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#### Abstract

The existence of a weak solution of a non-stationary free boundary transmission problem arising in the production of industrial materials is established. The process is governed by a coupled system involving the Navier-Stokes equations and a non-linear heat equation. The stationary case was studied in [7].

Introduction. In this paper we establish the existence of a weak solution of a free boundary transmission problem with convection and continuous extraction, arising in the production of different industrial materials. The Bridgman crystal growth system of the semi-conductor industry and the casting of metal ingots are some of the examples of the type of problems considered.

In [7], Rodrigues has studied the stationary case, extending an earlier work of Cannon, Di Benedetto and Knightly [5], where there is no extraction. The existence of a weak solution for a non-stationary two-dimensional Stefan problem without extraction, and where the liquid phase is governed by the Stokes equations, has been established by Cannon, Di Benedetto and Knightly [4].

We shall consider the non-stationary free boundary transmission problem in $\Omega \times(0, T), \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, with a continuous extraction, and with a liquid phase governed by the Navier-Stokes equations. The presence of continuous extraction generates some additional non-linearities in the heat equation and in the jump condition.


The mathematical formulation of the problem, as well as the main result of the paper, are given in Section 1. A linearized Navier-Stokes equation with a temperature-dependent penalty function is considered in Section 2. A non-linear heat equation is studied in Section 3. The method of retarded mollifiers is used in Section 4 to establish the existence of a weak solution for a coupled problem, involving the non-linear heat equation and the penalized Navier-Stokes equations. The proof of the equicontinuity of the solution of the penalized heat equation is given in Section 5. The main result of the paper is proved in Section 6.

1. Formulation of the problem and the main result. We shall formulate the solid-liquid free boundary problem with a natural convection in the fluid part and a given extraction velocity.

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with a Lipschitz boundary and let $\Gamma$ be a regular curve dividing $\Omega$ into two simply connected sets $\Omega^{ \pm}$with $\partial \Omega^{ \pm} \cap \partial \Omega$ non-empty. Let $\Gamma_{t}, \Omega_{t}^{+}$and $\Omega_{t}^{-}$be the interface and the domains occupied by the liquid and the solid, respectively, at time $t$. Denote by $\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{ \pm}$some fixed parts of the boundary $\partial \Omega^{ \pm} \cap \partial \Omega$. Throughout the paper we shall assume that

$$
\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{ \pm} \times(0, T) \subset \bigcup_{0<t<T}\left(\partial \Omega_{t}^{ \pm} \backslash \Gamma_{t}\right)
$$

In the solid region the temperature $\theta^{-}(x, t)$ is governed by the initial boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \theta^{-}}{\partial t}-k^{-} \Delta \theta^{-}+b e \cdot \nabla \theta^{-}=0 \text { in } \Omega_{t}^{-}, \quad \theta^{-}(x, t)<0 \text { in } \Omega_{t}^{-}  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{\partial \theta^{-}}{\partial n}(x, t)=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega_{t}^{-} \backslash \Gamma_{t}, \quad \theta^{-}(x, 0)=\theta_{0}^{-}(x) \text { in } \Omega^{-}, \quad 0<t<T
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $n$ denotes the unit exterior normal to $\partial \Omega$. (The thermal conductivity $k$ and the heat capacity $c\left(k^{-}=k / c\right)$ are assumed to be positive constants.) The scalar $b$ represents the rate of extraction and is a positive constant. The vector function $e$ satisfies the following assumption.

Assumption I. 1) e is a $C^{2}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$ vector function, $Q_{T}=\Omega \times(0, T), \operatorname{div}(e)=0$ and $|e| \leq 1$.
2) $\quad-1 \leq e \cdot n \leq 0 \quad$ on $\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+} \times(0, T)$,

$$
0 \leq e \cdot n \leq 1 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{-} \times(0, T)
$$

3) $e \cdot n=0$ on $\partial Q_{T} \backslash\left\{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+} \times(0, T)\right\} \cup\left\{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{-} \times(0, T)\right\}$ where $\partial Q_{T}=\partial \Omega \times(0, T)$.

In the liquid region the temperature $\theta^{+}(x, t)$ is determined by the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \theta^{+}}{\partial t}-k^{+} \Delta \theta^{+}+\widetilde{u} \cdot \nabla \theta^{+}=0, \quad \theta^{+}(x, t)>0 \text { in } \Omega_{t}^{+}, \quad 0<t<T  \tag{1.2}\\
\frac{\partial \theta^{+}}{\partial n}=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega_{t}^{+} \backslash \Gamma_{t}, \quad \theta^{+}(x, 0)=\theta_{0}^{+}(x) \text { in } \Omega^{+}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Again the thermal conductivity and the heat capacity are assumed to be positive constants. The velocity of the fluid is denoted by $\widetilde{u}$.

The motion of the fluid is governed by the Navier-Stokes equations [8]

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{\partial \widetilde{u}}{\partial t}-\mu \Delta \widetilde{u}+(\widetilde{u} \cdot \nabla) \widetilde{u}+\nabla p=f\left(\theta^{+}\right) & \text {in } \Omega_{t}^{+}  \tag{1.3}\\ \nabla \cdot \widetilde{u}=0 \text { in } \Omega_{t}^{+}, \quad \widetilde{u}=b e \text { on } \partial \Omega_{t}^{+}, & 0<t<T \\ \widetilde{u}(x, 0)=u_{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega^{+}\end{cases}
$$

where $f\left(\theta^{+}\right)$is the buoyancy force and $\mu$ is the viscosity. We assume that the viscosity is a positive constant. The general case, when $\mu$ depends on $\theta^{+}$, may be treated in the same way and does not present any difficulty. It suffices to replace $-\mu \Delta \widetilde{u}$ by $-\nabla\left\{\mu\left(\theta^{+}\right)\left[\nabla \widetilde{u}+(\nabla \widetilde{u})^{T}\right]\right\}$.

At the interface we have the usual transmission boundary conditions

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta^{+}=\theta^{-}=0  \tag{1.4}\\
k^{-} \frac{\partial \theta^{-}}{\partial \nu}-k^{+} \frac{\partial \theta^{+}}{\partial \nu}=-\lambda \widetilde{u} \cdot \nu=-\lambda b(e \cdot \nu) \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{t}, \quad 0<t<T
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $\lambda>0$ is the latent heat, and $\nu$ is the unit normal to $\Gamma_{t}$ oriented towards the liquid phase.

AsSumption II. 1) $\theta_{0}^{ \pm}$is in $L^{\infty}(\Omega) ; \theta_{0}^{+}(x)>0$ in $\Omega^{+}, \theta_{0}^{-}(x)<0$ in $\Omega^{-}$and $\theta_{0}^{+}=\theta_{0}^{-}=0$ on $\Gamma$.
2) $u_{0}$ is in $L^{2}(\Omega), \nabla \cdot u_{0}=0$ in $\Omega, u_{0}=$ be in $\Omega^{-} \cup \partial \Omega^{+}$.
3) $f$ is a uniformly Lipschitz continuous function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ with $f(0)=0$.

In order to formulate the notion of weak solutions of the free boundary problem (1.1)-(1.4), we shall define the various function spaces used in the paper.

Let $k$ be a non-negative integer and $1<p<\infty$. We denote by $W^{k, p}(\Omega)$ the Sobolev space [6]

$$
W^{k, p}(\Omega)=\left\{u: u \text { and } D^{\alpha} u \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega),|\alpha| \leq k\right\}
$$

It is a Banach space with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W^{k, p}(\Omega)}=\left\{\sum_{|\alpha| \leq k}\left\|D^{\alpha} u\right\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p}\right\}^{1 / p}
$$

We shall write $H^{k}(\Omega)$ for $W^{k, 2}(\Omega)$, and denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the $L^{2}(\Omega)$-norm.
The completions of the set of all $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ vector functions $\varphi$ such that $\operatorname{div}(\varphi)=0$ in the $H^{1}(\Omega)$-norm and in the $L^{2}(\Omega)$-norm are denoted by $V^{1}(\Omega)$ and $V(\Omega)$, respectively.
$L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{k}(\Omega)\right)$ is the set of equivalence classes of functions $u(\cdot, t)$ from $(0, T)$ to $H^{k}(\Omega)$ which are $L^{2}$-integrable. It is a Hilbert space with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{k}(\Omega)\right)}=\left\{\int_{0}^{T}\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^{k}(\Omega)}^{2} d t\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

and the obvious inner product. $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{k}(\Omega)\right)$ is defined with the usual modification.

