

PARTIAL HYPERBOLICITY OF G -INDUCED FLOWS

M. L. CHUMAK and A. M. STEPIN

*Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Moscow State University
Moscow, U.S.S.R.*

We prove that a topologically transitive G -induced flow on a homogeneous space G/K is a partially hyperbolic dynamical system (cf. [1], 9.1) provided that $\dim G/K > 1$ and at least one of the horospherical subgroups of G acts nontrivially on G/K .

Given a connected Lie group G , G -induced flow is the action of a one parameter subgroup $\{g_t\}$, $gK \rightarrow g_t gK$, on a homogeneous space G/K , where K is a closed subgroup of G . The manifold G/K is considered with a Riemannian metric induced by some right-invariant metric on G via the natural isomorphism between each tangent space $T_{hgK}(G/K)$ and $(T_h(hK))^\perp$. If K is discrete and the spectrum of the operator $\text{Ad } g_1$ is not contained in the unit circle then the corresponding flow is partially hyperbolic. For solvflows (that is, G -induced flows with solvable group G) Auslander in [2] obtained the reduction to the case of discrete K (the exact formulation is as follows: an ergodic solvflow on G/K , $\mu(G/K) < \infty$, admits a finite covering by a solvflow on \tilde{G}/D , where D is a discrete subgroup in \tilde{G}). For G -induced flows on homogeneous spaces of semisimple Lie groups the reduction to the case of a discrete subgroup is provided by the Borel density theorem ([3]).

It turns out that the representation of stable and unstable submanifolds as the orbits of the corresponding horospherical subgroups (cf. [4]) allows to obtain partial hyperbolicity of topologically transitive G -induced flows.

Let \mathfrak{G} be the Lie algebra of G , X – an element of \mathfrak{G} . The subgroup G^+ (resp. G^-) = $\{g \in G \mid (\exp tX)g(\exp tX)^{-1} \rightarrow e, t \rightarrow -\infty (+\infty)\}$ is called the expanding (contracting) horospherical subgroup of G , corresponding to the element X (here e denotes the unit element of G). Certainly, G^+ and G^- act on any G/K by left translation $gK \rightarrow hgK$.

THEOREM. *If the flow on the homogeneous manifold G/K induced by the element $X \in \mathfrak{G}$ is topologically transitive and $\dim G/K > 1$, then the partition of G/K into the orbits of the group G^+ (or G^-) is a foliation.*

Proof. Let us split the linear space \mathfrak{G} into the direct sum of the eigenspaces of the linear operator $\text{ad } X$. We denote by \mathfrak{G}^+ the sum of all the eigenspaces such that the real parts of the corresponding eigenvalues are positive and by \mathfrak{G}^- and \mathfrak{G}^0 — the sum of all the eigenspaces with $\text{Re } \lambda < 0$ and $\text{Re } \lambda = 0$ respectively. It is easy to check up that \mathfrak{G}^+ is the Lie algebra of G^+ , \mathfrak{G}^- of G^- , and \mathfrak{G}^0 is a subalgebra in \mathfrak{G} .

Consider the point $hK \in G/K$ and the projection

$$P_h: G \rightarrow G/K: g \rightarrow ghK.$$

Let $dP_h: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow T_{hK}(G/K)$ be the tangential mapping for P_h at the unit point of G .

LEMMA. *There exists an everywhere dense set in G/K such that for each point of it we have*

$$(*) \quad \text{Ker } dP_h = (\text{Ker } dP_h \cap \mathfrak{G}^+) \oplus (\text{Ker } dP_h \cap \mathfrak{G}^-) \oplus (\text{Ker } dP_h \cap \mathfrak{G}^0)$$

Proof of the lemma. We will show that any everywhere dense trajectory of the flow $\{\text{exp } tX\}$ is such a set. Let us take $h \in G$ so that hK belongs to the trajectory. Suppose that the splitting $(*)$ does not take place for the point hK . Then there exists such a vector $Y \in \text{Ker } dP_h \subset \mathfrak{G}$ that at least two of the projections Y^+, Y^-, Y^0 of Y to the subspaces $\mathfrak{G}^+, \mathfrak{G}^-, \mathfrak{G}^0$ do not belong to $\text{Ker } dP_h$. Since hK lays in the dense trajectory of the flow $\{\text{exp } tX\}$ and $G/K > 1$, the trajectory returns into every neighborhood of the point hK , and there exists such a sequence $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ that $(\text{exp } t_n X)(hK) \rightarrow hK$. Assume first that $t_k \rightarrow +\infty$.

Now each vector v of the tangent space $T_{hK}(G/K)$ defines the function

$$f_v: \{t_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_v(t_k) = \|(d \text{exp } t_k X) v\|.$$

If $v = dP_h(Y)$ for some $Y \in \mathfrak{G}$, then

$$(d \text{exp } t_k X) v = dP_{(\text{exp } t_k X)h} [(\text{Ad } \text{exp } t_k X) Y]$$

and so $f_v(t_k)$ is proportional to the distance in some Euclidean metric in \mathfrak{G} between the vector $\text{Ad}(\text{exp } t_k X) Y$ and the subspace $\text{Ker } dP_{(\text{exp } t_k X)h} = \text{Ad}(\text{exp } t_k X h)(\mathfrak{k})$, where \mathfrak{k} is the Lie algebra of K .

