Three-spheres theorem for p-harmonic mappings

Tomasz Adamowicz¹

Institute of Mathematics Polish Academy of Sciences 00-956 Warsaw, Poland; T.Adamowicz@impan.pl

Abstract

Let $u = (u^1, \ldots, u^n)$ be a p-harmonic mapping in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 2$. We investigate level sets for compositions of coordinate functions u^i with convex functions satisfying growth conditions and derive the de Giorgi-type estimates. Our main result is the arithmetic three-spheres theorem for coordinate functions of mapping u. The discussion is illustrated by radial p-harmonics.

Keywords: convexity, coupled elliptic system, degenerate elliptic system, de Giorgi estimates, maximum principle, p-harmonic mapping, p-harmonic system, p-Laplace, quasilinear system, three-spheres theorem.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary 35J47; Secondary 35B05, 35J70, 35J92.

1 Introduction

Consider a subharmonic function u in a planar domain and let M(r) denote the maximum of u over a circle $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$ concentric with two other circles with radii satisfying $r_1 < r < r_2$. Then, the classical Hadamard three-circles theorem asserts that M(r) is a convex function of r, see e.g. Chapter 12 in Protter-Weinberger [19] (Hadamard formulated this result for analytic functions without providing a proof, see Hadamard [13]). Namely it holds that

$$M(r) \le \frac{\log(r_2/r)}{\log(r_2/r_1)} M(r_1) + \frac{\log(r/r_1)}{\log(r_2/r_1)} M(r_2).$$

The result can be further generalized in the following directions: by considering higher dimensional analogs, by studying equations more general than the Laplace equation and by investigating different types of inequalities involving M(r) or the norms of functions in subject. Indeed, one studies the setting of concentric spheres in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3$ see e.g. Theorem 30 in [19] or spheres which need not be concentric, see Arakelian–Matevosyan [5]. The three-circles (or the three-spheres) theorem can be extended to the setting of more general elliptic equations, see discussion in [19, Chapter 12], Brummelhuis [7] for a discussion in the setting of second-order linear elliptic equations, Miklyukov–Rasila–Vuorinen [18] for p-harmonic equations, Výborný [22] for quasilinear equations with Lipschitz coefficients; see also Granlund–Marola [12] for studies in the setting of (A, B)-equations of Ricatti type and for further references. Finally, instead of the above inequality, one studies estimates involving L^2 or L^∞ norms of solutions to elliptic equations, see e.g. Lin–Nagayasu–Wang [17] and Alessandrini–Rondi-Rosset–Vessella [4]. In the latter publication, among other topics the authors discuss the role of the three-spheres theorems in the studies of the unique continuation problems and ill-posed problems. For related topics and estimates we refer to Colding–De Lellis-Minicozzi [8] and Garofalo–Lin [9].

The main goal of this paper is to prove a variant of three-spheres theorem in the context of coupled elliptic systems of equations represented by p-harmonic systems of equations. According to our best knowledge three-spheres theorems have not yet been studied for systems of equations.

A mapping $u = (u^1, ..., u^n) \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called a *p-harmonic mapping* if it is a solution to the following system of equations:

$$\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2}Du) = 0, \quad u = (u^1, \dots, u^n) : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^n, \quad 1$$

¹T. Adamowicz was supported by a grant of National Science Center, Poland (NCN), UMO-2013/09/D/ST1/03681.

where Du denotes the Jacobi matrix of u, i.e. $Du = (\nabla u^1, \dots, \nabla u^n)^T$. Equivalently, this system can be written as follows:

$$\begin{cases}
\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2}\nabla u^{1}) = 0, \\
\vdots \\
\operatorname{div}(|Du|^{p-2}\nabla u^{n}) = 0.
\end{cases}$$
(2)

The p-harmonic system of equations is the Euler-Lagrange system of the associated p-Dirichlet energy functional

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du|^p.$$

In the weak form (2) reads

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du|^{p-2} \langle \nabla u^i, \nabla \phi^i \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$
(3)

where $\phi^i \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ are test functions. In what follows we will consider the case of N=n. For p=2 the system reduces to the well-known harmonic system of equations (such a system is uncoupled). Therefore, one may view a p-harmonic system as a natural generalization of the harmonic system to the nonlinear setting. If we let the dimension of the target space be n=1, then we retrieve the scalar p-harmonic equation. The above system is strongly coupled by the appearance of the full differential Du. As a consequence many methods of PDEs known in the linear (harmonic) setting fail for $p \neq 2$. This in turn stimulates the development of new methods and new approaches to handle the nonlinear problems.

