

EVERY KNOT IS A BILLIARD KNOT

P. V. KOSELEFF

*UPMC-Paris 6, IMJ and INRIA Paris-Rocquencourt
E-mail: koseleff@math.jussieu.fr*

D. PECKER

*UPMC-Paris 6, IMJ
E-mail: pecker@math.jussieu.fr*

Abstract. We show that every knot can be realized as a billiard trajectory in a convex prism. This proves a conjecture of Jones and Przytycki.

1. Introduction. The study of billiard trajectories in a polyhedron was introduced in 1913 by König and Szücs in [KS]. They proved density results for a billiard trajectory in a cube. Their theorem is strongly related to the well-known Kronecker density theorem (see [HW]).

More recently, Jones and Przytycki considered the periodic billiard trajectories with no self-intersection as knots. They proved that billiard knots in a cube are isotopic to Lissajous knots, and deduced that not all knots are billiard knots in a cube ([JP], see also [La, C, BHJS, BDHZ]). They also proved that every torus knot (or link) of type (n, k) , where $n \geq 2k + 1$, can be realized as a billiard knot in a cylinder (or in a prism with a regular n -gonal floor). Przytycki went still deeper into the study of symmetrical billiards in [P].

Lamm and Obermeyer [LO] proved that billiard knots in a cylinder are either periodic or ribbon, hence not all knots are billiard knots in a cylinder. In [KP] we constructed many other examples of billiard knots in convex polyhedrons (that is, irregular truncated cubes). Dehornoy constructed in [D] (see also [O]) a billiard which contains all knots, but this billiard is not convex.

In this paper we prove the following conjecture of Jones and Przytycki:

CONJECTURE. *Every knot is a billiard knot in some convex polyhedron.*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 57M25.

Key words and phrases: Billiard knots, Lissajous knots, Chebyshev knots, cylinder knots.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

Our result is more precise:

THEOREM 8. *Every knot (or link) is a billiard knot (or link) in some convex right prism.*

Using a theorem of Lamm and Manturov, we first prove that every knot has a diagram which is a star polygon. Then, by systematically distorting this polygon, we obtain an irregular diagram of the same knot. We deduce that it is possible to suppose that 1 and the arc lengths of the crossing points are linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} . It is then possible to use the classic Kronecker density theorem to prove our result.

2. Every knot has a projection which is a star polygon. A toric braid is a braid corresponding to the closed braid obtained by projecting the standardly embedded torus knot into the xy -plane. A toric braid is a braid of the form $\tau_{k,n} = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{k-1})^n$, where $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{k-1}$ are the standard generators of the full braid group B_k . A quasitoric braid of type (k, n) is a braid obtained by changing some crossings in the toric braid $\tau_{k,n}$. The quasitoric braids form a subgroup of B_k . Consequently there exist trivial quasitoric braids of arbitrarily great length, and any quasitoric braid is equivalent to a quasitoric braid of type (k, n) with $n \geq 2k + 1$.

The Lamm–Manturov theorem tells us that every knot (or link) is realized as the closure of a quasitoric braid ([M, La2]).

The following definition of polygonal stars will be useful for the description of links.

DEFINITION 1. Let p, q be integers. The *polygonal star* $\{\frac{p}{q}\} \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ is given by its vertices $e(k) = e^{2ki\pi/p}$, and its sides $(e(k), e(k + q))$, $k = 0, \dots, p - 1$.

When p and q are coprime integers, this is the usual definition of star polygons. The following picture shows the polygonal stars $\{\frac{10}{3}\}$, $\{\frac{10}{2}\}$ and $\{\frac{9}{3}\}$, as projections of the billiard torus links $T(10, 3)$, $T(10, 2)$ and $T(9, 3)$. The dotted lines correspond to the parts $z < 0$ of the link.