Our aim now is to define the notion of a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.4). Set

$$
\theta=\theta^{ \pm} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{t}^{ \pm}, \quad g(\theta)=k^{ \pm} \theta^{ \pm} \quad \text { in } \Omega_{t}^{ \pm}, \quad u= \begin{cases}\widetilde{u} & \text { in } \Omega_{t}^{+} \\ b e & \text { in } \Omega_{t}^{-}\end{cases}
$$

and $\theta_{0}=\theta_{0}^{ \pm}$in $\Omega^{ \pm}$.
Let $\chi$ be a $C^{\infty}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$ scalar function. Formally, from (1.1) and (1.4) we obtain, by an integration by parts,

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{t}^{-}}\left\{\frac{\partial \theta^{-}}{\partial t} \chi+k^{-} \nabla \theta^{-} \nabla \chi+b\left(e \cdot \nabla \theta^{-}\right) \chi\right\} d x d t
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{t}} k^{-} \frac{\partial \theta^{-}}{\partial \nu} \chi d S d t \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\theta^{-}(x, 0)=\theta_{0}^{-} \quad \text { in } \Omega^{-}
$$

Similarly from (1.2)-(1.4) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{t}^{+}}\left\{\frac{\partial \theta^{+}}{\partial t} \chi+k^{+} \nabla \theta^{+} \nabla \chi+\left(\widetilde{u} \cdot \nabla \theta^{+}\right) \chi\right\} d x d t \\
&=-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{t}} k^{+} \frac{\partial \theta^{+}}{\partial \nu} \chi d S d t \\
& \theta^{+}(x, 0)=\theta_{0}^{+} \quad \text { in } \Omega^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, (1.5)-(1.6) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left\{\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \chi+\nabla g(\theta) \nabla \chi+(u \cdot \nabla \theta) \chi\right\} d x d \\
&=-\lambda b \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{t}}(e \cdot \nu) \chi d S d t \\
& \theta(x, 0)=\theta_{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $K_{\theta}^{+}$be the characteristic function corresponding to the fluid phase. Then, since $\operatorname{div}(e)=0$, we have

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \lambda b K_{\theta}^{+}(e \cdot \nabla \chi) d x d t=-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Gamma_{t}} \lambda b(e \cdot \nu) \chi d S d t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}} \lambda b(e \cdot n) \chi d S d t
$$

We may rewrite (1.7) as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\left\{\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} \chi+\nabla g(\theta) \nabla \chi+(u \cdot \nabla \theta) \chi-\lambda b K_{\theta}^{+}(e \cdot \nabla \chi)\right\} d x d t \\
=-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}} \lambda b(e \cdot n) \chi d S d t  \tag{1.8}\\
\theta(x, 0)=\theta_{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{gather*}
$$

To introduce a weak form of the equation (1.3) it is convenient to make a change of variables. Set $v=\widetilde{u}-b e, v_{0}=u_{0}-b e(x, 0)$ and let $\varphi$ be a $C^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ vector function with $\operatorname{div}(\varphi)=0, \varphi(x, T)=0$ and $\varphi=0$ near $\bigcup_{0<t<T} \partial \Omega_{t}^{+}$. Then, from (1.3) we have, after some formal calculations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{t}^{+}}\left\{-v \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}+\mu \nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi-(v+b e) \cdot[(v+b e) \cdot \nabla] \varphi\right\} d x d t  \tag{1.9}\\
& =\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega_{t}^{+}}\left\{f\left(\theta^{+}\right) \cdot \varphi+b\left(e \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}-\mu \nabla e \cdot \nabla \varphi\right)\right\} d x d t-\int_{\Omega} u_{0} \cdot \varphi(x, 0) d x
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
Q^{+}=\left\{(x, t) \in Q_{T}: \theta(x, t)>0\right\} .
$$

The equation (1.9) may be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{Q^{+}}\left\{-v \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}\right. & +\mu \nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi-(v+b e) \cdot[(v+b e) \cdot \nabla] \varphi-f(\theta) \cdot \varphi\} d x d t  \tag{1.10}\\
& =-\int_{\Omega^{+}} u_{0} \varphi(x, 0) d x+\int_{Q^{+}} b\left\{e \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}-\mu \nabla e \cdot \nabla \varphi\right\} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 1.1. Suppose that Assumptions I, II are satisfied. Then $\{\theta, v, K\}$ is said to be a weak solution of (1.1)-(1.4) if:
(i) $\theta \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap C\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $\partial \theta / \partial t \in L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$,
(ii) $v \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; V(\Omega)) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and $v=0$ a.e. in

$$
Q^{-}=\left\{(x, t) \in Q_{T}: \theta(x, t)<0\right\}
$$

(iii) $K \in L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and $K=0$ on the set $\left\{(x, t) \in Q_{T}: \theta(x, t)=0\right\}$. Moreover, $0 \leq K_{\theta}^{+} \leq K \leq 1-K_{\theta}^{-} \leq 1$ a.e. in $Q_{T}$ where $K_{\theta}^{ \pm}$is the characteristic function of the set $Q^{ \pm}$.
(iv) $\{\theta, v, K\}$ satisfies (1.10) for all $\varphi$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ with $\partial \varphi / \partial t$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ such that $\varphi(\cdot, T)=0$ and $\operatorname{supp} \varphi(\cdot, t) \subset\{x \in \Omega: \theta(x, t)>0\}$, as
well as (cf. (1.8))

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}, \psi\right\rangle d t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega}\{\nabla g(\theta) \cdot \nabla \psi+((v+b e) \cdot \nabla \theta) \psi & -\lambda b K(e \cdot \nabla \psi)\} d x d t \\
& =-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}} \lambda b(e \cdot n) \psi d S d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\psi$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, with $\theta(x, 0)=\theta_{0}(x)$ in $\Omega$. Here $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the pairing between $\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$ and $H^{1}(\Omega)$.

The main result of the paper is the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. Suppose that Assumptions I, II are satisfied. Then there exists a weak solution $\{\theta, v, K\}$ of the free boundary problem (1.1)-(1.4) in the sense of Definition 1.1.

Remark. 1) The case $b=0$, i.e. when there is no extraction, can easily be obtained from Theorem 1.1 by letting $b \rightarrow 0^{+}$.
2) The problem of the unicity of the solution is open.
2. A linearized penalized Navier-Stokes equation. In this section we consider an initial boundary value problem for a linearized Navier-Stokes equations with a temperature-dependent penalty function.

Let $\beta_{\varepsilon}$ be the function from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{+} \cup\{0\}$ defined by

$$
\beta_{\varepsilon}(\theta)= \begin{cases}1, & -\infty<\theta \leq-2 \varepsilon  \tag{2.1}\\ -1-\varepsilon^{-1} \theta, & -2 \varepsilon \leq \theta<-\varepsilon \\ 0, & -\varepsilon \leq \theta<\infty\end{cases}
$$

Let $w$ be in $C^{\infty}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right)$ with $\operatorname{div}(w)=0$ and consider the initial boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}-\mu \Delta v+\{(w+b e) \cdot \nabla\} v+\nabla p+\varepsilon^{-1} \beta_{\varepsilon}(\sigma) v  \tag{2.2}\\
\quad=f(\sigma)-b \frac{\partial e}{\partial t}+\mu b \Delta e-b\{(w+b e) \cdot \nabla\} e \quad \text { in } Q_{T} \\
v=0 \text { on } \partial Q_{T}, \quad \nabla \cdot v=0 \text { in } Q_{T}, \quad v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x) \text { in } \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that Assumptions I, II are satisfied. Let $\{f, w, \sigma\}$ be in $L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right) \times C^{\infty}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ with $\operatorname{div}(w)=0$. Then there exists a unique $v_{\varepsilon}$ in $C(0, T ; V(\Omega)) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ which is a weak solution of (2.2). Furthermore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{C(0, T ; V(\Omega))}^{2} & +\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{1}(\Omega)\right)}^{2}+\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{Q_{T}} \beta_{\varepsilon}(\sigma)\left|v_{\varepsilon}(x, t)\right|^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq M\left\{\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+\left(1+b^{2}\right)\|e\|_{C^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+\|w\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M$ is independent of $\varepsilon, w$ and $\sigma$.