The p-harmonic systems and their generalizations appear naturally in differential geometry, see e.g. Hardt–Lin [14] or in relation to differential forms and quasiregular maps, see e.g. Bonk–Heinonen [6]. As for the applied sciences, the second order coupled elliptic systems are studied in nonlinear elasticity theory, e.g. Iwaniec–Onninen [15], nonlinear fluid dynamics, as well as in astrophysics or climate sciences and several other areas (see Adamowicz [3] for the list of further references).

We will now introduce notation, describe our approach to the three-spheres estimates and formulate auxiliary results and the main result of the paper. The proofs are presented in the remaining sections.

Let $\phi: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 convex function such that there exists a subinterval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ with properties:

$$(\phi'(x))^2 \le \phi''(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in I \tag{4}$$

either (i)
$$\phi'(x) > 0$$
 in I or (ii) $\phi'(x) < 0$ in I (5)

In what follows we will deal several times with the expression $|Du|/|\nabla u^1|$, its reciprocal and integrals involving it. In particular, we will need some conditions to ensure that

$$\int_{B_r} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^1|} \right)^{p-2} dx < \infty, \tag{6}$$

where B_r is a ball of radius r. The case of $1 is easy, since then <math>(\frac{|\nabla u^1|}{|Du|})^{2-p} < 1$ and by employing also continuity of p-harmonic mappings (see e.g. Tolksdorf [20]) we get that the above integral exists and is finite. The case of p = 2 is trivial. For p > 2 we need, however, to be more careful at critical points of u^1 .

First, recall that results due to Tolksdorf [20, Theorem, formula (1.14)] and Uhlenbeck [21, Theorem 3.2] applied to p-harmonic systems give us, respectively, that

$$\sup_{B_r} |Du| \le \frac{C(p,n)}{r^{\frac{n}{p}}} \left(|B_{2r}|^{\frac{1}{p}} + ||Du||_{L^p(B_{2r})} \right) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 (7)$$

$$\sup_{B_r} |Du| \le \frac{C(p,n)}{r^{\frac{n}{p}}} ||Du||_{L^p(B_{2r})} \quad \text{for} \quad p \ge 2.$$
 (8)

The discrepancy between formulas (7) and (8) is a consequence of different nature of p-harmonic mappings for 1 (singular case) and <math>p > 2 (degenerate elliptic case). In fact (7) holds for 1 , cf. statement of Theorem and Section 3 in [20]. Nevertheless, the fact that inequality (8) is scale invariant with respect to <math>u and

is independent of the size of B_{2r} makes (8) still of interest to us and, therefore, in what follows we will appeal to both estimates. The above discussion allows us to continue estimation at (6) for p > 2 in a following way:

$$\int_{B_r} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^1|} \right)^{p-2} dx \le \frac{C(p,n) \|Du\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}^{p-2}}{r^{n(1-\frac{2}{p})}} \int_{B_r} \frac{1}{|\nabla u^1|^{p-2}}. \tag{9}$$

Suppose that a ball B_r is centered at x_0 and that $B_{2r} \subset \Omega$. Furthermore, assume that for p > 2

$$|\nabla u^1(x)| \ge |x - x_0|^{\alpha}$$
 for $x \in B_r$ and some $\alpha < \frac{n}{p-2}$. (10)

Then, by (9) we have:

$$\int_{B_{-}} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^{1}|} \right)^{p-2} dx \le \frac{C(p,n) \|Du\|_{L^{p}(B_{2r})}^{p-2}}{r^{n(1-\frac{2}{p})}} \int_{0}^{r} t^{-\alpha(p-2)+n-1} dt < \infty. \tag{11}$$

For the sake of simplicity and clarity of discussion all the results in the paper are stated for u^1 , the first coordinate function of a p-harmonic mapping u. However, the reader should keep in mind that all the presented results hold as well for all coordinate functions u^i , for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ upon the necessary reformulations of results. Denote by

$$M(r) = \sup_{|x|=r} u^1(x)$$

and

$$m(r) = \inf_{|x|=r} u^1(x).$$

In Section 2 we show the Caccioppoli-type estimates for a composition of a convex function with a coordinate function of a p-harmonic mapping (Lemma 1). According to our best knowledge, such estimates and such an approach to p-harmonic mappings has not been studied in the literature so far.

