

## EXAMPLES OF FUNCTIONS $\mathcal{C}^k$ -EXTENDABLE FOR EACH $k$ FINITE, BUT NOT $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -EXTENDABLE

WIESŁAW PAWŁUCKI

*Institut Matematyki, Uniwersytet Jagielloński  
ul. Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków, Poland  
E-mail: pawlucki@im.uj.edu.pl*

*Dedicated to Professor Stanisław Łojasiewicz*

**Abstract.** In Example 1, we describe a subset  $X$  of the plane and a function on  $X$  which has a  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -extension to the whole  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for each  $k$  finite, but has no  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -extension to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . In Example 2, we construct a similar example of a subanalytic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^5$ ; much more sophisticated than the first one. The dimensions given here are smallest possible.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X$  be any subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider the following  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras of functions on  $X$

$$\mathcal{C}^k(X) = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f = \tilde{f} \text{ on } X \text{ for some } \mathcal{C}^k\text{-function } \tilde{f}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\},$$

where  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , and

$$\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X) = \varprojlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}^k(X) = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}^k(X).$$

It is clear that  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X) \subset \mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X) \subset \mathcal{C}^k(X)$ , with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . An interesting question of differential analysis is the following:

When  $\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ ?

Of course, one can assume that  $X$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The answer to the above question is affirmative in the following cases:

- 1) When  $n = 1$  (see [9]); it is not so when  $n = 2$  (see Example 1 below).

---

This research has been partially supported by the KBN grant 2 PO3A 013 14.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 32B20. Secondary 14P10, 26B05.

Received by the editors: July 30, 1998.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

2) When  $X = \overline{\text{int } X}$ , because then  $\mathcal{C}^k(X)$  is naturally isomorphic to the space  $\mathcal{E}^k(X)$  of  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -Whitney fields on  $X$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \infty$ ), and so

$$\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X) = \varprojlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}^k(X) = \varprojlim_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}^k(X) = \mathcal{E}^\infty(X) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(X),$$

(see [8; Chap. I, §4]). Observe that the isomorphisms  $\mathcal{C}^k(X) = \mathcal{E}^k(X)$ , and thus  $\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ , occurs for more general sets than those satisfying the condition  $X = \overline{\text{int } X}$ ; e.g. for the Cantor set in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

3) When  $X$  is a semianalytic or, more generally, Nash subanalytic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (see [4]). The equality  $\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$  also holds if  $X$  is a subanalytic subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of dimension not more than two or of pure codimension one (see [11, 4]). Bierstone and Milman ([1, 2]) give necessary and sufficient conditions for a subanalytic subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to satisfy the equality  $\mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ . In particular, it follows from their results and [4] that the construction from [10] provides examples of subanalytic subsets  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  of dimension three such that  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(X) \subsetneq \mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X)$ . In Example 2 below, we verify this explicitly, constructing a function  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X) \setminus \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ .

**2. Example 1.** Let  $X$  denote the union of the following arcs

$$\lambda_i = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \leq x \leq \epsilon, y = x^{i+\frac{1}{2}}\} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots),$$

and of the arc  $\lambda_0 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 \leq x \leq \epsilon, y = 0\}$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a small positive real number.

We define a function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the following formulae

$$f(x, y) = iy - 1 = ix^{i+\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for } (x, y) \in \lambda_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots)$$

and  $f(x, y) = 0$  for  $(x, y) \in \lambda_0$ .

The function  $f$  is  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -extendable to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . To see this, notice that this function on  $\lambda_i$  is defined by the  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -function  $f(x, y) = iy - 1$ , and by the  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -function  $f(x, y) = ix^{i+\frac{1}{2}}$  on each  $\lambda_i$  with  $i \geq k$ . Now, it is enough to glue all these  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -functions together, by using, for example, Whitney's extension theorem.

On the other hand,  $f$  has no  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -extension to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . The point is that  $\lambda_i$  is  $\mathcal{C}^i$  but not  $\mathcal{C}^{i+1}$ . This implies that if  $h \in \mathcal{C}^{i+1}(\lambda_i)$ , then each  $\mathcal{C}^{i+1}$ -extension  $\tilde{h} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $h$  has a uniquely determined derivative  $(\partial \tilde{h} / \partial y)(0, 1/i) = i$ . It follows that if  $\tilde{h}$  were a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -extension of  $f$ , then  $(\partial \tilde{h} / \partial y)(0, 1/i) = i$ , which is a contradiction.

**3. Example 2.** In this section we will give an example of a subanalytic subset  $X$  of  $\mathbb{R}^5$  and of a function  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X) \setminus \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ . As for the definitions and basic properties of subanalytic sets, we refer the reader to [5], [6], [7] or [3].

