

A NEW CONVERGENCE RATE FOR THE QUADRATURE METHOD FOR SOLVING SINGULAR INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

PETER JUNGHANNS

*Technische Universität Karl-Marx-Stadt, Sektion Mathematik
 PSF 964, DDR-Karl-Marx-Stadt 9010*

1. Introduction

Let us consider a singular integral equation of the Cauchy type

$$(1) \quad a(x)u(x) + \frac{b(x)}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{u(t)}{t-x} dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 h(x, t)u(t) dt = f(x),$$

$-1 \leq x \leq 1$, where $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ are real-valued Hölder continuous coefficients with $a^2(x) + b^2(x) > 0$ for $-1 \leq x \leq 1$. The functions $h(x, t)$ and $f(x)$ are the given kernel of the regular part of the integral operator defined by the left-hand side of (1) and the given right-hand side of (1), respectively. Define a continuous function

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \ln \frac{a(x) - ib(x)}{a(x) + ib(x)}$$

and a weight function

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{(1-x)^{\lambda_0}(1+x)^{\mu_0}}{r(x)} \exp \int_{-1}^1 \frac{g(t)}{t-x} dt,$$

where $r(x) = (a^2(x) + b^2(x))^{1/2} > 0$ and λ_0 and μ_0 are integers such that

$$-1 < \alpha := \lambda_0 + g(1), \quad \beta := \mu_0 - g(-1) < 1.$$

Then the representation

$$(2) \quad \sigma(x) = (1-x)^\alpha (1+x)^\beta w_0(x)$$

is valid, where $w_0(x)$ is continuous and positive on $[-1, 1]$. Furthermore, we make the following assumptions (cf. [1], [2]):

1° There is a function

$$c(x) = c_0(x) \prod_{j=0}^{N+1} |x - x_j|^{-\alpha_j},$$

$-1 = x_{N+1} < x_N < \dots < x_1 < x_0 = 1$, $-1 \leq x \leq 1$, such that

$$B(x) = c(x)b(x), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1,$$

is a polynomial.

2° The exponents α_j ($j = 1, \dots, N$) are greater than -1 , and, furthermore, $\alpha_0 - \alpha$, $\alpha_{N+1} - \beta$, $\alpha_0 + \alpha$, $\alpha_{N+1} + \beta > -1$, and $c_0(x) > 0$ is continuous on $[-1, 1]$.

Now, for $-1 < x < 1$, equation (1) is equivalent to

$$(3) \quad a(x)\sigma(x)v(x) + \frac{B(x)}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{v(t)}{t-x} \sigma_1(t) dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 h_1(x, t) v(t) \sigma_1(t) dt = f_1(x),$$

where

$$u(t) = \sigma_1(t)v(t), \quad \sigma_1(t) = \sigma(t)/c(t),$$

$$h_1(x, t) = c(x)h(x, t), \quad f_1(x) = c(x)f(x).$$

If we define a linear bounded operator A in the pair of Hilbert spaces $(L_{\sigma_1}^2, L_{\mu_1}^2)$, where

$$\mu_1(x) = \mu(x)/c(x), \quad \mu(x) = 1/\sigma(x)r^2(x),$$

by

$$(Av)(x) = a(x)\sigma(x)v(x) + \frac{B(x)}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{v(t)}{t-x} \sigma_1(t) dt, \quad -1 < x < 1,$$

then $A^{(-1)}$ given by

$$(A^{(-1)}g)(t) = a(t)\mu(t)g(t) - \frac{B(t)}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{g(x)}{x-t} \mu_1(x) dx, \quad -1 < t < 1,$$

is at least a one-sided inverse of A .

LEMMA 1 ([7], §5, 5°-7°). Assume that a function $\psi(x, t)$ is Hölder continuous with exponent γ , $0 < \gamma < 1$, uniformly in the variables x and t , i.e.

$$|\psi(x', t') - \psi(x'', t'')| \leq C_1(|x' - x''|^\gamma + |t' - t''|^\gamma)$$

(the C_k denote positive constant numbers), $x', x'' \in [a_1, b_1]$, $t', t'' \in [a_2, b_2]$,

$-\infty < a_j, b_j < \infty$ ($j = 1, 2$). Let $x_0 \in [a_1, b_1]$, $0 < \delta < \gamma$, and

$$\varphi(x, t) = \frac{\psi(x, t) - \psi(x_0, t)}{|x - x_0|^\delta}.$$

Then there exists a constant C_2 such that

$$|\varphi(x', t') - \varphi(x'', t'')| \leq C_2 (|x' - x''|^{\gamma-\delta} + |t' - t''|^{\gamma-\delta})$$

for x', x'', t', t'' as above.