Lemma 1. Let u^1 be the first coordinate function of a p-harmonic mapping u in a domain Ω for p > 1. Assume, furthermore, that a convex function $\phi: I \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies conditions (4) and (5). Then the following estimates hold for every ball $\overline{B_r} \subset \Omega$ and $0 < \delta < 1$:

If
$$1 , then $\int_{B_{(1-\delta)r}} \left(\frac{|\nabla u^1|}{|Du|} \right)^{2-p} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p \le \frac{c(p,n)}{\delta^p} r^{n-p}$. (12)$$

If
$$p \ge 2$$
, then $\int_{B_{(1-\delta)r}} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p \le \frac{c(p)}{(\delta r)^p} \int_{B_r} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^1|}\right)^{p-2}$. (13)

Furthermore, for p > 2 the growth condition (10) ensures finitness of the last integral.

In the next result we study the behavior of $\phi(u^1)$ over the level sets and investigate the de Giorgi type estimates. Such estimates are well-known for solutions of elliptic equations, see e.g. Giusti [10]. In the setting of vector functions and systems of equations such estimates require extra attention and effort. The results of Lemma 2 can be used in further analysis of level sets for coordinate functions of p-harmonic mappings (see Section 3 for the proof of Lemma 2).

Let $k \geq 0$. Upon the above notation we define

$$A_{k,r} := \{ x \in B_r : \phi(u^1(x)) > k \}.$$

Lemma 2. Let u^1 be the first coordinate function of a p-harmonic mapping u in a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for $1 . Assume, furthermore, that conditions (4) and (5) hold for a convex function <math>\phi: I \to \mathbb{R}$. Then the following estimates hold for every ball $\overline{B_r} \subset \Omega$ and $0 < \delta < 1$:

$$\int_{A_{k,(1-\delta)r}} \left(\frac{|\nabla u^1|}{|Du|} \right)^{2-p} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p \le \frac{c(p)}{(\delta r)^p} \int_{A_{k,r}} (\phi(u^1) - k)^p \quad \text{for} \quad 1
(14)$$

$$\int_{A_{k,(1-\delta)r}} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p \le \frac{c(p)}{(\delta r)^p} \int_{A_{k,r}} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^1|} \right)^{p-2} (\phi(u^1) - k)^p \quad \text{for} \quad p \ge 2 \text{ and } n > 2.$$
 (15)

Furthermore, the following inequality holds for 1 :

$$\left(\sup_{B_{(1-\delta)r}} \phi(u^1)\right)^p \le \frac{C^{\frac{n}{p}}}{(\delta r)^n} \int_{B_r} (\phi(u^1))^p + r^{p-n},\tag{16}$$

where the constant

$$C = C(p, n, c_S) \left[1 + \|\phi'\|_{L^{\infty}(B_r)}^p (r^n + \|Du\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}^p) \right].$$
 (17)

If, additionally, there exists a positive constant c, such that

$$|\nabla u^1| > c \quad in \ B_r, \tag{18}$$

then the following inequality holds for p > 2 and n > 2:

$$\left(\sup_{B_{(1-\delta)r}} \phi(u^1)\right)^p \le \frac{C^{\frac{n}{p}}}{(\delta r)^n} \int_{B_r} (\phi(u^1))^p, \tag{19}$$

with constant

$$C = c(p, n, c_S) \left(\frac{\|Du\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}}{cr^{\frac{n}{p}}} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}(p-2)}.$$
 (20)

Here, c_S stands for the constant in the Sobolev embedding theorem. In the harmonic case p = 2, assumption (18) can be neglected and (19) holds with constant $C = c(p, n, c_S)$ in (20).

The main result of this paper is the following version of the arithmetic three-spheres theorem for coordinate functions of p-harmonic mappings. We prove Theorem in Section 4 as well as comment on the existence of p-harmonic mappings satisfying assumptions of Theorem.

