A NOTE ON TYPE OF WEAK- L^1 AND WEAK- ℓ^1 SPACES

ANNA KAMIŃSKA

Department of Mathematical Sciences The University of Memphis, TN 38152-3240, U.S.A. E-mail: kaminska@memphis.edu

Abstract. We present a direct proof of the fact that the weak- L^1 and weak- ℓ^1 spaces do not have type 1.

It has been known for some time that the weak- L^1 space is not normable, that is, there does not exist a norm equivalent to the standard quasi-norm $||f||_{1,\infty}$ in the weak- L^1 space [2]. In [4, Proposition 2.3] it was proved more, namely that the weak- L^1 space does not have type 1. This was obtained indirectly as a corollary of more general investigations. Here we present a direct proof by constructing suitable sequences of functions that contradicts type 1 property in weak- L^1 or weak- ℓ^1 spaces.

Let $r_n : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be Rademacher functions, that is $r_n(t) = \operatorname{sign}(\sin 2^n \pi t)$. A quasi-Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ has type 1 [3, 7] if there is a constant K > 0 such that, for any choice of finitely many vectors x_1, \ldots, x_n from X,

$$\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n r_k(t) x_k \right\| dt \le K \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|.$$

Clearly if X is a normable space then X has type 1. For theory of quasi-Banach spaces see [5].

If f is a real-valued measurable function on I, where I = (0, 1) or $I = (0, \infty)$, then we define the *distribution function* of f by $d_f(\lambda) = |\{x \in \mathbb{R} : |f(x)| > \lambda\}|$ for each $\lambda \ge 0$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} , and the *decreasing rearrangement* of f is defined as

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{s > 0 : d_f(s) \le t\}, \quad t \in I.$$

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46B20, 46E30, 47B38.

Key words and phrases: Quasi-Banach spaces, weak- L^1 spaces, weak- ℓ^1 space, Marcinkiewicz spaces, type of quasi-Banach spaces.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

The weak- L^1 space on I, called also the Marcinkiewicz space and denoted by $L_{1,\infty}(I)$ [1, 6], is the collection of all real valued measurable functions on I such that

$$\|f\|_{1,\infty} = \sup_{t \in I} tf^*(t) < \infty.$$

The space $L_{1,\infty}(I)$ equipped with the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{1,\infty}$ is complete.

Analogously we define a sequence weak- ℓ^1 space. Given a bounded real-valued sequence $x = \{x(n)\}$, consider the function $f(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x(n)\chi_{[n-1,n)}(t), t \ge 0$, and define a decreasing rearrangement $x^* = \{x^*(n)\}$ of the sequence x as follows

$$x^*(n) = f^*(n-1), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then the weak- ℓ^1 space denoted as $\ell_{1,\infty}$ consists of all sequences $x = \{x(n)\}$ such that

$$||x||_{1,\infty} = \sup_{n} nx^*(n) < \infty,$$

and $\ell_{1,\infty}$ equipped with $\|\cdot\|_{1,\infty}$ is a quasi-Banach space.

LEMMA 1. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence $(g_{jn})_{j=1}^n \subset L_{1,\infty}(0,1)$ such that

$$||g_{j(n-1)}||_{1,\infty} \le 1, \qquad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

and for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every choice of signs $\eta_j = \pm 1, j = 1, \ldots, n$,

$$\frac{1}{2} n \log n \le \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \eta_j g_{j(n-1)} \right\|_{1,\infty} \le n \log n.$$

Proof. Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$. Define for $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$f_{ki}(t) = \frac{1}{t + n^{1-k} - i n^{-k}} \chi_{(n^{-k}, in^{-k}]}(t) + \frac{1}{t - (i-1)n^{-k}} \chi_{(in^{-k}, n^{1-k}]}(t).$$

Setting

$$F_k = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} f_{kj},$$

we have

$$F_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t + (j-i)n^{-k}} \right) \chi_{(in^{-k},(i+1)n^{-k}]}(t), \quad t \in (0,1).$$

We will show that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $n \ge 10$,

$$\frac{1}{2}n\log n \le \|F_k\|_{1,\infty} \le n\log n.$$

In fact, if $in^{-k} < t \le (i+1)n^{-k}$, i = 1, ..., n-1, then

$$n^k(\log n - 1) \le F_k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{t + (j-i)n^{-k}} \le n^k \log n.$$

Hence for all $0 < t \le n^{1-k}(1 - 1/n)$,

$$n^k(\log n - 1) \le F_k^*(t) \le n^k \log n,$$

and so

$$||F_k||_{1,\infty} \le \frac{1}{n^{k-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) n^k \log n \le n \log n$$

and for all $n \ge 10$,

$$||F_k||_{1,\infty} \ge \frac{1}{n^{k-1}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) n^k (\log n - 1) \ge \frac{1}{2} n \log n.$$

Let $m = 1, \ldots, 2^{n-1}$ and $\varepsilon^m = (\varepsilon_1^m, \ldots, \varepsilon_{n-1}^m)$ be a sequence of signs $\varepsilon_j^m = \pm 1$, $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$. We assume here that $\varepsilon^{m_1} \neq \varepsilon^{m_2}$ if $m_1 \neq m_2$. Define now the functions

$$G_m = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \varepsilon_j^m f_{mj}$$

