

ON LIPSCHITZ CONTINUITY OF THE SOLUTION MAP FOR TWO-DIMENSIONAL WAVE MAPS

PIERO D'ANCONA

Università di Roma "La Sapienza"
Dipartimento di Matematica
Piazzale A. Moro 2, I-00185 Roma, Italy
E-mail: dancona@mat.uniroma1.it

VLADIMIR GEORGIEV

Dipartimento di Matematica
Università degli Studi di Pisa
Via F. Buonarroti 2, 56100 Pisa, Italy
E-mail: georgiev@dm.unipi.it

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to analyze the properties of the solution map

$$(u_0, u_1) \mapsto u(t, x)$$

to the Cauchy problem for the wave map equation

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + (|u_t|^2 - |\nabla_x u|^2)u = 0$$

with initial data

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(0, x) = u_1(x),$$

in the case when $x \in \mathbf{R}^2$ and the target is the unit sphere \mathbf{S}^n (embedded in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}), $n \geq 2$. Thus

$$u : \mathbf{R}_t \times \mathbf{R}_x^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^n$$

and we have the additional constraint $|u| = 1$.

For this problem several results of global well-posedness are available under suitable smallness assumptions on the initial data (see [4], [12], [13], [7]). Moreover, the existence of a global weak solution in H^1 is known ([6], [14], [1]) and the existence and uniqueness

Research of the authors supported by Contratto MIURT: "Teoria e applicazioni delle equazioni iperboliche lineari e non lineari".

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 35L10; Secondary 35L50.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

of smooth solutions under suitable assumptions of symmetry is well-known for the case of geodesically convex two-dimensional targets (see [8], [2]). For the case of target \mathbf{S}^2 the existence of smooth classical solutions was recently proved by Struwe [10].

A basic question still open concerns the well posedness, even local in time, in the energy space, i.e., for data in $H^1 \times L^2$. This problem is strictly related to the properties of continuity and regularity of the solution map. Indeed, the classical definition of well-posedness implies in particular the continuity of this map; even in a modern sense, we may remark that the standard proofs of existence and uniqueness, which resort to some contraction method, have as a natural consequence the Lipschitz continuity of that map. To quantify this property, denote by $E(t, u)$ the energy of a solution u at the time t :

$$E(t, u) = \|\partial_t u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}^2 + \|\nabla_x u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}^2.$$

Then we may say that the solution map is Lipschitz continuous if we may find a constant C such that for any two solutions u, v the following inequality holds:

$$(1) \quad E(t, u - v) \leq CE(0, u - v), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Note that in this definition the existence of the solution map is not assumed.

The solution map is locally Lipschitz continuous if for any solution u one can find positive constants δ, C such that for any solution v with

$$E(0, u - v) \leq \delta$$

the inequality (1) holds.

Our goal here is to show, by a suitable counterexample, that the solution map *is not locally Lipschitz continuous*. More precisely, we prove the following:

THEOREM 1. *There exists a smooth solution $u : \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^n$ to the wave map equation, such that for any $C > 0, \delta > 0$, we can construct a smooth solution $v : \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^n$ to the wave map equation so that*

$$E(0, u - v) \leq \delta$$

and the Lipschitz condition (1) is not satisfied at $t = 1$.

We remark that the solutions used in the counterexample are radially symmetric, hence the symmetry assumption does not improve the regularity of the solution map.

2. Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for semilinear wave equation.

The linear wave equation

$$(2) \quad \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u = 0$$

with initial data

$$(3) \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x) \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^n), \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = u_1(x) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

satisfies the energy estimate

$$(4) \quad \|\nabla_x u(t)\|_{L^2} + \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L^2} \leq C(\|\nabla_x u_0\|_{L^2} + \|u_1\|_{L^2})$$

provided the initial data u_0, u_1 belong to the Hilbert space

$$(5) \quad H = \dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbf{R}^n).$$

Therefore for any $T > 0$ we have a data-solution map R defined in H with values in $C(I; H)$, $I = [-T, T]$ so that $u(t, x) = R_0(u_0, u_1)$ is a solution to (2) in distribution sense in $(-T, T) \times \mathbf{R}^n$ and satisfies the initial conditions (3).

