Maillet Type Theorem and Gevrey Regularity in Time of Solutions to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations

> Hidetoshi TAHARA (Sophia University, Tokyo, JAPAN)

> August 11, 2011, Poland (Bedlewo)

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

I am a researcher of partial differential equations in the complex domain. Recently, I am very much interested in applying complex method to problems in the real domain. I am a researcher of partial differential equations in the complex domain. Recently, I am very much interested in applying complex method to problems in the real domain.

My intension is illustrated as follows.



▲ロト ▲冊 ト ▲ ヨ ト → ヨ ト → のへで

In this talk, I will consider the equation

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(E)} & t^{\gamma}\partial_t^m u = F\Big(t,x,\Big\{\partial_t^j\partial_x^{\alpha}u\Big\}_{j+|\alpha|\leq L}\Big) \\ & \text{where } \gamma\geq 0 \text{ and } L\geq m\geq 1 \end{array} \end{array}$$

・ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三 ・ のへぐ

In this talk, I will consider the equation

(E)
$$t^{\gamma}\partial_t^m u = F\Big(t, x, \Big\{\partial_t^j \partial_x^{\alpha} u\Big\}_{j+|\alpha| \leq L}\Big)$$

where
$$\gamma \geq 0$$
 and $L \geq m \geq 1$

and I will present two results:

Part I: Maillet type theorem - this is a model in the complex domain -Part II: Gevrey regularity in time of solutions of (E) this is a result in the real domain

- this is a result in the real domain -

<ロト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 1 の 0 0 0</p>

Part I Maillet type theorem in the complex PDEs

0.1. Notations

 $egin{array}{ll} t & ext{the time variable in} & \mathbb{C}_t, \ x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) & ext{the space variables in} & \mathbb{C}_x^n, \ D_R = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n\,;\, |x_i| \leq R \; \; (i=1,\dots,n)\,\}. \end{array}$

0.1. Notations

t the time variable in \mathbb{C}_t , $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the space variables in \mathbb{C}_x^n , $D_R = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n ; |x_i| \le R \ (i = 1, \dots, n) \}.$

We will use the following notations:

 \mathcal{O}_R : the set of all holomorphic functions in x on D_R , $\mathcal{O}_R[[t]]$: the ring of formal power series in t with coefficients in \mathcal{O}_R ,

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

 $\mathcal{M}_R[[t]]$: the subset of all $f(t,x)\in\mathcal{O}_R[[t]]$ satisfying $f(0,x)\equiv 0.$

0.2. Some definitions

Definition 0.1. For $s \ge 1$ we denote by $\mathcal{O}\{t\}_s$ (or $\mathcal{E}^{\{s\}}$) the set of all formal power series $\sum_{k\ge 0} a_k(x)t^k \in \mathcal{O}_R[[t]]$ satisfying the following: there are C > 0 and h > 0 such that

$$\max_{x\in D_R} |a_k(x)| \le Ch^k k!^{s-1}, \quad orall k\in \mathbb{N}.$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

0.2. Some definitions

Definition 0.1. For $s \ge 1$ we denote by $\mathcal{O}\{t\}_s$ (or $\mathcal{E}^{\{s\}}$) the set of all formal power series $\sum_{k\ge 0} a_k(x)t^k \in \mathcal{O}_R[[t]]$ satisfying the following: there are C > 0 and h > 0 such that

$$\max_{x\in D_R} |a_k(x)| \leq Ch^k k!^{s-1}, \quad orall k\in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $f(t,x) \in \mathcal{O}{t}_s$ (or $\in \mathcal{E}^{\{s\}}$), we say that f(t,x) is a formal power series in the formal Gevrey class of order s.

0.2. Some definitions

Definition 0.1. For $s \ge 1$ we denote by $\mathcal{O}\{t\}_s$ (or $\mathcal{E}^{\{s\}}$) the set of all formal power series $\sum_{k\ge 0} a_k(x)t^k \in \mathcal{O}_R[[t]]$ satisfying the following: there are C > 0 and h > 0 such that

$$\max_{x\in D_R} |a_k(x)| \leq Ch^k k!^{s-1}, \quad orall k\in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $f(t,x) \in \mathcal{O}\{t\}_s$ (or $\in \mathcal{E}^{\{s\}}$), we say that f(t,x) is a formal power series in the formal Gevrey class of order s.

Definition 0.2. Let $f(t,x) = \sum_{k\geq 0} a_k(x)t^k \in \mathcal{O}_R[[t]]$. We define the valuation of f(t,x) with respect to t by

$$val_t(f) = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \, ; \, a_k(x) \not\equiv 0\}$$

(if $a_k(x) \equiv 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $val_t(f) = \infty$).

