

Convergence of formal solutions of 1st order
singular partial differential equations
of nilpotent type

Akira SHIRAI

Sugiyama Jogakuen University, Japan

(Joint work with M. Miyake)

12 August 2011, Poland (Bedlewo)

Introduction and Known Results

Let $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

We consider the following 1st order PDE;

$$(1) \quad \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i \partial_{x_j} + c \right) u(X) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i + f_2(X, u, \partial_X u)$$

$$u(0) = 0,$$

Introduction and Known Results

Let $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

We consider the following 1st order PDE;

$$(2) \quad \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i \partial_{x_j} + c \right) u(X) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^n b_j x_j + f_2(X, u, \partial_X u)$$

$$u(0) = 0,$$

where $a_{ij}, b_j, c \in \mathbb{C}$, $f_2(tX, t\xi, \eta) = O(t^2)$.

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1,\dots,n}$ be the eigenvalues of A . We know the following results;

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1,\dots,n}$ be the eigenvalues of A . We know the following results;

Theorem 1

(i) $\{\lambda_j\}$ satisfy the Poincaré condition, then the formal solution $u(X)$ converges in a neighborhood of the origin.

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1,\dots,n}$ be the eigenvalues of A . We know the following results;

Theorem 1

- (i) $\{\lambda_j\}$ satisfy the Poincaré condition, then the formal solution $u(X)$ converges in a neighborhood of the origin.
- (ii) Assume $\lambda_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. If $c \neq 0$, then the formal solution $u(X)$ exists uniquely, and it belongs to the Gevrey class of order at most $2n$.

Let $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1,\dots,n}$ be the eigenvalues of A . We know the following results;

Theorem 1

- (i) $\{\lambda_j\}$ satisfy the Poincaré condition, then the formal solution $u(X)$ converges in a neighborhood of the origin.
- (ii) Assume $\lambda_j = 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$. If $c \neq 0$, then the formal solution $u(X)$ exists uniquely, and it belongs to the Gevrey class of order at most $2n$.

Problem

In Theorem 1 (ii), if $\lambda_j = 0$ ($\forall j$) and $c = 0$
 \Rightarrow Is $u(X)$ convergent?

A Typical Example

Let $X = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3$. We consider the following simple operator of nilpotent type;

$$P := y\partial_x - z\partial_y = (x, y, z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \\ \partial_y \\ \partial_z \end{pmatrix}.$$

A Typical Example

Let $X = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3$. We consider the following simple operator of nilpotent type;

$$P := y\partial_x - z\partial_y = (x, y, z) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \\ \partial_y \\ \partial_z \end{pmatrix}.$$

For this operator, we research on the conditions of the mapping on the convergent power series of the form

$$u(X) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\infty} u_{ij}(x)y^i z^j \in \mathcal{O}_x\{y, z\} \text{ (or } \mathcal{O}_X\text{)}.$$

Namely, we research of the mapping

$$P : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X.$$

Namely, we research of the mapping

$$P : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X.$$

We put

$$\mathcal{O}_x[y, z]_p = \left\{ \sum_{i+2j=p} f_{ij}(x) y^i z^j ; f_{ij}(x) \in \mathcal{O}_x \right\}.$$

(The set of quasi-homogeneous polynomials w.r.t. (y, z))

Namely, we research of the mapping

$$P : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X.$$

We put

$$\mathcal{O}_x[y, z]_p = \left\{ \sum_{i+2j=p} f_{ij}(x) y^i z^j ; f_{ij}(x) \in \mathcal{O}_x \right\}.$$

(The set of quasi-homogeneous polynomials w.r.t. (y, z))

Remark The degree $i + 2j$ is important.

$$y\partial_x : u_{ij}(x) y^i z^j \mapsto u'_{ij}(x) y^{i+1} z^j \quad (\text{deg} = i + 2j + 1)$$

$$z\partial_y : u_{ij}(x) y^i z^j \mapsto i u_{ij}(x) y^{i-1} z^{j+1} \quad (\text{deg} = i + 2j + 1)$$

$$P : \mathcal{O}_x[y, z]_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x[y, z]_{p+1}, \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Result

For the mapping $P : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$, we have

Theorem 2

$Pu(X) = f(X)$, we have

(i) $f(X) \in \text{Im}(P; \mathcal{O}_X) \Leftrightarrow f(x, 0, 0) \equiv 0$ and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \frac{(2k-1)!!}{(2n+1)!!} D_x^{n+1-k} f_{2k, n+1-k}(x) \equiv 0$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where

$(2k-1)!! = 1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2k-1)$, $(-1)!! := 1$.

