

Z. S. KOWALSKI (Wrocław)

## ERGODIC PROPERTIES OF SKEW PRODUCTS WITH FIBRE MAPS OF LASOTA–YORKE TYPE

*Abstract.* We consider the skew product transformation  $T(x, y) = (f(x), T_{e(x)}y)$ , where  $f$  is an endomorphism of a Lebesgue space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, p)$ ,  $e : X \rightarrow S$  and  $\{T_s\}_{s \in S}$  is a family of Lasota–Yorke type maps of the unit interval into itself. We obtain conditions under which the ergodic properties of  $f$  imply the same properties for  $T$ . Consequently, we get the asymptotical stability of random perturbations of a single Lasota–Yorke type map. We apply this to some probabilistic model of the motion of cogged bits in the rotary drilling of hard rock with high rotational speed.

**1. Preliminaries and main results.** Let  $f$  be a negative nonsingular transformation of a Lebesgue space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, p)$  into itself. Let  $I$  be the unit interval.

DEFINITION. The transformation  $\tau : I \rightarrow I$  is of the *Lasota–Yorke type* if there exist  $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_N = 1$  and a constant  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda > 1$ , such that for any  $j = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$ :

- (i)  $\tau|_{(a_j, a_{j+1})}$  is of class  $C^1$  and the limits  $\tau'(a_j^+)$ ,  $\tau'(a_{j+1}^-)$  exist (or are infinite),
- (ii) there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $\inf |(\tau^n)'| \geq \lambda$ ,
- (iii)  $|1/\tau'|$  is a function of bounded variation.

We denote by  $R_\tau$  the set  $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_N\}$  and by  $Z_\tau$  the partition of  $I$  into closed intervals  $I_1 = [a_0, a_1], \dots, I_N = [a_{N-1}, a_N]$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 28D05.

*Key words and phrases*: Frobenius–Perron operator, invariant measure, motion of cogged bits.

Research supported by KBN Grant PB-666/2/91.

Let  $\{T_s\}_{s \in S}$  be a family of Lasota–Yorke type maps of  $I$  into itself. Consider a function  $e : X \rightarrow S$  such that the mapping  $(x, y) \rightarrow T_{e(x)}y$  is measurable. We define the *skew product transformation* by

$$T(x, y) = (f(x), T_{e(x)}y).$$

The transformation  $T$  is negative nonsingular with respect to the product measure  $p \times m$  ( $m$  the Lebesgue measure).

Let  $P_T$  denote the *Frobenius–Perron operator* for  $T$ , i.e.

$$P_T G = \frac{d}{dp \times m} \int_{T^{-1}(\cdot)} G d(p \times m) \quad \text{for } G \in L_1(p \times m).$$

Then (using the Fubini theorem)

$$(1.1) \quad P_T = P_f P_{e(\cdot)},$$

where  $P_f$  and  $P_{e(x)}$  denote the Frobenius–Perron operators for  $f$  and  $T_{e(x)}$ , respectively. Moreover, fixing the function  $e$  we write  $P_x, T_x$  instead of  $P_{e(x)}$  and  $T_{e(x)}$ , respectively. For a function  $F, F : X \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , let  $\mathbf{V}_x F$  denote the total variation of  $F(x, \cdot)$ , for every  $x \in X$ . For  $G \in L_1(p \times m)$  we introduce the following notation:

$$\mathbf{V} G = \inf \left\{ \int_x \mathbf{V} F dp : F \text{ is any version of } G \right\},$$

$$BV = \{G \in L_1(p \times m) : \mathbf{V} G < \infty\} \text{ and}$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \{G \in L_1(p \times m) : G \geq 0, \|G\|_1 = 1\}.$$

Our first aim is to estimate the variation of iterations of the Frobenius–Perron operator. By Lemma 2 of [6] we have  $\mathbf{V} P_f G \leq \mathbf{V} G$  for  $G \in BV$  and consequently by using (1.1) we get

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbf{V} P_T G \leq \mathbf{V} P_x F,$$

where  $F$  is any version of  $G$ .

