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A $\log$ surface $(X, E)$ consists of a normal projective surface $X$ and an effective Weil $\mathbb{Q}$ divisor $E$ with coefficients of irreducible components not bigger than 1 . By $K_{X}$ we denote the canonical divisor on $X . X$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial if every Weil divisor is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

A $\log$ surface $(X, E)$ can be studied using the log Minimal Model Program in terms of contractions of $\log$ extremal curves which are negative with respect to $K_{X}+E$. We are interested in a situation where we have to run the program in a presence of some other effective divisor $D$ on $X$. More precisely, we want to analyze when is it possible to find ( -1 )curves on $X$ with controlled intersection with $D$ and $E$ or, more concretely, curves which are both $\left(K_{X}+E\right)$ - and $\left(K_{X}+D\right)$-negative.

Definition 1.1. Let $D, C$ be effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors on a normal projective surface $X$.
(a) $C$ is $\left(K_{X}+D\right)$-negative if $\left(K_{X}+D\right) \cdot C<0$.
(b) $C$ is $\left(K_{X}+D\right)$-neutral if $h^{0}\left(m\left(K_{X}+D+n C\right)\right)=h^{0}\left(m\left(K_{X}+D\right)\right)$ for every $n, m \geq 0$.

Note that if $C$ is irreducible and $\left(K_{X}+D\right)$-negative then it is $\left(K_{X}+D\right)$-neutral. If $\kappa\left(K_{X}+\right.$ $D)=-\infty$ then $C$ is $\left(K_{X}+D\right)$-neutral if and only if $\kappa\left(K_{X}+D+n C\right)=-\infty$ for every natural $n$.

The lemma below generalizes Theorem 4.2 in KR99], which treats the case of $X$ smooth, $E:=\frac{1}{r} E^{\prime}, r \in \mathbb{N}_{+}, D$ and $E^{\prime}$ reduced. Our proof can be considered a limit version of the original proof. Even in the latter case 1.2 is stronger by avoiding assumptions on $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ and by replacing the assumptions ( $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{vi}$ ) of 4.2 loc. cit. with a weaker assumption that $K+E$ is not nef. The theorem generalizes or simplifies proofs of various other instances of 4.2 which were successfully applied to many geometric problems concerning surfaces (see [Kor07, 2.6, 2.7], [KR07, 5.10], CNKR09, 2.4, 2.6], Kor11, 2.2, 2.3], [KR11, 2.3, 2.4], [PUB4, 4.2]).

Lemma 1.2. Assume:
(1) $(X, E)$ is a log surface which is log canonical or $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial,
(2) $D$ is an effective divisor such that $\kappa(K+D)=-\infty$,
(3) $(K+D) \cdot(K+E) \leq 0$.

Then either $K+E$ is nef or there exists a $(K+E)$-negative log extremal rational curve $\ell$ which is $\left(K_{X}+D\right)$-neutral. Every such curve satisfies $\ell^{2} \leq 0$.

Note that if $\ell^{2}=0$ then $\rho(X)=2$ by extremality.
Proof of 1.2. With our assumptions the log Minimal Model Program works (see Fuj12, 3.2]). Let $\mathcal{S}=\overline{N E}(X) \subseteq \mathrm{NS}(\bar{X}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ be the closure of the (convex) cone of effective 1-cycles on $X$. Since $K+E$ is not nef, there exists a $(K+E)$-negative log-extremal curve $A \subseteq X$. Note that by [Fuj12, 3.8] such a curve is rational. We may assume that $A$ is not $(K+D)$-neutral, that is, $\kappa(K+D+m A) \geq 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, otherwise we take $\ell=A$. (We postpone the proof that $\ell^{2} \leq 0$ to the end). Then $K+D+m A \in \mathcal{S}$. For $\alpha \geq 0$ put

$$
z_{\alpha}=\alpha m A+K+D
$$

and let $\alpha_{0}=\inf \left\{\alpha: z_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{S}\right\}$. Since $\kappa(K+D)=-\infty$, we have $z_{0} \notin \mathcal{S}$ by Fuj12, 5.2]. The cone $\mathcal{S}$ is closed, so $\alpha_{0}>0$. We have