Theorem 2

$Pu(X) = f(X)$, we have

(i) $f(X) \in \text{Im}(P; \mathcal{O}_X) \Leftrightarrow f(x, 0, 0) \equiv 0$ and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \frac{(2k-1)!!}{(2n+1)!!} D_x^{n+1-k} f_{2k, n+1-k}(x) \equiv 0$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where
 $(2k-1)!! = 1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2k-1)$, $(-1)!! := 1$.

(ii) $\text{Ker}(P; \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{K}$ where

$$\mathcal{K} := \left\{ v(y, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^m C_{2n-2k, k} y^{2n-2k} z^k \in \mathcal{O}_{y, z} \right\}$$

Remark Theorem 2 (i) \Leftrightarrow

$$\text{Coker}(P; \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{F} := \left\{ f(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{2n,0}(x) y^{2n} \right\}$$

Remark Theorem 2 (i) \Leftrightarrow

$$\text{Coker}(P; \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{F} := \left\{ f(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{2n,0}(x) y^{2n} \right\}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} g(X) &= \sum_{i+2j=\text{even}} g_{ij}(x) y^i z^j + \sum_{i+2j=\text{odd}} g_{ij}(x) y^i z^j \\ &=: g_e(X) + g_o(X) \end{aligned}$$

Remark Theorem 2 (i) \Leftrightarrow

$$\text{Coker}(P; \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathcal{F} := \left\{ f(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{2n,0}(x) y^{2n} \right\}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} g(X) &= \sum_{i+2j=\text{even}} g_{ij}(x) y^i z^j + \sum_{i+2j=\text{odd}} g_{ij}(x) y^i z^j \\ &=: g_e(X) + g_o(X) \end{aligned}$$

Remark The isomorphism for $\text{Ker}(P; \mathcal{O}_X)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{K} \ni v(y, z) \mapsto u(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n C_{2n-2k,k} (y^2 + 2xz)^{n-k} z^k$$

Theorem 2 will be proved by showing the unique solvability of the following Cauchy problem.

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} Pu(X) \equiv f(X) \pmod{\mathcal{F}}, \\ u_e(0, y, z) = v(y, z) \in \mathcal{K}. \end{cases}$$

Sketch of Proof

For $P = y\partial_x - z\partial_y : u(X) \mapsto f(X)$, since

$P : \mathcal{O}_x[y, z]_p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_x[y, z]_{p+1}$ ($p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), we have

$$\mathcal{O}_x[y, z]_0 \ni f(x, 0, 0) \equiv 0.$$

Therefore, we put

$$f(X) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} f_p(x, y, z), \quad f_p(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{O}_x[y, z]_p.$$

where $f_p(x, y, z) = \sum_{i+2j=p} f_{ij}(x) y^i z^j \in \mathcal{O}_x[y, z]_p$.

By substituting $u(X) = \sum_{p \geq 0} u_p(X)$ and $f(X) = \sum_{p \geq 1} f_p(X)$ into $Pu(X) = f(X)$, we have the following recurrence formula:

$$(4) \quad u'_{i,j}(x) - (i+2)u_{i+2,j-1}(x) = f_{i+1,j}(x).$$

This recurrence formula is expressed by using the matrix as follows;

The case for $p = 2n$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_x & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -2n & D_x & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & -2n + 2 & D_x & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & -2 & D_x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{2n,0} \\ u_{2n-2,1} \\ u_{2n-4,2} \\ \vdots \\ u_{0,n} \end{pmatrix} \\
 = \begin{pmatrix} f_{2n+1,0} \\ f_{2n-1,1} \\ \vdots \\ f_{1,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

The case for $p = 2n$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} D_x & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -2n & D_x & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & -2n + 2 & D_x & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & -2 & D_x \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_{2n,0} \\ u_{2n-2,1} \\ u_{2n-4,2} \\ \vdots \\ u_{0,n} \end{pmatrix} \\
 = \begin{pmatrix} f_{2n+1,0} \\ f_{2n-1,1} \\ \vdots \\ f_{1,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

This is uniquely solvable if we give initial values $\{u_{2n-2k,k}(0)\}$. (We put $C_{ij} = u_{ij}(0)$)

The case for $p = 2n + 1$,

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 D_x & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
 -2n - 1 & D_x & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
 & -2n + 1 & D_x & & 0 \\
 & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 & & & -3 & D_x \\
 & & & & -1
 \end{pmatrix}
 \begin{pmatrix}
 u_{2n,0} \\
 u_{2n-2,1} \\
 u_{2n-4,2} \\
 \vdots \\
 u_{3,n-1} \\
 u_{1,n}
 \end{pmatrix}
 =
 \begin{pmatrix}
 f_{2n+2,0} \\
 f_{2n,1} \\
 \vdots \\
 f_{2,n} \\
 f_{0,n+1}
 \end{pmatrix}$$

The case for $p = 2n + 1$,

$$\begin{pmatrix}
 D_x & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
 -2n - 1 & D_x & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
 & -2n + 1 & D_x & & 0 \\
 & & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 & & & -3 & D_x \\
 & & & & -1
 \end{pmatrix}
 \begin{pmatrix}
 u_{2n,0} \\
 u_{2n-2,1} \\
 u_{2n-4,2} \\
 \vdots \\
 u_{3,n-1} \\
 u_{1,n}
 \end{pmatrix}
 =
 \begin{pmatrix}
 f_{2n+2,0} \\
 f_{2n,1} \\
 \vdots \\
 f_{2,n} \\
 f_{0,n+1}
 \end{pmatrix}$$

The coefficient of above equation
 is $(n + 2, n + 1)$ -rectangle matrix.
 \rightarrow We need some conditions.

