

ON EMPIRICAL STOCHASTIC REGULARIZATION

BERND HOFMANN

Sektion Mathematik, Technische Hochschule Karl-Marx-Stadt, DDR

1. Introduction

A class of inverse problems from remote sounding, geophysics and material sciences consists in the interpretation of indirect measurements. An interesting but not directly measurable physical quantity x should be determined by measuring a dependent physical quantity b . Therefore in the case of linear dependence between x and b a Fredholm integral equation of the first kind

$$(1) \quad \int K(v, p)x(p)dp = b(v)$$

has to be solved. With continuous or square-integrable functions x and b over finite intervals and a smooth kernel k , the equation (1) is ill-posed in the sense of Hadamard (see [10]).

In satellite meteorology the solution of equation (1) provides an approximate determination of vertical temperature profiles of the atmosphere $x(p)$ as a function of the atmospheric pressure p . There the function $b(v)$ denotes the intensity of thermal radiation with wave-length v , which can be measured by a satellite (cf. [4]).

By using a quadrature formula, the integral equation (1) may be approximated by a linear algebraic system

$$(2) \quad Ax = b, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n, b \in \mathbf{R}^m, \text{ with } A \text{ an } (m, n)\text{-matrix.}$$

For sufficiently large n the vector x of the discretized problem (2) characterizes the solution function $x(p)$ sufficiently well.

To consider the measurement error in the sequel we examine instead of (2), the equation

$$(3) \quad Ax + y = z, \quad y \in \mathbf{R}^m, z \in \mathbf{R}^m$$

with the noise y and the real measured vector z . By means of suitable decision functions good approximations $\hat{x}(z)$ of the solution vector x can be found.

In consequence of the ill-posedness of the integral equation (1), the matrix A in systems (2) and (3) is ill-conditioned. Therefore and because of measurement economy the number m of rows will be small in many problems. In general, a full column-rank of A cannot be achieved for such problems.

In the followings we consider ways and properties of numerical solution of such ill-posed problems if the noise y and the solution have stochastic nature.

2. Stochastic regularization and ridge regression

We assume that the measured vector z has an error $y \in \mathbf{R}^m$ which is a realization of a centralized random vector η with the positive definite (m, m) -covariance-matrix C . The vector $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ represents a realization of the random vector ξ with the expectation vector $\mathbb{E}\xi = \bar{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and the positive definite (n, n) -covariance-matrix B . Under the condition that ξ and η are stochastic independent random vectors the equation (3) describes a random coefficient regression model (cf. [7], [9]). Approximations $\hat{x}(z)$ are estimations of the vector x . The quality of an estimator \hat{x} should be evaluated by the Bayesian risk

$$(4) \quad r(\hat{x}) = \mathbb{E} \|\hat{x}(z) - x\|^2$$

as an expected mean square error for the Euclidean norm.

For any inhomogeneous linear estimation $\hat{x}(z) = Qz + q$ with a constant vector $q \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and a constant (n, m) -matrix Q the Bayesian risk

$$(5) \quad r(\hat{x}) = \text{Trace} \{ (QA - I)B(QA - I)^T + QCQ^T \} + \|(QA - I)\bar{x} + q\|^2$$

can be expressed explicitly. The *stochastic regularization* method (SR) (cf. [2], [3], [8], [11])

$$(6) \quad x_{\text{SR}}(z) = \bar{x} + BA^T(ABA^T + C)^{-1}(z - A\bar{x})$$

with

$$(7) \quad r(x_{\text{SR}}) = \text{Trace}(B - BA^T(ABA^T + C)^{-1}AB)$$

minimizes (5) relative to q and Q and provides the best such estimation for any kind of probability distribution when the first and second moments of ξ and η are given. The procedure (6) is defined for any dimension and rank of A . In practice, the matrix C has about diagonal structure and small condition number. Therefore (6) is numerically stable in most important cases.

It is difficult to apply SR in practice, because the moments \bar{x} and B have to be known exactly. If such information is absent, the *ridge regression* method (RR) (cf. [5]) provides an approximation

$$(8) \quad x_{\text{ridge}}^L(z) = LA^T(ALA^T + C)^{-1}z$$

of SR. The quality of this procedure depends on the choice of a symmetric and positive semidefinite parameter matrix L . For $\bar{x} = 0$ and $L = B$ the estimations of SR and RR coincide. As the following lemma shows, there exists an upper bound for the Bayesian risk of ridge regression, which does not depend on L .

LEMMA. *Let $\bar{x} = 0$. Then for any symmetric and positive semidefinite matrix L the Bayesian risk (4) of a ridge regression estimation (8) is bounded by the inequality*

$$(9) \quad r(x_{\text{ridge}}^L) \leq \text{Trace}\{B + (A^T C^{-1} A)^+\}.$$

Here the right-hand side is the matrix trace of the covariance matrix B and the pseudo-inverse of the matrix $A^T C^{-1} A$.

Proof (see [6]).

3. Empirical stochastic regularization

For the temperature profile determination the matrices A and C are available, whereas \bar{x} and B have to be estimated from additional data. These additional data have empirical character. A sample x^1, \dots, x^N of a random vector ξ is obtained by direct temperature measurements from balloon ascents. The optimality of SR is essentially based on the knowledge of \bar{x} and B . Therefore it is important to get good estimations for these two moments from the given sample.

