### Krzysztof Bartoszek

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND THE UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG, GOTHENBURG, SWEDEN

e-mail: krzbar@chalmers.se

## Jason Pienaar

DEPARTMENT OF GENETICS, UNIVERSITY OF PRETORIA, SOUTH AFRICA 0002

e-mail: jason.pienaar@up.ac.za

## Thomas Hansen

CEES DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY, UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, OSLO NORWAY

e-mail: t.f.hansen@bio.uio.no

#### Petter Mostad

Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and the

University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

e-mail: mostad@chalmers.se

# Multivariate comparative analysis

The need for taking into account phylogenetic dependencies between trait measurements in comparative analysis is something which has become obvious. One approach to capture this dependency is to assume that the trait(s) evolve as a time dependent branching stochastic differential equation along the phylogenentic tree. The development of this branch of comparative analysis started with [1] and was continued in [2],[3],[4],[5]. However all these proposed methods lacked a fully multivariate implementation of the proposed models. We have developed a generalization of these models into the fully multivariate setting and implemented an estimation package in R to analyze comparative data under these models. The multivariate setting gives us much more flexibility and allows to e.g. model codevelopment of allometry, indications of a trade-off and gain understanding of trait coevolution. In the talk we will discuss the multivariate model, possible hypothesis (allometry, trade-off) one can study with it and go through an example study of how sexual selection acts on the development of male canine and body sizes in Primates.

## References

- [1] J. Felsenstein *Phylogenies and the comparative method* The American Naturalist 1 1–15.
- [2] T. Hansen Stabilizing selection and the comparative analysis of adaptation Evolution 51 1341– 1351.
- [3] M. Butler and A. King Phylogenetic comparative analysis: a modelling approach for adaptive evolution The American Naturalist 164 683-695.
- [4] T. Hansen and J. Pienaar and S. Orzack A comparative method for studying adaptation to randomly evolving environment Evolution 62 1965–1977.
- [5] J. Pienaar and K. Bartoszek and T. Hansen and K. Voje Overview of comparative methods for studying adaptation on adaptive landscapes in prep.