Compatibility condition

In order that $f(X)$ ($= Pu(X)$) belongs to $\text{Im}(P; \mathcal{O}_X)$, the given function $f(X)$ must satisfy the following compatibility conditions for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ from the bottom side.

$$(5) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \frac{(2k-1)!!}{(2n+1)!!} D_x^{n+1-k} f_{2k, n+1-k}(x) \equiv 0$$

This is Theorem 2 (i) in formal sense.

Next we research on $\text{Ker}(P; \mathcal{O}_X)$, that is, we consider the equation

$$Pu(X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Pu_p(X) = 0 \text{ for } p = 0, 1, \dots$$

Next we research on $\text{Ker}(P; \mathcal{O}_X)$, that is, we consider the equation

$$Pu(X) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Pu_p(X) = 0 \text{ for } p = 0, 1, \dots$$

In the case $p = \text{odd}$, by the matrix representation, we obtain

$$u_{2n+1}(X) \equiv 0 \text{ for all } n.$$

Because, we can solve the system of equation from the bottom side step by step.

In the case $p = \text{even}$, we calculate carefully from the top side, we have the following relations.

$$u_{2n,0}(x) = C_{2n,0}$$

$$u_{2n-2,1}(x) = C_{2n-2,1} + 2nC_{2n,0}x$$

$$\vdots$$

$$u_{2n-2k,k}(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^k C_{2n-2\ell,\ell} \frac{(n-\ell)!}{(n-k)!(k-\ell)!} (2x)^{k-\ell}$$

$$\uparrow$$

The coefficient of $y^{2n-2k} z^k$.

Therefore,

$$u_{2n}(X)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n u_{2n-2k,k}(x) y^{2n-2k} z^k$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k C_{2n-2\ell,\ell} \frac{(n-\ell)!}{(n-k)!(k-\ell)!} (2x)^{k-\ell} y^{2n-2k} z^k$$

= careful calculation

$$= \sum_{\ell=0}^n C_{2n-2\ell,\ell} (y^2 + 2xz)^{n-\ell} z^\ell.$$

Hence, the basis of $\text{Ker}(P; \mathcal{O}_X)$ is

$$\{(y^2 + 2xz)^{n-l} z^l ; 0 \leq l \leq n, n = 0, 1, \dots\}.$$

This implies that the kernel of P is given by

$$u(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^n C_{2n-2l, l} (y^2 + 2xz)^{n-l} z^l.$$

This is Theorem 2 (ii) in formal sense.

Convergence of $u(X)$

We put $U(X) = u(X) - v(y, z)$ as a new unknown function.

$U(X)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} PU(X) \equiv F(X) \pmod{\mathcal{F}} \\ U_e(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Convergence of $u(X)$

We put $U(X) = u(X) - v(y, z)$ as a new unknown function.

$U(X)$ satisfies the following equation:

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} PU(X) \equiv F(X) \pmod{\mathcal{F}} \\ U_e(0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Remark $v(y, z)$ is any convergent even function. Then Pv is an odd function. The compatibility conditions for $f(X)$ are the conditions for the even part. Therefore, in this equation, the compatibility conditions for $F(X)$ are the same one as the original equation.

By the matrix representation, we have

$$U_{2n}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k 2^{k-\ell} \frac{(n-\ell)!}{(n-k)!} D_x^{\ell-k-1} F_{2(n-\ell)+1, \ell}(x) y^{2n-2k} z^k.$$

By the matrix representation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & U_{2n}(X) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k 2^{k-\ell} \frac{(n-\ell)!}{(n-k)!} D_x^{\ell-k-1} F_{2(n-\ell)+1,\ell}(x) y^{2n-2k} z^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

We remark that $F(X)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin. Therefore,

$$\sup_{|x| \leq r} |F_{jk}(x)| \leq CA^{j+2k} \quad (\exists C, A > 0)$$

In this case, we have

$$\left| D_x^{\ell-k-1} F_{2(n-\ell)+1,\ell}(x) \right| \leq CA^{2n+1} \frac{|x|^{k-\ell+1}}{(k-\ell+1)!}$$

By using this estimate, we obtain

$$|U_{2n}(X)| \leq CA^{2n+1} |x| (|y|^2 + 2|xz| + |z|)^n.$$

Hence, $U_e(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_{2n}(X)$ is convergent in a neighborhood of the origin.