0.3. Equation and assumption

Let $\gamma \ge 0$ and $1 \le m \le L$ be integers, and let us consider (E) $t^{\gamma} \partial_t^m u = F(t, x, \{\partial_t^j \partial_x^{\alpha} u\}_{j+|\alpha| \le L})$

0.3. Equation and assumption

Let $\gamma \geq 0$ and $1 \leq m \leq L$ be integers, and let us consider

$$({\rm E}) \hspace{1cm} t^{\gamma}\partial_t^m u = F\Big(t,x,\{\partial_t^j\partial_x^{\alpha}u\}_{j+|\alpha|\leq L}\Big)$$

under the following assumptions:

 c_1) F(t, x, z) is a holomorphic function on Ω , c_2) $\hat{u}(t, x) \in \mathcal{M}_R[[t]]$ is a formal solution of (E) where Ω is a neighborhood of the origin.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

0.3. Equation and assumption

Let $\gamma \geq 0$ and $1 \leq m \leq L$ be integers, and let us consider

$$({\rm E}) \hspace{1cm} t^{\gamma}\partial_t^m u = F\Big(t,x,\{\partial_t^j\partial_x^{\alpha}u\}_{j+|\alpha|\leq L}\Big)$$

under the following assumptions:

 c_1) F(t, x, z) is a holomorphic function on Ω , c_2) $\hat{u}(t, x) \in \mathcal{M}_R[[t]]$ is a formal solution of (E) where Ω is a neighborhood of the origin. We set:

$$k_{j,lpha}=val_t\left(rac{\partial F}{\partial z_{j,lpha}}(t,x,D\hat{u}(t,x))
ight), \;\; D\hat{u}=\{\partial_t^j\partial_x^lpha\hat{u}\}_{j+|lpha|\leq L},$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

and suppose

$$c_3) \qquad \left\{ egin{array}{ll} k_{j,lpha} \geq \gamma - m + j, & ext{if } |lpha| = 0, \ k_{j,lpha} \geq \gamma - m + j + 1, & ext{if } |lpha| > 0. \end{array}
ight.$$

0.4. Maillet type theorem Then, we have the following result:

Theorem 0.3 (Gérard-Tahara). Suppose the conditions c_1), c_2) and c_3): then, the formal solution $\hat{u}(t, x)$ in c_2) satisfies

$$\hat{u}(t,x)\in\mathcal{O}\{t\}_s$$
 (or $\in\mathcal{E}^{\{s\}})$ for any $\ s\geq s_0$

where

$$s_0 = 1 + \max \Bigg[0, \, \max_{|lpha| > 0} \Bigl(rac{j+|lpha|-m}{k_{j,lpha}\!-\!\gamma\!+\!m\!-\!j} \Bigr) \, \Bigg].$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

(Essentially, the proof was given in the book of Gérard-Tahara.)

Part II Gevrey regularity in time in the real domain

<□> < @> < E> < E> E 9900

In this PART II, I will consider the equation

$$\begin{array}{ll} {({\sf E})} & t^\gamma \partial_t^m u = F\Big(t,x,\Big\{\partial_t^j\partial_x^\alpha u\Big\}_{j+|\alpha|\leq L,j< m}\Big) \\ & \text{where } \gamma\geq 0 \text{ and } L\geq m\geq 1 \end{array}$$

<□> < @> < E> < E> E 9900

in Gevrey classes,

In this PART II, I will consider the equation

in Gevrey classes, and give an answer to the following problem on time regularity:

Problem. Let $u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T], \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V))$ (with $\sigma \geq 1$) be a solution of (E); then can we have the property:

$$u(t,x)\in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}([0,T]\times V)$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

for some $s \ge 1$?

The plan of part II is as follows:

- ▶ 1. Notations, Definitions of Gevrey classes, etc
- ► 2. Problem and examples
- ▶ 3. Main theorems
 - sufficient condition for time regularity -

<ロト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 1 の 0 0 0</p>

▶ 4. Necessity of the condition

$\S1$. Notations, definitions, etc

・ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三 ・ のへぐ

1.1. Notations

$$\begin{array}{ll} t \ \ \text{the time variable in} & \mathbb{R}_t, \\ x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \ \ \text{the space variables in} & \mathbb{R}_x^n, \\ \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots\}, & \mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, \ldots\} \\ \alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n, & |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n, \\ \partial_x = (\partial_{x_1}, \ldots, \partial_{x_n}) \ \ \text{with} \quad \partial_{x_i} = \partial/\partial x_i \ (i = 1, \ldots, n), \\ \partial_x^{\alpha} = \partial_{x_1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial_{x_n}^{\alpha_n}. \end{array}$$

・ロト・(中・・中・・中・・日・ うへの)

1.2. Functions in Gevrey class (1)

Let $\sigma \ge 1$ and V be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n_x . (1) We denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V)$ the set of all functions $f(x) \in C^{\infty}(V)$ satisfying the following: for any compact subset K of V there are C > 0 and h > 0 such that

$$\max_{x\in K} \left|\partial_x^lpha f(x)
ight| \leq Ch^{|lpha|} |lpha|!^\sigma, \quad orall lpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

1.2. Functions in Gevrey class (1)

Let $\sigma \ge 1$ and V be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n_x . (1) We denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V)$ the set of all functions $f(x) \in C^{\infty}(V)$ satisfying the following: for any compact subset K of V there are C > 0 and h > 0 such that

$$\max_{x\in K} \left|\partial_x^lpha f(x)
ight| \leq Ch^{|lpha|} |lpha|!^\sigma, \quad orall lpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

A function in $\mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V)$ is called a function of Gevrey class of order σ .