Proof. It is clear that we only have to establish the estimate. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, t)\right\|^{2}+\mu\left\|\nabla v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}^{2}+\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \beta_{\varepsilon}(\sigma)\left|v_{\varepsilon}(x, s)\right|^{2} d x d s \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega}^{t}\left\{f v_{\varepsilon}-\frac{\partial e}{\partial t} \cdot v_{\varepsilon}+b \Delta e \cdot v_{\varepsilon}-[(w+b e) \cdot \nabla] e \cdot v_{\varepsilon}\right\} d x d s \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|v_{\varepsilon}(\cdot, s)\right\|^{2} d s \\
&+M\left\{\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+\|w\|_{L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+\left(1+b^{2}\right)\|e\|_{C^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\beta_{\varepsilon}$ is non-negative, the Gronwall lemma gives the estimate of the theorem.
3. A non-linear heat equation. Let $\beta_{\varepsilon}$ be given by (2.1) and let $\{\omega, \sigma\}$ be in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. In this section we shall show the existence of a unique $\theta_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\frac{\partial \theta_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}, \psi\right\rangle d t \\
&+\int_{Q_{T}}\left\{g^{\prime}(\sigma) \nabla \theta_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \psi+\left((\omega+b e) \cdot \nabla \theta_{\varepsilon}\right) \psi\right.\left.-\lambda b \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(-\theta_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla \psi \cdot e\right\} d x d t  \tag{3.1}\\
&=-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}} \lambda b(e \cdot n) \psi d S d t \\
& \theta_{\varepsilon}(x, 0)=\theta_{0} \quad \text { in } \Omega
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\psi$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. Here $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the pairing between $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and its dual $\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$, and

$$
g^{\prime}(\sigma)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
k^{+} & \text {for } \sigma>0, \\
k^{-} & \text {for } \sigma<0,
\end{array} \quad g^{\prime}(0)=k_{0}=\min \left\{k^{+}, k^{-}\right\}\right.
$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that Assumptions I, II are satisfied and let $\{\omega, \sigma\}$ be in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. There exists a unique $\theta_{\varepsilon}$ in $L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap$ $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ with $\partial \theta_{\varepsilon} / \partial t$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$ which is a solution of (3.1). Moreover,

$$
\left\|\theta_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+k_{0}\left\|\theta_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)}^{2} \leq M\left\{1+\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{2}\right\}
$$

where $M$ is independent of $\varepsilon, \sigma$ and $\omega$.
The key assertion of the theorem is the $L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$-uniform boundedness of $\theta_{\varepsilon}$.
Consider the auxiliary problem

$$
\left\langle\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), \chi\right\rangle+\eta\left(|\nabla \theta|^{2} \nabla \theta, \nabla \chi\right)+\left(g^{\prime}(\sigma) \nabla \theta, \nabla \chi\right)
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
+((\omega+b e) \cdot \nabla \theta, \chi)-\lambda b\left(e \beta_{\varepsilon}(-\theta), \nabla \chi\right)=-\int_{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}} \lambda b(e \cdot n) \chi d S  \tag{3.2}\\
\theta(x, 0)=\theta_{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad 0<\varepsilon, \eta<1
\end{gather*}
$$

for almost all $t$ in $(0, T)$ and for all $\chi$ in $W^{1,4}(\Omega)$. Here $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the pairing between $W^{1,4}(\Omega)$ and its dual.

We seek $\theta_{\varepsilon \eta}=\theta$ in $L^{4}\left(0, T ; W^{1,4}(\Omega)\right)$ with $\partial \theta / \partial t$ in $\left\{L^{4}\left(0, T ; W^{1,4}(\Omega)\right)\right\}^{*}$ which is a solution of (3.2).

Lemma 3.1. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, for each $\eta>0$, there exists $\theta_{\varepsilon \eta}=\theta$ in $L^{4}\left(0, T ; W^{1,4}(\Omega)\right)$ with $\partial \theta / \partial t$ in $\left\{L^{4}\left(0, T ; W^{1,4}(\Omega)\right)\right\}^{*}$ which is a solution of (3.2). Furthermore,

$$
\|\theta\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}^{2}+\eta\|\theta\|_{L^{4}\left(0, T ; W^{1,4}(\Omega)\right)}^{4}+k_{0}\|\theta\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)}^{2} \leq M\left\{1+\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}^{2}\right\}
$$

where $M$ is independent of $\varepsilon, \eta, \sigma$ and $\omega$.
Proof. 1) Since $\beta_{\varepsilon}(s)$ is continuous in $s$ and $0 \leq \beta_{\varepsilon}(s) \leq 1$, the existence of a weak solution $\theta$ of $(3.2)$ in $L^{4}\left(0, T ; W^{1,4}(\Omega)\right)$ with $\partial \theta / \partial t$ in $\left\{L^{4}\left(0, T ; W^{1,4}(\Omega)\right)\right\}^{*}$ follows from the standard theory of pseudo-monotone operators.

The Sobolev theorem [6] gives $W^{1,4}(\Omega) \subset L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and $W^{1,4}(\Omega)$ is an algebra. Taking $\chi=\theta^{2 s-1}$ in (3.2), with $2 \leq s<\infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
(2 s)^{-1} \frac{d}{d t}\|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2 s}(\Omega)}^{2 s}+\eta(2 s-1) & \int_{\Omega} \theta^{2 s-2}|\nabla \theta|^{4} d x  \tag{3.3}\\
& +(2 s-1) k_{0} \int_{\Omega} \theta^{2 s-2}|\nabla \theta|^{2} d x \\
& +(2 s)^{-1} \int_{\Omega}(\omega+b e) \cdot \nabla\left(\theta^{2 s}\right) d x \\
\leq & \lambda b \int_{\Omega} e \cdot \beta_{\varepsilon}(-\theta) \nabla\left(\theta^{2 s-1}\right) d x-\lambda b \int_{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}}(e \cdot n) \theta^{2 s-1} d S
\end{align*}
$$

2) Since $\omega=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ and $\operatorname{div}(\omega)=0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 s)^{-1}\left|\int_{\Omega}(\omega+b e) \cdot \nabla\left(\theta^{2 s}\right) d x\right| \leq \frac{b}{2}\left\{\int_{\Omega} \theta^{2 s-2}|\nabla \theta|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} \theta^{2 s} d x\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} e \cdot \beta_{\varepsilon}(-\theta) \nabla\left(\theta^{2 s-1}\right) d x & =\int_{\partial \Omega}(e \cdot n) \beta_{\varepsilon}(-\theta) \theta^{2 s-1} d S-\int_{\Omega} \theta^{2 s-1} \nabla \cdot\left\{e \beta_{\varepsilon}(-\theta)\right\} d x \\
& =\int_{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}}(e \cdot n) \beta_{\varepsilon}(-\theta) \theta^{2 s-1} d S+\int_{\Omega} \theta^{2 s-1}(e \cdot \nabla \theta) \beta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(-\theta) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\lambda b \int_{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}}(e \cdot n)\left(\beta_{\varepsilon}(-\theta)-1\right) \theta^{2 s-1} d S \leq \lambda b \int_{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}}(2 \varepsilon)^{2 s-1} d S \leq M(2 \varepsilon)^{2 s-1}
$$

Since $\left|\beta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(-\theta)\right|=\varepsilon^{-1}$ for $\varepsilon<\theta<2 \varepsilon$ and $\beta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(-\theta)=0$ outside $[\varepsilon, 2 \varepsilon],\left|\theta \beta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(-\theta)\right| \leq 2$ for $\theta \notin\{\varepsilon, 2 \varepsilon\}$. Observe that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \theta^{2 s-2} d x \leq \frac{2 s-2}{2 s} \int_{\Omega} \theta^{2 s} d x+s^{-1} \operatorname{mes} \Omega .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} \theta^{2 s-1}(e \cdot \nabla \theta) \beta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(-\theta) d x\right| \leq \int_{\Omega} \theta^{2 s-2}|\nabla \theta|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} \theta^{2 s} d x+s^{-1} \operatorname{mes} \Omega \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.3)-(3.5) we get, for large $s$,

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2 s}(\Omega)}^{2 s} \leq s M\|\theta\|_{L^{2 s}(\Omega)}^{2 s}+s M(2 \varepsilon)^{2 s-1}+M
$$

