
Nonlinear Cauchy Problems with Small Analytic Data

Hideshi YAMANE

Kwansei Gakuin University, Japan

A Simple Example

Cauchy problem of ODE:

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}u = 6u^2, \quad u(0) = \varepsilon^2, \quad u'(0) = 2\varepsilon^3.$$

Solution:

$$u(t) = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(1 - \varepsilon t)^2}.$$

Blow up at $t = 1/\varepsilon$.

If ε and the Cauchy data are SMALL, the solution exists for a LONG TIME,

because the nonlinear term is small and does not cause a trouble then.

Known Results

- Many results in the C^∞ category about nonlinear wave equations. see Hörmander's lecture note
- Gourdin-Mechab...Kirchhoff eq., m -th order equations, real analytic solutions
- D'Ancona-Spagnolo, Gourdin-Gramchev...hyperbolic eq., real analytic solutions
- Y...Proceedings of AMS 2006

We employ the method of Gourdin-Mechab.

It goes back (at least) to Wagschal.

- Peter Lax's series and Banach algebra, fixed point
- no hyperbolicity assumption (in the spirit of Cauchy-Kowalevsky)

Result 1 (sketched): **small data** \Rightarrow **long life**

$P(\partial_t, \partial_x) = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \partial_t \partial_j + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k p_{jk} \partial_j \partial_k$ constant coeff.

$$(CP1) \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - P(\partial_t, \partial_x))u = f_1(t; \underbrace{u}_X; \underbrace{\partial_t u, \nabla u}_Y; \underbrace{\nabla \partial_t u, \nabla^2 u}_Z), \\ u(0, x) = \varphi(x), \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = \psi(x), \end{cases}$$

f_1 is a bounded function in $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f_1(t; X; Y; Z) = \sum_{L \geq 4} a_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(t) X^\alpha Y^\beta Z^\gamma, \quad L = \alpha + 2|\beta| + 3|\gamma|.$$

$$\boxed{\text{EX.}} \quad u^4, \partial_t u \cdot \partial_1 u, u \cdot \partial_1 \partial_2 u$$

If $\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial^\alpha \varphi| \leq \varepsilon^{|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|!$, $\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial^\alpha \psi| \leq \varepsilon^{|\alpha|+2} |\alpha|!$,

then a real analytic solution exists for $t \leq \text{const.} \varepsilon^{-1}$.

Result 1 (complex version)

(CP1c): the complex version of (CP1)

f_1 is independent of t .

If the Cauchy data are small in the sense that

$$\sup_{x \in U} |\partial^\alpha \varphi| \leq \varepsilon^{|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|!$$

$$\sup_{x \in U} |\partial^\alpha \psi| \leq \varepsilon^{|\alpha|+2} |\alpha|!,$$

then a holomorphic solution uniquely exists for

$$|t| \leq \text{const} \cdot \varepsilon^{-1}.$$

Result 2 (sketched): small data \Rightarrow long life

$$P = P(\partial_x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k p_{jk} \partial_j \partial_k \text{ free from } \partial_t.$$

$$(\text{CP2}) \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - P(\partial_x))u = f_2(t, \underbrace{u}_X, \underbrace{\partial_t u}_Y, \underbrace{\nabla u}_Z, \underbrace{\nabla \partial_t u}_\Theta, \underbrace{\nabla^2 u}_\Xi), \\ u(0, x) = \varphi(x), \partial_t u(0, x) = \psi(x), \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(t, X, Y, Z, \Theta, \Xi) = \sum_{L_1 \geq 2, L_2 \geq 2} a_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda\mu}(t) X^\alpha Y^\beta Z^\gamma \Theta^\lambda \Xi^\mu,$$

$$L_1 = \alpha + |\gamma| + |\mu|, \quad L_2 = \beta + |\gamma| + 2|\lambda| + 2|\mu|.$$

f_2 is a bounded function in t .

If $\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial^\alpha \varphi| \leq \varepsilon^{|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|!$, $\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial^\alpha \psi| \leq \varepsilon^{|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|!$

then a real analytic solution exists for $t \leq \text{const.} \varepsilon^{-1}$.