1.2. Functions in Gevrey class (1)

Let $\sigma \ge 1$ and V be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n_x . (1) We denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V)$ the set of all functions $f(x) \in C^{\infty}(V)$ satisfying the following: for any compact subset K of V there are C > 0 and h > 0 such that

$$\max_{x\in K} \left|\partial_x^lpha f(x)
ight| \leq Ch^{|lpha|} |lpha|!^\sigma, \hspace{1em} orall lpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

A function in $\mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V)$ is called a function of Gevrey class of order σ . If $1 < s_1 < s_2 < \infty$ we have

$$\mathcal{A}(V) = \mathcal{E}^{\{1\}}(V) \subset \mathcal{E}^{\{s_1\}}(V) \subset \mathcal{E}^{\{s_2\}}(V) \subset C^{\infty}(V).$$

By this, we can say that functions in $\mathcal{E}^{\{s_1\}}(V)$ is more regular than those in $\mathcal{E}^{\{s_2\}}(V)$.

・ロト ・ 西 ト ・ 田 ト ・ 日 ・ うへつ

1.3. Functions in Gevrey class (2)

(2) We denote by $C^{\infty}([0,T], \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V))$ the set of all infinitely differentiable functions in $t \in [0,T]$ with values in $\mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V)$ equipped with the usual local convex topology.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(2) We denote by $C^{\infty}([0,T], \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V))$ the set of all infinitely differentiable functions in $t \in [0,T]$ with values in $\mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V)$ equipped with the usual local convex topology.

It is easy to see that $C^{\infty}([0,T], \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V))$ is the set of all functions $u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T] \times V)$ satisfying the following: for any compact subset K of V and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $C_k > 0$ and $h_k > 0$ such that

$$\max_{[0,T] imes K} \left| \partial_t^k \partial_x^lpha u(t,x)
ight| \leq C_k h_k^{|lpha|} |lpha|!^\sigma, \hspace{1em} orall lpha \in \mathbb{N}^n.$$

1.4. Functions in Gevrey class (3)

(3) Let $s \geq 1$: we denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}([0,T] \times V)$ the set of all functions $u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T] \times V)$ satisfying the following: for any compact subset K of V there are C > 0 and h > 0 such that

$$\max_{[0,T] imes K} \left| \partial_t^k \partial_x^lpha u(t,x)
ight| \leq C h^{k+|lpha|} k!^s |lpha|!^\sigma, \ orall (k,lpha) \in \mathbb{N} imes \mathbb{N}^n.$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

1.4. Functions in Gevrey class (3)

(3) Let $s \ge 1$: we denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}([0,T] \times V)$ the set of all functions $u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T] \times V)$ satisfying the following: for any compact subset K of V there are C > 0 and h > 0 such that

$$\max_{[0,T] imes K} \left| \partial_t^k \partial_x^lpha u(t,x)
ight| \leq C h^{k+|lpha|} k!^s |lpha|!^\sigma, \ orall (k,lpha) \in \mathbb{N} imes \mathbb{N}^n.$$

This means that u(t, x) is a function of the Gevrey class of order s in t and of the Gevrey class of order σ in x. We often write $\mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}([0,T] \times V) = \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma,\sigma\}}([0,T] \times V)$.

1.4. Functions in Gevrey class (3)

(3) Let $s \ge 1$: we denote by $\mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}([0,T] \times V)$ the set of all functions $u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T] \times V)$ satisfying the following: for any compact subset K of V there are C > 0 and h > 0 such that

$$\max_{[0,T] imes K} \left| \partial_t^k \partial_x^lpha u(t,x)
ight| \leq C h^{k+|lpha|} k!^s |lpha|!^\sigma, \ orall (k,lpha) \in \mathbb{N} imes \mathbb{N}^n.$$

This means that u(t, x) is a function of the Gevrey class of order s in t and of the Gevrey class of order σ in x. We often write $\mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}([0,T] \times V) = \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma,\sigma\}}([0,T] \times V)$.

The following is clear:

$$\mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}([0,T] imes V)\subset C^\infty([0,T],\mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V)).$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ つ へ つ ・

\S **2.** Problem and examples

<□> < @> < E> < E> E 9900

2.1. Equation and problem

From now, I will consider the following nonlinear partial differential equation

$$({\rm E}) \qquad t^{\gamma} \partial_t^m u = F\Big(t, x, \{\partial_t^j \partial_x^{\alpha} u\}_{j+|\alpha| \leq L, j < m}\Big)$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ and $L \geq m \geq 1$ are integers, and $F(t, x, \{z_{j,\alpha}\}_{j+|\alpha| \leq L, j < m})$ is a suitable function in a Gevrey class.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへで

2.1. Equation and problem

From now, I will consider the following nonlinear partial differential equation

$$(\mathrm{E}) \qquad t^{\gamma} \partial_t^m u = F\Big(t, x, \{\partial_t^j \partial_x^{\alpha} u\}_{j+|\alpha| \leq L, j < m}\Big)$$

where $\gamma \geq 0$ and $L \geq m \geq 1$ are integers, and $F(t, x, \{z_{j,\alpha}\}_{j+|\alpha| \leq L, j < m})$ is a suitable function in a Gevrey class. And, we will consider the following problem on Gevrey regularity in time:

Problem 1.1. Let $u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T], \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V))$ be a solution of (E); can we have the result

$$u(t,x)\in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}([0,T] imes V)$$

for some $s \ge 1$?