Fig. 1. The polygonal stars $\{\frac{10}{3}\}$, $\{\frac{10}{2}\}$ and $\{\frac{9}{3}\}$, projections of the torus links $T(10, 3)$, $T(10, 2)$ and $T(9, 3)$

THEOREM 2. *Every knot (or link) has a projection that is a polygonal star.*

Proof. Let our knot (or link) be realized as the closure of a quasitoric braid of type (k, n) . By our remark, we can suppose $n \geq 2k + 1$. Now, we use the result of Jones and Przytycki which says that every torus knot (or link) of type (k, n) , $n \geq 2k + 1$, can be realized as

a billiard knot in a cylinder. In their construction, the projection on the xy -plane is the closure of the toric braid $\tau_{k,n}$, which proves our result. ■

REMARK 3. It is also possible to use a theorem of Lamm and Obermeyer [LO] to give another proof of Theorem 2 in the knot case. A Rosette braid is a braid of the form $(\sigma_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots \sigma_{k-1}^{\varepsilon_{k-1}})^n$, $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$, and a Rosette knot is the closure of a Rosette braid. The theorem of Lamm and Obermeyer tells us that every Rosette knot can be represented by a billiard knot in a cylinder. The knot diagrams obtained in their proof are star polygons isotopic to the closures of some quasitoric braids.

3. Breaking the symmetry. Since we want to obtain all knots, we need irregular diagrams.

First, let us recall some facts about billiard trajectories. If ABC is a piece of a polygonal line, then the mirror placed at B is the hyperplane which is orthogonal to the internal bisector of \widehat{B} at B . The mirror room at B is the closed half-space containing A, B, C and the mirror at B .

We define a billiard trajectory as a finite union of polygonal lines, which is contained in all its mirror rooms. A billiard knot (or link) is a polygonal knot (or link) $([A, C])$ which is a billiard trajectory.

The following result allows us to forget about the (convex) billiard, and focus our attention on the trajectory. It is valid in every dimension.

LEMMA 4. *Let $\mathcal{Q} = (Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1})$ be a billiard trajectory such that every mirror of \mathcal{Q} contains only one point of \mathcal{Q} . Then, if $\mathcal{P} = (P_0, \dots, P_{n-1})$ is sufficiently close to \mathcal{Q} , it is a billiard trajectory in some convex polyhedron.*

Proof. Let $\vec{u}_k(\mathcal{Q})$ be the unit vector of the internal bisector of \widehat{Q}_k . The hypothesis of Lemma 4 means that for every $k, i, i \neq k$, the scalar product $\vec{u}_k(\mathcal{Q}) \cdot \vec{Q}_k Q_i$ is positive.

Since $\vec{u}_k(\mathcal{Q})$ and $\vec{Q}_k Q_i$ depend continuously on \mathcal{Q} , this condition remains true for any polygonal trajectory \mathcal{P} that is sufficiently close to \mathcal{Q} . ■

PROPOSITION 5. *Let K be a knot. There exists a plane billiard trajectory \mathcal{P} which is a projection of a knot isotopic to K , and which satisfies the following irregularity condition:*

If the arc lengths t_i of the vertices and crossings of \mathcal{P} are measured from a vertex, then the numbers 1 and $t_i, t_i \neq 0$, are linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{Q} = (Q_0, \dots, Q_{n-1}), Q_n = Q_0$, be a star polygon which is a projection of K . Let us suppose that each line $(Q_k Q_{k+1})$ has an equation of the form $y = \alpha_k x + \beta_k$. Then, if (a_k, b_k) are sufficiently close to (α_k, β_k) , the lines $\{y = a_k x + b_k\}$ determine a nonconvex polygon $\mathcal{P} = (P_0, \dots, P_{n-1})$ close to \mathcal{Q} . By our lemma, \mathcal{P} is a periodic billiard trajectory in some convex polygon.

By Baire's theorem, we can suppose that the numbers a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} and b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} are algebraically independent over \mathbf{Q} .

Let I be the set of integer pairs $(i, j), j \neq i - 1$, such that the intersection of $[P_i, P_{i+1}]$ and $[P_j, P_{j+1}]$ is a point $P_{i,j}$.

The vertex P_i is the point $P_{i-1,i}$. The abscissa of $P_{i,j}$ is $x_{i,j} = \frac{b_i - b_j}{a_j - a_i}$, and the length of $[P_i, P_{i,j}]$ is $|\ell_{i,j}|$, where $\ell_{i,j} = \sqrt{1 + a_i^2}(x_{i,j} - x_{i-1,i})$.




Fig. 2. Naming the vertices and crossings of a pentagonal trajectory

Let us show that the numbers $\ell_{i,j}$ and 1 are linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} . Suppose that we have a linear relation with rational coefficients $\sum_{(i,j) \in I} \lambda_{i,j} \ell_{i,j} = \lambda$, with $\lambda, \lambda_{i,j} \in \mathbf{Q}$.