\exists complex version

Result 3

The nonlinear term can include the complex conjugate of the unknown function.

The general statement is complicated. We only give an example here.

$$(\partial_t^2 - \Delta)u = |\nabla u|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j u \partial_j \bar{u}.$$

If $\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial^\alpha \varphi| \leq \varepsilon^{|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|!$, $\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial^\alpha \psi| \leq \varepsilon^{|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|!$
then a real analytic solution exists for $t \leq \text{const.} \varepsilon^{-1}$.

Result 4 and other topics

Result 4 We can deal with the case with linear part involving first order derivatives. Then the lifespan is the order of $\varepsilon^{-1/2}$.

Many other different cases could be studied.

- Gevrey class?
- Fuchsian PDE ($(t\partial_t)^j$ instead of ∂_t^j)?
- Goursat problem?
- m -th order equations?

Uniformly Analytic Functions

Ω : an open set $\subset \mathbb{R}_x^n$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

A function $\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega)$ is said to be **uniformly analytic**

$$\exists C > 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)| \leq C^{|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|!.$$

$A(\Omega)$ is the totality of such functions.

$$I_T =]-T, T[\subset \mathbb{R}_t.$$

$$\Omega_T := I_T \times \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_t \times \mathbb{R}_x^n.$$

$u(t, x) \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega_T)$ is an element of **$\mathcal{C}^k(T; A(\Omega))$** if:

(i) $\forall j \in \{0, \dots, k\}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \partial_t^j \partial^\alpha u \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega_T),$

(ii) $\forall T' \in]0, T[, \exists C = C_{T'} > 0, \forall j \in \{0, \dots, k\}, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n,$

$$\sup_{|t| \leq T', x \in \Omega} |\partial_t^j \partial^\alpha u(t, x)| \leq C^{|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|!.$$

Result 1 (detail): **small data** \Rightarrow **long life**

$$P(\partial_t, \partial_x) = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j \partial_t \partial_j + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k p_{jk} \partial_j \partial_k$$

$$(CP1) \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - P(\partial_t, \partial_x))u = f_1(t; \underbrace{u}_X; \underbrace{\partial_t u, \nabla u}_Y; \underbrace{\nabla \partial_t u, \nabla^2 u}_Z), \\ u(0, x) = \varphi(x), \quad \partial_t u(0, x) = \psi(x), \end{cases}$$

f_1 is a bounded function in $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$f_1(t; X; Y; Z) = \sum_{L \geq 4} a_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(t) X^\alpha Y^\beta Z^\gamma, \quad L = \alpha + 2|\beta| + 3|\gamma|.$$

For $\exists \delta > 0$ and $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$, we have —

$$0 < \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0:$$

$$\text{If } \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial^\alpha \varphi| \leq \varepsilon^{|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|!, \quad \sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial^\alpha \psi| \leq \varepsilon^{|\alpha|+2} |\alpha|!,$$

then a solution $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(T; A(\Omega))$ exists for $T = \delta/\varepsilon$.

Result 2 (detail): **small data** \Rightarrow **long life**

$P = P(\partial_x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k p_{jk} \partial_j \partial_k$ is free from ∂_t .

$$(CP2) \begin{cases} (\partial_t^2 - P(\partial_x))u = f_2(t, u, \partial_t u, \nabla u, \nabla \partial_t u, \nabla^2 u), \\ u(0, x) = \varphi(x), \partial_t u(0, x) = \psi(x), \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(t, X, Y, Z, \Theta, \Xi) = \sum_{L_1 \geq 2, L_2 \geq 2} a_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda\mu}(t) X^\alpha Y^\beta Z^\gamma \Theta^\lambda \Xi^\mu,$$

$$L_1 = \alpha + |\gamma| + |\mu|, \quad L_2 = \beta + |\gamma| + 2|\lambda| + 2|\mu|.$$

f_2 is a bounded function in t .

