F. DUBEAU and J. SAVOIE (Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu, Québec, Canada)

A REMARK ON CYCLIC TRIDIAGONAL MATRICES

Abstract. A cyclic tridiagonal matrix A is seen as a perturbed tridiagonal matrix B. We present processes to find the inverse of A and to solve Ax = f using the inverse of B and the solution of Bx = f. These processes are equivalent to those obtained by using the Woodbury formula.

Introduction. A real (n, n)-matrix A is said to be a cyclic tridiagonal matrix [3] if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & c_1 & & & a_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & a_{n-1} & b_{n-1} & c_{n-1} \\ c_n & & & a_n & b_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let B be the (n, n)-tridiagonal matrix obtained from A when we replace a_1 and c_n by 0,

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & c_1 \\ a_2 & b_2 & c_2 \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & a_{n-1} & b_{n-1} & c_{n-1} \\ & & & a_n & b_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that A can be obtained from B by using two rank-one modifications

(1)
$$A = B + c_n e_n e_1^T + a_1 e_1 e_n^T$$

where e_j is the (n, 1)-column matrix having 0 components except the jth component which is 1. We also remark that (1) is equivalent to a rank-two

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 65F05; Secondary 15A06, 15A09. Key words and phrases: tridiagonal matrix, cyclic tridiagonal matrix, Woodbury formula.

modification

$$A = B + RS^T$$

where $R = [c_n e_n, a_1 e_1]$ and $S = [e_1, e_n]$.

In this paper we will assume that $\delta_k \neq 0$, k = 1, ..., n, where δ_k denotes the kth leading minor of B. Note that $\delta_n = \det B$.

The purpose of this paper is to extend the results presented in [3] and [4] using the form (1) and the well known results about B. In particular, we will see how to update the solution of Bx = f to find the solution of Ax = f, and how to update B^{-1} to obtain A^{-1} . We begin with a brief review of useful results about the tridiagonal matrix B.

2. Tridiagonal matrix. Under the assumption that $\delta_k \neq 0$ for k = 1, ..., n it is well known that it is possible to write B = LU with

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 & & & & \\ a_2 & r_2 & & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & a_n & r_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z_1 & & & \\ & 1 & z_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 1 & z_{n-1} \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $r_k = \delta_k/\delta_{k-1}$ and $z_k = c_k/r_k$. The inverse of B is $B^{-1} = U^{-1}L^{-1}$,

$$L^{-1} = (p_{ij})_{n \times n}, \quad p_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < j, \\ (-1)^{i+j} \frac{\delta_{j-1}}{\delta_i} \prod_{k=j+1}^{i} a_k & \text{if } i \ge j; \end{cases}$$

$$U^{-1} = (q_{ij})_{n \times n}, \quad q_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < j, \\ (-1)^{i+j} \frac{\delta_{i-1}}{\delta_{i-1}} \prod_{k=i}^{j-1} c_k & \text{if } i \le j; \end{cases}$$

where we have used the notation

$$\prod_{k=i}^{j} \varepsilon_{k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j < i, \\ \varepsilon_{i} \varepsilon_{i+1} \dots \varepsilon_{j} & \text{if } j \geq i. \end{cases}$$

From these expressions we can find the following explicit formulas for the elements of $B^{-1} = (\beta_{ij})_{n \times n}$:

(3)
$$\beta_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \delta_{i-1} \delta_{j-1} \sum_{k=\max\{i,j\}}^{n} \frac{1}{\delta_{k-1} \delta_k} \left[\prod_{\ell=i}^{k-1} c_{\ell} \right] \left[\prod_{\ell=j+1}^{k} a_{\ell} \right]$$

(see also [4]).

3. Cyclic tridiagonal matrix as perturbed tridiagonal matrix. From the assumption that det $B = \delta \neq 0$, using (1) and $B^{-1} = [\beta_{.1}, \ldots, \beta_{.n}]$ we obtain

(4)
$$B^{-1}A = I + c_n \beta_{\cdot n} e_1^T + a_1 \beta_{\cdot 1} e_n^T$$

Where $\beta_{.j}$ denotes the jth column of B^{-1} .