For further considerations we introduce a property (A) of the family  $\{T_s\}_{s \in S}$ . Let  $S^n = \{(s_1, \dots, s_n) : s_i \in S, i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . For  $\alpha \in S^n$ ,  $\alpha = (s_1, \dots, s_n)$ , we define  $T_\alpha = T_{s_n} \circ \dots \circ T_{s_1}$ . Then

(A) There exists a positive integer  $n$  such that

(A<sub>1</sub>) there is a constant  $\lambda > 1$  such that  $|T'_\alpha| \geq \lambda$  for all  $\alpha \in S^n$ ,

(A<sub>2</sub>) there is a constant  $W > 0$  such that  $\mathbf{V} |1/T'_\alpha| \leq W$  for all  $\alpha \in S^n$ ,

(A<sub>3</sub>) there is a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $\alpha \in S^{ln}$ , there is a finite partition  $K_\alpha$  of  $I$  into intervals such that for  $J \in K_\alpha$ ,  $T_\alpha|_J$  is 1-1 and  $T_\alpha(J)$  is an interval, and  $\min_{J \in K_\alpha} \text{diam}(J) > \delta$ .

Here  $l$  is the minimal integer such that

$$\frac{3}{\lambda^l} + \frac{l}{\lambda^{l-1}} W < 1.$$

If the family  $\{T_s\}_{s \in S}$  has property (A) then an analysis similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1 of [2] shows that

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbf{V}_x P_{f^{k-1}(x)} \circ \dots \circ P_x F \leq \alpha(k) \mathbf{V}_x F + c \int |F| dm,$$

where  $c$  and  $\alpha(k)$  are independent of  $F$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(k) = 0$ . Therefore by (1.2) and (1.3) we get

$$\mathbf{V} P_T^k G \leq \alpha(k) \mathbf{V} G + c \|G\|_1.$$

The following result may be proved in the same way as Theorem 6 of [6].

**THEOREM 1.** *If the family  $\{T_s\}_{s \in S}$  has property (A) and if for every  $G \in L_1(p \times m)$  the limit*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_T^k G = Q_T G \quad \text{exists in } L_1,$$

then  $\mathbf{V} Q_T G \leq c \|G\|_1$ , where the constant  $c$  is independent of  $G$ .

The assumption about the existence of  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_T^k G$  implies the existence of a  $T$ -invariant absolutely continuous measure (a.c.i.m.) and therefore the existence of an  $f$ -a.c.i.m. It turns out that the converse implication is true, i.e. if  $p$  is an  $f$ -invariant measure and the family  $\{T_s\}_{s \in S}$  has property (A) then the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_T^k G$  exists. The description of a  $T$ -a.c.i.m. and the ergodic properties of  $T$  can be found in Morita [9]. Below we present the Morita theorem with weakened assumptions. Namely, we omit the condition:  $\inf_{s \in S} \min_{J \in Z_{T_s}} \text{diam}(J) > 0$  when  $\sup_s |T'_s| < \infty$ .

**MORITA THEOREM.** *Suppose  $f$  preserves the measure  $p$  and the family  $\{T_s\}_{s \in S}$  has property (A).*

(1) *The limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_T^k G$  exists in  $L_1$  for every  $G \in L_1$ .*

(2) *If the dynamical system  $(f, p)$  is ergodic, then there exists a finite number of a.c.i.m.  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r$  such that*

- (i) *for each  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , the dynamical system  $(T, \mu_i)$  is ergodic,*
- (ii) *if  $\mu$  is an a.c.i.m. for  $(T, p \times m)$ , then  $\mu$  is a linear combination of the  $\mu_i$ .*