COROLLARY 1. Assume that $\psi(x, t)$ possesses the same property as in Lemma 1 ($a_j = -1, b_j = 1$), $0 < \delta_k < \gamma$, $\psi(x_k, t) = 0$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, N+1$; $t \in [-1, 1]$), and

$$\Phi(x, t) = \frac{\psi(x, t)}{\prod_{k=0}^{N+1} |x - x_k|^{\delta_k}}.$$

Then

$$|\Phi(x', t') - \Phi(x'', t'')| \leq C_{31} (|x' - x''|^{\gamma-\delta} + |t' - t''|^{\gamma-\delta}),$$

$x', x'', t', t'' \in [-1, 1]$, where $\delta = \max_{0 \leq k \leq N+1} \delta_k$.

Proof. We apply Lemma 1 to the intervals

$$[-1, \tfrac{1}{2}(x_N + x_{N-1})], [\tfrac{1}{2}(x_N + x_{N-1}), \tfrac{1}{2}(x_{N-1} + x_{N-2})], \dots, [\tfrac{1}{2}(x_2 + x_1), 1].$$

LEMMA 2. If $|f(x') - f(x'')| \leq C_4 |x' - x''|^\gamma$, $x', x'' \in [a_1, b_1]$, $0 < \gamma < 1$, $\delta > 0$, and $x_0 \in [a_1, b_1]$, $f(x_0) = 0$, then there is a constant C_5 such that

$$|g(x') - g(x'')| \leq C_5 |x' - x''|^\gamma, \quad x', x'' \in [a_1, b_1],$$

where $g(x) = f(x) |x - x_0|^\delta$.

Proof. In the case $\delta \geq \gamma$ the validity of the assertion is clear. Now, assume $\delta < \gamma$. Let $x_0 \leq x' < x''$. If $x_0 = x'$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x') - g(x'')| &= |f(x'')(x'' - x_0)^\delta| \leq C_4 (x'' - x_0)^{\gamma+\delta} \\ &\leq C_{51} (x'' - x')^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

In the other case ($x_0 < x' < x''$) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x') - g(x'')| &= |(x' - x_0)^\delta f(x') - (x'' - x_0)^\delta f(x'')| \\ &= ((x'' - x_0)^\delta - (x' - x_0)^\delta) |f(x')| + (x'' - x_0)^\delta |f(x') - f(x'')|. \end{aligned}$$

First, let $x' - x_0 \leq x'' - x'$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x') - g(x'')| &\leq C_{52} |f(x')| + C_{53} |f(x') - f(x'')| \\ &\leq C_{52} C_4 (x' - x_0)^\gamma + C_{53} C_4 (x'' - x')^\gamma \\ &\leq C_{54} (x'' - x')^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, if $x'' - x' < x' - x_0$, then there is an \tilde{x} , $x' < \tilde{x} < x''$, with

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x') - g(x'')| &\leq \delta (\tilde{x} - x_0)^{\delta-1} (x'' - x') \cdot C_4 (x' - x_0)^\gamma + C_{53} C_4 (x'' - x')^\gamma \\ &\leq (C_4 \delta (x' - x_0)^\delta + C_{53} C_4) (x'' - x')^\gamma \leq C_{55} (x'' - x')^\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $x' < x'' \leq x_0$, we proceed analogously, and (4) follows.

Corollary 1 and Lemma 2 imply

COROLLARY 2. *Let $\psi(x, t)$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 1. Furthermore, assume $\delta_k < \gamma$, $\psi(x_k, t) = 0$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, N+1$; $t \in [-1, 1]$), and*

$$\Phi(x, t) = \frac{\psi(x, t)}{\prod_{k=0}^{N+1} |x - x_k|^{\delta_k}}.$$

Then

$$|\Phi(x', t') - \Phi(x'', t'')| \leq C_{32} (|x' - x''|^{\gamma-\delta} + |t' - t''|^{\gamma-\delta}),$$

$x', x'', t', t'' \in [-1, 1]$, where $\delta = \max \{0, \delta_0, \dots, \delta_{N+1}\}$.