Moreover, R_0 is a bounded (hence continuous) linear operator

$$R_0 : (u_0, u_1) \in H \rightarrow C(I; H).$$

A slight generalization of the above definition can be done by taking Banach space $X = X(I) \subseteq C(I; H)$ such that R_0 restricted to H is a continuous linear operator

$$R_0 : (u_0, u_1) \in H \rightarrow X.$$

Now we can consider the nonlinear Cauchy problem

$$(6) \quad \partial_t^2 u - \Delta u = F(u), \quad t \in [-T, T], \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n$$

with initial data (3). Here F is a continuous map

$$(7) \quad F : u \in X \rightarrow F(u) \in Y$$

and Y is a subset of the space of distributions $D'((-T, T) \times \mathbf{R}^n)$. The classical well-posedness usually is connected with the continuity of the mapping data-solution, $(u_0, u_1) \rightarrow u(t)$. More precisely, we shall say that the Cauchy problem (6) is well-posed in H if there exists a Banach spaces $X = X(T) \subset C(I, H)$ and one can find a positive $r > 0$ and a continuous operator

$$R : \{(u_0, u_1) \in H : \|(u_0, u_1)\|_H \leq r\} \rightarrow X = X(T),$$

so that $u(t) = R(u_0, u_1)(t)$ is a solution in distribution sense of (6) and satisfies the initial condition (3). The well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the wave maps in $(t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ is studied in [11].

In [3] even weaker regularity of R is assumed, namely the uniform continuity of the mapping data-solution is studied for the case of Schrödinger type equations.

In the case when a standard contraction argument (see [9]) works one can show that the mapping

$$R : (u_0, u_1) \rightarrow u(t)$$

is locally Lipschitz continuous. More precisely, one can find a positive $r > 0$ and C so that

$$(8) \quad \|u - \tilde{u}\|_X \leq C \|(u_0, u_1) - (\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1)\|_H$$

for $(u_0, u_1) \in H$, $(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1) \in H$, satisfying

$$(9) \quad \|(u_0, u_1)\|_H + \|(\tilde{u}_0, \tilde{u}_1)\|_H \leq r.$$

3. Stereographic projection for wave maps. The Cauchy problem for wave maps is the semilinear problem

$$(10) \quad (u_{tt} - \Delta u) + Q(\partial u)u = 0,$$

with initial data

$$(11) \quad u(0, x) = u_0(x) \in \dot{H}^1(\mathbf{R}^2), \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = u_1(x) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2),$$

where

$$(12) \quad Q(\partial u) = |\partial_t u|^2 - |\nabla_x u|^2.$$

Our first step is the reduction of the vector-valued wave equation (10) to a scalar one. For the purpose, we compose the wave map

$$u : (t, x) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^2 \longrightarrow u = u(t, x) \in \mathbf{S}^2$$

with the stereographic projection

$$(13) \quad u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbf{S}^2 \longrightarrow z \in \mathbf{C} \cup \infty,$$

where

$$z = \frac{u_1 + iu_2}{1 + u_3}$$

and the south pole $S = (0, 0, -1)$ is mapped in ∞ . The inverse map is

$$(14) \quad u_1 = \frac{2 \operatorname{Re} z}{1 + |z|^2}, \quad u_2 = \frac{2 \operatorname{Im} z}{1 + |z|^2}, \quad u_3 = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{1 + |z|^2}.$$

The metric induced by the projection is $(1 + |z|^2)^2 |dz|^2$.