(3) *If  $(f, p)$  is totally ergodic and  $\mu_i$  is one of the above mentioned probability measures, then there is an integer  $N_i$  and a collection of disjoint sets  $L_{i,0}, L_{i,1}, \dots, L_{i,N_i-1}$  such that*

- (i)  $T(L_{i,j}) = L_{i,j+1}$  ( $0 \leq j < N_i - 1$ ),  $T(L_{i,N_i-1}) = L_{i,0}$ ,
- (ii) *for each  $j = 0, 1, \dots, N_i - 1$ , the dynamical system  $(T^{N_i}, \mu_{i,j})$  is totally ergodic where  $\mu_{i,j} = N_i \mu_i|_{L_{i,j}}$ .*

(4) *Under the assumptions of (3), if moreover the dynamical system  $(f, p)$  is exact, so is  $(T^{N_i}, \mu_{i,j})$ .*

Section 3 contains a simplified version of the proof of the above theorem ([9]). From the Morita Theorem we conclude that if  $T$  is totally ergodic with respect to an a.c.i.m. and if  $f$  is exact, then  $T$  is also exact. Therefore, it seems useful to find some criteria for the ergodic properties of  $T$ .

Suppose the family  $\{T_x\}_{x \in X}$  has property (A). Let  $D_G = \{(x, y) : G(x, y) > 0\}$ , where  $P_T G = G$  and  $G \in \mathcal{D} \cap BV$ . Then  $T(D_G) = D_G$  up to  $(p \times m)$ -null sets. Fixing the density  $G$  we write  $\mu = \mu_G$  and  $D = D_G$ . Here  $\mu_G$  is a  $T$ -a.c.i.m. such that  $d\mu_G/d(m \times p) = G$ . Theorem 1 and arguments similar to those in [6] imply:

LEMMA 1. *Let  $A$  be a  $T$ -invariant set such that  $\mu(A) > 0$ . Then there exists a set  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $p(B) > 0$ , such that  $\bigcup_{x \in B} x \times I_x \subset A \cap D$  for some nonempty open intervals  $I_x$ .*

LEMMA 2. *If  $T$  is not weakly mixing, then there exists a  $T \times T$ -invariant set  $A$  with  $0 < (\mu \times \mu)(A) < 1$  such that*

$$\bigcup_{(x,v) \in B} (x, v) \times I_x \times I_v \subset A \cap D \times D$$

for some set  $B \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  with  $(p \times p)(B) > 0$ , and for some nonempty intervals  $I_x, I_v$ .

Next, we introduce a new property (B) of the family  $\{T_x\}_{x \in X}$ :

(B) For a.e.  $x$  and for every nonempty open interval  $J$  there exists  $k(J)$  such that

$$(B_1) \quad k(J) = 1 \text{ when } J = I,$$

$$(B_2) \quad T_{f^{k(J)-1}(x)} \circ \dots \circ T_x(J) = I.$$

Remarks. 1) In the case  $|T'_x| \geq \lambda > 2$  for a.e.  $x$  it suffices to take under consideration only maximal intervals of continuity and monotonicity for  $T_x$ .

2) If  $\tau$  is a Lasota–Yorke type map with invariant measure equivalent to  $m$  then the condition: for every nonempty interval  $J$  there exists  $k(J)$  such that  $\tau^{k(J)}(J) = I$ , is equivalent to the total ergodicity of  $\tau$  ([4]).

LEMMA 3. *Suppose the family  $\{T_x\}_{x \in X}$  has properties (A) and (B).*

(i) *If  $A$  is a  $T$ -invariant set such that  $\mu(A) > 0$  then there exists a set  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $A \cap D = B \times I$ .*

(ii) *If  $T \times T$  is not weakly mixing, then there exists a  $T \times T$ -invariant set  $A$  such that  $0 < (\mu \times \mu)(A) < 1$  and  $A \cap D \times D = B \times I \times I$  for some  $B \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ .*

