
- [7] M. A. Helal, M. S. Mehanna, *A comparison between two different methods for solving KdV–Burgers equation*, Chaos Solitons Fractals 28 (2006), 320–326.
- [8] D. J. Korteweg, G. de Vries, *On the change of form of long waves advancing in a rectangular canal and on a new type of long stationary wave*, Philos. Mag. (5) 39 (1895), 422–443.
- [9] J. D. Logan, *An Introduction to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations*, Pure Appl. Math. (N.Y.), Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1994.
- [10] B. Przeradzki, *Travelling waves for reaction–diffusion equations with time depending nonlinearities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 281 (2003), 164–170.
- [11] S. Tanaka, *Korteweg–de Vries equation: construction of solutions in terms of scattering data*, Osaka J. Math. 11 (1974), 49–59.
- [12] L. P. Wu, S. F. Chen, C. P. Pang, *Traveling wave solutions for generalized Drinfeld–Sokolov equations*, Appl. Math. Model. 33 (2009), 4126–4130.