2.2. Example (1)

Let us give three examples.

Example 2.1. Let us consider the periodic KdV equation:

$$(2.1) \quad \partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + 6 u \partial_x u = 0, \ u(0,x) = arphi(x) \ ext{on } \mathbb{T}$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is an analytic function on the torus \mathbb{T} .

2.2. Example (1)

Let us give three examples.

Example 2.1. Let us consider the periodic KdV equation:

$$(2.1) \qquad \partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + 6 u \partial_x u = 0, \ \ u(0,x) = arphi(x) \ \ ext{on } \mathbb{T}$$

where $\varphi(x)$ is an analytic function on the torus \mathbb{T} . The following results are known:

(1) This problem (2.1) is well-posed in $H^{s}(\mathbb{T})$ for $s \gg 1$. (2) Gorsky-Himonas showed:

$$u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}((-\delta,\delta), \mathcal{E}^{\{1\}}(\mathbb{T})).$$

(3) Hannah-Himonas-Petronilho showed:

$$u(t,x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{3,1\}}((-\delta,\delta) imes \mathbb{T}).$$

The proof of (3) just gives an answer to our problem in the KdV case.

2.3. Example (2)

Example 2.2. Let a>0, $k\in\mathbb{N}^*$ and let us consider

(2.2)
$$(t\partial_t + a)^2 u - t^k \partial_x^2 u = f(t, x).$$

2.3. Example (2)

Example 2.2. Let a > 0, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and let us consider

(2.2)
$$(t\partial_t + a)^2 u - t^k \partial_x^2 u = f(t, x).$$

The following results are known: (1) (2.2) is uniquely solvable in $C^{\infty}([0,T], \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}))$ for any $\sigma \geq 1$. (2) In the case $f(t,x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}([0,T] \times \mathbb{R})$, we have the time regularity:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} u(t,x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma,\sigma\}}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}), & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ u(t,x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{2\sigma-1,\sigma\}}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R}), & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

The result (2.3) gives an answer to our problem in the case (2.2).

2.4. Example (3)

Example 2.3. Recently, Kinoshita-Taglialatela (Arkiv för Matematik, 49 (2011), 109-127) discussed time regularity problem for the following hyperbolic Cauchy problem:

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$(2.4) \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t^2 u - a(t) \partial_x^2 u = b(t) \partial_t u + c(t) \partial_x u, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), \ \partial_t u(0,x = u_1(x). \end{array} \right.$$

2.4. Example (3)

Example 2.3. Recently, Kinoshita-Taglialatela (Arkiv för Matematik, 49 (2011), 109-127) discussed time regularity problem for the following hyperbolic Cauchy problem:

(2.4)
$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^2 u - a(t)\partial_x^2 u = b(t)\partial_t u + c(t)\partial_x u, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), \ \partial_t u(0,x) = u_1(x). \end{cases}$$

And they showed under a suitable assumption that the problem (2.4) is well-posed in $\mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$ for $0 \leq \sigma - 1 \leq (s-1)/s$.

2.4. Example (3)

Example 2.3. Recently, Kinoshita-Taglialatela (Arkiv för Matematik, 49 (2011), 109-127) discussed time regularity problem for the following hyperbolic Cauchy problem:

$$(2.4) \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t^2 u - a(t) \partial_x^2 u = b(t) \partial_t u + c(t) \partial_x u, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), \ \partial_t u(0,x = u_1(x). \end{array} \right.$$

And they showed under a suitable assumption that the problem (2.4) is well-posed in $\mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}([0,T]\times\mathbb{R})$ for $0 \leq \sigma - 1 \leq (s-1)/s$.

This result can be improved to $1 \le \sigma \le s$ by solving our problem on time regularity.

By looking at these examples, I have come to think that the mechanism of Gevrey regularity in time is very close to that of Maillet type theorem in the book of Gérard-Tahara.

And so, by applying the argument in the proof of Maillet type theorem we will be able to solve time regularity problem. \S 3. Main theorems - sufficient condition for time regularity -

▲ロト ▲園 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ● ○ ○ ○ ○

3.1. Equation and assumptions

We will consider

(E)
$$t^{\gamma}\partial_t^m u = F(t, x, Du), Du = \{\partial_t^j \partial_x^{\alpha} u\}_{\substack{j+|\alpha| \leq L\\j < m}}$$

・ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三 のへ(?)

3.1. Equation and assumptions

We will consider

$$(\mathrm{E}) \qquad t^{\gamma}\partial_t^m u = F\Big(t,x,Du\Big), \ \ Du = \{\partial_t^j\partial_x^\alpha u\}_{\substack{j+|\alpha|\leq L\\j< m}}$$

Let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_z^d$, and let F(t, x, z) be a C^{∞} function on Ω . Let $s_1 \ge 1$, $\sigma \ge 1$ and $s_2 \ge 1$, let T > 0, and let V be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n .