PROPOSITION. *If all the eigenvalues of the linear operator A in the linear space V are imaginary then the distance between the point $(\text{exp } tA) Y$ and the subspace $(\text{exp } tA)(V_0)$ behaves like a rational function when $t \rightarrow \infty$ for any vector Y and subspace V_0 in V .*

Proof. Given a metric in V , one can define certain metric in the exterior powers $\bigwedge^i V$ of the space V , so that the distance between Y and V_0 is equal to the ratio of the norms of the polyvectors $Y \wedge v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_i$ and $v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_i$, where v_1, \dots, v_i is a basis of V_0 . The eigenvalues of the exterior

powers of the operator A will be also purely imaginary, so the norms of these polyvectors may grow as a polynomial or be constant.

Let us take now the vector $Y^0 \in \mathfrak{G}^0$, $Y^0 \notin \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h})$. The distance between $\text{Ad}(\exp t_k X) Y^0$ and $\text{Ad}(\exp t_k X)(\text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h}) \cap \mathfrak{G}^0)$ decreases not faster than a rational function. Since $(\exp t_k X)hK \rightarrow hK$, then $\text{Ad}(\exp t_k X)(\text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h})) \rightarrow \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h})$, and for any $k \in N$ the angles between \mathfrak{G}^0 and $\text{Ad}(\exp t_k X)(\text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h}))$ (which are measured in all the 2-dimensional planes, orthogonal to its intersection) are greater than some positive number. So the distance between $\text{Ad}(\exp t_k X) Y^0$ and $\text{Ad}(\exp t_k X)(\text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h}))$ also decreases not faster than some rational function.

When $Y^+ \in \mathfrak{G}^+$, let us consider the linear space $\mathfrak{G}^x \subset \mathfrak{G}$ which is the sum of all the root subspaces \mathfrak{G}^λ of the operator $\text{ad } X$ with $\text{Re } \lambda = x$. Let x be maximal among those that the projection Y^x of Y^+ onto \mathfrak{G}^x is not in $\mathfrak{G}^x \cap \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h})$. Then $\text{ad } X|_{\mathfrak{G}^x}$ is the sum of the scalar operator with positive eigenvalue x and an operator with imaginary eigenvalues. Obviously, the distance between $\text{Ad}(\exp t_k X) Y^x$ and $\text{Ad}(\exp t_k X)(\mathfrak{G}^x \cap \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h}))$ and between $\text{Ad}(\exp t_k X) Y^+$ and $\text{Ad}(\exp t_k X)(\text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h}))$ grows exponentially as e^{tx} when $t_k \rightarrow +\infty$. (Observe that, as before, the angle between \mathfrak{G}^x and $\text{Ad}((\exp t_k X)h)(\mathfrak{h})$ is greater than some positive constant.)

We have shown, that if there exists $Y^+ \in \mathfrak{G}^+$ such that $dP_h(Y^+) = v$, then f_v grows exponentially in t , and if $v = dP_h(Y^0)$, then f_v grows or decreases not faster than a rational function. Obviously, if $v = dP_h(Y^-)$, f_v decreases exponentially to zero.

Suppose now, that the decomposition (*) does not take place. Then there exist vectors $Y^i \in \mathfrak{G}^i$ such that $dP_h(Y^+ + Y^0 + Y^-) = 0$ and at least two of the vectors $dP_h(Y^i)$ are nonzero. Set at first $dP_h(Y^+) \neq 0$. Consider $v = dP_h(Y^+) = dP_h(-Y^- - Y^0)$. Then f_v on one hand, must grow exponentially, but on the other hand it grows not faster than a polynomial, and we come to a contradiction. The cases $dP_h(Y^+) = 0$ and $t_k \rightarrow -\infty$ can be considered in the same way.

The subspace $\text{Ker } dP_h$ is exactly the subalgebra $\text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h})$ and (*) is equivalent to the following condition on the dimensions

$$\dim \mathfrak{h} = \dim(\mathfrak{G}^+ \cap \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h})) + \dim(\mathfrak{G}^- \cap \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h})) + \dim(\mathfrak{G}^0 \cap \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h})).$$

We notice now that for any arbitrary subspaces $V_1, V_2 \subset \mathfrak{G}$ $\dim(V_1 \cap \text{Ad } g(V_2))$ as a function of g takes its minimal value $n(V_1, V_2)$ on some dense open subset in G . Indeed, the set of such points $g \in G$, that $\dim(V_1 \cap \text{Ad } g(V_2)) > n(V_1, V_2)$ is a preimage in G of some analytic set in the Grassmann manifold $G(\dim G, \dim V_2)$, on which the group G acts analytically. Then it follows from the lemma that

$$\dim \mathfrak{h} = n(\mathfrak{G}^+, \mathfrak{h}) + n(\mathfrak{G}^-, \mathfrak{h}) + n(\mathfrak{G}^0, \mathfrak{h})$$

and the splitting (*) takes place at all the points of G , where $n(\mathfrak{G}^i, \mathfrak{h})$

$= \dim(\mathfrak{G}', \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h}))$. On the complement to the set of these points the dimension of the right part of (*) may only increase, so (*) is true at each point of G .

