

STANISŁAW TRYBUŁA (Wrocław)

## SIMULTANEOUS MINIMAX ESTIMATION OF PARAMETERS OF MULTINOMIAL DISTRIBUTION

*Abstract.* The problem of minimax estimation of parameters of multinomial distribution is considered for a loss function being the sum of the losses of the statisticians taking part in the estimation process.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X_i = (X_{i1}, \dots, X_{ir})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , be observed by the  $i$ th statistician. The random variables  $X_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , have multinomial distribution with parameters  $n_i$ ,  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_r)$ , and are independent. The statisticians do not know the observations of their colleagues but they know all the numbers  $n_i$ . They cooperate with each other. The problem is to determine the simultaneous minimax estimator  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_m)$  of the parameter  $p$  where  $d_i(X_i) = (d_{i1}(X_i), \dots, d_{ir}(X_i))$  is the estimator of this parameter, used by the  $i$ th statistician. Let the loss function be

$$(1) \quad L(p, d) = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \sum_{j=1}^r c_j (d_{ij}(X_i) - p_j)^2$$

where  $k_i > 0$ ,  $c_j \geq 0$  are constants.

Let  $R(p, d)$  be the risk function connected with the estimator  $d$ ,

$$R(p, d) = E_p(L(p, d))$$

where  $E_p(\cdot)$  is the operator of expected value with respect to the distribution of the random variable  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$ . Then we have to find the estimator  $d^0$  for which

$$\sup_p R(p, d^0) = \inf_d \sup_p R(p, d).$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 62F15.

*Key words and phrases*: minimax estimation, multinomial distribution, Bayes estimator.

**2. Solution of the problem.** Without loss of generality we can suppose that  $c_1 \geq \dots \geq c_r \geq 0$ . For the moment assume also that  $c_2 \neq 0$ . Consider the estimator  $d$  for which

$$(2) \quad d_{ij}(X_i) = \frac{X_{ij} + \alpha_j}{n_i + \gamma}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, \dots, r.$$

For this estimator the risk will take the form

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} R(p, d) &= \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \sum_{j=1}^r c_j E_p \left( \frac{X_{ij} + \alpha_j}{n_i + \gamma} - p_j \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{c_j}{(n_i + \gamma)^2} [E_p(X_{ij} - n_i p_j)^2 + (\alpha_j - \gamma p_j)^2] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r c_j \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i}{(n_i + \gamma)^2} [(\gamma^2 - n_i) p_j^2 + (n_i - 2\alpha_j \gamma) p_j + \alpha_j^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i}{(n_i + \gamma)^2} (\gamma^2 - n_i) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that equation (4) always has a solution with respect to the constant  $\gamma > 0$ .

Moreover assume that the constants  $\alpha_j \geq 0$  satisfy the equations

$$(5) \quad c_j \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i}{(n_i + \gamma)^2} (n_i - 2\alpha_j \gamma) = c \quad \text{for } j \leq L,$$

for some integer  $L$  and

$$(6) \quad \alpha_j = 0 \quad \text{for } L < j \leq r.$$

Finally, let

$$(7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j = \gamma.$$

From (4) and (5) we obtain for  $j \leq L$ , if  $c_j \neq 0$ ,

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i}{(n_i + \gamma)^2} (\gamma^2 - 2\alpha_j \gamma) = \frac{c}{c_j}.$$

Then from (6)–(8), if  $c_L \neq 0$ , we obtain

$$(L - 2)\gamma^2 \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i}{(n_i + \gamma)^2} = \sum_{j=1}^L \frac{c}{c_j},$$

or by (4),

$$(9) \quad (L - 2) \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i n_i}{(n_i + \gamma)^2} = \sum_{j=1}^L \frac{c}{c_j}.$$

Thus the constant  $c$  is determined for given  $L$  and  $\gamma$ .

Let  $j_0$  be the greatest index  $j$  for which  $c_j \neq 0$  and let

$$(10) \quad L = \max_s \left\{ s \leq j_0 : \sum_{l=1}^s \frac{1}{c_l} > \frac{s - 2}{c_s} \right\}.$$

We shall prove

LEMMA. For  $j = L + 1, \dots, r$ ,

$$(11) \quad q := \frac{L - 2}{\sum_{l=1}^L 1/c_l} \geq c_j.$$

*Proof.* Notice that the proof is only necessary for  $j = L + 1$ . If  $c_{L+1} = 0$  the lemma obviously holds. If  $c_{L+1} \neq 0$ , from (10) it follows that

$$L - 1 \geq c_{L+1} \sum_{l=1}^{L+1} \frac{1}{c_l} = 1 + c_{L+1} \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{1}{c_l}.$$

The lemma is a consequence of this inequality.