The sample moments

$$(10) \quad \bar{x}^N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_1^N x^i$$

and

$$(11) \quad B^N = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_1^N (x^i - \bar{x}^N)(x^i - \bar{x}^N)^T$$

are unbiased and consistent estimations for \bar{x} and B (cf. [1], [9]). A useful modification of SR is found, if in formula (6) the moments \bar{x} and B are replaced by the sample moments (10) and (11).

The procedure

$$(12) \quad x_{\text{ESR}}^N(z) = \bar{x}^N + B^N A^T (A B^N A^T + C)^{-1} (z - A \bar{x}^N)$$

will be called *empirical stochastic regularization* (ESR). For large sample size N the following theorem ensures the efficiency of ESR.

THEOREM. *The empirical stochastic regularization is asymptotically optimal, i.e. the limit condition*

$$(13) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} r_{\mathbb{E}}(x_{\text{ESR}}^N) = r(x_{\text{SR}})$$

holds. Here $r_{\mathbb{E}}(x_{\text{ESR}}^N)$ denotes the expectation value of $r(x_{\text{ESR}}^N)$ relative to the probability distribution of the sample x^1, \dots, x^N .

Proof (see [6]).

The asymptotic optimality of ESR is based on the preceding lemma, i.e. on the existence of a common upper bound for ridge regression risk. A convergence theorem of Lebesgue (cf. [1]) allows to prove the theorem.

4. A Monte-Carlo simulation experiment

A computer simulation experiment helps to obtain information of the error behaviour $r_{\mathbb{E}}(x_{\text{ESR}}^N)$ as a function of the sample size N . Under the condition that ξ is normally distributed the sample x^1, \dots, x^N may be generated by means of pseudo-random numbers. Thus the sample moments and, using formula (5), the risk $r(x_{\text{ESR}}^N)$ are computable for the generated sample. Twenty examples of samples have been generated on the computer ES 1040 for any considered size N ($5 \leq N \leq 3200$). The average values of $r(x_{\text{ESR}}^N)$ serve as an approximation of $r_{\mathbb{E}}(x_{\text{ESR}}^N)$.

In the experiment climatological data for temperature profile determination provide the matrices A , B and C with $m = 8$ and $n = 20$. Tables of numerical results of that simulation are published in the paper [6]. The experiment shows that, in general, for sufficiently large values of N , i.e. for $N > n$, the error of ESR may be expressed by the empirical formula

$$(14) \quad r_{\mathbb{E}}(x_{\text{ESR}}^N) = r(x_{\text{SR}}) + \frac{1}{N} \cdot \varkappa(A, B, C).$$

The value \varkappa can be calculated by simulation for any special A , B and C . In most cases the weak dependence $\varkappa(\bar{x})$ will be neglected. Thus the formula (14) allows one to find minimal values of N , such that the risk $r_{\mathbb{E}}(x_{\text{ESR}}^N)$ is bounded by a given value $r > r(x_{\text{SR}})$.

References

- [1] H. Bunke and J. Gladitz, *Empirical linear Bayes decision rules for a sequence of linear models with different regressor matrices*, *Mathemat. Operationsforsch. Stat.* 5 (1974), 235–244.

- [2] V. Friedrich, *Zur stochastischen Regularisierung nichtkorrekter Gleichungen in Hilberträumen*; In: *Inverse and improperly posed problems*, Proc. of Conf. Halle 1979, ed. G. Anger, pp. 83–88, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1979.
- [3] V. Friedrich, B. Hofmann und U. Tautenhahn, *Möglichkeiten der Regularisierung bei der Auswertung von Meßdaten*, Wiss. Schriftenreihe der TH Karl-Marx-Stadt, no. 10, 1979.
- [4] В. А. Головкин и др., *Одновременное определение температуры относительно геопотенциала и влагосодержания атмосферы по данным спектральных измерений*; In: *Дистанционное зондирование атмосферы со спутника „Метеор“*, Гидр. изд., Ленинград 1979, 66–78.
- [5] A. E. Hoerl and R. W. Kennard, *Ridge regression: Biased estimation for non-orthogonal problems*, *Technometrics* 12 (1970), 55–67.
- [6] B. Hofmann, *Zur Methode der empirischen stochastischen Regularisierung*, *Beiträge zur Numerischen Mathematik* 11 (1983), 55–67.
- [7] C. R. Rao, *Simultaneous estimation of parameters in different linear models and applications to biometric problems*, *Biometrics* 31 (1975), 545–554.
- [8] O. N. Strand and E. R. Westwater, *Statistical estimation of the numerical solution of a Fredholm integral equation of the first kind*, *JACM* 15 (1968), 100–114.
- [9] P. A. V. B. Swamy, *Statistical inference in random coefficient regression models*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York 1971.
- [10] А. Н. Тихонов, В. Я. Арсенин, *Методы решения некорректных задач*, „Наука“, Москва 1974.
- [11] В. Ф. Турчип, В. П. Козиов, М. С. Маклевич, *Использование методов математической статистики для решения некорректных задач*, *Успехи Физ. Наук* 102 (1970), 345–386.

*Presented to the Semester
Computational Mathematics
February 20 – May 30, 1980*