Theorem (The arithmetic three-spheres theorem). Let $1 and <math>u = (u^1, ..., u^n) : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a p-harmonic mapping. Consider three concentric balls centered at $x_0 \in \Omega$ with radii $0 < r_1 < r < r_2$ such that $\overline{B_{2r_2}} \subset \Omega$ and

$$0 < c \le \frac{r_1}{r}, \quad \frac{r_1}{r_2} < c < 1, \tag{21}$$

for some fixed c.

If $1 , then let us assume that for a given <math>\alpha > 0$, the coordinate function u^1 satisfies the following growth condition:

$$|u^{1}(x) - u^{1}(x_{0})| \ge C|x - x_{0}|^{\alpha} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \setminus B_{r_{2}}.$$
 (22)

If $2 , then let us assume instead that there is a positive constant <math>c_1$ such that

$$|\nabla u^1| > c_1 \quad \text{in } B_{r_2}. \tag{23}$$

Then there exist a constant C and a radius r_3 such that if $\overline{B_{r_3}} \subset \Omega$, then the following inequalities hold:

$$M(r) \le CM(r_1) + (1 - C)M(r_3),$$

 $m(r) > Cm(r_1) + (1 - C)m(r_3).$

For 1 constant <math>C depends on n, p, c_S the constant in the Sobolev embedding theorem, r_1, r_2, c, α and $\|Du\|_{L^p(B_{2r_2})}$, while $r_3 > r_2$ depends on p, n, r_2, α , and $\|Du\|_{L^p(B_{2r_2})}$. For 2 constant <math>C depends on $n, p, c_S, r_1, r_2, c, c_1$ and $\|Du\|_{L^p(B_{2r_2})}$, while $r_3 = r_2$. For p = 2 < n condition (23) is obsolete, $r_3 = r_2$ and constant C depends only on n, p, c_S and c, r_1, r_2 .

In the statement of Theorem one may consider local solutions in \mathbb{R}^n of the p-harmonic system (2) instead in the domain Ω . In such a case one may neglect the assumption that $\overline{B_{r_3}} \subset \Omega$.

If p=2, then the p-harmonic system (2) reduces to the uncoupled system of harmonic equations satisfied by coordinate functions u^i for $i=1,\ldots,n$. In such a case the arithmetic three-spheres theorem holds for each u^i , see Theorem 30 in Protter-Weinberger [19, Chapter 12].

We point out that the case of scalar p-harmonic functions for 1 can be handled by the approach very similar to the one for harmonic functions, as one has on the disposal comparison principles and the Harnack-type estimates. Such tools are not known in the setting of coordinate functions of <math>p-harmonic mappings.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Niko Marola for introducing him to the three-spheres theorems, especially for pointing the paper [12] and the related literature; also for inspiring discussions in Institut Mittag-Leffler in September 2013.

2 The proof of Lemma 1

In this section we prove Lemma 1 and then illustrate the discussion by the class of radial p-harmonic mappings.

Proof. We begin the proof as in Granlund-Marola [12]. For the sake of simplicity, let us assume that (5) (i) holds, i.e. $\phi'(u^1(x)) > 0$ for all $x \in B_r$. Take a nonnegative function $\xi \in C_0^{\infty}(B_r)$ and define a test function $\eta(x) = \phi'(u^1(x))^{p-1}\xi^p(x)$ for $x \in B_r$. Then

$$\nabla \eta = (p-1)(\phi'(u^1))^{p-2}\phi''(u^1)\xi^p\nabla u^1 + p\xi^{p-1}(\phi'(u^1))^{p-1}\nabla \xi.$$

We use $\nabla \eta$ in the first equation of p-harmonic system (3) and by using (4) together with (5) we obtain

$$(p-1)\int_{B_r} |Du|^{p-2} |\nabla u^1|^2 \phi'(u^1)^p \xi^p \le p \int_{B_r} |Du|^{p-2} |\nabla u^1| \phi'(u^1)^{p-1} \xi^{p-1} |\nabla \xi|. \tag{24}$$