3.1. Equation and assumptions

We will consider

$$(\mathrm{E}) \qquad t^{\gamma}\partial_t^m u = F\Big(t,x,Du\Big), \ \ Du = \{\partial_t^j\partial_x^\alpha u\}_{\substack{j+|\alpha|\leq L\\j< m}}$$

Let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^n \times \mathbb{R}_z^d$, and let F(t, x, z) be a C^{∞} function on Ω . Let $s_1 \ge 1$, $\sigma \ge 1$ and $s_2 \ge 1$, let T > 0, and let V be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . The main assumptions are as follows.

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{a}_1) \ \gamma \geq 0 \ \text{and} \ L \geq m \geq 1 \ \text{are integers.} \\ \mathbf{a}_2) \ s_1 \geq 1 \ \text{and} \ \sigma \geq s_2 \geq 1 \ \text{are real numbers.} \\ \mathbf{a}_3) \ F(t,x,z) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{s_1,\sigma,s_2\}}(\Omega). \\ \mathbf{a}_4) \ u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T], \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V)) \ \text{is a solution of (E).} \end{array}$$

3.2. Additional assumption

Definition 3.1. For $f(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T] \times V)$ we define the order of the zero of f(t,x) at t=0 by

 $ord_t(f,V) = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}; (\partial_t^k f)(0,x) \not\equiv 0 \text{ on } V\}.$

3.2. Additional assumption

Definition 3.1. For $f(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T] \times V)$ we define the order of the zero of f(t,x) at t=0 by

 $ord_t(f,V) = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}; (\partial_t^k f)(0,x) \not\equiv 0 \text{ on } V\}.$

Under the conditions $a_1) \sim a_4)$ we set

$$k_{j,lpha}=ord_t\Big(rac{\partial F}{\partial z_{j,lpha}}(t,x,Du(t,x)),V\Big).$$

And we suppose

$${
m a}_5) \qquad \left\{ egin{array}{ll} k_{j,lpha} \geq \gamma - m + j, & {
m if} \; |lpha| = 0, \ k_{j,lpha} \geq \gamma - m + j + 1, \; \; {
m if} \; |lpha| > 0. \end{array}
ight.$$

3.3. Main theorem (1)

The sufficient condition for the time regularity is as follows:

Theorem 3.2 (Gevrey regularity in time). Suppose the conditions $a_1 > a_5$: then, we have

$$u(t,x)\in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}([0,T] imes V)$$

for any $s \geq \max\{s_0, s_1, s_2\}$ where

$$s_0 = 1 + \max \Bigg[0, \, \max_{|lpha|>0} \Bigl(rac{j+\sigma|lpha|-m}{\min\{k_{j,lpha}-\gamma+m-j,\,m-j\}} \Bigr) \, \Bigg].$$

<ロト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 ト 1 の 0 0 0</p>

3.4. In the case of examples

Example 1. In the case of KdV equation:

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + 6 u \partial_x u = 0, \ u(0,x) = arphi(x) \ ext{on } \mathbb{T}$$

we have $\gamma = 0$, m = 1, L = 3 and $s_0 = 3\sigma$.

3.4. In the case of examples

Example 1. In the case of KdV equation:

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + 6 u \partial_x u = 0, \;\; u(0,x) = arphi(x) \;\; {
m on} \; {\mathbb T}$$

we have $\gamma = 0$, m = 1, L = 3 and $s_0 = 3\sigma$.

Example 2. In the case

$$(t\partial_t + a)^2 u - t^k \partial_x^2 u = f(t, x)$$

we have $\gamma = 2$, m = 2, L = 2 and $s_0 = 1 + \frac{2\sigma - 2}{\min\{k, 2\}} = \begin{cases} \sigma, & \text{if } k \ge 2, \\ 2\sigma - 1, & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$

<ロト < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 0 < 0</p>

3.4. In the case of examples

Example 1. In the case of KdV equation:

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u + 6 u \partial_x u = 0, \;\; u(0,x) = arphi(x) \;\; {
m on} \; {\mathbb T}$$

we have $\gamma = 0$, m = 1, L = 3 and $s_0 = 3\sigma$.

Example 2. In the case

$$(t\partial_t + a)^2 u - t^k \partial_x^2 u = f(t, x)$$

we have $\gamma = 2$, m = 2, L = 2 and $s_0 = 1 + \frac{2\sigma - 2}{\min\{k, 2\}} = \begin{cases} \sigma, & \text{if } k \ge 2, \\ 2\sigma - 1, & \text{if } k = 1. \end{cases}$

Example 3. In the case

$$\partial_t^2 u - t^k \partial_x^2 u = b(t) \partial_t u + c(t) \partial_x u$$

we have $\gamma=0$, m=2, L=2 and $s_0=\sigma.$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆ ○ ◆

3.5. Formal solution

If we have a solution $u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T], \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V))$, by the formal Taylor expansion at t = 0 we have a formal solution

$$\hat{u}(t,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k(x) t^k \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V)[[t]].$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ ― 臣 … のへで