By using this estimate, we obtain

$$|U_{2n}(X)| \leq CA^{2n+1} |x| (|y|^2 + 2|xz| + |z|)^n.$$

Hence, $U_e(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_{2n}(X)$ is convergent in a neighborhood of the origin.

Remark The estimate of even part $U_e(X)$ is dominated by the integrations of the coefficients of $F(X)$.

For the odd part, we have

$$U_{2n+1}(X) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{(2(k-\ell)-1)!!}{(2k+1)!!} D_x^\ell F_{2(k-\ell), n-k+\ell+1}(X) y^{2k+1} z^{n-k}$$

For the odd part, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & U_{2n+1}(X) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{(2(k-\ell)-1)!!}{(2k+1)!!} D_x^\ell F_{2(k-\ell), n-k+\ell+1}(X) y^{2k+1} z^{n-k}
 \end{aligned}$$

By $\sup_{|x| \leq r} |F_{ij}(x)| \leq CA^{i+2j}$, we have for $r' < r$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| D_x^\ell F_{2(k-\ell), n-k+\ell+1}(x) \right| \\
 &= \left| \frac{\ell!}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z-x|=r-r'} \frac{F_{2(k-\ell), \ell}(\xi)}{(\xi-x)^{\ell+1}} d\xi \right| \\
 &\leq CA^{2n+2} B^\ell \ell! \quad (\text{where } B = 1/(r-r')).
 \end{aligned}$$

By using this estimate, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |U_{2n+1}(X)| \\
&= \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{(2(k-\ell)-1)!!}{(2k+1)!!} D_x^\ell F_{2(k-\ell), n-k+\ell+1}(X) y^{2k+1} z^{n-k} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{(2k-2\ell-1)!! \ell!}{(2k+1)!!} \frac{CA^{2n+2} B^{k+1}}{B-1} |y|^{2k+1} |z|^{n-k} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{CA^{2n+2} B^{k+1}}{B-1} |y|^{2k+1} |z|^{n-k} \\
&= \frac{CA^{2n+2} B |y|}{B-1} (B|y|^2 + |z|)^n
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $U_o(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_{2n+1}(X)$ is convergent in a neighborhood of the origin.

Therefore, $U_o(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_{2n+1}(X)$ is convergent in a neighborhood of the origin.

Remark The estimate of odd part $U_o(X)$ is dominated by the differentiations of the coefficients of $F(X)$. This is quite different situation of the case for even part.

Problem

We consider the following operator with perturbation terms;

$$\tilde{P} = (y + a(X))\partial_x + (z + b(X))\partial_y + c(X)\partial_z,$$

where $a(X)$, $b(X)$ and $c(X)$ are holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin, and assume

$$a(X), b(X), c(X) = O(|X|^2).$$

Moreover, we assume that

$$\sigma(a) \geq 1, \quad \sigma(b) \geq 2, \quad \sigma(c) \geq 3.$$

where $\sigma(f)$ is defined as follows:

$$f(X) = \sum f_{ij}(x) y^i z^j \Rightarrow \sigma(f) := \min\{i+2j ; f_{ij}(x) \neq 0\}.$$

Moreover, we assume that

$$\sigma(a) \geq 1, \quad \sigma(b) \geq 2, \quad \sigma(c) \geq 3.$$

where $\sigma(f)$ is defined as follows:

$$f(X) = \sum f_{ij}(x) y^i z^j \Rightarrow \sigma(f) := \min\{i+2j; f_{ij}(x) \neq 0\}.$$

Under these conditions, we have the following results:

For $\text{Im}(\tilde{P}, \mathcal{O}_x[[y, z]]) \rightarrow$ similar compatibility conditions.

For $\text{Ker}(\tilde{P}, \mathcal{O}_x[[y, z]]) \rightarrow$ similar conditions.

For $\text{Im}(\tilde{P}, \mathcal{O}_x[[y, z]]) \rightarrow$ similar compatibility conditions.

For $\text{Ker}(\tilde{P}, \mathcal{O}_x[[y, z]]) \rightarrow$ similar conditions.

I can prove that these conditions hold only for the formal power series category, not for the case of convergent power series.

For $\text{Im}(\tilde{P}, \mathcal{O}_x[[y, z]]) \rightarrow$ similar compatibility conditions.

For $\text{Ker}(\tilde{P}, \mathcal{O}_x[[y, z]]) \rightarrow$ similar conditions.

I can prove that these conditions hold only for the formal power series category, not for the case of convergent power series.

I am not successful to prove convergence yet.

I am trying now.

Thank you.