Before describing the example observe that if  $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$  is an analytic mapping, where  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $H \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  are open subsets, then, for each point  $y \in G$ ,  $\varphi$  induces a homomorphism of the algebras of germs of analytic functions

$$\varphi_y^* : \mathcal{O}_{H, \varphi(y)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{G, y}, \quad \varphi_y^*(g) = g \circ \varphi_y.$$

We will also need its completion

$$\widehat{\varphi}_y^* : \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{H, \varphi(y)} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{G, y}$$

which can be identified with the homomorphism

$$\widehat{\varphi}_y^* : \mathbb{R}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}[[y_1, \dots, y_m]],$$

defined by the formula  $\widehat{\varphi}_y^*(Q) = Q \circ ((T_y\varphi) - \varphi(y))$ .

Then  $\ker \varphi_y^*$  is the *ideal of analytic relations* among  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$  at  $y$ , and  $\ker \widehat{\varphi}_y^*$  is the *ideal of formal relations* at  $y$ .

THEOREM (see [10]). *Let  $I = (-1/2, 1/2)$  and  $J = I \times 0 \times 0 \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $A = \{(a_\nu, 0, 0) \mid \nu = 1, 2, \dots\}$  be any countable subset of  $J$ . Then there exists an analytic mapping  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_5) : I^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^5$  such that*

- (1)  $\ker \widehat{\varphi}_y^* = 0$ , whenever  $y \in A$ ;
- (2)  $\ker \varphi_y^* \neq 0$ , whenever  $y \in J \setminus \overline{A}$ ;
- (3)  $\ker \varphi_y^* = 0 \neq \ker \widehat{\varphi}_y^*$ , whenever  $y \in J \cap (\overline{A} \setminus A)$ .

We are going to recall the construction of  $\varphi = \varphi(u, w, t) = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_5)$ .

We put  $\varphi_1(u, w, t) = u$ ,  $\varphi_2(u, w, t) = t$ ,  $\varphi_3(u, w, t) = tw$ . Take two sequences  $\{r(n)\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and  $\{\rho(n)\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $r(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 < r(n) \leq r(n+1)$ ,  $\limsup r(n)/n = +\infty$ ,  $\rho(n) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < \rho(n) \leq n^{-nr(n)}$ , for each  $n$ , and  $\rho(n+1) < \rho(n)$ .

Put

$$p_n(u) = [(u - a_1) \dots (u - a_n)]^{r(n)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

We define  $\varphi_4$  by the formula

$$\varphi_4(u, w, t) = t \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_n(u) w^n.$$

To define  $\varphi_5$  we need the following sequence of rational functions

$$f_n = p_n^{-1}(u) \left[ t^{n-1} y - \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} p_\nu(u) t^{n-\nu} x^\nu \right] \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Then

$$f_n(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4) = t^n \cdot \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} p_n^{-1}(u) p_\nu(u) w^\nu,$$

and we define  $\varphi_5$  by the formula

$$\varphi_5(u, w, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(n) f_n(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(n) t^n \cdot \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} p_n^{-1}(u) p_\nu(u) w^\nu.$$

The formula

$$F(u, t, x, y, z) = z - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \rho(n) f_n(u, t, x, y)$$

defines an analytic function on  $(I \setminus \overline{Z}) \times \mathbb{R}^4$ , where  $Z = \{a_\nu \mid \nu = 1, 2, \dots\}$ , and  $F(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4, \varphi_5) = 0$  on  $(I \setminus \overline{Z}) \times I^2$ .

Now we will choose  $A$  in a special way: assume that  $0 < a_{n+1} < a_n < 1/4$  and  $\lim a_n = 0$ .

Let  $X = \varphi([-1/4, 1/4]^3)$ . Take a sequence  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $\epsilon_n > 0$ ,  $a_{n+1} + \epsilon_{n+1} < a_n - \epsilon_n$ .

There are  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -functions  $\lambda_n : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $\lambda_n = 1$  in a neighbourhood of  $a_n$ ,  $\lambda_n(u) = 0$  if  $|u - a_n| \geq \epsilon_n$  and  $|\lambda_n^{(k)}(u)| \leq C_k \cdot \epsilon_n^{-k}$  for each  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $C_k$  is a constant depending only on  $k$  (see [8; Chap. I, Lemma 4.2]).