This is an algebraic relation between the a_i and the b_i . Since these numbers are algebraically independent over \mathbf{Q} , this relation must be an identity.

Let $k \leq n - 1$ be a fixed non-negative integer, and let us substitute $b_k = 1$ and $b_i = 0$ if $i \neq k$ in this identity.

We thus obtain a new identity between the a_i .

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{k,j} \sqrt{1 + a_k^2} \left(\frac{1}{a_j - a_k} - \frac{1}{a_{k-1} - a_k} \right) - \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{k+1,j} \right) \frac{\sqrt{1 + a_{k+1}^2}}{a_{k+1} - a_k} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{i,k} \frac{\sqrt{1 + a_i^2}}{a_i - a_k} = \lambda$$

where $\lambda_{k,k} = \lambda_{k,k-1} = \lambda_{k+1,k} = 0$. Substituting $a_k = \sqrt{-1}$, in this identity, we obtain

$$- \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{k+1,j} \right) \frac{\sqrt{1 + a_{k+1}^2}}{a_{k+1} - \sqrt{-1}} + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_{i,k} \frac{\sqrt{1 + a_i^2}}{a_i - \sqrt{-1}} = \lambda.$$

Let $h \neq k + 1$ be an integer, and let $a_h \rightarrow \sqrt{-1}$. From $\lim_{z \rightarrow \sqrt{-1}} \frac{\sqrt{1+z^2}}{z - \sqrt{-1}} = \infty$, we obtain $\lambda_{h,k} = 0$. Since this is true for every h and k , we deduce that $\lambda_{i,j} = 0$ for all $(i, j) \in I$.

Finally, since the arc lengths of the points $P_{i,j}$ are given by $t_{i,j} = |\ell_{0,1}| + |\ell_{1,2}| + \dots + |\ell_{i-1,i}| + |\ell_{i,j}|$, this concludes the proof of Proposition 5. ■

PROPOSITION 6. *Let L be a link. There exists a plane billiard trajectory \mathcal{P} which is a projection of a link isotopic to L , and which satisfies the following condition.*

If \mathcal{R} is a component of \mathcal{P} , and if the arc lengths t_i of the vertices and crossings of \mathcal{R} are measured from a vertex, then the numbers 1 and $t_i, t_i \neq 0$, are linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} .

Proof. The proof is almost identical to the preceding one.

There is a link isotopic to L whose plane projection is a union of polygons

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}^{(1)} \cup \mathcal{P}^{(2)} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}^{(d)}$$

whose vertices are $(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{N-1})$. Let \mathcal{R} be a component of \mathcal{P} ; we can then suppose that the vertices of \mathcal{R} are $(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{n-1})$.

Furthermore, we can suppose that the equations $y = a_k x + b_k$ of the sides of \mathcal{P} are such that the numbers a_k and b_k , $k = 1, \dots, N - 1$, are algebraically independent over \mathbf{Q} .

In this case we have modified the definition of I , which is now the set of integer pairs (i, j) , $i \in \{0, \dots, n - 1\}$, $j \in \{0, \dots, N - 1\}$, $j \neq i - 1$, which correspond bijectively to the arc lengths of the vertices and crossings contained in \mathcal{R} .

Then, the rest of the proof is exactly the same as in the case of knots. ■

4. Proof of the theorem. We will use Kronecker’s theorem ([HW, Theorem 443]):

THEOREM 7 (Kronecker (1884)). *If $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k, 1$ are linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} , then the set of points $((n\theta_1), \dots, (n\theta_k))$ is dense in the unit cube. Here (x) denotes the fractional part of x .*

Now, we can prove our main theorem.

THEOREM 8. *Every knot (or link) is a billiard knot (or link) in some convex prism.*

Proof. First, we consider knots. By Theorem 2 there exists a knot isotopic to K whose projection on the xy -plane is a periodic billiard trajectory in a convex polygon \mathbf{D} . If t_i are the arc lengths corresponding to the crossings, we can suppose by Proposition 5 that the numbers $t_1, \dots, t_k, 1$ are linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} . Using a dilatation, we can suppose that the total length of the trajectory is 1.

