GLIVENKO-CANTELLI THEOREM AND KERNEL ESTIMATORS

•

Ryszard Zieliński Institute of Mathematics Polish Acad. Sc., Warszawa, Poland R.Zielinski@impan.gov.pl

Presented to

International Seminar on Stability Problems for Stochastic Models Nahariya (Israel) Oct 22 - 26, 2007

Summary

Standard kernel estimators do not converge to the true distribution uniformly. A consequence is that no inequality like Dvoretzky-Kiefer-Wolfowitz one can be constructed, and as a result it is impossible to answer the question how many observations are needed to guarantee a prescribed level of accuracy of the estimator. A remedy is to adapt the bandwidth to the sample at hand. Dvoretzky-Kiefer-Wolfowitz inequality (Massart 1990)

$$P\{\sup_{x\in\mathbf{R}}|F_n(x) - F(x)| \ge \epsilon\} \le 2e^{-2n\epsilon^2}$$

Glivenko-Cantelli theorem

$$(\forall \epsilon)(\forall \eta)(\exists N)(\forall n \ge N)(\forall F \in \mathcal{F}) \quad P\{\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |F_n(x) - F(x)| \ge \epsilon\} \le \eta$$

where

•

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,x]}(X_j)$$

Here $N = N(\epsilon, \eta)$ does not depend of $F \in \mathcal{F}$!

Standard kernel density estimator

$$\widehat{f}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{h_n} k\left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n}\right)$$

Kernel distribution estimator

$$\widehat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_j}{h_n}\right), \qquad K(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x k(t)dt$$

Glivenko-Cantelli theorem does not hold:

$$(\exists \epsilon)(\exists \eta)(\forall N)(\exists n \ge N)(\exists F \in \mathcal{F}) \quad P\{\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} |\widehat{F}_n(x) - F(x)| \ge \epsilon\} \ge \eta$$

It is enough to demonstrate that

$$(\exists \epsilon)(\exists \eta)(\forall n)(\exists F \in \mathcal{F}) \quad P\{\widehat{F}_n(0) > F(0) + \epsilon\} \ge \eta$$

Concerning the kernel K, only the following assumptions are relevant:

1) 0 < K(0) < 1 and 2) $K^{-1}(t) < 0$ for some $t \in (0, F(0))$. Concerning the sequence $(h_n, n = 1, 2, ...)$ we assume that $h_n > 0, n = 1, 2, ...$ Proof that

$$(\exists \epsilon)(\exists \eta)(\forall n)(\exists F \in \mathcal{F}) \quad P\{\widehat{F}_n(0) > F(0) + \epsilon\} \geq \eta$$

Recall the assumption that $K^{-1}(t) < 0$ for some $t \in (0, F(0))$. Take $\epsilon \in (0, t)$ and $\eta \in (t - \epsilon, 1)$. Given ϵ , η , and n, take F such that $F(0) = t - \epsilon$ and $F(-h_n K^{-1}(t)) > \eta^{1/n}$. Then

$$P\{X_j < -h_n K^{-1}(t)\}$$
 and $P\{K\left(-\frac{X_j}{h_n}\right) > t\} > \eta^{1/n}$

Due to the fact that

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} \left\{ K\left(-\frac{X_j}{h_n}\right) > t \right\} \subset \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} K\left(-\frac{X_j}{h_n}\right) > t \right\}$$

we have

$$P\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}K\left(-\frac{X_{j}}{h_{n}}\right) > t\right\} = P\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}K\left(-\frac{X_{j}}{h_{n}}\right) > F(0) + \epsilon\right\} > \eta$$

$$\overbrace{\widehat{F}_{n}(0)}$$

QED

RANDOM BANDWIDTH

 $X_{1:n} \leq X_{2:n} \leq \ldots \leq X_{n:n}$ - order statistics

Define

$$H_n = \min\{X_{j:n} - X_{j-1:n}, \ j = 2, 3, \dots, n\}$$

Define the kernel estimator

$$\widetilde{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K\left(\frac{x - X_j}{H_n}\right)$$

where for K we assume:

$$K(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } t \le -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{for } t = 0 \\ 1, & \text{for } t \ge \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