Proof. (i) By Lemma 1,  $A \cap D \supset \bigcup_{x \in B_1} x \times I_x$ . From (B) we conclude that there exist a positive integer  $k$  and a set  $B_2 \subset B$  with  $p(B_2) > 0$  such

that  $T_{f^{k-1}(x)} \circ \dots \circ T_x(I_x) = I$  for every  $x \in B_2$ . Hence

$$A \cap D \supset T^k \left( \bigcup_{x \in B_1} x \times I_x \right) \supset f^k(B_2) \times I.$$

For  $B = \{x \in X : \{y : (x, y) \in A \cap D\} = I\}$  we have  $p(B) > 0$  and  $f(B) = B$  and so the set  $A \cap D - B \times I$  is  $T$ -invariant. The assumption  $\mu(A \cap D - B \times I) > 0$  leads to a contradiction with the definition of  $B$  (by repeating the above considerations).

(ii) can be proved in a similar manner. ■

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose the family  $\{T_x\}_{x \in X}$  has properties (A) and (B). If  $f$  is ergodic (totally ergodic, weakly mixing, exact), then  $T$  is ergodic (respectively totally ergodic, weakly mixing, exact).*

We assume, for the rest of this paper, that if  $(f, p)$  is a Bernoulli endomorphism then the random variables  $\xi_n(x) = e(f^n(x))$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , are mutually independent and  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}(\xi_0, \xi_1, \dots)$ .

In the case when  $(f, p)$  is a Bernoulli endomorphism and property (A) holds we can use Theorem 3.1 of [10] to get the following result:

If  $E$  is a  $T$ -invariant set, then  $E \cap D = X \times B$  for some set  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mu = p \times m_1$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If the family  $\{T_x\}$  has property (A) and  $f$  is a Bernoulli endomorphism, then  $T$  is exact provided  $\{B : T_x^{-1}(B) = T_y^{-1}(B) \text{ } p \times p\text{-a.e.}\} = \{\emptyset, I\}$  up to  $m_1$ -null sets.*

**PROOF.** In order to show this we replace  $m$  by  $m_1$  and the unit interval  $I$  by  $\text{supp } m_1$ . Now, we prove the property of weak mixing of  $T$  as in the proof of Theorem 1 of [5]. By the Morita Theorem we conclude the proof. ■

**2. Applications.** We investigate two kinds of random perturbations of a Lasota–Yorke type transformation.

I. Let  $\tau$  be a Lasota–Yorke type transformation which satisfies the following assumptions:

(a)  $\tau|_{(a_i, a_{i+1})}$  can be extended to a  $C^2$ -function  $\bar{\tau}$  on  $[a_i, a_{i+1}]$  for  $a_i \in R_\tau$ ,

(b) if  $k(\tau)$  is the first integer such that  $\inf |(\tau^{k(\tau)})'| > 2$ , then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{k(\tau)-1} \bar{\tau}^i(R_\tau) \cap (R_\tau - \{0, 1\}) = \emptyset.$$

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $\tau$  satisfies conditions (a) and (b), then there exists a number  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , such that for every Bernoulli dynamical system  $(f, p)$*

and for every measurable function  $e : X \rightarrow [1 - \delta, 1]$  which is not constant, the dynamical system  $T(x, y) = (f(x), e(x)\tau(y))$  is exact.

*Proof.* We obtain the assertion by applying Theorem 3. Here we prove inequality (1.3) instead of property (A). By conditions (a), (b) and by the estimation of variation (as in [8]) we get the existence of a  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ , such that for every function  $e : X \rightarrow [1 - \delta, 1]$  the inequality (1.3) holds.