Proof. Analogous to the proof of Corollary 1.

LEMMA 3 ([7], § 18, 3°). *Let $\psi(x, t)$ fulfil the assumptions of Lemma 1 with $a_1 = a_2 = -1$, $b_1 = b_2 = 1$. Then the function*

$$G(t) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\psi(x, t)}{x - t} dx$$

is Hölder continuous with exponent γ on $[-1, 1]$ if $\psi(-1, t) = \psi(1, t) = 0$ ($t \in [-1, 1]$).

The fact that a function $f(t)$, $-1 \leq t \leq 1$, has a derivative of order m (m a nonnegative integer), Hölder continuous with exponent γ , $0 < \gamma < 1$, will be denoted by $f \in C^{m, \gamma}$.

LEMMA 4 ([2], § 3; [5], p. 91). *For each polynomial $p(x)$, $(A^{(-1)} p)(t)$ is again a polynomial.*

2. A regularity property of the solution of a singular integral equation

LEMMA 5. If $a, b \in C^{0,\gamma}$, then $w_0 \in C^{0,\gamma}$ (cf. (2)).

Proof. Note that $r, g \in C^{0,\gamma}$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{g(t)}{t-x} dt &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{g(t) - ((1+t)g(1) + (1-t)g(-1))/2}{t-x} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \left\{ \frac{(1+x)g(1) + (1-x)g(-1)}{t-x} + g(1) - g(-1) \right\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Denote the first integral on the right-hand side by $\tilde{g}(x)$. Then $\tilde{g} \in C^{0,\gamma}$ in view of Lemma 3. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x) &= \frac{(1-x)^{\lambda_0} (1+x)^{\mu_0}}{r(x)} \left(\frac{1-x}{1+x} \right)^{(1+x)g(1)/2 + (1-x)g(-1)/2} \\ &\quad \times \exp(\tilde{g}(x) + g(1) - g(-1)), \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} w_0(x) &= (1-x)^{(1-x)(g(-1)-g(1))/2} (1+x)^{(1+x)(g(1)-g(-1))/2} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\exp(\tilde{g}(x) + g(1) - g(-1))}{r(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Because $e^{s \ln s} \in C^{0,\varepsilon}$ for $s \in [0, 1]$ and all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ the assertion follows immediately.

THEOREM 1. Let $a, b, c_0 \in C^{0,\eta}$, and

$$\max \{0, \alpha - \alpha_0, \beta - \alpha_{N+1}, -\alpha_1, \dots, -\alpha_N\} < \gamma < 1.$$

Assume $f \in C^{m,\gamma}$. Then

$$A^{(-1)} f \in C^{m,\delta},$$

where $\delta = \min \{\eta, \gamma, \gamma + \alpha_0 - \alpha, \gamma + \alpha_{N+1} - \beta, \gamma + \alpha_1, \dots, \gamma + \alpha_N\}$.

Proof. On taking into account the equality

$$\begin{aligned} (A^{(-1)} f)(t) &= f(t) \left[a(t) \mu(t) - \frac{B(t)}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{\mu_1(x)}{x-t} dx \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{B(t)}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x) - f(t)}{x-t} \mu_1(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 4 it remains to prove that

$$(4) \quad BF \in C^{m,\delta},$$

where

$$F(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x) - f(t)}{x - t} \mu_1(x) dx.$$

By induction it can be proved that

$$\frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left[\frac{f(x) - f(t)}{x - t} \right] = \frac{k! \left[f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{f^{(j)}(t)}{j!} (x - t)^j \right]}{(x - t)^{k+1}},$$