K(t) continuous and increasing in $\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$

For a fixed k and j = 1, 2, ..., n we have

$$\begin{split} &K\left(\frac{X_{k:n} - X_{j:n}}{H_n}\right) = \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } \frac{X_{k:n} - X_{j:n}}{H_n} \leq -\frac{1}{2} \Leftrightarrow X_{j:n} > X_{k:n} + \frac{1}{2}H_n \Leftrightarrow j > k \\ \\ \frac{1}{2}, & \text{for } t = 0 \\ 1, & \text{for } j < k \end{cases} \end{split}$$

It follows that

$$\widetilde{F}_n(X_{k:n}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n K\left(\frac{X_{k:n} - X_{j:n}}{H_n}\right) = \frac{k-1}{n} + \frac{1}{2n}$$
$$= F_n(X_{k-1:n}) + \frac{1}{2n} = F_n(X_{k:n}) - \frac{1}{2n}$$

Hence, for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we have $|\widetilde{F}_n(X_{k:n}) - F_n(X_{k:n})| \le \frac{1}{2n}$.

For k = 1, 2, ..., n, we have $|\tilde{F}_n(X_{k:n}) - F_n(X_{k:n})| \le \frac{1}{2n}$.

Kernel estimator $\widetilde{F}_n(x)$ is continuous and increasing, empirical distribution function $F_n(x)$ is a step function, and in consequence $|\widetilde{F}_n(x) - F_n(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2n}$ for all $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$. By the triangle inequality

$$|\widetilde{F}_n(x) - F(x)| \le |F_n(x) - F(x)| + \frac{1}{2n}$$

we obtain

$$P\{\sup_{x\in\mathbf{R}}|\widetilde{F}_n(x) - F(x)| \ge \epsilon\} \le P\{\sup_{x\in\mathbf{R}}|F_n(x) - F(x)| + \frac{1}{2n} \ge \epsilon\}$$

and Dvoretzky-Kiefer-Wolfowitz inequality takes on the form:

$$P\{\sup_{x\in\mathbf{R}}|\widetilde{F}_n(x) - F(x)| \ge \epsilon\} \le 2e^{-2n(\epsilon - 1/2n)^2}, \quad n > \frac{1}{2\epsilon}$$

1

which enables us to calculate $N = N(\epsilon, \eta)$ that guarantees the prescribed accuracy of the kernel estimator $\widetilde{F}_n(x)$.

COMMENT.

•

The smallest $N = N(\epsilon, \eta)$ that guarantees the prescribed accuracy is somewhat greater for kernel estimator \tilde{F}_n than that for crude empirical step function F_n .

For example, N(0.1, 0.1) = 150 for F_n and = 160 for \widetilde{F}_n ; N(0.01, 0.01) = 26,492 for F_n and = 26,592 for \widetilde{F}_n .

COMMENT

•

Another disadvantage of kernel smoothing has been discovered by Hjort and Walker (2001):

"kernel density estimator with optimal bandwidth lies outside any confidence interval, around the empirical distribution function, with probability tending to 1 as the sample size increases". Perhaps a reason is that smoothing adds to observations something which is rather arbitrarily chosen and which may spoil the inference.

•

A GENERALIZATION.

Inequality

•

$$P\{\sup_{x\in\mathbf{R}} |\widetilde{F}_n(x) - F(x)| \ge \epsilon\} \le 2e^{-2n(\epsilon - 1/2n)^2}, \quad n > \frac{1}{2\epsilon}$$

holds for every smoothed nondecreasing distribution function that satisfies $|\widetilde{F}_n(X_{k:n}) - F_n(X_{k:n})| \leq \frac{1}{2n}, k = 1, 2, ..., n.$

REFERENCES

Hjort, N.L., and Walker, S.G. (2001). A note on kernel density estimators with optimal bandwidths. Statistics & Probability Letters 54, 153-159

Massart, P. (1990). The tight constant in the Dvoretzky–Kiefer–Wolfowitz inequality. Annals of Probability, 18: 1269–1283

Wegman, E.J. (2006). Kernel estimators. In *Encyclopedia of* statistical sciences. Second Edition, Vol. 6, Wiley–Interscience