Notice that the supports of G_m are disjoint and that $G_{m_0} = F_{m_0}$ whenever $\varepsilon_j^{m_0} = 1$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Therefore

$$\left\|\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} G_m\right\|_{1,\infty} \ge \|G_{m_0}\|_{1,\infty} = \|F_{m_0}\|_{1,\infty} \ge \frac{1}{2} n \log n.$$

On the other hand observe that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} F_m \le \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} n^m \log n \chi_{(n^{-m}, n^{-m+1}]}.$$

Then

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} F_m\right)^* \le \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} n^m \log n \chi_{(n^{-m} - n^{-2^{n-1}}, n^{-m+1} - 2^{-2^{n-1}}]},$$

and so

$$\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} F_m \Big\|_{1,\infty} \le \max_{m=1,\dots,2^{n-1}} \sup_{t \in (n^{-m} - n^{-2^{n-1}}, n^{-m+1} - 2^{-2^{n-1}}]} tn^m \log n \le n \log n.$$

Hence

$$\left\|\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} G_m\right\|_{1,\infty} \le \left\|\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} F_m\right\|_{1,\infty} \le n \log n.$$

Now, let for $j = 1, \ldots, n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$g_{j(n-1)} = \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} \varepsilon_j^m f_{mj}.$$

For any $\eta_j = \pm 1, j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \eta_j g_{j(n-1)} = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \eta_j \left(\sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} \varepsilon_j^m f_{mj} \right) = \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \eta_j \varepsilon_j^m f_{mj} \right).$$

Setting now $\alpha_j^m = \eta_j \varepsilon_j^m$, $m = 1, \dots, 2^{n-1}$, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \eta_j g_{j(n-1)} = \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j^m f_{mj} \right) = \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} G_m.$$

Hence by the previous inequalities, for every choice of signs $\eta_j = \pm 1$ and for sufficiently large n, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} n \log n \le \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \eta_j g_{j(n-1)} \right\|_{1,\infty} \le n \log n.$$
(1)

The functions f_{mj} have disjoint supports with respect to $m = 1, \ldots, 2^{n-1}$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Hence

$$|g_{j(n-1)}| = \sum_{m=1}^{2^{n-1}} f_{mj}$$
 and $\sup |g_{j(n-1)}| = (n^{-2^{n-1}}, 1]$

It follows in view of the construction of the sequence (f_{mj}) that for j = 1, ..., n-1, $t \in (0, 1)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$g_{j(n-1)}^{*}(t) = \frac{1}{t+n^{-2^{n-1}}}\chi_{(0,1-n^{-2^{n-1}}]}(t).$$

Hence for all $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|g_{j(n-1)}\|_{1,\infty} = \sup_{t \in (0,1)} tg_{j(n-1)}^*(t) = \sup_{t \in (0,1)} \frac{t}{t + n^{-2^{n-1}}} \chi_{(0,1-n^{-2^{n-1}}]}(t) \le 1.$$
(2)

In view of (1) and (2) the proof is completed. \blacksquare

REMARK 2. Lemma 1 remains also true for the sequence space $\ell_{1,\infty}$.

THEOREM 3. The spaces $L_{1,\infty}(I)$ and $\ell_{1,\infty}$ do not have type 1. In particular, $L_{1,\infty}(I)$ and $\ell_{1,\infty}$ are not normable.

Proof. Applying Lemma 1 we get

$$\frac{\int_0^1 \left\|\sum_{j=1}^n r_j(t)g_{jn}\right\|_{1,\infty} dt}{\sum_{j=1}^n \|g_{jn}\|_{1,\infty}} = \frac{2^{-n}\sum_{\eta_j=\pm 1} \left\|\sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j g_{jn}\right\|_{1,\infty}}{\sum_{j=1}^n \|g_{jn}\|_{1,\infty}} \ge \frac{(n+1)\log\left(n+1\right)}{2n} \to \infty,$$

as $n \to \infty$, which shows that the space $L_{1,\infty}(I)$ does not have type 1. By Remark 2 the proof also holds for sequence case.

References

- C. Bennett, R. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Pure Appl. Math. 129, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [2] M. J. Carro, J. A. Raposo, J. Soria, Recent Developments in the Theory of Lorentz Spaces and Weighted Inequalities, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 187 (2007), no. 877.
- [3] N. J. Kalton, Convexity, type and the three space problem, Studia Math. 69 (1981), 247–287.
- [4] N. J. Kalton, A. Kamińska, Type and order convexity of Marcinkiewicz and Lorentz spaces and applications, Glasgow Math. J. 47 (2005), 123–137.
- [5] N. J. Kalton, N. T. Peck, J. W. Roberts, An F-space Sampler, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 89, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1984.
- [6] S. G. Krein, Yu. I. Petunin, E. M. Semënov, Interpolation of Linear Operators, AMS Transl. Math. Monogr. 54, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1982.
- [7] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces II*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 97, Springer, Berlin, 1979.