

# A Few Remarks on the Mohan Kumar Theorem

by

Zbigniew JELONEK

*Presented by Andrzej SKOWROŃSKI*

**Summary.** We give a simple geometric proof of Mohan Kumar's result about complete intersections.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field. The aim of this note is to give a simple proof of the following:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $X \subset k^n$  be a smooth algebraic variety and  $2 \dim X + 2 \leq n$ . Then  $\mu(I(X)/I(X)^2) = \mu(I(X))$ , where  $\mu(I)$  denotes the minimal number of generators of a  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ -module  $I$ .*

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *Let  $X \subset k^n$  be a smooth algebraic variety and  $2 \dim X + 2 \leq n$ . Then  $X$  is a complete intersection if and only if the tangent bundle of  $X$  is trivial.*

Here  $I(X)$  denotes the ideal of the variety  $X$ . Let us recall also that a variety  $X \subset k^n$  is a *complete intersection* if  $\mu(I(X)) = \text{codim } X$ .

Theorem 1.1 is a “weak” version of the more general result of Mohan Kumar [2]. However our proof has a clear geometric nature and it is very short.

**2. Main result.** We start with the following:

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth affine variety. Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be an algebraic vector bundle on  $X$  and  $\mu(\mathbf{F}) = p > \dim X$ . If  $\mathbf{s} \in \Gamma(X, \mathbf{F})$  is a nowhere vanishing section, then there are sections  $\mathbf{t}_i \in \Gamma(X, \mathbf{F})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p - 1$ , such that the sections  $\mathbf{s}$  and  $\mathbf{t}_1, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{p-1}$  generate the bundle  $\mathbf{F}$ .*

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 13C10, 14J99, 14R99.

*Key words and phrases:* algebraic vector bundle, complete intersection, unimodular row.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_p \in \Gamma(X, \mathbf{F})$  generate  $\mathbf{F}$ . Hence we have

$$\mathbf{s} = \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \mathbf{r}_i,$$

where  $\alpha_i \in k[X]$ . By assumption the row  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$  is unimodular. Since  $p > \dim X$  we have by the Suslin Cancellation Theorem (see [4]) that the row  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$  can be completed to a matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_p \\ f_{1,1} & \dots & f_{1,p} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ f_{p-1,1} & \dots & f_{p-1,p} \end{bmatrix}$$

with determinant 1. Now it is enough to take  $\mathbf{t}_i = \sum_{k=1}^p f_{ik} \mathbf{r}_k$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p - 1$ . ■

REMARK 2.2. If we assume that  $\mu(\mathbf{F}) > \dim X + 1$  then we can use the weaker Bass Cancellation Theorem, instead of Suslin’s result. In fact this weaker version is what we actually need.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.1. Take a sufficiently general system of coordinates and let  $H = \{x : x_n = 0\}$ . Next consider the projection  $\pi : k^n \ni (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, 0) \in H \cong k^{n-1}$ . It is easy to see that the projection  $\pi$  restricted to  $X$  is an embedding of  $X$  into  $H$ . In particular there exists a polynomial  $f$  such that on  $X$  we have  $x_n = f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ . If we change variables by the automorphism

$$\Phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n - f(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}))$$

we can assume that  $X \subset H$ .

Let  $\mathbf{F} = I(X)/I(X)^2$  be the conormal vector bundle of  $X$ . Note that the polynomial  $x_n$  gives a nowhere vanishing section of this bundle. Indeed, locally  $x_n$  is a part of a system of local parameters of  $X$  and since local parameters locally are free generators of  $\mathbf{F}$  we conclude that  $x_n$  does not vanish at any point.

Let  $p = \mu(\mathbf{F})$ . By Lemma 2.1 we have polynomials  $r_1, \dots, r_{p-1}$  such that  $\mathbf{F}$  is generated by the classes of the polynomials  $x_n, r_1, \dots, r_{p-1}$ . In particular, the polynomials  $x_n, r_1, \dots, r_{p-1}$  locally describe  $X$ , i.e.,  $I(X)_a = (x_n, r_1, \dots, r_{p-1})_a$  for  $a$  from some open neighborhood of  $X$ . Moreover, we can assume that the polynomials  $r_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p - 1$ , do not depend on the variable  $x_n$ .

In an obvious manner  $r_1, \dots, r_{p-1}$  locally describe  $X$  as a subvariety of  $H = \{x : x_n = 0\} \cong k^{n-1}$ . Hence on  $H$  we have

$$V(r_1, \dots, r_{p-1}) = X \cup Y,$$

where  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ . There is a function  $h \in k[H]$  such that  $h = 0$  on  $X$  and  $h = 1$  on  $Y$ . The function  $h$  can be considered on the whole of  $k^n$ —it does not depend on  $x_n$ . Let us note that the row  $(r_1, \dots, r_{p-1})$  is unimodular on  $U := k^n \setminus \{x : h(h-1) = 0\}$ . This easily implies that the row  $(r_1, \dots, r_{p-1}, r_p)$ , where  $r_p = x_n$ , is on  $U$  equivalent to  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$  (see e.g. [1, Proposition 5.3, p. 44]). In particular there is a matrix  $\mathbb{A} \in GL(p, k[U])$  whose first row is  $(r_1, \dots, r_p)$ .

Now we can finish by the classical Serre construction. Take  $V_0 = \{x \in k^n : h(x) \neq 0\}$  and  $V_1 = \{x \in k^n : h(x) \neq 1\}$ . We have two epimorphisms

$$\Phi_1 : \mathcal{O}_{V_1}^p \ni (h_1, \dots, h_p) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^p h_i r_i \in I(X) \quad \text{over } V_1$$

and

$$\Phi_0 : \mathcal{O}_{V_0}^p \ni (h_1, \dots, h_p) \mapsto h_1 \in I(X) \quad \text{over } V_0.$$

On  $U = V_0 \cap V_1$  we have  $\Phi_1 = \Phi_0 \circ \mathbb{A}$ . Hence if we glue over  $U$  two trivial vector bundles of rank  $p$  on  $V_0$  and  $V_1$  using the matrix  $\mathbb{A}$ , we obtain an algebraic vector bundle  $\mathbf{E}$  of rank  $p$  and an epimorphism  $\Phi : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow I(X)$ . By the Quillen–Suslin Theorem (see [1], [3]) the vector bundle  $\mathbf{E}$  is trivial, which concludes the proof.

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* If  $X$  is a complete intersection, then the conormal bundle of  $X$  is trivial. Hence the normal bundle is trivial too. Now by the Suslin Cancellation Theorem (see [4]) we conclude that the tangent bundle of  $X$  is trivial.

Conversely, if the tangent bundle of  $X$  is trivial, then for the same reason the conormal bundle is, and we can use Theorem 1.1.

**Acknowledgments.** The author was partially supported by the grant of Polish Ministry of Science 2010–2013.

## References

- [1] T. Lam, *Serre Conjecture*, Springer, New York, 1978.
- [2] N. Mohan Kumar, *On two conjectures about polynomial rings*, Invent. Math. 46 (1978), 225–236.
- [3] D. Quillen, *Projective modules over polynomial rings*, Invent. Math. 36 (1976), 167–171.
- [4] A. Suslin, *A theorem on cancellation for projective modules over algebras*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 236 (1977), 808–811 (in Russian).

Zbigniew Jelonek  
Instytut Matematyczny PAN  
Śniadeckich 8  
00-956 Warszawa, Poland  
E-mail: najelone@cyf-kr.edu.pl

*Received September 30, 2010;  
received in final form October 18, 2010*

(7786)