3.5. Formal solution

If we have a solution $u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T], \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V))$, by the formal Taylor expansion at t = 0 we have a formal solution

$$\hat{u}(t,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k(x) t^k \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V)[[t]].$$

We will write $\hat{u}(t,x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}(\{t\}; V)$ if the following property holds: for any compact subset K of V there are C > 0 and h > 0 such that

 $\max_{x\in K} |\partial_x^lpha u_k(x)| \leq Ch^{k+|lpha|} k!^{s-1} |lpha|!^\sigma, \hspace{1em} orall (k, lpha) \in \mathbb{N} imes \mathbb{N}^n.$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

3.5. Formal solution

If we have a solution $u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T], \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V))$, by the formal Taylor expansion at t = 0 we have a formal solution

$$\hat{u}(t,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k(x) t^k \in \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V)[[t]].$$

We will write $\hat{u}(t, x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}(\{t\}; V)$ if the following property holds: for any compact subset K of V there are C > 0 and h > 0 such that

 $\max_{x\in K} |\partial_x^lpha u_k(x)| \leq Ch^{k+|lpha|} k!^{s-1} |lpha|!^\sigma, \hspace{1em} orall (k, lpha) \in \mathbb{N} imes \mathbb{N}^n.$

By Theorem 3.2 we have the result: $\hat{u}(t,x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}(\{t\}; V)$ for any $s \ge \max\{s_0, s_1, s_2\}$.

But, in the case of formal solutions we have more:

Theorem 3.3 (Maillet type theorem). Suppose the conditions $a_1 > a_5$: then, the formal Taylor expansion $\hat{u}(t, x)$ satisfies $\hat{u}(t, x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}(\{t\}; V)$ for any $s \geq \max\{s_0^*, s_1, s_2\}$ with

$$s_0^* = 1 + \max \Bigg[0, \ \max_{|lpha| > 0} \Bigl(rac{j+\sigma |lpha| - m}{k_{j,lpha} - \gamma + m - j} \Bigr) \, \Bigg].$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

But, in the case of formal solutions we have more:

Theorem 3.3 (Maillet type theorem). Suppose the conditions $a_1 > a_5$: then, the formal Taylor expansion $\hat{u}(t, x)$ satisfies $\hat{u}(t, x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}(\{t\}; V)$ for any $s \geq \max\{s_0^*, s_1, s_2\}$ with

$$s_0^* = 1 + \max \Bigg[0, \, \max_{|lpha| > 0} \Bigl(rac{j+\sigma |lpha| - m}{k_{j,lpha} - \gamma + m - j} \Bigr) \, \Bigg].$$

We note that $s_0^* \leq s_0$ holds: in general, the time regularity in the case of formal solutions is better than the case of actual solutions.

3.7. Example

Example. Let $\sigma > 1$. We consider

$$(t\partial_t + a)^2 u - t^k \partial_x^2 u = f(t, x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{1,\sigma\}}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}):$$

let $u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T], \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}))$ be the unique solution and let $\hat{u}(t,x)$ be the formal Taylor expansion of u(t,x) at t = 0. Then we have:

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

3.7. Example

Example. Let $\sigma > 1$. We consider

$$(t\partial_t+a)^2u-t^k\partial_x^2u=f(t,x)\in \mathcal{E}^{\{1,\sigma\}}([0,T] imes\mathbb{R}):$$

let $u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T], \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}))$ be the unique solution and let $\hat{u}(t,x)$ be the formal Taylor expansion of u(t,x) at t = 0. Then we have:

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

$$(1) \quad u(t,x)\in \left\{egin{array}{ll} \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma,\sigma\}}([0,T] imes\mathbb{R}), & ext{if }k\geq 2, \ \mathcal{E}^{\{2\sigma-1,\sigma\}}([0,T] imes\mathbb{R}), & ext{if }k=1. \end{array}
ight.$$

3.7. Example

Example. Let $\sigma > 1$. We consider

$$(t\partial_t+a)^2u-t^k\partial_x^2u=f(t,x)\in \mathcal{E}^{\{1,\sigma\}}([0,T] imes\mathbb{R}):$$

let $u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T], \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(\mathbb{R}))$ be the unique solution and let $\hat{u}(t,x)$ be the formal Taylor expansion of u(t,x) at t = 0. Then we have:

$$(1) \quad u(t,x)\in \left\{egin{array}{ll} \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma,\sigma\}}([0,T] imes\mathbb{R}), & ext{if } k\geq 2, \ \mathcal{E}^{\{2\sigma-1,\sigma\}}([0,T] imes\mathbb{R}), & ext{if } k=1. \end{array}
ight.$$

(2) $\hat{u}(t,x)\in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}(\{t\},\mathbb{R})$ for

$$s=1+rac{2\sigma-2}{k}\left\{egin{array}{cc} <\sigma, & ext{if }k\geq 3,\ =\sigma, & ext{if }k=2,\ =2\sigma-1, & ext{if }k=1. \end{array}
ight.$$