$k = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Thus,

$$F^{(k)}(t) = \frac{k!}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{f^{(j)}(t)}{j!} (x - t)^j}{(x - t)^{k+1}} \mu_1(x) dx,$$

and (4) is valid if

$$(5) \quad BF^{(m)} \in C^{0,\delta}.$$

Setting

$$\Phi(x, t) = m! \left[f(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \frac{f^{(j)}(t)}{j!} (x - t)^j \right] / (x - t)^m$$

we obtain

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi(x, t) &= \frac{m}{(x - t)^m} \int_t^x f^{(m)}(y) (x - y)^{m-1} dy \\ &= m \int_0^1 f^{(m)}(st + (1 - s)x) s^{m-1} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality

$$(r_1 + r_2)^\gamma \leq 2^{1-\gamma} (r_1^\gamma + r_2^\gamma), \quad r_1 \geq 0, r_2 \geq 0$$

([7], § 5), we conclude that

$$|\Phi(x', t') - \Phi(x'', t'')| \leq C_6 (|x' - x''|^\gamma + |t' - t''|^\gamma),$$

$x', x'', t', t'' \in [-1, 1]$ (cf. [3], p. 138). Let

$$\Psi(x, t) = \Phi(x, t) - f^{(m)}(t).$$

We define

$$P(x, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N+1} \Psi(x_j, t) \prod_{\substack{k=0 \\ k \neq j}}^{N+1} \frac{x - x_k}{x_j - x_k},$$

which is a polynomial with respect to the variable x . Now, we can write $BF^{(m)}$ as follows:

$$(7) \quad B(t)F^{(m)}(t) = \frac{B(t)}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(\Psi(x, t) - P(x, t))\mu_1(x)}{x-t} dx \\ - \left[a(t)\mu(t)P(t, t) - \frac{B(t)}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{P(x, t)}{x-t} \mu_1(x) dx \right] \\ + a(t)\mu(t)P(t, t).$$

In view of Lemma 5 the representation

$$\mu_1(x) = (1-x)^{\alpha_0-\alpha} (1-x)^{\alpha_{N-1}-\beta} \prod_{j=1}^N |x-x_j|^{\alpha_j} c_0(x) / (w_0(x)r^2(x))$$

holds with $c_0/(w_0 r^2) \in C^{0,\eta}$. According to Corollary 2 and Lemma 3,

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{(\Psi(x, t) - P(x, t))\mu_1(x)}{x-t} dx \in C^{0,\delta}.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 4 the term in square brackets in (7) also belongs to $C^{0,\delta}$. Finally, from (6) we obtain $\Phi(t, t) = f^{(m)}(t)$, from which we conclude that $P(-1, -1) = \Psi(-1, -1) = 0$, $P(1, 1) = \Psi(1, 1) = 0$, and (by Corollary 2)

$$a(t)\mu(t)P(t, t) \in C^{0,\delta}.$$

This proves (5).

3. The Gauss quadrature method and rates of convergence

By definition, $\kappa = -(\lambda_0 + \mu_0)$ is the *index* of equation (3). In case $\kappa > 0$ we require that $v(t)$ fulfils the additional conditions

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 v(t) t^l \sigma_1(t) dt = 0 \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, \kappa - 1).$$

In what follows we prove that (3) (with (8) in case $\kappa > 0$) is uniquely solvable in $L_{\sigma_1}^2$. Denote by t_k ($k = 1, \dots, n$) and x_j ($j = 1, \dots, n - \kappa$) the zeros of the orthogonal polynomials of degree n and $n - \kappa$ with respect to the weights $\sigma_1(t)$ and $\mu_1(x)$, respectively. We seek an approximate solution of (3) in the form

$$v_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n v_n(t_k) \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n \frac{t - t_i}{t_k - t_i}$$

by solving the algebraic equations

$$(9) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \left[\frac{B(x_j)}{t_k - x_j} + h(x_j, t_k) \right] v_n(t_k) = f_1(x_j) \quad (j = 1, \dots, n - \kappa),$$

where the weights λ_k are given via the Gauss quadrature rule

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 v(t) \sigma_1(t) dt \sim \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k v(t_k).$$

In case $\kappa > 0$ we complete (9), for example, by

$$(11) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k v_n(t_k) t_k^l = 0 \quad (l = 0, 1, \dots, \kappa - 1).$$