Therefore, by Gronwall's lemma,

$$
\|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2 s}(\Omega)}^{2 s} \leq \exp (s M T)\left\{\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{L^{2 s}(\Omega)}^{2 s}+s M(2 \varepsilon)^{2 s-1}+M\right\}
$$

hence
$\|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2 s}(\Omega)} \leq \exp (M T)\left\{\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{L^{2 s}(\Omega)}+(2 s M)^{1 /(2 s)}(2 \varepsilon)^{(2 s-1) /(2 s)}+(2 M)^{1 /(2 s)}\right\}$.
Letting $s \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$
\|\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq M_{1}\left(\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+1\right) .
$$

All the other assertions of the lemma are easy to establish.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $\theta_{\varepsilon \eta}=\theta_{\eta}$ be as in Lemma 3.1. From the estimates of the lemma we obtain, possibly for subsequences, $\theta_{\eta} \rightarrow \theta$ in the weak* topology of $L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right),\left\{\theta_{\eta}, \eta^{1 / 4} \theta_{\eta}, \partial \theta_{\eta} / \partial t\right\} \rightarrow\{\theta, 0, \partial \theta / \partial t\}$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{4}\left(0, T ; W^{1,4}(\Omega)\right) \times\left\{L^{4}\left(0, T ; W^{1,4}(\Omega)\right)\right\}^{*}$ as $\eta \rightarrow 0$. It follows from Aubin's theorem [1] that (for a subsequence and some $\theta$ ) $\theta_{\eta} \rightarrow \theta$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. Moreover, $\theta_{\eta} \rightarrow \theta$ in $C\left(0, T ;\left(W^{1,4}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$.

The estimates for $\theta$ stated in the theorem are an immediate consequence of those of Lemma 3.1. It is now easy to check that $\theta$ is a solution of (3.1). Moreover, $\partial \theta / \partial t$ is in $L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$ and thus $\theta$ belongs to $C\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.

The solution obtained is unique. Indeed, suppose that $\varphi$ is another solution of (3.1) with all the stated properties. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|\theta-\varphi\|^{2}+k_{0}\|\nabla(\theta-\varphi)\|^{2} & +\int_{\Omega}(\omega+b e) \cdot(\theta-\varphi) \nabla(\theta-\varphi) d x \\
& =\lambda b \int_{\Omega}\left(\beta_{\varepsilon}(-\theta)-\beta_{\varepsilon}(-\varphi)\right) e \cdot \nabla(\theta-\varphi) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\|\beta_{\varepsilon}(-\theta)-\beta_{\varepsilon}(-\varphi)\right\| \leq C_{\varepsilon}\|\theta-\varphi\|$ we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|\theta-\varphi\|^{2}+k_{0}\|\nabla(\theta-\varphi)\|^{2} \leq \frac{k_{0}}{2}\|\nabla(\theta-\varphi)\|^{2}+M\|\theta-\varphi\|^{2}
$$

Hence, by the Gronwall lemma, $\theta=\varphi$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.
4. An auxiliary coupled parabolic system. In this section we shall use the method of retarded mollifiers to establish the existence of $\{\theta, v\}$ in

$$
\left\{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)\right\} \times\left\{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V(\Omega)) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{1}(\Omega)\right)\right\}
$$

with $\partial \theta / \partial t$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$ which is a solution of the following problem:

$$
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}, \psi\right\rangle d t+\int_{Q_{T}}\left\{g^{\prime}(\theta) \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi+(v+b e) \cdot \nabla \theta \psi-\lambda b \beta_{\varepsilon}(-\theta) e \cdot \nabla \psi\right\} d x d t
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=-\lambda b \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}}(e \cdot n) \psi d S d t \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\theta(x, 0)=\theta_{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

for all $\psi$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, with

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{Q_{T}}\left\{-v \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}+\mu \nabla v \cdot \nabla \varphi-(v+b e) \cdot[(v+b e) \cdot \nabla] \varphi+\varepsilon^{-1} \beta_{\varepsilon}(\theta) \varphi\right\} d x d t  \tag{4.2}\\
=\int_{Q_{T}}\left\{f(\theta) \varphi+b e \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}-\mu \nabla e \cdot \nabla \varphi\right\} d x d t+\int_{\Omega} v_{0} \varphi(x, 0) d x
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $\varphi$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{1}(\Omega)\right), \partial \varphi / \partial t$ in $L^{2}(0, T ; V(\Omega))$ and $\varphi(x, T)=0$. Here $\beta_{\varepsilon}$ is given by (2.1).

Let $J(x, t)$ be a non-negative smooth function in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with support in $\{(x, t)$ : $\left.|x|<t^{1 / 2}, 1<t<2\right\}$ and such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} J(x, t) d x d t=1
$$

Let $u$ be in $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ and set [3]

$$
J_{\delta} u(x, t)=\delta^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} J\left(y \delta^{-1}, s \delta^{-1}\right) u(x-y, t-s) d y d s
$$

for $\delta>0$. Then $J_{\delta} u$ is called the retarded mollifier of $u$.
Let $u$ be in $L^{q}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)\right), 1 \leq p, q<\infty$, and let $u=0$ outside $Q_{T}$. It is easy to prove that:

1) $J_{\delta} u$ is in $C^{\infty}\left(\bar{Q}_{T}\right), J_{\delta} u \rightarrow u$ in $L^{q}\left(0, T ; L^{p}(\Omega)\right)$,
2) $J_{\delta} u(x, t)$ depends only on $u(\cdot, s)$ for $s$ in $(t-2 \delta, t-\delta)$,
3) if $u$ is in $L^{1}\left(0, T ; V^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, then $\operatorname{div}\left(J_{\delta} u\right)=0$.

Let $\delta=T / N, N=1,2, \ldots$, and set $\widetilde{u}_{N}(x, t)=J_{\delta} u$. Consider the following problems:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left\langle\frac{\partial \theta_{N}}{\partial t}(\cdot, t), \chi\right\rangle+\left(g^{\prime}\left(\widetilde{\theta}_{N}\right) \nabla \theta_{N}, \nabla \chi\right)+\left(\left(v_{N}+b e\right) \cdot \nabla \theta_{N}, \chi\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
\quad-\lambda b\left(e \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(-\theta_{N}\right), \nabla \chi\right)=-\lambda b \int_{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}}(e \cdot n) \chi d S
\end{array}\right.
$$

for almost all $t$ in $(0, T)$ and for all $\chi$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ with

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial v_{N}}{\partial t}-\mu \Delta v_{N}+\left\{\left(\widetilde{v}_{N}+b e\right) \cdot \nabla\right\} v_{N}+\nabla p+\varepsilon^{-1} \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\widetilde{\theta}_{N}\right)=f\left(\widetilde{\theta}_{N}\right) \quad \text { in } Q_{T}  \tag{4.4}\\
\nabla \cdot v_{N}=0, \quad v_{N}=0 \text { on } \partial Q_{T}, \quad v_{N}(x, 0)=v_{0}(x) \text { in } \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Lemma 4.1. Suppose all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied and let $\beta_{\varepsilon}$ be given by (2.1). Then for each $N$, there exists a unique $\left\{\theta_{N}, v_{N}\right\}=\left\{\theta_{N, \varepsilon}, v_{N, \varepsilon}\right\}$ which is a weak solution of (4.3)-(4.4)). Moreover, for $0<\varepsilon \leq 1$,

1) $\left\|\theta_{N}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}+\left\|\theta_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)}+\left\|\partial \theta_{N} / \partial t\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)} \leq M$,
2) $\left\|v_{N}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V(\Omega))}+\left\|v_{N}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{1}(\Omega)\right)}+\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{Q_{T}} \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\widetilde{\theta}_{N}\right) v_{N}^{2} d x d t \leq M$,
3) $\left\|\partial v_{N} / \partial t\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(V^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)} \leq C(\varepsilon)$
where $M$ is independent of $\varepsilon$ and $N$, and $C(\varepsilon)$ is independent of $N$.
Proof. Let $I_{j}=(j T / N,(j+1) T / N)$ with $0 \leq j \leq N-1$. From the properties of the retarded mollifier, the values of $\widetilde{v}_{N}, \widetilde{\theta}_{N}$ on $\Omega \times I_{j}$ depend only on the values of $v_{N}, \theta_{N}$ on $\Omega \times I_{j-1}$.