For some $0 < \epsilon < 1$, whose value will be determined later, we rewrite the right-hand side of (24) and apply the Young inequality:

$$p \int_{B_{r}} |Du|^{p-2} |\nabla u^{1}| \phi'(u^{1})^{p-1} \xi^{p-1} |\nabla \xi| = p \int_{B_{r}} \left(\epsilon |Du|^{(p-2)\frac{p-1}{p}} |\nabla u^{1}|^{2\frac{p-1}{p}} \phi'(u^{1})^{p-1} \xi^{p-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} |Du|^{\frac{p-2}{p}} |\nabla u^{1}|^{\frac{2-p}{p}} |\nabla \xi| \right)$$

$$\leq (p-1)\epsilon \int_{B_{r}} |Du|^{p-2} |\nabla u^{1}|^{2} \phi'(u^{1})^{p} \xi^{p} + p\epsilon^{-p} \int_{B_{r}} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^{1}|} \right)^{p-2} |\nabla \xi|^{p}.$$

$$(25)$$

In the last inequality we also estimated $\epsilon^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \leq \epsilon$. Now, we use (25) in (24) and by taking e.g. $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ we may include the first integral on the right-hand side of (25) into the left-hand side of (24). In a consequence we arrive at the following estimate:

$$\int_{B_{p}} |Du|^{p-2} |\nabla u^{1}|^{2} \phi'(u^{1})^{p} \xi^{p} \leq \frac{p2^{p+1}}{p-1} \int_{B_{p}} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^{1}|}\right)^{p-2} |\nabla \xi|^{p}. \tag{26}$$

Denote $c(p) := \frac{p2^{p+1}}{p-1}$.

Case 1: 1 . The left-hand side of the above inequality can be written as follows.

$$\int_{B_{-}} |Du|^{p-2} |\nabla u^{1}|^{2} \phi'(u^{1})^{p} \xi^{p} = \int_{B_{-}} \left(\frac{|\nabla u^{1}|}{|Du|}\right)^{2-p} |\nabla \phi(u^{1})|^{p} \xi^{p}. \tag{27}$$

Using (27) and the fact that $|\nabla u^1| \leq |Du|$ in Ω we observe that for 1 inequality (26) becomes:

$$\int_{B_r} \left(\frac{|\nabla u^1|}{|Du|} \right)^{2-p} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p \xi^p \le c(p) \int_{B_r} |\nabla \xi|^p. \tag{28}$$

Case 2: $p \ge 2$. We have that $|\nabla u^1|^{p-2} \le |Du|^{p-2}$ in Ω and hence (26) takes the following form.

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p \xi^p \le c(p) \int_{B_r} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^1|}\right)^{p-2} |\nabla \xi|^p. \tag{29}$$

If we additionally assume (10), then (11) holds and the last integral is finite. In the definition of η we take ξ such that $0 \le \xi \le 1$, supp $\xi \subset B_r$, $\xi \equiv 1$ in $B_{(1-\delta)r}$ and $|\nabla \xi| \le \frac{c}{\delta r}$ in B_r . Using such ξ in (28) and (29) we arrive at claims (12) and, respectively, (13) of Lemma 1.

If (5) (ii) holds, i.e. $\phi'(u^1(x)) < 0$ for all $x \in B_r$, then as a test function we take $\eta(x) = (-\phi'(u^1(x)))^{p-1}\xi^p(x)$ for $x \in B_r$ and claims of the lemma follow the same way as previously.

We remark that assertions (28) and (29) of Lemma 1 can be further refined. Namely, the following remark holds by Lemma 1 and the discussion at formulas (7) and (8).

Remark 1. (A) Let $1 . Then (7) implies that for <math>x \in B_r$

$$\frac{1}{|Du(x)|} \ge \frac{1}{\sup_{B_n} |Du|} \ge C(p, n, \operatorname{diam}(\Omega), ||Du||_{L^p(B_{2r})}) r^{\frac{n}{p}}.$$

In such a case (28) reads:

$$\int_{B_r} \left(|\nabla u^1| r^{\frac{n}{p}} \right)^{2-p} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p \xi^p \le c(p) \int_{B_r} |\nabla \xi|^p.$$

Similarly, for p > 2 the Uhlenbeck inequality (8) results in the following estimate.