The lines through the origin are geodesics on \mathbf{C} . Hence, we can take a geodesic of type

$$\gamma : \operatorname{Im} z = h(\operatorname{Re} z), \quad h(s) = As$$

in \mathbf{C} , where A is a real constant. This geodesics generates a wave map $u = u_\gamma$ (see [7]). Indeed, taking

$$X(t, x) = \operatorname{Re} z(t, x),$$

from (14) we get

$$(15) \quad u_1 = \frac{2X}{1 + X^2 + h^2(X)}, \quad u_2 = \frac{2h(X)}{1 + X^2 + h^2(X)}, \quad u_3 = \frac{1 - X^2 - h^2(X)}{1 + X^2 + h^2(X)}.$$

Substitution of this ansatz into the wave map equation gives the following scalar equation

$$(16) \quad M(X)\square X - L(X)Q(\partial X) = 0,$$

where

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} L(X) &= 4h(X)h'(X)(-3X^2 + h^2(X) + 1) \\ &\quad - (1 - (h'(X))^2)(2X^3 - 6Xh^2(X) - 2X), \\ M(X) &= -X^4 + (1 + h^2(X))^2 - 2X^3h(X)h'(X) \\ &\quad - 2X(1 + h^2(X))h(X)h'(X). \end{aligned}$$

To verify that the wave map equation is reduced to this scalar equation, we start with the relation

$$(18) \quad \partial_{x_j} \frac{2X}{1 + X^2 + h^2(X)} = 2\partial_{x_j} X \left(\frac{1 - X^2 + h^2(X) - 2Xh(X)h'(X)}{(1 + X^2 + h^2(X))^2} \right),$$

$$(19) \quad \partial_{x_j} \frac{2h(X)}{1 + X^2 + h^2(X)} = 2\partial_{x_j} X \left(\frac{-2Xh(X) + (1 + X^2 - h^2(X))h'(X)}{(1 + X^2 + h^2(X))^2} \right)$$

and

$$(20) \quad \partial_{x_j} \frac{1 - X^2 - h^2(X)}{1 + X^2 + h^2(X)} = -4\partial_{x_j} X \left(\frac{X + h(X)h'(X)}{(1 + X^2 + h^2(X))^2} \right).$$

The above three relations imply

$$(21) \quad Q(\partial u) = 4Q(\partial X) \frac{1 + (h'(X))^2}{(1 + X^2 + h^2(X))^2}.$$

For the second derivative (note that $h'' = 0$) we have

$$(22) \quad \partial_{x_j x_j} \frac{2X}{1 + X^2 + h^2(X)} = \frac{2(\partial_{x_j x_j} X)M_1(X) - 4(\partial_{x_j} X)^2 L_1(X)}{(1 + X^2 + h^2(X))^3},$$

where

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} L_1(X) &= X(1 + X^2 + h^2(X))(1 + (h'(X))^2) \\ &\quad + 2(X + h(X)h'(X))(1 - X^2 + h^2(X) - 2Xh(X)h'(X)), \\ M_1 &= (1 - X^2 + h^2(X) - 2Xh(X)h'(X))(1 + X^2 + h^2(X)). \end{aligned}$$

These relations imply

$$(24) \quad \square \left(\frac{2X}{1 + X^2 + h^2(X)} \right) = \frac{2(\square X)M_1(X) - 4Q(\partial X)L_1(X)}{(1 + X^2 + h^2(X))^3},$$

so combining this identity and (21), we obtain (16).

In the special case $h(X) = AX$, where A is a real constant, we obtain

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} L(X) &= 4A^2 X(-3X^2 + A^2 X^2 + 1) - 2X(1 - A^2)(X^2 - 3A^2 X^2 - 1) \\ &= 2X(1 + A^2)(1 - X^2(1 + A^2)), \\ M(X) &= -X^4 + (1 + A^2 X^2)^2 - 2A^2 X^4 - 2A^2 X^2(1 + A^2 X^2) \\ &= (1 - X^2(1 + A^2))(1 + X^2(1 + A^2)). \end{aligned}$$