If $\kappa < 0$ we modify (9) so that the vector

$$(v_n(t_1), \dots, v_n(t_n), \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{-\kappa})^T$$

is to be determined by solving

$$(9') \quad \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \left[\frac{B(x_j)}{t_k - x_j} + h(x_j, t_k) \right] v_n(t_k) + \sum_{l=1}^{-\kappa} a_{jl} \xi_l = f_1(x_j),$$

where the columns $(a_{1l}, \dots, a_{n-\kappa,l})^T$ are chosen in such a way that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-\kappa} \gamma_j \lambda_k \left[\frac{B(x_j)}{t_k - x_j} + h(x_j, t_k) \right] a_{jl} = 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, n; l = 1, \dots, -\kappa),$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-\kappa} \gamma_j a_{jl} a_{jm} = \delta_{lm} \quad (l, m = 1, \dots, -\kappa). \quad (1)$$

THEOREM 2 ([3], Theorem 3.1). Assume $c(x) \equiv 1$ (i.e. $b(x)$ is a polynomial), $\alpha, \beta < 0$, $\sigma = \sigma_1 \in C^{0,\varepsilon_1}$, and also $h(x, \cdot)$, $h(\cdot, t) \in C^{m,\gamma}$ (uniformly with respect to the variables x and t , respectively). Then for sufficiently large n the equations (9) (with (11) in case $\kappa > 0$) or (9') are uniquely solvable, and

$$(12) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |v(t_k) - v_n(t_k)| \leq C_7 n^{-(m+\varepsilon)} \ln n,$$

where $\varepsilon = \min(\gamma, \varepsilon_1)$.

Using Theorem 1 and the same arguments as in [3] one can prove

THEOREM 3. Let f_1 and h_1 fulfil the same conditions as f and h in Theorem 2. Furthermore, assume $a, b, c_0 \in C^{0,n}$ and $\alpha_0 - \alpha, \alpha_{N-1} - \beta, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N \geq 0$. Then for sufficiently large n the equations (9) or (9) with (11) or (9') are

(1) γ_j are the Christoffel numbers with respect to $\mu_1(x)$.

uniquely solvable, and

$$(13) \quad \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |v(t_k) - v_n(t_k)| \leq C_8 n^{-(m+\delta)} \ln n,$$

where $\delta = \min(\gamma, \eta)$.

Let us consider the case of constant real coefficients a and b with $a^2 + b^2 = 1$ and $b > 0$. Then, by applying Theorems 2 and 3 it is only possible to investigate the index case $\kappa = 1$, where

$$\sigma(x) = (1-x)^{-\varepsilon'} (1-x)^{\varepsilon'-1},$$

$a+ib = e^{i\pi\varepsilon'}$, $0 < \varepsilon' < 1$. From Theorem 2 we obtain (12) with $\varepsilon = \min(\gamma, \varepsilon', 1-\varepsilon')$, while Theorem 3 gives (13) with $\delta = \gamma$.

Remark. With the help of Theorem 3 the estimate of the global error

$$\max_{-1 \leq t \leq 1} |v(t) - v_n(t)|$$

can also be improved using the method of [3], §4.

References

- [1] D. Elliott, *The classical collocation method for singular integral equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 19 (1982), 816–832.
- [2] —, *Orthogonal polynomials associated with singular integral equations having a Cauchy kernel*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 13 (1982), 1041–1052.
- [3] —, *Rates of convergence for the method of classical collocation for solving singular integral equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 21 (1984), 136–148.
- [4] P. Junghanns, *Uniform convergence of approximate methods for Cauchy-type singular integral equations over $(-1, 1)$* , Wiss. Zeitschr. TH Karl-Marx-Stadt, 26 (1984), 251–256.
- [5] —, *Some remarks on the zero distribution of pairs of polynomials associated with singular integral operators. A convergence theorem for the quadrature method*, *ibid.* 27 (1985), 88–93.
- [6] P. Junghanns and B. Silbermann, *Zur Theorie der Näherungsverfahren für singuläre Integralgleichungen auf Intervallen*, Math. Nachr. 103 (1981), 199–244.
- [7] N. I. Muschelischwili, *Singuläre Integralgleichungen*, Berlin 1965.

Presented to the Semester
Approximation and Function Spaces
February 27–May 27, 1986