Taking into account (4) we obtain, from (3),

$$\begin{aligned} R(p, d) &= \sum_{j=1}^r c_j \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i}{(n_i + \gamma)^2} [(n_i - 2\alpha_j \gamma)p_j + \alpha_j^2] \\ &\stackrel{(5),(6)}{=} \sum_{j=1}^L c p_j + \sum_{j=1}^L c_j \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i \alpha_j^2}{(n_i + \gamma)^2} + \sum_{j=L+1}^r c_j \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i n_i}{(n_i + \gamma)^2} p_j \\ &\stackrel{(9),(11)}{=} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i n_i}{(n_i + \gamma)^2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^L q p_j + \sum_{j=L+1}^r c_j p_j \right) + \sum_{j=1}^L c_j \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{k_i \alpha_j^2}{(n_i + \gamma)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $R(p, d) = \text{const} = C$  if  $\sum_{j=1}^L p_j = 1$  and always, by the Lemma,  $R(p, d) \leq C$  for the simultaneous estimator  $d$  defined by (2) and satisfying (4)–(7), (9) and (10). On the other hand, for any  $d$  and the loss function (1) the expected risk  $r(\pi, d) = E(R(p, d))$  attains its minimum if

$$d_{ij}(X_i) = E(p_j | X_i).$$

Here  $E(\cdot)$  is the expectation for a prior distribution  $\pi$  of the parameter  $p$  and  $E(p_j | X_i)$  is the conditional expectation of  $p_j$  given  $X_i$ .

Let a prior distribution of  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_r)$  be defined as follows:

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} P(p_1 + \dots + p_L = 1) &= 1, \\ g(p_1, \dots, p_L) &= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha_1) \dots \Gamma(\alpha_L)} p_1^{\alpha_1-1} \dots p_L^{\alpha_L-1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $g$  is a density. For the prior distribution (12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_{ij}(x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iL}, 0, \dots, 0) &= E(p_j | X_{i1} = x_{i1}, \dots, X_{iL} = x_{iL}, \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad X_{i,L+1} = \dots = X_{ir} = 0) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{x_{ij} + \alpha_j}{n_i + \gamma} & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, L, \\ 0 & \text{for } j = L + 1, \dots, r; i = 1, \dots, m. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then the estimator  $d$  defined by (2) and satisfying (4)–(7), (9), (10) is Bayes and from the Hodges and Lehmann theorem it follows that it is minimax.

For  $r = 2$  always  $L = 2$ ,  $c = q = 0$  and the relevant estimator is a constant risk estimator.

Up to this point we have assumed that  $c_2 \neq 0$ . If only  $c_1 \neq 0$  the problem reduces to simultaneous estimation of the parameter  $p$  of binomial distribution for the loss function

$$L(p, d) = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i (d_i(X_i) - p)^2$$

where  $X_i$  is observed by the  $i$ th statistician. In this case the simultaneous minimax estimator is given by the formula

$$d_i(X_i) = \frac{X_i + \gamma/2}{n_i + \gamma}$$

where  $\gamma$  satisfies (4).

The problem considered in this paper may be generalized by introducing the loss function

$$L(p, d) = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i \sum_{j,l=1}^r c_{jl} (d_{ij}(X_i) - p_j)(d_{il}(X_i) - p_l)$$

where the matrix  $\|c_{jl}\|_1^r$  is nonnegative definite. To solve the problem for this loss function one can apply linear programming methods as done by Wilczyński [2] for  $m = 1$ .

For problems of minimax estimation of many parameters by one statistician see Trybuła [1].

**References**

- [1] S. Trybuła, *Some problems of simultaneous minimax estimation*, Ann. Math. Statist. 29 (1958), 245–253.
- [2] M. Wilczyński, *Minimax estimation for multinomial and multivariate hypergeometric distribution*, Sankhyā Ser. A 47 (1985), 128–132.

Institute of Mathematics  
Technical University of Wrocław  
50-370 Wrocław, Poland

*Received on 6.2.2002;*  
*revised version on 1.7.2002*

(1612)