Consider the following sequence of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -functions on  $\mathbb{R}^5$

$$G_m(u, t, x, y, z) = \left[ z - \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \rho(n) f_n(u, t, x, y) \right] \cdot m \cdot \lambda_m(u), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_m(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_5) &= \left[ \varphi_5 - \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \rho(n) f_n(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_4) \right] \cdot m \cdot \lambda_m(\varphi_1) \\ &= \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} m \lambda_m(u) \rho(n) t^n \omega_n(u, w), \quad \text{where } \omega_n(u, w) = \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} p_n^{-1} p_\nu(u) w^\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Consider now the function

$$h = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} G_m(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_5) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} m \lambda_m(u) \rho(n) t^n \omega_n(u, w).$$

It is a simple matter to check that  $h$  is a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -function on  $[-1/4, 1/4]^3$ . It is easily seen that there is a function  $h_0 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h = h_0(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_5)$ .

We will show that  $h_0 \in \mathcal{C}^{(\infty)}(X) \setminus \mathcal{C}^\infty(X)$ . If there were a  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -extension  $\tilde{h}_0$  of  $h_0$  to  $\mathbb{R}^5$ , then we would have the equality  $h = G_m(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_5)$  near  $(a_m, 0, 0)$  for each  $m$ , hence, in view of (1),  $(\partial \tilde{h}_0 / \partial z)(a_m, 0, 0) = m$ , which should tend to  $(\partial \tilde{h}_0 / \partial z)(0, 0, 0)$ , when  $m$  tends to infinity, a contradiction.

Now fix any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We will show that there is a  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -function  $H_k$  on  $\mathbb{R}^5$  such that  $H_k = h_0$  on  $X$ .

Put

$$\Omega = \{(u, t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |u| < 1/4, |t| < 1/4, |x| < (1/4)|t|\}.$$

Observe that if  $(u, t, x, y, z) \in X$  and  $t \neq 0$ , then

$$h_0(u, t, x, y, z) = \sum_{m=1}^k G_m(u, t, x, y, z) + \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \theta_{mn\nu}(u, t, x),$$

where

$$\theta_{mn\nu}(u, t, x) = m \lambda_m(u) \rho(n) (p_n^{-1} p_\nu(u)) x^\nu t^{n-\nu}.$$

Let  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\alpha + \beta + \gamma \leq k$ . Then  $\partial^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \theta_{mn\nu} / \partial u^\alpha \partial t^\beta \partial x^\gamma$  is equal to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\alpha} \frac{m \alpha!}{i!(\alpha-i)!} \lambda_m^{(i)}(u) \rho(n) (p_n^{-1} p_\nu)^{(\alpha-i)}(u) \cdot \frac{\nu!(n-\nu)!}{(\nu-\gamma)!(n-\nu-\beta)!} (x/t)^{\nu-\gamma} t^{n-\gamma-\beta}.$$

Since  $n - \gamma - \beta \geq 1$ , this derivative extends continuously to  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Estimating the absolute value of this derivative on  $\Omega$ , the reader can easily check that there is a  $\mathcal{C}^k$ -function  $\tilde{H}_k$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that

$$\tilde{H}_k(u, t, x) = \sum_{m=k+1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=n}^{\infty} \theta_{mn\nu}(u, t, x)$$

on  $\Omega$ . Thus, the formula

$$H_k(u, t, x, y, z) = \tilde{H}_k(u, t, x) + \sum_{m=1}^k G_m(u, t, x, y, z)$$

defines the required extension.

### References

- [1] E. Bierstone, P. D. Milman, *Geometric and differential properties of subanalytic sets*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 25 (1991), 385–383.
- [2] E. Bierstone, P. D. Milman, *Geometric and differential properties of subanalytic sets*, preprint.
- [3] E. Bierstone, P. D. Milman, *Semianalytic and subanalytic sets*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 67 (1988), 5–42.
- [4] E. Bierstone, P. D. Milman, W. Pawłucki, *Composite differentiable functions*, Duke Math. J. 83 (1996), 607–620.
- [5] Z. Denkowska, S. Łojasiewicz, J. Stasica, *Certaines propriétés élémentaires des ensembles sous-analytiques*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 27 (1979), 529–536.
- [6] A. Gabrielov, *Projections of semianalytic sets*, Funkcional Anal. i Priložen. 2 no. 4 (1968), 18–30 (in Russian). English transl.: Functional Anal. Appl. 2 (1968), 282–291.
- [7] H. Hironaka, *Subanalytic sets*, in: Number Theory, Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in Honor of Yasuo Akizuki, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1973, 453–493.
- [8] B. Malgrange, *Ideals of Differentiable Functions*, Oxford University Press, Bombay, 1966.
- [9] J. Merrien, *Prolongateurs de fonctions différentiables d'une variable réelle*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 45 (1966), 291–309.
- [10] W. Pawłucki, *On relations among analytic functions and geometry of subanalytic sets*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 37 (1989), 117–125.
- [11] W. Pawłucki, *On Gabrielov's regularity condition for analytic mappings*, Duke Math. J. 65 (1992), 299–311.