$$
z_{\alpha_{0}} \cdot(K+E)=\alpha_{0} m A \cdot(K+E)+\underset{1}{(K+D)}(K+E) \leq \alpha_{0} m A \cdot(K+E)<0,
$$

so by the logarithmic version of the Cone Theorem (see [Mat02, Theorem 7.2.1]) there exists a finite set $L$ of $(K+E)$-negative log-extremal curves on $X$, such that

$$
z_{\alpha_{0}}-\sum_{\ell \in L} r_{\ell} \ell
$$

where $r_{\ell}>0$, belongs to $\mathcal{S}$ and intersects $K+E$ non-negatively. In particular, $L$ is non-empty.
Suppose $\kappa(K+D+n \ell) \geq 0$ for some $\ell \in L$ and $n \geq 0$. Then consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon(K+D)+\frac{r_{\ell}}{\varepsilon} \ell & \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text { for some } \varepsilon>0 \\
\varepsilon(K+D)+z_{\alpha_{0}} & \in \mathcal{S} \\
\alpha_{0} m A+(1+\varepsilon)(K+D) & \in \mathcal{S} \\
\frac{\alpha_{0}}{1+\varepsilon} m A+K+D & \in \mathcal{S}
\end{aligned}
$$

The latter contradicts the definition of $\alpha_{0}$. Thus every $\ell \in L$ is $(K+D)$-neutral.
It remains to show that $\ell^{2} \leq 0$. Suppose $\ell^{2}>0$. By the extremality of $\ell, \rho(X)=1$. Let $H$ be an ample divisor on $X$. Then $K+D \equiv a H$ and $K+E \equiv a^{\prime} H$ for some $a, a \in \mathbb{R}$. By assumptions $a, a^{\prime}<0$, hence $(K+D) \cdot(K+E)=a a^{\prime} H^{2}>0$; a contradiction.

## Remark 1.3.

(a) If $X$ has only rational singularities then it is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial ([Lip69). The converse fails (see [Fuj12, 2.3]). A normal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial surface is automatically quasi-projective (see for example [Fuj12, 2.2]).
(b) If $(K+E)^{2}<0$ then $K+E$ is not nef, because a nef divisor is a limit of ample divisors. It is also not nef if $\kappa(K+E)=-\infty$ (see [Fuj12, 5.1]).
(c) If $\ell$ is a $(K+E)$-negative log extremal curve with $\ell^{2} \geq 0$ then $(X, E)$ is a $\log$ Mori fiber space. This means that either $\ell^{2}>0$ and $(X, E)$ is a log del Pezzo surface of rank 1 or $\ell^{2}=0$ and there exists a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration $X \rightarrow$ (curve) with irreducible fibers and such that $E \cdot \ell<2$. In case $\ell^{2}<0$ the curve $\ell$ may be contained in $D$ or $E$.
(d) Assumptions imply that $X \neq \mathbb{P}^{2}$, so we can choose $\ell$ so that $(K+E) \cdot \ell>-2$ (see Fuj12, 3.8]). If $(X, E)$ is $\log$ canonical then $\ell \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ (see [KK94, 2.3.5]).

The following lemma shows that induction is possible.
Lemma 1.4. Let $(X, D, E)$ be a triple satisfying (1) - (3) of 1.2 with $K+E$ not nef and let $\sigma$ be the contraction of a curve $\ell$ given by the lemma. If $\ell^{2} \neq 0$ and $\ell$ is not $(K+D)$-negative then $\left(\sigma(X), \sigma_{*} D, \sigma_{*} E\right)$ satisfies (1)-(3).