The equation (16) suggests us to take X so that the equation

$$(26) \quad \square X + f(X)Q(\partial X) = 0$$

be satisfied. Here

$$(27) \quad f(X) = -\frac{2X(1 + A^2)}{1 + X^2(1 + A^2)}.$$

It is clear that (26) implies (16). This scalar nonlinear wave equation can be transformed into linear wave equation (see [5]) by the aid of the transform

$$Y = G(X) \equiv \int_0^X e^{F(s)} ds, \quad F(s) = \int_0^s f(\sigma) d\sigma.$$

So using (27), we find $F(s) = -\ln(1 + B^2 s^2)$, where $B = \sqrt{1 + A^2}$ and

$$Y = B^{-1} \arctan(BX).$$

In conclusion, given any solution of the linear wave equation

$$(28) \quad \square Y = 0$$

the function

$$(29) \quad X = B^{-1} \tan(BY)$$

is a solution of the scalar nonlinear wave equation (26) and from (15) we see that the function $u = u_A(t, x)$ defined by

$$(30) \quad u_1 = \frac{\sin(2BY)}{B}, \quad u_2 = \frac{A \sin(2BY)}{B}, \quad u_3 = \cos(2BY), \quad B = \sqrt{1 + A^2}$$

is a wave map. The special solutions of (28) we shall use have the form

$$(31) \quad Y(t, x) = \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \sin(t|\xi|) e^{(ix\xi)} \varphi(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|}.$$

With this choice we have

$$Y(0, x) = 0, \quad \|\partial_t Y(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x Y(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^2}$$

for any $t \geq 0$. These relations and (30) imply

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t u_A(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x u_A(0, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^2}, \\ & C^{-1} |A_1 - A_2| \|\varphi\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq \|\partial_t (u_{A_1}(0, \cdot) - u_{A_2}(0, \cdot))\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x (u_{A_1}(0, \cdot) - u_{A_2}(0, \cdot))\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C |A_1 - A_2| \|\varphi\|_{L^2} \end{aligned}$$

with some constant C independent of φ, A, A_1, A_2 . Indeed, we have

$$\partial_t u_A(0) = \partial_t Y(0, x)(2, 2A, 0), \quad \nabla_x u_A(0) = \nabla_x Y(0, x)(2, 2A, 0).$$

4. The solution map for wave maps is not Lipschitz continuous. Take two real numbers A, \tilde{A} such that

$$0 \leq A < \tilde{A},$$

\tilde{A} is close enough to A , and consider the wave maps u_A and $u_{\tilde{A}}$ constructed in (30). If the solution map is Lipschitz continuous, then the estimate (32) implies that

$$\|\partial_t (u_A(t, \cdot) - u_{\tilde{A}}(t, \cdot))\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x (u_A(t, \cdot) - u_{\tilde{A}}(t, \cdot))\|_{L^2} \leq C |A - \tilde{A}| \|\varphi\|_{L^2}.$$

Dividing by $|A - \tilde{A}|$ and taking the limit $\tilde{A} \rightarrow A$, we get

$$\|\partial_t \partial_A u_A(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x \partial_A u_A(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^2}.$$

From (30) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_A u_1 &= \frac{2A}{B^2} Y \cos(2BY) - \frac{A}{B^3} \sin(2BY), \\ \partial_A u_2 &= \frac{2A^2}{B^2} Y \cos(2BY) + \frac{1}{B^3} \sin(2BY), \\ \partial_A u_3 &= -\frac{2A}{B} Y \sin(2BY). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the time derivative, we find the following pointwise estimate

$$|\partial_t \partial_A u_A(t, x)| \geq C_0(A) |Y(t, x)| |\partial_t Y(t, x)| - C_1(A) |\partial_t Y(t, x)|,$$

where $C_0(A) > 0$ provided $A > 0$. For space derivatives we have an analogous estimate

$$|\nabla_x \partial_A u_A(t, x)| \geq C_0(A) |Y(t, x)| |\nabla_x Y(t, x)| - C_1(A) |\nabla_x Y(t, x)|.$$