First we apply Theorem 2.1 to solve (4.4) on $I_{0}=(0, T / N)$ and then use Theorem 3.1 to solve (4.3). The values $v_{N}(\cdot, T / N)$ and $\theta_{N}(\cdot, T / N)$ are uniquely determined.

Now we re-apply Theorem 2.1 on $I_{1}=(T / N, 2 T / N)$ to solve (4.4) and Theorem 3.1 to solve (4.3) with initial data $v_{N}(\cdot, T / N)$ and $\theta_{N}(\cdot, T / N)$. By induction we get $\left\{\theta_{N}, v_{N}\right\}$ on $(0, T)$. The estimates of the lemma follow from those of Theorems 2.1 and 3.1.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose all the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied and let $\beta_{\varepsilon}$ be given by (2.1). Then there exists $\left\{\theta_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ which is a solution of (4.1)-(4.2). Moreover, for $0<\varepsilon \leq 1$,

1) $\left\|\theta_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)}+\left\|\theta_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)}+\left\|\partial \theta_{\varepsilon} / \partial t\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)} \leq M$,
2) $\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(0, T ; V(\Omega))}+\left\|v_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{1}(\Omega)\right)}+\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{Q_{T}} \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\left|v_{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} d x d t \leq M$,
where $M$ is independent of $\varepsilon$.

Proof. Let $\left\{\theta_{N, \varepsilon}, v_{N, \varepsilon}\right\}$ be as in Lemma 4.1. From the estimates of the lemma, we deduce, possibly for subsequences, that $\theta_{N, \varepsilon} \rightarrow \theta_{\varepsilon}$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and in the weak* topology of $L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, and $\partial \theta_{N, \varepsilon} / \partial t \rightarrow \partial \theta_{\varepsilon} / \partial t$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from Aubin's theorem that $\theta_{N, \varepsilon} \rightarrow \theta_{\varepsilon}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|J_{\delta}\left(\theta_{N, \varepsilon}\right)-\theta_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|J_{\delta}\left(\theta_{N, \varepsilon}-\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}+\left\|J_{\delta} \theta_{\varepsilon}-\theta_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)} \\
& \leq C\left\|\theta_{N, \varepsilon}-\theta_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}+\left\|J_{\delta} \theta_{\varepsilon}-\theta_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\widetilde{\theta}_{N, \varepsilon}=J_{\delta}\left(\theta_{N, \varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \theta_{\varepsilon}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
Similarly, possibly for subsequences, $v_{N, \varepsilon} \rightarrow v_{\varepsilon}$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and in the weak* topology of $L^{\infty}(0, T ; V(\Omega))$, and $\partial v_{N, \varepsilon} / \partial t \rightarrow \partial v_{\varepsilon} / \partial t$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(V^{2}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$.

By Aubin's theorem, $v_{N, \varepsilon} \rightarrow v_{\varepsilon}$ in $L^{2}(0, T ; V(\Omega))$ and a.e. in $\Omega \times(0, T)$.
As above, $\widetilde{v}_{N, \varepsilon} \rightarrow v_{\varepsilon}$ in $L^{2}(0, T ; V(\Omega))$ and a.e. in $\Omega \times(0, T)$.
Since $\beta_{\varepsilon}(s)$ is continuous in $s$,

$$
\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\widetilde{\theta}_{N, \varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right), \quad \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(-\theta_{N, \varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(-\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)
$$

a.e. in $\Omega \times(0, T)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Hence

$$
\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{Q_{T}} \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right) v_{\varepsilon}^{2} d x d t \leq C
$$

where $C$ is independent of $\varepsilon$.
All the other estimates of the theorem are trivial consequences of those of Lemma 4.1.

In view of the above convergence of $\left\{\theta_{N, \varepsilon}, v_{N, \varepsilon}\right\}$ to $\left\{\theta_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right\}$, it is not difficult to check that $\left\{\theta_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ is indeed a solution of (4.1)-(4.2).
5. The equicontinuity of $\theta_{\varepsilon}$. In this section we prove the equicontinuity in $Q_{T}$ of the family $\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right), \varepsilon>0$. This will allow us, in the next section, to choose a subsequence $\left(\theta_{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right), \varepsilon^{\prime} \rightarrow 0$, converging uniformly to a continuous function $\theta$.

We follow the method presented in [3] (see also [4]). It is based on two basic estimates (Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 below); the main result of the this section is Theorem 5.1.

Let $\left\{\theta_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ be as in Theorem 4.1. For simplicity we shall write $\{\theta, v\}$ for $\left\{\theta_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ throughout this section.

First we shall introduce some notations. Set, for $h>0$,

$$
\left.\theta_{h}(x, t)=h^{-1} \int_{t}^{t+h} \theta(x, s) d s \quad \text { (Steklov average of } \theta\right)
$$

Let $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ be an arbitrary point of $Q_{T}$ and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
B\left(x_{0}, R\right) & =\left\{x \in \Omega:\left|x-x_{0}\right|<R\right\}, & A_{k}(t) & =\{x \in \Omega: \theta(x, t)>k\} \\
Q_{R}(s) & =B\left(x_{0}, R\right) \times\left[t_{0}-s R^{2}, t_{0}\right], & A_{k, R}(t) & =B\left(x_{0}, R\right) \cap A_{k}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

We define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta_{+}^{(k)}(x, t)=\max \{\theta(x, t)-k, 0\}, \quad \theta_{-}^{(k)}(x, t)=\max \{-(\theta(x, t)-k), 0\}, \\
M(k, R)=\underset{Q_{R}(s)}{\operatorname{ess} \sup } \theta_{ \pm}^{(k)}
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 5.1. Let $\theta=\theta_{\varepsilon}$ be the solution of (4.1) given by Theorem 4.1, $Q_{R}(s) \subset$ $Q_{T}$ and $k$ be an arbitrary real number. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{\left[t_{0}-s\left(1-\sigma_{2}\right) R^{2}, t_{0}\right]}\left\|\theta_{ \pm}^{(k)}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B\left(R-\sigma_{1} R\right)\right)}^{2}+\int_{t_{0}-s\left(1-\sigma_{2}\right) R^{2}}^{t_{0}} \int_{B\left(R-\sigma_{1} R\right)}\left|\nabla \theta_{ \pm}^{(k)}\right|^{2} d x d t \\
& \quad \leq \gamma\left\{\left(\sigma_{1} R\right)^{-2}+\left(\sigma_{2} s R^{2}\right)^{-1}\right\} \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t_{0}} \int_{B(R)}\left|\theta_{ \pm}^{(k)}\right|^{2} d x d t+\frac{c}{\sigma_{1}}\{M(k, R)\}^{2} R^{2}+\frac{c}{\sigma_{1}} R^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma$ and $c$ depend only on the data, and $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ are such that $0<\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}<1$.
Proof. 1) Let $\zeta(x, t)$ be a cut-off function in $Q_{R}(s) \subset Q_{T}$ such that:
(i) $\zeta(\cdot, t) \in C_{0}\left(B\left(x_{0}, R\right)\right), 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1,|\nabla \zeta| \leq\left(\sigma_{1} R\right)^{-1}$,
(ii) $\zeta\left(x, t_{0}-s R^{2}\right)=0, x \in B\left(x_{0}, R\right)$,
(iii) $0 \leq \partial \zeta / \partial t \leq\left(s \sigma_{2} R^{2}\right)^{-1}$,
(iv) $\zeta(x, t)=1$ for $(x, t)$ in $B\left(x_{0}, R-\sigma_{1} R\right) \times\left[t_{0}-s\left(1-\sigma_{2}\right) R^{2}, t_{0}\right]$.

Let $\psi(x, t)$ be in $L^{2}\left(t_{0}-s R^{2}, t_{0} ; H_{0}^{1}(B(R))\right)$, where we write $B(R)$ for $B\left(x_{0}, R\right)$. From (4.1) it follows (cf. [6]) that for all $t \in\left[t_{0}-s R^{2}, t_{0}\right]$ we have (with $\beta=\beta_{\varepsilon}$ )

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t}\left\langle\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}, \psi\right\rangle d t+\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}\left\{g^{\prime}(\theta) \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi+((v+b e) \cdot \nabla \theta) \psi\right.  \tag{5.1}\\
-\lambda b \beta(-\theta) e \cdot \nabla \psi\} d x d t=0
\end{array}
$$

Take $\psi=\theta_{ \pm}^{(k)} \zeta^{2}$. We shall carry out the calculations for $\theta_{+}^{(k)} \zeta^{2}$. Those for $\theta_{-}^{(k)} \zeta^{2}$ are similar.