Now, if a set  $B$  belongs to the family

$$\left\{ B : \tau^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{e(x)}B\right) = \tau^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{e(y)}B\right) \text{ } p \times p\text{-a.e.} \right\}$$

then

$$B \cap (0, e(x)) = \frac{e(x)}{e(y)}(B \cap (0, e(y))) \quad \text{for } p \times p\text{-a.e. } (x, y).$$

It is not difficult to see (by Lemma 2) that if  $m_1(B) > 0$  then there exists an interval  $I_1$  such that  $I_1 \subset B$  and  $m(I_1) > d_\delta$  ( $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} d_\delta > 0$ ). If we take a maximal interval  $I_0$  in  $B$  then for small  $\delta$  we obtain  $B \supset I_0 \supset (0, e(x))$  for  $p$ -a.e.  $x$  and hence  $m_1(B) = 1$ . ■

The exactness means that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_T^n G - Q_T G\|_1 = 0$  for every  $G \in L_1(p \times m)$ . Therefore the operator  $P_T$  is asymptotically stable.

Let  $\tau = \tau_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda > 2$ , where  $\tau_\lambda$  is the Lasota–Yorke type transformation which appears in the mathematical model (see [7]) describing the motion of clogged bits in the rotary drilling of hard rock with high rotational speed. The transformation  $\tau_\lambda$  satisfies conditions (a) and (b), except possibly a finite number of values of  $\lambda$ . Theorem 4 is a generalization of the result of K. Horbacz [3], which concerns the asymptotic stability of  $P_T$  for  $T(x, y) = (f(x), e(x)\tau_\lambda(y))$ .

II. Let  $\tau$  be a totally ergodic Lasota–Yorke type transformation such that  $\inf |\tau'| = \lambda > 1$ ,  $\mu_\tau \approx m$ , where  $\mu$  is an a.c.i.m. We will denote by  $R$  the set  $R_\tau$  and by  $Z$  the set  $Z_\tau$ . Let  $\{\tau_m\}_{m \geq 1}$  be a family of Markovian transformations associated with  $\tau$  (defined in [2]). Let  $R^n = \bigcup_{j=0}^n \bar{\tau}^{-j}(R)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , and  $Z^n = \bigvee_{j=0}^n \tau^{-j}(Z)$ . The transformation  $\tau_n$  has the following properties:

$$(2.1) \quad \bar{\tau}_n(R^n) \subseteq R^n \text{ where } \bar{\tau}_n(b) = \bar{\tau}(b) \text{ for } b \in R^n - R \text{ and } Z_{\tau_n} = Z^n,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \inf |\tau'_n| \geq \inf |\tau'|,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{V}_J |1/\tau'_n| \leq \mathbf{V}_J |1/\tau'| \quad \text{for } J \in Z^n,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \tau_n(J) \supset \tau(J) \quad \text{for } J \in Z^n.$$

The family  $\{\tau_s\}_{s \geq l}$  has property (A). To see this, we take  $n = 1$ . Conditions (A<sub>1</sub>) and (A<sub>2</sub>) follow from (2.2) and (2.3). We take  $Z^l$  for  $K_\alpha$  in (A<sub>3</sub>), where  $l$  is defined in (A<sub>3</sub>).

Let  $k$  be the least integer such that  $d = \lambda^k/2 > 1$ . Moreover, set

$$k_0 = ([-\ln((\lambda/2)^k b)/\ln d] + 1)k \quad \text{and} \quad n_0 = \max\{k(J) : J \in Z^k\},$$

where  $k(J)$  is such that  $\tau^{k(J)}(J) = I$ ,  $b = \inf_{J \in Z^k} m(J)$  and  $[x]$  denotes the integer part of  $x$ . Let the dynamical system  $(f, p)$  be ergodic and let  $e_n : X \rightarrow \{n, n+1, \dots\}$  for  $n \geq \max\{n_0, l\}$ . We define  $T_n(x, y) = (f(x), \tau_{e_n(x)}y)$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $(f, p)$  is ergodic and there exists a sequence  $n \leq n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_{n_0+k_0}$  such that*