Consider the polygonal curve defined by $(x(t), y(t), z(t))$, where $z(t)$ is the sawtooth function $z(t) = 2|(nt + \varphi) - 1/2|$ depending on the integer n and on the real number φ . If the heights $z(P_k)$ of the vertices are such that $z(P_k) \neq 0, 1$, then it is a periodic billiard trajectory in the prism $\mathbf{D} \times [0, 1]$ (see [JP, La, LO, P, KP]). If we set $\varphi = 1/2 + z_0/2$, $z_0 \in]0, 1[$, we have $z(0) = z_0$. Now, using Kronecker’s theorem, there exists an integer n such that the numbers $z(t_i)$ are arbitrarily close to any chosen collection of heights, which completes our proof.

The case of links is similar. First, we find a plane billiard diagram of our link, and then we parameterize each component. ■

REMARK 9. If the diagram has some regularity, then it is generally impossible to use Kronecker’s theorem. This is illustrated by Lissajous knots and cylinder knots. This is also true for more general diagrams.

For example, suppose that the diagram contains a parallelogram. That means that there are four crossings of arc lengths t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 such that $t_2 - t_1 = t_4 - t_3$. So, if $z(t) = 2|(nt + \varphi) - \frac{1}{2}|$ is the height function, then $\varepsilon_i z_i = 2(nt_i + \varphi) - 1$, with $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$. We deduce that $\varepsilon_1 z_1 - \varepsilon_2 z_2 - \varepsilon_3 z_3 + \varepsilon_4 z_4 = 0$. Consequently, we see that $z_1 = z_2 = z_3 = 1$ implies $z_4 = 1$. This clearly shows that the heights of the crossings cannot be chosen arbitrarily. Hence, the sufficient condition of Kronecker’s theorem is also necessary.

Note added in proof. With the help of the deep theory of Jacobian elliptic functions, the ideas of this paper can be used to prove the existence of a universal convex billiard. More precisely, it is proved in [Pe], that if D is a right elliptic cylinder, then every knot (or link) is a billiard knot (or link) in D . This result was conjectured by Lamm (see [La2, O]).

References

- [A] C. C. Adams, *The Knot Book. An Elementary Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of Knots*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [BHJS] M. G. V. Bogle, J. E. Hearst, V. F. R. Jones, L. Stoilov, *Lissajous knots*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 3 (1994), 121–140.
- [BDHZ] A. Boucher, J. Daigle, J. Hoste, W. Zheng, *Sampling Lissajous and Fourier knots*, Experiment. Math. 18 (2009), 481–497.
- [C] P. Cromwell, *Knots and Links*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [D] P. Dehornoy, *A billiard containing all links*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 349 (2011), 575–578.
- [HW] G. H. Hardy, E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 4th edition, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1960.
- [JP] V. F. R. Jones, J. H. Przytycki, *Lissajous knots and billiard knots*, in: Knot Theory (Warsaw, 1995), Banach Center Publ. 42, 1998, 145–163.
- [KS] D. König, A. Szücs, *Mouvement d'un point abandonné à l'intérieur d'un cube*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 36 (1913), 79–90.
- [KP] P.-V. Koseleff, D. Pecker, *Chebyshev knots*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 20 (2011), 575–593.
- [La] C. Lamm, *There are infinitely many Lissajous knots*, Manuscripta Math. 93 (1997), 29–37.
- [La2] C. Lamm, *Fourier knots*, English translation of a part of: Zylinder-Knoten und symmetrische Vereinigungen, Dissertation, Universität Bonn, Mathematisches Institut, Bonn, 1999.
- [LO] C. Lamm, D. Obermeyer, *Billiard knots in a cylinder*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 8 (1999), 353–366.
- [M] V. O. Manturov, *A combinatorial representation of links by quasitoric braids*, European J. Combin. 23 (2002), 207–212.
- [O] J. O'Rourke, *Tying knots with reflecting light rays*, <http://mathoverflow.net/questions/38813/>, 2010.
- [Pe] D. Pecker, *Poncelet's theorem and billiard knots*, Geom. Dedicata 161 (2012), 323–333.
- [P] J. Przytycki, *Symmetric knots and billiard knots*, in: *Ideal Knots*, Ser. Knots Everything 19, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1999, 374–414.