Since $\{\theta, \partial \theta / \partial t\}$ is in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$ we have $\{\zeta \theta, \partial(\zeta \theta) / \partial t\}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left\|\zeta \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{2}(B(R))}^{2} & =\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\zeta \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right), \zeta \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\theta_{+}^{(k)}\right), \zeta \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right\rangle+\left\langle\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} \theta_{+}^{(k)}, \zeta \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\|\left(\zeta \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}\right)^{1 / 2} \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{2}(B(R))}^{2}+\left\langle\frac{\partial \theta_{+}^{(k)}}{\partial t}, \zeta^{2} \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the pairing between $H^{1}(\Omega)$ and its dual $\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t}\left\langle\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}, \zeta^{2} \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right\rangle d t=\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t}\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{+}^{(k)}, \zeta^{2} \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right\rangle d t  \tag{5.2}\\
& \quad=\frac{1}{2}\left\|\zeta(\cdot, t) \theta_{+}^{(k)}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}(B(R))}^{2}-\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t}\left\|\left(\zeta \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t}\right)^{1 / 2} \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right\|_{L^{2}(B(R))}^{2} d t
\end{align*}
$$

2) Consider now the term involving $g(\theta)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} g^{\prime}(\theta) \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla & \left(\zeta^{2} \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right) d x d t \\
& =\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} g^{\prime}(\theta)\left\{\zeta^{2} \nabla \theta_{+}^{(k)}+2 \zeta \nabla \zeta \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right\} \cdot \nabla \zeta \theta d x d t \\
& =\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} g^{\prime}(\theta) \nabla \theta_{+}^{(k)} \cdot\left\{\zeta^{2} \nabla \theta_{+}^{(k)}+2 \zeta \nabla \zeta \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right\} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $g^{\prime}(\theta) \geq k_{0}$ and $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} g^{\prime}(\theta) \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla\left(\zeta^{2} \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right) d x d t & \geq \frac{k_{0}}{2} \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} \zeta^{2}\left|\nabla \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right|^{2} d x d t  \tag{5.3}\\
& -C\left(k_{0}\right) \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}|\nabla \zeta|^{2}\left(\theta_{+}^{(k)}\right)^{2} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

3) Since $\operatorname{div}(v+b e)=0$, integration by parts yields
(5.4) $\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}((v+b e) \cdot \nabla \theta) \theta_{+}^{(k)} \zeta^{2} d x d t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}(v+b e) \zeta^{2} \nabla\left(\theta_{+}^{(k)}\right)^{2} d x d t \\
& =-\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}\left(\theta_{+}^{(k)}\right)^{2}(v+b e) \zeta \cdot \nabla \zeta d x d t \equiv I
\end{aligned}
$$

4) We have
(5.5) $\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} \lambda b e \beta(-\theta) \cdot \nabla\left(\zeta^{2} \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right) d x d t$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} \lambda b e \beta(-\theta) \zeta^{2} \cdot \nabla \theta_{+}^{(k)} d x d t \\
& +2 \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} \lambda b e \beta(-\theta) \theta_{+}^{(k)} \zeta \cdot \nabla \zeta d x d t \equiv K+J .
\end{aligned}
$$

It now follows from (5.1)-(5.5) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|\zeta(\cdot, t) \theta_{+}^{(k)}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}(B(R))}^{2} & +\frac{k_{0}}{2} \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} \zeta^{2}\left|\nabla \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right|^{2} d x d t  \tag{5.6}\\
\leq & C\left(k_{0}\right) \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}\left(\theta_{+}^{(k)}\right)^{2}|\nabla \zeta|^{2} d x d t \\
& +\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}\left(\theta_{+}^{(k)}\right)^{2} \zeta \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} d x d t+I+J+K
\end{align*}
$$

5) From the properties of the cut-off function $\zeta$, we obtain for each $t \in$ $\left[t_{0}-s\left(1-\sigma_{2}\right) R^{2}, t_{0}\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\theta_{+}^{(k)}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B\left(R-\sigma_{1} R\right)\right)}^{2}+k_{0} \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} \zeta^{2}\left|\nabla \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right|^{2} d x d t  \tag{5.7}\\
& \quad \leq 2(I+J+K)+\gamma\left\{\left(\sigma_{1} R\right)^{-2}+\left(\sigma_{2} s R^{2}\right)^{-1}\right\} \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t_{0}} \int_{B(R)}\left|\theta_{+}^{(k)}\right|^{2} d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

We shall now estimate $I$. From (5.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|I| & \leq c\left(\sigma_{1} R\right)^{-1} \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t_{0}} \int_{B}\left|\theta_{+}^{(k)}\right|^{2}|v+b e| d x d t  \tag{5.8}\\
& \leq c\left(\sigma_{1} R\right)^{-1}\left\{\underset{Q_{R}(s)}{\operatorname{ess} \sup _{2}} \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right\}^{2}\|v+b e\|_{L^{4}\left(Q_{T}\right)}\left(\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t_{0}} \operatorname{mes} A_{k, R}(t) d t\right)^{3 / 4}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $v+b e$ is in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, it is also in $L^{4}\left(0, T ; L^{4}(\Omega)\right)$. Thus,
(5.9) $\quad|I| \leq c\left(\sigma_{1} R\right)^{-1}\{M(k, R)\}^{2}\left\{1+\|v\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)}+\|v\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)}\right\} R^{3}$

$$
\leq c_{1}\left(\sigma_{1} R\right)^{-1}\{M(k, R)\}^{2} R^{3}
$$

by taking into account the estimates of Theorem 4.1; $c_{1}$ depends only on the data.

Let $K$ be given by (5.5). Then it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|K| \leq \eta \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} \zeta^{2}\left|\nabla \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right|^{2} d x d t+C(\eta) R^{3}, \quad \eta>0 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, for $J$ as in (5.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
|J| & \leq \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t_{0}} \int_{B(R)} \zeta^{2}|\nabla \zeta| d x d t+\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t_{0}} \int_{B(R)}\left(\theta_{+}^{(k)}\right)^{2}|\nabla \zeta| d x d t  \tag{5.11}\\
& \leq c\left(\sigma_{1} R\right)^{-1} R^{4}+c\left(\sigma_{1} R\right)^{-1}\{M(k, R)\}^{2}\left(\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t_{0}} \operatorname{mes} A_{k, R}(t) d t\right)^{3 / 4} \\
& \leq \frac{c_{2}}{\sigma_{1}} R^{3}+\frac{c_{2}}{\sigma_{1}}\{M(k, R)\}^{2} R^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (5.7)-(5.11) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{\left[t_{0}-s\left(1-\sigma_{2}\right) R^{2}, t_{0}\right]}\left\|\theta_{+}^{(k)}(\cdot, t)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(B\left(R-\sigma_{1} R\right)\right)}^{2}+\int_{t_{0}-s\left(1-\sigma_{2}\right) R^{2}}^{t_{0}} \int_{B\left(R-\sigma_{1} R\right)}\left|\nabla \theta_{+}^{(k)}\right|^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq \gamma\left\{\left(\sigma_{1} R\right)^{-2}+\left(\sigma_{2} s R^{2}\right)^{-1}\right\} \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t_{0}} \int_{B(R)}\left|\theta_{+}^{(k)}\right|^{2} d x d t+\frac{c}{\sigma_{1}}\{M(k, R)\}^{2} R^{2}+\frac{c}{\sigma_{1}} R^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The lemma is proved.
We shall now establish a logarithmic estimate. The restriction to the planar domain plays a crucial role in the proof.