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p \xi^p \leq C(p,n,\|Du\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}) \int_{B_r} \frac{1}{r^{n(1-\frac{2}{p})} |\nabla u^1|^{p-2}} |\nabla \xi|^p.$$

(B) In fact estimate (26) gives rise to the following inequality for p > 1:

$$\int_{B_r} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^1|} \right)^{p-2} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p \xi^p \leq \frac{p2^{p+1}}{p-1} \int_{B_r} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^1|} \right)^{p-2} |\nabla \xi|^p.$$

We now turn to considering a special class of p-harmonic mappings, namely radial transformations in the form

$$u(x) = H(|x|)(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$
 for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

where $H \in C^2(\Omega)$ and |x| stands for the magnitude of x. For such mappings p-harmonic system (2) becomes a nonlinear second order ODE:

$$(p-1)H''(H')^2r^3 + (2p-1)(H')^3r^2 + 2(p-1)HH'H''r^2 + (5p-4)H(H')^2r + pH^2H''r + 3pH^2H' = 0. (30)$$

The problem of finding suitable examples is the general feature of the p-harmonic world, as we know only few classes of p-harmonic maps and few explicit solutions of the p-harmonic system of equations, namely affine, radial and quasiradial, see e.g. Adamowicz [2, 3], Iwaniec-Onninen [15, Part 1] for various applications of radial p-harmonics, and Adamowicz [1, Chapter 2] for the definition of quasiradial p-harmonic mappings.

For the class of radial p-harmonic mappings, Lemma 1 can be refined. Indeed, for radial mappings we can formulate simple conditions for integrability of ratio $|\nabla u^1|/|Du|$ and in turn estimates (12) and (13) reduce to the following result.

Proposition 1 (Radial Lemma 1). Let u^1 be the first coordinate function of a radial p-harmonic mapping u in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n, n > 1$ for p > 1. Assume that a convex function $\phi : I \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies conditions (4) and (5). Furthermore, assume the following:

- (1) if x is such that H(x) = 0, then $H'(x) \neq 0$,
- (2) there exist constant c_{Ω} , $c'_{\Omega} > 0$ such that $x_1^2 < c_{\Omega}(|x|^2 x_1^2)$ and $x_1 > c'_{\Omega}$ for all $x \in \Omega$, (31)

(3) there exists
$$C > 0$$
 such that $\eta(x) := \frac{H'(|x|)}{H(|x|)}|x| < C$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

Then the following estimate holds for every ball $\overline{B_r} \subset \Omega$ and $0 < \delta < 1$:

$$\int_{B_{(1-\delta)p}} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p \le \frac{c(\operatorname{diam}(\Omega), p, n, c_{\Omega}, c'_{\Omega})}{\delta^p} r^{n-p}. \tag{33}$$

Conditions (31) and (32) geometrically mean that we require domain Ω to lie inside a cone symmetric about x_1 -axis and to consist of points with a positive distance to the hyperplane $\{x_1 = 0\}$.

Proof. Let $u(x) = H(|x|)(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be a radial p-harmonic mapping in Ω . Denote r := |x| the magnitude of vector x. Then $u^i(x) = H(r)x_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and

$$u_{x_j}^i(x) = \begin{cases} H'(r)\frac{x_ix_j}{r}, & \text{for } j \neq i, \\ H'(r)\frac{x_i^2}{r} + H(r), & \text{for } j = i. \end{cases}$$

Hence $|\nabla u^i|^2 = \sum_{j \neq i} (H' \frac{x_i x_j}{r})^2 + (H' \frac{x_i^2}{r} + H)^2 = (H')^2 x_i^2 + 2HH' \frac{x_i^2}{r} + H^2$. Upon computing $|\nabla u^i|^2 / |\nabla u^1|^2$ and summing over $i = 1, \ldots, n$ we get that

$$g := \frac{|Du|^2}{|\nabla u^1|^2} = \frac{|\nabla u^1|^2 + \ldots + |\nabla u^n|^2}{|\nabla u^1|^2} = \frac{(H')^2 r^2 + 2HH'r + nH^2}{(H')^2 x_1^2 + 2HH'\frac{x_1^2}{r} + H^2}.$$

Let $x_0 \in B_r$ and consider the following cases.

Case 1: $H(x_0) = 0$. Since, by assumptions we have that $H'(|x_0|) \neq 0$, then $g(x_0) = \frac{r^2}{(x_0)_1^2}$. Under the second part of assumption (31) we obtain that $|g(x_0)| \leq c(\operatorname{diam}(\Omega), c_{\Omega})$.

Case 2: $H(x_0) \neq 0$ and $H'(x_0) = 0$. Then $g(x_0) = n$.