$$p(f^{-n_0-k_0+1}(e_n^{-1}(n_{n_0+k_0})) \cap \dots \cap e_n^{-1}(n_1)) > 0,$$

*then  $\{\tau_{e_n(x)}\}$  has properties (A) and (B).*

**Proof.** Since  $\{\tau_{e_n(x)}\}$  has property (A) for  $n \geq l$ , it remains to prove property (B). Let  $J$  be a fixed nonempty interval. For some integer  $r$  with  $r \leq -\ln m(J)/\ln d$  and for any positive integers  $i_{r_k}, \dots, i_1$  there exists  $J_1 \in Z^k$  such that  $\tau_{i_{r_k}} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{i_1}(J_1) \supset J_1$ . Therefore, for any  $j \geq 0$  there exists an interval  $J_2 \subset J_2' \in Z^k$  such that  $\tau_{i_{r_k+j}} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{i_1}(J) \supset J_2$  and  $m(J_2) \geq (\lambda/2)^k b$ . By the assumption, for a.e.  $x$  there exists  $r \geq (-\ln m(J)/\ln d)k$  such that

$$n_1 = e_n(f^r(x)) < n_2 = e_n(f^{r+1}(x)) < \dots < n_{n_0+k_0} = e_n(f^{r+n_0+k_0-1}(x)).$$

Hence

$$\tau_{e_n(f^{r+n_0+k_0-1}(x))} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{e_n(x)}(J) \supset \tau_{n_{n_0+k_0}} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{n_{r_2}}(J_3),$$

for some  $r_2 \leq k_0$  and  $J_3 \in Z^k$ .

By definition of  $n_0$ ,  $\tau^{n_0}(J_3) = I$ . Let  $J_4 \in Z^{n_0}$  and  $J_4 \subset J_3$ . Then  $\tau_{n_{r_2}}(J_4) \supset \tau(J_4)$ . This is a consequence of (2.4) and of the inequality  $Z^{n_{r_2}} \geq Z^{n_0}$ , for  $n_{r_2} \geq n \geq n_0$ . The set  $\tau_{n_{r_2}}(J_4)$  is a union of intervals from  $Z^{n_{r_2}}$  (by (2.1)) and, consequently, a union of intervals from  $Z^{n_{r_2}+1}$ , because  $n_{r_2+1} \geq n_{r_2}$ . Therefore,

$$\tau_{n_{r_2+1}} \tau_{n_{r_2}}(J_4) \supset \tau(\tau_{n_{r_2}}(J_4)) \supset \tau^2(J_4).$$

Finally,  $\tau_{n_{n_0+r_2}} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{n_{r_2}}(J_4) \supset \tau^n(J_4)$ , which implies  $\tau_{n_{n_0+r_2}} \circ \dots \circ \tau_{n_{r_2}}(J_3) = I$ . ■

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $(f, p)$  is a Bernoulli endomorphism then the endomorphism  $T_n$  is exact for  $n \geq \max\{n_0, l\}$ .*

Let  $T_n$  be as in Corollary 1. Then the a.c.i.m. has the form  $p \times m_n$ . Let  $g_n = dm_n/dm$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $\tau_n \rightarrow \tau$  uniformly on  $I - \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} R^i$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = g$  in  $L_1$ , where  $g$  is an invariant density of  $\tau$ .*

**Proof.** By Theorem 1, the set  $\{g_n\}$  is relatively compact in  $L_1$ . It suffices to show that any limit point of  $\{g_n\}$  is an invariant density of  $\tau$ . With-

out loss of generality we can assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n = g^*$ . By Lemma 4 of [2],  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P_{n_x} h - P_\tau h\|_1 = 0$ , for every  $x$ . Here  $P_{n_x} = P_{\tau_{e_n(x)}}$ . Hence

$$\int \|P_{n_x} h - P_\tau h\|_1 dp \xrightarrow{n} 0,$$

because  $\|P_{n_x} h - P_\tau h\|_1 \leq 2\|h\|_1$ , and next we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\tau g^* - g^*\|_1 &= \|P_f P_\tau g^* - g^*\|_1 \\ &\leq \|P_f P_\tau g^* - P_f P_{n_x} g^*\|_1 \\ &\quad + \|P_f P_{n_x} g^* - P_f P_{n_x} g_n\|_1 + \|g_n - g^*\|_1 \\ &\leq \int \|P_\tau g^* - P_{n_x} g^*\|_1 dp + 2\|g^* - g_n\|_1. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The piecewise linear Markov approximations of  $\tau$  satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 6.