$\Rightarrow \exists \delta > 0$ and $\exists \varepsilon_0 > 0$: $0 < \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$:

If $\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial^\alpha \varphi| \leq \varepsilon^{|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|!$, $\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial^\alpha \psi| \leq \varepsilon^{|\alpha|+1} |\alpha|!$,

then a solution $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(T; A(\Omega))$ exists for $T = \delta/\varepsilon$.

Banach algebra $\mathcal{G}_{T,\zeta}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{C}^0(T; A(\Omega))$

$$\theta(X) = K^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} X^k / (k+1)^2, \quad K = 4\pi^2/3$$

Peter Lax's series $\theta^2 \ll \theta$ (majorant)

For $\zeta > 0$, a function $u(t, x)$ on Ω_T belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{T,\zeta}(\Omega)$ if:

for $\exists C > 0, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \forall t \in I_T,$

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial^\alpha u(t, x)| \leq C \zeta^{|\alpha|} D^{|\alpha|} \theta(|t|/T).$$

The norm $\|u\|$ is the infimum of such C 's.

$\mathcal{G}_{T,\zeta}(\Omega)$: a Banach algebra, a subspace of $\mathcal{C}^0(T; A(\Omega))$.

We shall construct $w := \partial_t^2 u \in \mathcal{G}_{T,\zeta}(\Omega) \subset \mathcal{C}^0(T; A(\Omega))$ and
get $u \in \mathcal{C}^2(T; A(\Omega))$. We shall work in $\mathcal{G}_{T,\zeta}(\Omega)$.

(CP1) reduced to a fixed point problem.

$$w(t, x) := \partial_t^2 u(t, x). \quad \text{Then } u = \partial_t^{-2} w + \varphi + t\psi, \quad \partial_t^{-1} := \int_0^t \cdot.$$
$$Qu := (u; \partial_t u, \nabla u; \nabla \partial_t u, \nabla^2 u),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1(w) := P(\underbrace{\partial_t^{-2} w + \varphi + t\psi}_u) + f_1(t; Q(\underbrace{\partial_t^{-2} w + \varphi + t\psi}_u)).$$

$\text{ord}(P\partial_t^{-2}) = \text{ord}(Q\partial_t^{-2}) = 0$ and, $P\varphi$ etc. are small

$$(CP1) \Leftrightarrow w = \mathcal{L}_1(w). \quad \text{FIXED POINT } w \text{ of } \mathcal{L}_1.$$

We have only to prove: \mathcal{L}_1 is a **CONTRACTION** from a closed ball of $\mathcal{G}_{T,\zeta}(\Omega)$ to itself.

Here $T = \delta/\varepsilon$, $\zeta = 2e^2\varepsilon$ ($\varepsilon > 0, 0 < \delta < 1$).

Estimates involving the Cauchy data

$t\psi$ in \mathcal{L}_1 can be estimated by **watching the exponents of ε** .

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\psi| \leq \boxed{\varepsilon^2}.$$

Multiplication by t , hence by T , makes $t\psi$ BIGGER.

If $\boxed{T = \text{const}/\varepsilon}$,

ε remains after cancellation and $\boxed{t\psi}$ is small enough.

Because of $\sup_{x \in \Omega} |\partial^\alpha \psi| \leq \varepsilon^{|\alpha|+2} |\alpha|!$, we have sufficiently many ε 's for $\partial_j(t\psi), \partial_j \partial_k(t\psi)$.

Estimate of $w = \partial_t^2 u$

We want to estimate

$$\mathcal{L}_1(w) := P(\partial_t^{-2}w + \varphi + t\psi) + f_1(t; Q(\partial_t^{-2}w + \varphi + t\psi)) .$$

$$Qu = (u; \partial_t u, \nabla u; \nabla \partial_t u, \nabla^2 u), \quad \text{ord } P = 2.$$

$P\partial_t^{-2}w, Q\partial_t^{-2}w$ involve quantities

like $\partial_j \partial_k \partial_t^{-2}w, \partial_j \partial_t^{-2}w, \partial_j \partial_t^{-1}w, \partial_t^{-1}w, \partial_t^{-2}w.$

«Wagschal» ⟨0th order operators are bounded.⟩

If $(k, \alpha) \in (-\mathbb{N}) \times \mathbb{N}^n$ satisfies $\text{ord}(\partial_t^k \partial^\alpha) = k + |\alpha| \leq 0$, then $\partial_t^k \partial^\alpha$ is a bounded operator from $\mathcal{G}_{T, \zeta}(\Omega)$ to itself.