Therefore, we take $A > 0$, say $A = 1$, fix it and then the assumption that the solution map is Lipschitz continuous implies that

$$\begin{aligned} C_0(A)\|Y(t, \cdot)\partial_t Y(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} + C_0(A)\|Y(t, \cdot)\nabla_x Y(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \\ - C_1(A)\|\partial_t Y(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} - C_1(A)\|\nabla_x Y(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \\ \leq \|\partial_t \partial_A u_A(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x \partial_A u_A(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

From (31) we have the classical energy estimate

$$\|\partial_t Y(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla_x Y(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{L^2}$$

and we arrive at

$$(33) \quad \|Y(t, \cdot)\partial_t Y(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} + \|Y(t, \cdot)\nabla_x Y(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{L^2}.$$

Recall that this estimate is valid locally, i.e. only for $\|\varphi\|_{L^2} \leq r$ according to (9). It is a standard argument that shows that the estimate (33) with $\|\varphi\|_{L^2} \leq r$ implies the scale invariant estimate

$$(34) \quad \|Y(t, \cdot)\partial_t Y(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} + \|Y(t, \cdot)\nabla_x Y(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2$$

without any upper bound on $\|\varphi\|_{L^2}$. It is clear also that if the estimate (34) is valid for real valued functions Y , then the same estimate is valid for complex valued functions Y so we can take

$$(35) \quad Y(t, x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^3} \sin(t|\xi|) e^{ix\xi} \varphi(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|}.$$

In the remaining part of this section we shall show that the estimate (34) will lead to a contradiction.

In fact, the estimate (34) will imply

$$(36) \quad \left| \int \Psi(x) Y(t, x) \overline{\partial_t Y(t, x)} dx \right| \leq C\|\Psi\|_{L^2} \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2$$

for any $\Psi \in L^2$. Using the Plancherel identity and (35) we see that this inequality yields

$$(37) \quad \left| \int \int \widehat{\Psi}(\xi - \eta) \cos(t|\xi|) \sin(t|\eta|) \varphi(\xi) \overline{\varphi(\eta)} d\xi \frac{d\eta}{|\eta|} \right| \leq C\|\Psi\|_{L^2} \|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Given any even integer $M > 2$, we set (compare with [5])

$$(38) \quad \varphi_M(\xi) = H(A_M) \frac{1}{|\xi| \ln^{5/8} |\xi|},$$

where

$$(39) \quad A_M = \{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^2 : 2 \leq |\xi| \leq M, \text{dist}(|\xi|, 8\mathbf{Z} + 1) < 1/2\}$$

and $H(A)$ denotes the characteristic function of the set A . The condition $\text{dist}(|\xi|, 8\mathbf{Z} + 1) < 1/2$ is needed to assure the inequality

$$(40) \quad \varphi_M(\xi) \sin(t_0|\xi|) \geq C\varphi_M(\xi) \geq 0, \quad \varphi_M(\xi) \cos(t_0|\xi|) \geq C\varphi_M(\xi) \geq 0$$

with $C > 0$ and $t_0 = \pi/4$. For Ψ we take

$$(41) \quad \widehat{\Psi}_M(\xi) = H(2 \leq |\xi| \leq M) \frac{1}{|\xi| \ln^{9/16} |\xi|}.$$

For any $M > 3$ we have the estimates

$$(42) \quad \|\varphi_M\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C, \quad \|\Psi_M\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C$$

with some constant C independent of $M > 3$. Further, we take $N \in 8\mathbf{Z} + 1$ and $M \in 16\mathbf{Z} + 1$ so that

$$3 < N < \frac{M}{2}.$$

Using the non-negativity property (40), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \int \widehat{\Psi}_M(\xi - \eta) \cos(\pi|\xi|/4) \sin(\pi|\eta|/4) \varphi_M(\xi) \overline{\varphi_M(\eta)} d\xi \frac{d\eta}{|\eta|} \\ & \geq C \int_{3 < |\eta| < N} \int_{|\xi| > 2N} \widehat{\Psi}_M(\xi - \eta) \varphi_M(\xi) \varphi_M(\eta) d\xi \frac{d\eta}{|\eta|}. \end{aligned}$$