LEMMA 5.2. Let $k$ be in $\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mu \geq \operatorname{ess}_{\sup }^{Q_{R}(s)} \theta_{+}^{(k)}$ and $0<\eta<\mu$. Set

$$
\varphi(x, t)=\max \left\{\log \frac{\mu}{\mu-\theta_{+}^{(k)}(x, t)+\eta}, 0\right\}
$$

Then there exists $C=C(s)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B\left(R-\sigma_{1} R\right)} \varphi^{2}(x, t) d x \\
& \quad \leq \int_{B(R)} \varphi^{2}\left(x, t_{0}-s R^{2}\right) d x+C\left(1+R \eta^{-1}\right) \sigma_{1}^{-2} \log \left(\mu \eta^{-1}\right) \cdot \operatorname{mes} B(R) \\
& \quad+C\left\{1+\log \left(\mu \eta^{-1}\right)\right\} R^{2} \eta^{-2} \operatorname{mes} B(R)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t$ in $\left[t_{0}-s R^{2}, t_{0}\right]$.
Proof. Let $\zeta(x)$ be a cut-off function in $Q_{R}(s)$ such that $\zeta(x)=1$ on $B\left(R-\sigma_{1} R\right),|\nabla \zeta| \leq\left(\sigma_{1} R\right)^{-1}, 0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$. Set $\widetilde{\varphi}\left(\theta_{+}^{(k)}\right)=\varphi\left(\theta_{+}^{(k)}(x, t)\right)$. In the
equation (5.1) we shall use the test function

$$
\psi(x, t)=\zeta^{2}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(\widetilde{\varphi}(\theta))^{2}
$$

where for simplicity of notations we write $\theta$ for $\theta_{+}^{(k)}$ when there is no confusion possible. It is clear that $\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}=2(1+\widetilde{\varphi})\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)^{2}$ with $\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}=\partial \widetilde{\varphi}(\theta) / \partial \theta$.

Since $\theta$ is in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right), \psi$ belongs to $L^{2}\left(t_{0}-s R^{2}, t_{0} ; H^{1}(B(R))\right)$ and $\psi=0$ on $\partial B(R) \times\left[t_{0}-s R^{2}, t_{0}\right]$.

1) We now show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t}\left\langle\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\zeta^{2} \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}\right)\right\rangle d t=\left.\int_{B(R)} \zeta^{2} \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}(\theta) d x\right|_{t_{0}-s R^{2}} ^{t} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $\{\theta, \partial \theta / \partial t\}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \times L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$ we know that $\theta_{h} \rightarrow \theta$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T_{1} ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and $\partial \theta_{h} / \partial t \rightarrow \partial \theta / \partial t$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T_{1} ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$ as $h \rightarrow 0^{+}$for any $T_{1}<T\left(\theta_{h}\right.$ is the Steklov average of $\left.\theta\right)$. Moreover, since $\theta$ belongs to $C\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right), \theta_{h} \rightarrow \theta$ in $C\left(0, T_{1} ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t}\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{h}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{h}}\left(\zeta^{2} \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}\left(\theta_{h}\right)\right)\right\rangle d t & =\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\zeta^{2} \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}\left(\theta_{h}\right)\right) d x d t \\
& =\left.\int_{B(R)} \zeta^{2} \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}\left(\theta_{h}\right) d x\right|_{t_{0}-s R^{2}} ^{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

A simple calculation gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{h}}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{2}\left(\theta_{h}\right)\right)\right| & \leq 2 \eta^{-1} \log \left(\mu \eta^{-1}\right), \\
\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{h}}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{2}\left(\theta_{h}\right)\right)\right| & \leq 2 \eta^{-1}\left(\log \left(\mu \eta^{-1}\right)+\eta^{-1}\right)\left|\frac{\partial \theta_{h}}{\partial x}\right| . \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, possibly for subsequences we get

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{h}}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{2}\left(\theta_{h}\right)\right) \rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{2}(\theta)\right) \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T_{1} ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) .
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t}\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{h}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{h}}\left(\zeta^{2} \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}\left(\theta_{h}\right)\right)\right\rangle d t \rightarrow \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t}\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\zeta^{2} \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}(\theta)\right)\right\rangle d t
$$

On the other hand, since $\partial \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}\left(\theta_{h}\right) / \partial \theta_{h}$ is a continuous function of $\theta_{h}$ and $\theta_{h} \rightarrow \theta$ in $C\left(0, T_{1} ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, we obtain from (5.13)

$$
\left.\left.\int_{B(R)} \zeta^{2} \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}\left(\theta_{h}\right) d x\right|_{t_{0}-s R^{2}} ^{t} \rightarrow \int_{B(R)} \zeta^{2} \widetilde{\varphi}^{2}(\theta) d x\right|_{t_{0}-s R^{2}} ^{t}
$$

Thus (5.12) is proved.
2) We now consider the remaining terms. We have, for all $\nu>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \quad \int_{B(R)} g^{\prime}(\theta) \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi d x d t  \tag{5.14}\\
& =\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} g^{\prime}(\theta) \nabla \theta \cdot\left\{2(1+\widetilde{\varphi})\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \zeta^{2} \nabla \theta+\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{2}\right)^{\prime} \nabla \zeta^{2}\right\} d x d t \\
& \geq 2 k_{0} \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}(1+\widetilde{\varphi})\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \zeta^{2}|\nabla \theta|^{2} d x d t \\
& \quad-\nu \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2} B(R)}^{t}(1+\widetilde{\varphi})\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \zeta^{2}|\nabla \theta|^{2} d x d t \\
& \quad-C(\nu) \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2} B(R)}^{t} \widetilde{\varphi}|\nabla \zeta|^{2} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

3) We now estimate the expressions involving the velocity vector. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}(v \cdot \nabla \theta) \psi d x d t \leq \nu \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}(1+\widetilde{\varphi})\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \zeta^{2}|\nabla \theta|^{2} d x d t  \tag{5.15}\\
+C(\nu) \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} \varphi|v|^{2} \zeta^{2} d x d t
\end{array}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}(b e \cdot \nabla \theta) \psi d x d t=2 b \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} e \widetilde{\varphi} \zeta^{2} \cdot \nabla \widetilde{\varphi} d x d t  \tag{5.16}\\
& \leq \nu \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}(1+\widetilde{\varphi})\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)^{2}|\nabla \theta|^{2} \zeta^{2} d x d t+C(\nu) \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}|e|^{2} \widetilde{\varphi} \zeta^{2} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

In a similar fashion,

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} \lambda b \beta(-\theta) e \cdot \nabla \psi d x d t  \tag{5.17}\\
& \quad=-\lambda b \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}(\beta(-\theta) e \cdot \nabla \theta)(1+\widetilde{\varphi})\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \zeta^{2} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\lambda b \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} \beta(-\theta) e\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{2}\right)^{\prime} \cdot \nabla \zeta^{2} d x d t \\
& \leq \nu \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}(1+\widetilde{\varphi})\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)^{2}|\nabla \theta|^{2} \zeta^{2} d x d t \\
& \quad+C(\nu) \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}(1+\widetilde{\varphi})\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \zeta^{2} d x d t \\
& +C_{1} \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} \widetilde{\varphi} \widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime} \zeta|\nabla \zeta| d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

4) It follows from (5.12)-(5.17) and from (5.1) with $\psi=\zeta^{2} \partial(\widetilde{\varphi}(\theta))^{2} / \partial \theta$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|\zeta \varphi(\cdot, t)\|_{L^{2}(B(R))}^{2}+k_{0} \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}(1+\widetilde{\varphi})\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \zeta^{2}|\nabla \theta|^{2} d x d t  \tag{5.18}\\
& \leq\left\|\zeta \varphi\left(\cdot, t_{0}-s R^{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(B(R))}^{2}+C \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} \widetilde{\varphi}|\nabla \zeta|^{2} d x d t \\
& \quad+C \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)} \widetilde{\varphi} \zeta^{2}\left\{|e|^{2}+|v|^{2}\right\} d x d t \\
& \quad+C \int_{t_{0}-s R^{2}}^{t} \int_{B(R)}\left\{(1+\widetilde{\varphi})\left(\widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \zeta^{2}+\widetilde{\varphi} \widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime} \zeta|\nabla \zeta|\right\} d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $0 \leq \widetilde{\varphi} \leq \log \left(\mu \eta^{-1}\right), 0 \leq \widetilde{\varphi}^{\prime} \leq \eta^{-1}$ and

$$
L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right) \subset L^{4}\left(0, T ; L^{4}(\Omega)\right)
$$