Its norm $\leq C_{k, |\alpha|} T^{-k} \zeta^{|\alpha|}$ for $\exists C_{k, |\alpha|} > 0$.

CHOOSE A GOOD PAIR T, ζ .

Combining the previous estimates

$\exists C_1, \exists C_2, \exists \varepsilon, \exists \delta$ ($\varepsilon > 0, 0 < \delta < 1$) such that for a good pair $\zeta = 2e^2\varepsilon, T = \delta/\varepsilon$, we have

$$\|P\partial_t^{-2}w\| \leq C_1\delta\|w\|, \quad \|P(\varphi + t\psi)\| \leq C_2\varepsilon^3,$$

$$\|\partial_t^{-2}w + \varphi + t\psi\| \leq C_{-2,0}\varepsilon^{-2}\|w\| + 2K\varepsilon,$$

$$\|\partial_t(\partial_t^{-2}w + \varphi + t\psi)\| \leq C_{-1,0}\varepsilon^{-1}\|w\| + K\varepsilon^2,$$

$$\|\partial_j(\partial_t^{-2}w + \varphi + t\psi)\| \leq 2e^2C_{-2,1}\varepsilon^{-1}\|w\| + 2K\varepsilon^2,$$

$$\|\partial_t\partial_j(\partial_t^{-2}w + \varphi + t\psi)\| \leq 2e^2C_{-1,1}\|w\| + K\varepsilon^3,$$

$$\|\underbrace{\partial_j\partial_k(\partial_t^{-2}w + \varphi + t\psi)}_u\| \leq (2e^2)^2C_{-2,2}\|w\| + 6K\varepsilon^3$$

holds for $\forall w \in \mathcal{G}_{T,\zeta}(\Omega)$.

\mathcal{L}_1 maps a closed ball to itself.

$$\boxed{r} := 2C_2\varepsilon^3 / (1 - 2C_1\delta) \quad \text{order } \varepsilon^3.$$

$$B(r, T, \zeta) = B(r, \delta/\varepsilon, 2e^2\varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{G}_{T, \zeta}(\Omega) \quad \boxed{\text{closed ball}}$$

$$w \in B(r, T, \zeta) \quad (\|w\| \text{ is at most of order } \varepsilon^3)$$

$$\boxed{u} := \partial_t^{-2}w + \varphi + t\psi.$$

$$f_1(X; Y; Z) = \sum_{L \geq 4} a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} X^\alpha Y^\beta Z^\gamma, \quad L = \alpha + 2|\beta| + 3|\gamma|.$$

$$X \leftrightarrow u, \quad Y \leftrightarrow (\partial_t u, \nabla u), \quad Z \leftrightarrow (\partial_t \nabla u, \nabla^2 u).$$

The estimates on the previous page implies:

$$\|X\| \leq C_4\varepsilon, \quad \|Y\| \leq C_4^2\varepsilon^2, \quad \|Z\| \leq C_4^3\varepsilon^3.$$

f_1 is of order ε^4 and is $< r/2$, together with the linear part.

$$\boxed{\mathcal{L}_1 : B(r, t, \zeta) \rightarrow B(r, t, \zeta)}$$

\mathcal{L}_1 is a contraction

Mean Value Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(t; X'; Y'; Z') - f_1(t; X; Y; Z) \\ &= (X' - X, Y' - Y, Z' - Z) \cdot g_1 \\ &= (X' - X) \cdot g_1^X + (Y' - Y) \cdot g_1^Y + (Z' - Z) \cdot g_1^Z. \end{aligned}$$

g_1^X, g_1^Y, g_1^Z can be written in terms of derivatives of f_1 .
 $L = \alpha + 2|\beta| + 3|\gamma|$ becomes smaller, but we get good enough estimates.

Proof of Result 1 completed.

Other results are shown in a similar manner.

Thank you very much!