For $|\xi| \geq 3, |\eta| \geq 3$ and $|\eta| < |\xi|/2$ we have

$$|\xi - \eta| \sim |\xi|, \quad \ln|\xi - \eta| \sim \ln|\xi|.$$

So the estimate (37) and the definition (41) of $\widehat{\Psi}_M$ lead to the estimate

$$(43) \quad \int_{3 < |\eta| < N} \int_{|\xi| > 2N} \frac{\varphi_M(\xi)}{|\xi| \ln^{9/16}|\xi|} \varphi_M(\eta) d\xi \frac{d\eta}{|\eta|} \leq C$$

with some constant $C > 0$ independent of M, N . Now the definition (38) of φ_M implies

$$\int_{3 < |\eta| < N} \varphi_M(\eta) \frac{d\eta}{|\eta|} \sim \sum_{2 \leq j \leq N, j \in 8\mathbf{Z}+1} \frac{1}{j \ln^{5/8}j} \sim \ln^{3/8} N.$$

In a similar way

$$\int_{|\xi| > 2N} \frac{\varphi_M(\xi)}{|\xi| \ln^{9/16}|\xi|} d\xi \sim \sum_{2N \leq j \leq M, j \in 8\mathbf{Z}+1} \frac{1}{j \ln^{9/16+5/8}j} \sim \frac{1}{\ln^{3/16} N}$$

provided $M \geq N^2$. Consequently, the estimate (43) will lead to

$$\ln^{3/16} N \leq C$$

with $C > 0$ independent of N . This estimate is an obvious contradiction. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

References

- [1] A. FREIRE, S. MÜLLER, M. STRUWE, *Weak compactness of wave maps and harmonic maps*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non-Linéaire 15 (1998), 725–754.
- [2] M. GRILLAKIS, *Classical solutions for the equivariant wave map in 1 + 2 dimensions*, preprint.
- [3] C. KENIG, G. PONCE, L. VEGA, *On the ill-posedness of some canonical dispersive equations*, Duke Math. J. 106 (2001), 617–633.
- [4] S. KLAINERMAN, S. SELBERG, *Remarks on the optimal regularity of equations of wave maps type*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 22 (1997), 901–918.
- [5] K. NAKANISHI, M. OHTA, *On global existence of solutions to nonlinear wave equation of wave map type*, Nonlinear Anal. 42 (2000), 1231–1252.

- [6] J. SHATAH, *Weak solutions and development of singularities in the $SU(2)$ σ -model*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 41 (1988), 459–469.
- [7] J. SHATAH, M. STRUWE, *Geometric Wave Equations*, Courant Lect. Notes Math. 2, New York Univ., Courant Inst. Math. Sci., New York, 1998.
- [8] J. SHATAH, A. S. TAHVILDAR-ZADEH, *On the Cauchy problem for equivariant wave maps*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 47 (1994), 719–754.
- [9] I. SIGAL, *Non-linear semi-groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) 78 (1963), 339–364.
- [10] M. STRUWE, *Radially symmetric wave maps from $1 + 2$ -dimensional Minkowski space to the sphere*, preprint, 2000; Math. Z. (to appear).
- [11] T. TAO, *Ill-posedness for one-dimensional wave maps at the critical regularity*, Amer. J. Math. 122 (2000), 451–463.
- [12] T. TAO, *Global regularity of wave maps II. Small energy in two dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. 224 (2001), 443–554.
- [13] D. TATARU, *Local and global results for wave maps I*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 23 (1998), 1781–1793.
- [14] YI ZHOU, *Global weak solutions for $(1 + 2)$ -dimensional wave maps into homogeneous spaces*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non-Linéaire 16 (1999), 411–422.