$\S 4.$ Necessity of the condition

<□> < @> < E> < E> E 9900

4.1. Fuchsian case (1)

Let us consideer the Fuchasian partial differential equation:

・ロト < 団ト < 三ト < 三ト < 三 のへ(?)

$$(4.1) C(t\partial_t)u = F(t,x,\Theta u)$$

where
$$\Theta u = \{(t\partial_t)^j \partial_x^{\alpha} u\}_{j+|\alpha| \leq L, j < m}$$
 and
 $C(\lambda) = \lambda^m + c_{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} + \dots + c_1\lambda + c_0.$

4.1. Fuchsian case (1)

Let us consideer the Fuchasian partial differential equation:

(4.1)
$$C(t\partial_t)u = F(t, x, \Theta u)$$

where $\Theta u = \{(t\partial_t)^j \partial_x^{\alpha} u\}_{j+|\alpha| \leq L, j < m}$ and $C(\lambda) = \lambda^m + c_{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} + \dots + c_1\lambda + c_0$. We suppose:

$$egin{aligned} & \mathbf{b_1}) \ c_i \geq 0 \ (i=0,1,\ldots,m-1); \ & \mathbf{b_2}) \ F(t,x,z) \gg 0 \ (ext{at} \ (t,x,z) = (0,0,0)), ext{ and } \ & \liminf_{|eta| o \infty} igg(rac{F^{(1,eta,0)}(0,0,0)}{|eta|!^\sigma} igg)^{1/|eta|} > 0; \end{aligned}$$

b₃) u(t,x) is a solution satisfying u(0,x) = 0, and $\frac{\partial F}{\partial z_{j,\alpha}}(t,x,\Theta u)\Big|_{t=0} \equiv 0$ on V for any (j,α) .

4.2. Fuchsian case (2)

In this case, our indices s_0 and s_0^* are written as

$$s_0 = 1 + \maxiggl[0, \ \max_{ert lpha ert > 0} \Bigl(rac{j+\sigma ert lpha ert - m}{\min\{q_{j,lpha}, \ m-j\}} \Bigr) \, iggr], \ s_0^* = 1 + \maxiggl[0, \ \max_{ert lpha ert > 0} \Bigl(rac{j+\sigma ert lpha ert - m}{q_{j,lpha}} \Bigr) \, iggr]$$

with $q_{j,\alpha} = ord_t((\partial F/\partial z_{j,\alpha})(t,x,\Theta u(t,x)),V).$

4.2. Fuchsian case (2)

In this case, our indices s_0 and s_0^* are written as

$$s_0 = 1 + \max \Big[0, \max_{ert lpha ert > 0} \Big(rac{j + \sigma ert lpha ert - m}{\min \{q_{j, lpha}, m - j\}} \Big) \Big], \ s_0^* = 1 + \max \Big[0, \max_{ert lpha ert > 0} \Big(rac{j + \sigma ert lpha ert - m}{q_{j, lpha}} \Big) \Big]$$

with $q_{j,\alpha} = ord_t((\partial F/\partial z_{j,\alpha})(t,x,\Theta u(t,x)),V).$ Then we have the expression

$$rac{\partial F}{\partial z_{j,lpha}}(t,x,\Theta u(t,x))=a_{j,lpha}(x)t^{q_{j,lpha}}+O(t^{q_{j,lpha}+1})$$

for some $a_{j,lpha}(x)\gg 0$ (at x=0). We set

$$\Lambda(+) = \{(j, \alpha) \in \Lambda \, ; \, a_{j, \alpha}(0) > 0, |\alpha| > 0 \}.$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

4.3. Necessity of the condition in Fuchsian case

Then, we have the necessity of the condition:

Theorem 4.1 (Fuchsian case). If $u(t, x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}([0, T] \times V)$ or $\hat{u}(t, x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}(\{t\}; V)$ holds for some $s \geq 1$, we have

$$s \geq 1 + \max \Big[0, \max_{(j, lpha) \in \Lambda(+)} \Big(rac{j + \sigma |lpha| - m}{q_{j, lpha}} \Big) \Big].$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

4.3. Necessity of the condition in Fuchsian case

Then, we have the necessity of the condition:

Theorem 4.1 (Fuchsian case). If $u(t, x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}([0, T] \times V)$ or $\hat{u}(t, x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}(\{t\}; V)$ holds for some $s \geq 1$, we have

$$s \geq 1 + \max \Big[0, \max_{(j, lpha) \in \Lambda(+)} \Big(rac{j + \sigma |lpha| - m}{q_{j, lpha}} \Big) \Big].$$

Recall that the sufficient condition is $s \ge s_0$ or $s \ge s_0^*$ with

$$s_0 = 1 + \maxiggl[0, \max_{|lpha|>0} \Bigl(rac{j+\sigma|lpha|-m}{\min\{q_{j,lpha}, \, m-j\}}\Bigr)iggr], \ s_0^* = 1 + \maxiggl[0, \max_{|lpha|>0} \Bigl(rac{j+\sigma|lpha|-m}{q_{j,lpha}}\Bigr)iggr].$$