Using (4) for solving Ax = f we obtain

$$(5) x + c_n \beta_{\cdot n} x_1 + a_1 \beta_{\cdot 1} x_n = g$$

Where $g = B^{-1}f$. This expression suggests that we first solve for x_1 and x_n using the first and the last equations in (5), and obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = G^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + c_n \beta_{1n} & a_1 \beta_{11} \\ c_n \beta_{nn} & 1 + a_1 \beta_{n1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then we determine x_k for $k=2,\ldots,n-1$ using the remaining n-2 equations in (5),

$$x_k = g_k - c_n \beta_{kn} x_1 - a_1 \beta_{k1} x_n.$$

The matrix G is invertible because from (4) we find directly that $\det A =$ $\det G \det B$. Using (3) we also have

$$\det A = \det B + (-1)^{n+1} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i + \prod_{i=1}^{n} c_i \right\}$$
$$-a_1 c_n \delta_{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\delta_{k-1} \delta_k} \left(\prod_{i=2}^{k} a_i \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} c_i \right)$$

(compare with equation (9) in [3], which is incorrect).

Hence, we can solve Ax = f in four steps:

- 1. Factor B = LU.
- 2. Solve Bg = f for the unknown g $(g = B^{-1}f)$, $Bu = a_1e_1$ for the unknown u $(u = a_1\beta_{\cdot 1})$, $Bv = c_n e_n$ for the unknown v $(v = c_n \beta_{\cdot n})$.
- 3. Compute G^{-1} and $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = G^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} g_1 \\ g_n \end{bmatrix}$ where $G = \begin{bmatrix} 1+v_1 & u_1 \\ v_n & 1+u_n \end{bmatrix}$.
- 4. Compute $x_k = g_k x_1 v_k x_n u_k$ for k = 2, ..., n 1.

This requires $\mathcal{O}(3n)$ divisions, $\mathcal{O}(8n)$ multiplications and $\mathcal{O}(7n)$ additions/ subtractions (the expression $\mathcal{O}(h(n))$ means that $\mathcal{O}(h(n)) = h(n) + o(n)$ Where $\lim_{n\to\infty} o(n)/h(n) = 0$.

We can also apply this process to update B^{-1} and obtain A^{-1} = $(\alpha_{ij})_{n \times n}$. We solve $Ax = e_j$ for j = 1, ..., n. The inverse is obtained in four steps:

- 1. Factor B = LU.
- 2. Find B^{-1} using the LU factorization.

2. Find B is using the LU factorization.

3. Form
$$G = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + c_n \beta_{1n} & a_1 \beta_{11} \\ c_n \beta_{nn} & 1 + a_1 \beta_{n1} \end{bmatrix}$$
 and compute G^{-1} .

4. For each column
$$j$$
: compute $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1j} \\ \alpha_{nj} \end{bmatrix} = G^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{1j} \\ \beta_{nj} \end{bmatrix}$

and
$$\alpha_{kj} = \beta_{kj} - \alpha_{1j}c_n\beta_{kn} - \alpha_{nj}a_1\beta_{k1}$$
.

This requires $\mathcal{O}(3n)$ divisions, $\mathcal{O}(\frac{3}{2}n^2)$ multiplications and $\mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{2}n^2)$ additions/subtractions for steps 1 and 2, and 4 divisions, $\mathcal{O}(2n^2)$ multiplications and $\mathcal{O}(2n^2)$ additions/subtractions for steps 3 and 4.

It is interesting to point out that these processes are those obtained using the Woodbury formula [2] for the inverse of A. When we consider (2), the Woodbury formula states that

$$A^{-1} = B^{-1} - B^{-1}R(I + S^TB^{-1}R)^{-1}S^TB^{-1}$$

where

$$I + S^T B^{-1} R = G.$$

References

- P. G. Ciarlet, Introduction à l'analyse numérique et à l'optimisation, Masson, Paris 1982.
- [2] W. W. Hager, Applied Numerical Linear Algebra, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1988.
- [3] J. Losiak, E. Neuman and J. Nowak, The inversion of cyclic tridiagonal matrices, Zastos. Mat. 20 (1988), 93-102.
- [4] E. Neuman, O odwracaniu pewnych macierzy pasmowych (The inversion of some band matrices), Mat. Stos. 9 (1977), 15-24.

FRANÇOIS DUBEAU
JEAN SAVOIE
DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES
COLLÈGE MILITAIRE ROYAL DE SAINT-JEAN
SAINT-JEAN-SUR-RICHELIEU, QUÉBEC, CANADA, J0J 1R0

Received on 3.9.1990