$\Omega$ being a subset of the plane, we obtain from (5.18), by taking into account Theorem 4.1,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \int_{B\left(R-\sigma_{1} R\right)}|\varphi(x, t)|^{2} d x  \tag{5.19}\\
& \leq \int_{B(R)}\left\{\varphi\left(x, t_{0}-s R^{2}\right)\right\}^{2} d x+C \sigma_{1}^{-2} \log \left(\mu \eta^{-1}\right) \operatorname{mes} B(R) \\
& \quad+C\left(1+\log \left(\mu \eta^{-1}\right)\right) R^{2} \eta^{-2} \operatorname{mes} B(R) \\
& \quad+C \sigma_{1}^{-2} \log \left(\mu \eta^{-1}\right) R \eta^{-1} \operatorname{mes} B(R)
\end{align*}
$$

The lemma is proved.
The main result of the section is the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\left\{\theta_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ be as in Theorem 4.1. Then $\left\{\theta_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ is equicontinuous in $Q_{T}$, i.e. for every compact subset $G$ of $Q_{T}$ there exists a non-decreasing continuous function $\omega_{G}, \omega_{G}(0)=0$, depending on $\operatorname{dist}\left(G, \partial Q_{T}\right)$ but independent of $\varepsilon$, such that

$$
\left|\theta_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right)-\theta_{\varepsilon}\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right)\right| \leq \omega_{G}\left(\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|+\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

for any two points $\left(x_{1}, t_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, t_{2}\right)$ in $G$.
Proof. In view of Lemmas 5.1, 5.2 the theorem is an immediate consequence of a result of Di Benedetto [2] (see also [4]) where the inequality (2.8) of [2] with $\varkappa=1 / 2$ and $N=2$ is replaced by (5.19).

## 6. Proof of Theorem 1.1

1) Let $\left\{\theta_{\varepsilon}, v_{\varepsilon}\right\}$ be as in Theorem 4.1. From Theorem 5.1 and from the estimates of Theorem 4.1, we deduce that, possibly for subsequences, $\theta_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \theta$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and in the weak* topology of $L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$, and $\partial \theta_{\varepsilon} / \partial t \rightarrow \partial \theta / \partial t$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right)$. Moreover, $\theta_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \theta$ uniformly on compact subsets of $Q_{T}$ and thus in $L^{2}\left(Q_{T}\right)$ and a.e. in $Q_{T}$. Then $\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(-\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)$ converges to some $K$ in the weak* topology of $L^{\infty}\left(Q_{T}\right)$. Similarly, $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow v$ weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and in the weak* topology of $L^{\infty}(0, T ; V(\Omega))$.
2) Since $\theta$ is continuous in $Q_{T}$, the set $Q^{+}=\left\{(x, t) \in Q_{T}: \theta(x, t)>0\right\}$ is open in the relative topology of $Q_{T}$, and similarly for $Q^{-}$.

Recall that $\beta_{\varepsilon}(s)=1$ for $-\infty<s \leq-2 \varepsilon, \beta_{\varepsilon}(s)=-1-\varepsilon^{-1} s$ for $-2 \varepsilon \leq s \leq-\varepsilon$ and $\beta_{\varepsilon}(s)=0$ otherwise. Let $S^{-}$be an arbitrary compact subset of $Q^{-}$. Then $\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(-\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)=0$ on $S^{-}$for $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}\left(S^{-}\right)$. Hence $K=0$ a.e. in $Q^{-}, K \leq 1-K_{\theta}^{-}$, where $K_{\theta}^{-}$is the characteristic function of the set $Q^{-}$.

Let $S^{+}$be an arbitrary compact subset of $Q^{+}$. Then $\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(-\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)=1$ on $S^{+}$for all $0<\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{0}\left(S^{+}\right)$. Thus, $K=1$ a.e. on $Q^{+}$and

$$
K_{\theta}^{+} \leq K \leq 1-K_{\theta}^{-}
$$

3) We have

$$
\varepsilon^{-1} \int_{S^{-}} v_{\varepsilon}^{2}(x, t) d x d t \leq \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{Q_{T}} \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right) v_{\varepsilon}^{2}(x, t) d x d t \leq C
$$

Therefore $v=0$ a.e. in $S^{-}$. Since $S^{-}$is an arbitrary compact subset of $Q^{-}$, we get $v=0$ a.e. in $Q^{-}$.

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \theta_{\varepsilon}, \psi\right\rangle d t+ & \int_{Q_{T}}\left\{g^{\prime}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla \theta_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \psi-\left(v_{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla \psi\right) \theta_{\varepsilon}+b \psi\left(e \cdot \nabla \theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\lambda b \beta_{\varepsilon}\left(-\theta_{\varepsilon}\right) e \cdot \nabla \psi\right\} d x d t=-\lambda b \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}}(e \cdot n) \psi d S d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\theta_{\varepsilon}(x, 0)=\theta_{0}(x) \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

for all $\psi$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$.
With $g\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)=k^{+} \theta_{\varepsilon}$ for $\theta_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ and $g\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)=k^{-} \theta_{\varepsilon}$ for $\theta_{\varepsilon} \leq 0$ we deduce from the uniform boundedness of $\theta_{\varepsilon}$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and the uniform convergence of $\theta_{\varepsilon}$ to $\theta$ on compact subsets of $Q_{T}$ that

$$
g^{\prime}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla \theta_{\varepsilon}=\nabla\left\{g\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\} \rightarrow g^{\prime}(\theta) \nabla \theta
$$

weakly in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$.
From the first two parts, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}, \psi\right\rangle d t+\int_{Q_{T}}\left\{g^{\prime}(\theta) \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \psi-(v \cdot \nabla \psi) \theta\right. & +b \psi(e \cdot \nabla \theta) \\
& \left.-\lambda b(e \cdot \nabla \psi) K_{\theta}^{+}\right\} d x d t=-\lambda b \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\partial \Omega_{\mathrm{F}}^{+}}(e \cdot n) \psi d S d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\psi$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{1}(\Omega)\right)$.
Since $\theta_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \theta$ in $C\left(0, T ;\left(H^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}\right), \theta(x, 0)=\theta_{0}$.
4) It remains to show the convergence for the penalized Navier-Stokes equations. Since $Q^{+}$is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, we have the Whitney decomposition:

$$
Q^{+}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{j} \times \bar{I}_{j}\right)
$$

where $\Omega_{j}$ are squares whose sides are parallel to the axes and $I_{j}$ are intervals with

$$
\operatorname{Int}\left\{\bar{\Omega}_{j} \times \bar{I}_{j}\right\} \cap \operatorname{Int}\left\{\bar{\Omega}_{k} \times \bar{I}_{k}\right\}=\emptyset \quad \text { if } j \neq k
$$

Let $S$ be any compact subset of $Q^{+}$. Then

$$
S \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{N}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{j} \times \bar{I}_{j}\right) \subset Q^{+}
$$

For $\varphi$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; V^{2}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)\right)$ with $\partial \varphi / \partial t$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)\right)$ and $\varphi(\cdot, T)=0$, we get $\beta_{\varepsilon}\left(\theta_{\varepsilon}\right) \varphi(x, t)=0$ for $\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}(j)$.

It then follows from (4.2) and from the estimates of Theorem 4.1 that

$$
\left\|\partial v_{\varepsilon} / \partial t\right\|_{L^{2}\left(I_{j} ;\left[V^{2}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)\right]^{*}\right)} \leq M
$$

where $M$ is independent of $j$ and of $\varepsilon$. An application of Aubin's theorem gives $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{2}\left(I_{j} ; L^{2}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)\right)$ and a.e. in $\Omega_{j} \times I_{j}$. By the Cantor diagonalization process we get a subsequence, denoted again by $v_{\varepsilon}$, such that $v_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow v$ in $L^{2}(S)$ and a.e. in $S$.

Since $S$ is an arbitrary compact subset of $Q^{+}$, from (4.2) and from the above arguments we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q^{+}}\left\{-v \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}+\mu \nabla v \cdot\right. & \nabla \varphi-[(v+b e) \cdot \nabla] \varphi \cdot(v+b e)-f(\theta) \varphi\} d x d t \\
& =\int_{Q^{+}} v_{0} \cdot \varphi(x, 0) d x+\int_{Q^{+}} b\left\{e \cdot \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}-\mu \nabla e \cdot \nabla \varphi\right\} d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi$ in $C^{\infty}\left(Q^{+}\right), \operatorname{div}(\varphi)=0, \varphi=0$ near $\partial Q^{+}$and $\varphi(\cdot, T)=0$. The theorem is proved.
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