In case I, i.e.  $T_\varepsilon(x, y) = (f(x), e_\varepsilon(x)\tau(y))$ , where  $e_\varepsilon : X \rightarrow [1 - \varepsilon, 1]$  and  $0 < \varepsilon < \delta$ , we can show in the same manner that the set  $\{g_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon < \delta}$ , where  $g_\varepsilon = dm_\varepsilon/dm$  and  $\mu_\varepsilon = p \times m_\varepsilon$  is a  $T$ -a.c.i.m., is relatively compact in  $L_1$  and any limit point of  $\{g_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon < \delta}$  is an invariant density of  $\tau$ .

**3. Proof of the Morita Theorem.** (1) Let  $G \in BV \cap \mathcal{D}$ . Then

$$\|P_T^n G\|_\infty = \|P_f^n P_{f^{n-1}(x)} \circ \dots \circ P_x G\|_\infty \leq \|P_{f^{n-1}(x)} \circ \dots \circ P_x G\|_\infty.$$

By inequality (1.3),

$$\begin{aligned} |P_{f^{n-1}(x)} \circ \dots \circ P_x G| &\leq \int |G| dm + \mathbf{V}_x P_{f^{n-1}(x)} \circ \dots \circ P_x G \\ &\leq \alpha(n) \mathbf{V}_x G + (c+1) \int |G| dm \leq M \|G\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $M > 0$ . Therefore, the sequence  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_T^k G$  is relatively weakly compact in  $L_1$ . By the Kakutani–Yosida Theorem [1],  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} P_T^k G$  converges strongly in  $L_1$ .

(2)–(4). We obtain these by proving (1) and (2) of Lemma 4.1 of [9] and next by applying without change the reasoning from [9], p. 661. The proof of Lemma 4.1 of [9] turns out to be simple by using the equality (1.1) and the inequality (1.3).  $\blacksquare$

### References

- [1] N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear Operators I*, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [2] P. Góra and A. Boyarsky, *Compactness of invariant densities for families of expanding, piecewise monotonic transformations*, *Canad. J. Math.* 61 (1989), 855–869.
- [3] K. Horbacz, *Statistical properties of the Ejszielies model of a cogged bit*, *Zastos. Mat.* 21 (1991), 15–26.

- [4] Z. S. Kowalski, *Bernoulli properties of piecewise monotonic transformations*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. 27 (1979), 59–61.
- [5] —, *Stationary perturbations based on Bernoulli processes*, Studia Math. 97 (1990), 53–57.
- [6] —, *Ergodic properties of skew products with Lasota–Yorke type maps in the base*, *ibid.* 106 (1993), 45–57.
- [7] A. Lasota and P. Rusek, *An application of ergodic theory to the determination of the efficiency of clogged drilling bits*, Archiwum Górnicwa 3 (1974), 281–295 (in Polish).
- [8] A. Lasota and J. A. Yorke, *On the existence of invariant measure for piecewise monotonic transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 186 (1973), 481–488.
- [9] T. Morita, *Asymptotic behavior of one-dimensional random dynamical systems*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 37 (1985), 651–663.
- [10] —, *Deterministic version lemmas in ergodic theory of random dynamical systems*, Hiroshima Math. J. 18 (1988), 15–29.

ZBIGNIEW S. KOWALSKI  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF WROCLAW  
WYBRZEŻE WYSPIAŃSKIEGO 27  
50-370 WROCLAW, POLAND

*Received on 12.10.1992*