Proof. The birational morphism $\sigma:(X, D, E) \rightarrow(\bar{X}, \bar{D}, \bar{E})=\left(\sigma(X), \sigma_{*} D, \sigma_{*} E\right)$ exists by the contraction theorem (it contracts only $\ell$ ). Put $\bar{K}=K_{\bar{X}}$. If $(X, E)$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial or projective $\log$ canonical, so is $(\bar{X}, \bar{E})$ (see Fuj12]). Let $d, d^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Q}$ be such that

$$
\sigma^{*}(\bar{K}+\bar{D})=K+D+d \ell \text { and } \sigma^{*}(\bar{K}+\bar{E})=K+E-d^{\prime} \ell
$$

By the projection formula $d^{\prime} \ell^{2}=(K+E) \cdot \ell<0$, so $d^{\prime}>0$. We have $\kappa(\bar{K}+\bar{D})=-\infty$. Indeed, otherwise $\kappa(K+D+d \ell) \geq 0$ and hence $\kappa(K+D+n \ell) \geq 0$ for any integer $n>d$, which contradicts the properties of $\ell$. Since $(K+D) \cdot \ell \geq 0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\bar{K}+\bar{D}) \cdot(\bar{K}+\bar{E})=(K+D) \cdot\left(K+E-d^{\prime} \ell\right) & =(K+D) \cdot(K+E)-d^{\prime}(K+D) \cdot \ell \leq \\
\leq & (K+D) \cdot(K+E) \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we find a common extremal ray negative with respect to $K+E$ and $K+D$.
Theorem 1.5. Assume $D$ and $E$ are effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors such that:
(1) $(X, E)$ is a $\log$ canonical or $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial log surface,
(2) $\kappa(K+D)=-\infty$,
(3) $(K+D) \cdot(K+E) \leq 0$.

Then there is a sequence of birational extremal contractions

$$
(X, D, E) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow(\bar{X}, \bar{D}, \bar{E})
$$

of $\log$ extremal curves which with respect to the push-forwards of $K+E$ and $K+D$ are respectively negative and neutral and such that
(i) either $K_{\bar{X}}+\bar{E}$ is nef
(ii) or there exists a log extremal curve $\bar{\ell} \subseteq \bar{X}$ such that

$$
\bar{\ell}^{2} \leq 0, \quad\left(K_{\bar{X}}+\bar{E}\right) \cdot \bar{\ell}<0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(K_{\bar{X}}+\bar{D}\right) \cdot \bar{\ell}<0 .
$$

In case there exists an irreducible $(K+E)$-negative curve $A$ which is not $(K+D)$-neutral then $(\bar{X}, \bar{E})$ is not nef and $\bar{l}^{2}<0$.
Proof. The first part follows from 1.2 and 1.4 . Assume $A$ as above exists. Then $K+E$ is not nef, so there exists a $(K+E)$-negative log extremal curve $\ell$ such that $\ell^{2} \leq 0$ and $\kappa(K+D+n \ell)=-\infty$ for all $n \geq 0$. Suppose $\ell^{2}=0$. Now $(X, E)$ is a log Mori fiber space over a curve, i.e. $\rho(X)=2$, $\ell$ is a fiber of a $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration of $X$ and $\ell \cdot(K+E)<0$. Let $C$ be a section of the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-fibration. Then $\{C, \ell\}$ is a basis of the vector space $\operatorname{NS}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. Put $a=(K+D) \cdot \ell$ and suppose $a \geq 0$. Then $K+D+n \ell \equiv a C+n \ell$ is a nef divisor for $n \gg 0$, so $\kappa(K+D+n \ell) \geq 0$ for $n \gg 0$; a contradiction.

Thus $\ell^{2}<0$. We may assume $(K+D) \cdot \ell \geq 0$, otherwise we are done. In particular, $A \neq \ell$. Let $\sigma$ be the contraction of $\ell$. By $1.4\left(\sigma(X), \sigma_{*} D, \sigma_{*} E\right)$ satisfies (1)-(3). Let $d^{\prime}$ be as in the proof of 1.4. We have $\sigma_{*} A \cdot\left(K_{\sigma(X)}+\sigma_{*} E\right)=A \cdot\left(K+E-d^{\prime} \ell\right) \leq A \cdot(K+E)<0$. and $\kappa\left(K_{\sigma(X)}+\sigma_{*} D+n \sigma_{*} A\right) \geq \kappa\left(K_{X}+D+n A\right) \geq 0$ for some $n \geq 0$, so we replace ( $X, D, E, A$ ) with ( $\sigma(X), \sigma_{*} D, \sigma_{*} E, \sigma_{*} A$ ) and we proceed by induction.
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