4.4. Non-singular case (1)

Let us consider the initial value problem

(4.2)
$$\begin{cases} \left. \partial_t^m u = F(t, x, Du) \right. \text{ on } [0, T] \times V, \\ \left. \left. \partial_t^i u \right|_{t=0} = \varphi_i(x) \right. \text{ on } V, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \end{cases}$$

where $Du = \{\partial_t^j \partial_x^{lpha} u\}_{j+|lpha| \leq L, j < m}.$

4.4. Non-singular case (1)

Let us consider the initial value problem

(4.2)
$$\begin{cases} \left. \partial_t^m u = F(t, x, Du) \right. \text{ on } [0, T] \times V, \\ \left. \left. \partial_t^i u \right|_{t=0} = \varphi_i(x) \right. \text{ on } V, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \end{cases}$$

where $Du = \{\partial_t^j \partial_x^{\alpha} u\}_{j+|\alpha| \le L, j < m}$. In this case, our indices s_0 and s_0^* are written as

$$s_0 = 1 + \maxigg[0, \ \max_{ert lpha ert > 0} \Bigl(rac{j+\sigma ert lpha ert - m}{m-j} \Bigr) \, igg], \ s_0^* = 1 + \maxigg[0, \ \max_{ert lpha ert > 0} \Bigl(rac{j+\sigma ert lpha ert - m}{k_{j,lpha} + m-j} \Bigr) \, igg].$$

where $k_{j,\alpha} = ord_t((\partial F/\partial z_{j,\alpha})(t,x,Du(t,x)),V).$

4.4. Non-singular case (2)

We set
$$\Lambda = \{(j, \alpha) ; j + |\alpha| \leq L, j < m\}$$
,
 $\varphi_m(x) = F(0, x, \{\varphi_j^{(\alpha)}(x)\}_{(j,\alpha)\in\Lambda}), \text{ and}$
 $a(x) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \Big(0, x, \{\varphi_j^{(\alpha)}(x)\}_{(j,\alpha)\in\Lambda}\Big)$
 $+ \sum_{(j,\alpha)\in\Lambda} \frac{\partial F}{\partial z_{j,\alpha}} \Big(0, x, \{\varphi_j^{(\alpha)}(x)\}_{(j,\alpha)\in\Lambda}\Big)\varphi_{j+1}^{(\alpha)}(x).$

We assume:

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{c_1}) \ F(t,x,z) \gg 0 \ ({\rm at} \ (t,x,z) = (0,0,p)); \\ \mathbf{c_2}) \ \varphi_i(x) \gg 0 \ ({\rm at} \ x=0), \ i=0,1,\ldots,m-1; \\ \mathbf{c_3}) \ \liminf_{|\beta| \to \infty} (a^{(\beta)}(0)/|\beta|!^{\sigma})^{1/|\beta|} > 0. \end{array}$$

4.6. Necessity of the condition in non-singular case

Let
$$u(t,x) \in C^{\infty}([0,T], \mathcal{E}^{\{\sigma\}}(V))$$
 be a solution of (4.2).
We set $k_{j,\alpha} = ord_t((\partial F/\partial z_{j,\alpha})(t,x,Du(t,x)),V)$: then

$$rac{\partial F}{\partial z_{j,lpha}}(t,x,Du(t,x))=a_{j,lpha}(x)t^{k_{j,lpha}}+O(t^{k_{j,lpha}+1})$$

for some $a_{j,lpha}(x)\gg 0$ (at x=0). We set

$$\Lambda(+)=\{(j,lpha)\in\Lambda\,;\,a_{j,lpha}(0)>0,|lpha|>0\}.$$

<ロト < 団 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Theorem 4.2 (Non-singular case). If $u(t,x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}([0,T] \times V)$ or $\hat{u}(t,x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}(\{t\};V)$ holds for some $s \geq 1$, we have

$$s \geq 1 + \max\left[0, \max_{(j, lpha) \in \Lambda(+)} \Bigl(rac{j+\sigma |lpha| - m}{k_{j, lpha} + m - j} \Bigr)
ight].$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Theorem 4.2 (Non-singular case). If $u(t,x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}([0,T] \times V)$ or $\hat{u}(t,x) \in \mathcal{E}^{\{s,\sigma\}}(\{t\};V)$ holds for some $s \geq 1$, we have

$$s \geq 1 + \max\left[0, \max_{(j,lpha) \in \Lambda(+)} \Bigl(rac{j+\sigma |lpha| - m}{k_{j,lpha} + m - j} \Bigr)
ight].$$

Recall that the sufficient condition is $s \geq s_0$ or $s \geq s_0^*$ with

$$s_0 = 1 + \maxiggl[0, \ \max_{ert lpha ert > 0} \Bigl(rac{j+\sigma ert lpha ert - m}{m-j} \Bigr) \, iggr], \ s_0^* = 1 + \maxiggl[0, \ \max_{ert lpha ert > 0} \Bigl(rac{j+\sigma ert lpha ert - m}{k_{j,lpha} + m-j} \Bigr) \, iggr].$$

where $k_{j,\alpha} = ord_t((\partial F/\partial z_{j,\alpha})(t,x,Du(t,x)),V).$