Suppose now that $\dim(\mathfrak{G}^+ \cap \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h}))$ is not constant.

Then for some $h \in G$ we have

$$\dim(\mathfrak{G}^+ \cap \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h})) > n(\mathfrak{G}^+, \mathfrak{h}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\dim(\mathfrak{G}^- \cap \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h})) + \dim(\mathfrak{G}^0 \cap \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h})) < n(\mathfrak{G}^-, \mathfrak{h}) + n(\mathfrak{G}^0, \mathfrak{h}).$$

This inequality contradicts the definition of $n(V_1, V_2)$, and so $\dim(\mathfrak{G}^+ \cap \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h}))$ and the dimension of G^+ -orbit, containing hK (which is equal to $\dim \mathfrak{G}^+ - \dim(\mathfrak{G}^+ \cap \text{Ker } dP_h)$) do not depend on $h \in G$.

Now assume that at least one of the foliations in the Theorem has positive dimension. We will prove that our system is partially hyperbolic.

For each $hK \in G/K$ define

$$E_{hK}^u = T_{hK}(G^+ hK) = Dh(\mathfrak{G}^+),$$

$$E_{hK}^s = T_{hK}(G^- hK) = Dh(\mathfrak{G}^-), \quad E_{hK}^0 = Dh(\mathfrak{G}^0)$$

(Dh denotes the derivative of the map $x \rightarrow xhK$ at e).

Since $(\text{ad } X) \mathfrak{G}^i = \mathfrak{G}^i$ for $i = u, s, 0$, we have

$$E_{(\exp tX)hK}^i = (d \exp tX)(E_{hK}^i).$$

By the proof of the Theorem, the dimensions of E_{hK}^i do not depend on h . Since the foliations are not both zero-dimensional, $\dim E_{hK}^u \neq 0$ or $\dim E_{hK}^s \neq 0$. If $v = Dh(Y)$ where $Y \in \mathfrak{G}$, then

$$\|v\| = \text{dist}(Y, \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h})) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\|(d \exp tX)v\| = \text{dist}(\text{Ad}(\exp tX)Y, \text{Ad}(\exp tX)(\text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h}))).$$

Using this fact and the Lemma (where $\text{Ker } dP_h = \text{Ad } h(\mathfrak{h})$) it is not hard to show that $\|(d \exp tX)v\| \leq Cr^t \|v\|$ with $C \in R^+$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ independent of h and $v \in E_{hK}^s$. Similarly, the spaces E_{hK}^u are unstable and E_{hK}^0 neutral.

Let us consider now some examples when the partition into the orbits of the horospherical subgroup does not form a foliation. Let G be a semisimple Lie group, X — an element of the Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{G} such that X is a linear combination of simple roots with real positive coefficients. Then \mathfrak{G}^+ is the maximal nilpotent subalgebra η^+ , spanned by the root vectors of the positive roots, and $G^+ = N^+$. Let us take for K the horospherical subgroup N^+ itself. Then one of N^+ -orbits consists only of one point, but since all the maximal unipotent subgroups are conjugated, there also exist the orbits which dimension is equal to $\dim N^+$. Now let B be the Borel subgroup of G . The space G/B is called the flag manifold (cf. [5]). It is compact and consists of a finite number of orbits of G^+ (or G^-), and among them there is one

point and one open orbit. It should be pointed out that if $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ and K is the Borel subgroup of G , the the dimension of G/K is equal to one and the flow is topologically transitive, but the orbits of the horospherical group do not form a foliation. Thus the condition $\dim G/K > 1$ is essential.

We are indebted to the referee for valuable comments.

References

- [1] A. B. Katok, Ya. G. Sinai, A. M. Stepin, *Theory of dynamical systems and general transformation groups with invariant measures*, J. Sov. Math. (1977), 974–1065. Translated from: Itogi Nauki Teh. Ser. Math. Anal. 13 (1973), 129–262.
 - [2] L. Auslander, *An exposition of the structure of solvmanifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1973), 227–285.
 - [3] A. Borel, *Density properties for certain subgroups of semisimple groups without compact components*, Ann. of Math. 72 (1960), 179–188.
 - [4] A. M. Stepin, *Dynamical system on homogenous spaces of semisimple Lie groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, ser. mat. 37 (1973), 1091–1107.
 - [5] J. E. Humphreys, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Springer, 1975.
-