Case 3: $H(x_0) \neq 0$ and $H'(x_0) \neq 0$. Then for $\eta := \eta(x_0) = \frac{H'(|x_0|)}{H(|x_0|)} |x_0|$ we have

$$g(x_0) = \frac{\eta(\eta+2) + n}{\frac{|(x_0)_1|^2}{|x_0|^2} \eta(\eta+2) + 1}.$$

Depending on the sign of $\eta(\eta + 2)$ we distinguish two cases:

- (a) If $\eta \ge 0$ or $\eta \le -2$, then $g(x_0) \le \eta(\eta + 2) + n = (\eta + 1)^2 + n 1$ and assumption (32) results in $g(x_0) \le (C+1)^2 + n 1$.
- (b) If $-2 \le \eta \le 0$, then $|g(x_0)| \le n \frac{|x_0|^2}{||x_0|^2 |(x_0)_1|^2|} \le nc'_{\Omega}$. In the last inequality we also used the first part of assumption (31).

Therefore we conclude that g is bounded by a constant $c(\operatorname{diam}(\Omega), n, c_{\Omega}, c'_{\Omega})$ for all $x \in \overline{B_r}$. Then assertion (33) follows immediately from (12) and (13).

3 The proof of Lemma 2

The first part of the proof is similar to the one of Lemma 1 (see also Granlund [11, Section 2] and Granlund–Marola [12, Lemma 2.6]). Let $k \geq 0$ and define $\psi(x) = \max\{\phi(u^1(x)) - k, 0\}$ for $x \in B_r$ with for $\overline{B_r} \subset \Omega$. Take $\xi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and define a test function $\eta(x) = \psi(x)(\phi'(u^1(x)))^{p-1}\xi^p(x)$. Then

$$\nabla \eta = \phi'(u^1)(\phi'(u^1))^{p-1}\xi^p\nabla u^1 + (p-1)\psi\phi''(u^1)(\phi'(u^1))^{p-2}\xi^p\nabla u^1 + p\psi(\phi'(u^1))^{p-1}\xi^{p-1}\nabla\xi.$$

Using $\nabla \eta$ in the first equation of p-harmonic system (3) we get the following inequality.

$$\int_{B_r} |Du|^{p-2} |\nabla u^1|^2 (\phi'(u^1))^p \xi^p + (p-1) \int_{B_r} |Du|^{p-2} |\nabla u^1|^2 \psi \phi''(u^1) (\phi'(u^1))^{p-2} \xi^p
\leq p \int_{B_r} |Du|^{p-2} |\nabla u^1| |\nabla \xi| \psi (\phi'(u^1))^{p-1} \xi^{p-1}.$$
(34)

We invoke property (4) of function ϕ and use it in the second integral on the left-hand side of the inequality. Since $\psi \geq 0$ in B_r we can drop the aforementioned integral. The Young inequality applied to the integral on the right-hand side gives us the estimate (cf. inequality (25)):

$$\begin{split} &p \int_{B_r} |Du|^{p-2} |\nabla u^1| |\nabla \xi| \psi(\phi'(u^1))^{p-1} \xi^{p-1} \\ &= p \int_{B_r} \left(|Du|^{(p-2)\frac{p-1}{p}} |\nabla u^1|^{2\frac{p-1}{p}} \phi'(u^1)^{p-1} \xi^{p-1} \right) \left(|Du|^{\frac{p-2}{p}} |\nabla u^1|^{1-2\frac{p-1}{p}} \psi |\nabla \xi| \right) \\ &\leq (p-1)\epsilon \int_{B_r} |Du|^{p-2} |\nabla u^1|^2 \phi'(u^1)^p \xi^p + \epsilon^{-p} \int_{B_r} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^1|} \right)^{p-2} \psi^p |\nabla \xi|^p. \end{split}$$

Upon choosing small enough value of $0 < \epsilon < 1$, e.g. $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2(p-1)}$ we include the first integral on the right-hand side of the inequality into the integral on the left-hand side of (34) and arrive at the following estimate (cf. (26) in Lemma 1):

$$\int_{B_{p}} |Du|^{p-2} |\nabla u^{1}|^{2} \phi'(u^{1})^{p} \xi^{p} \le c(p) \int_{B_{p}} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^{1}|} \right)^{p-2} \psi^{p} |\nabla \xi|^{p}. \tag{35}$$

We choose function ξ so that it satisfies: supp $\xi \subset B_r$, $0 \le \xi \le 1$, $\xi \equiv 1$ in $B_{(1-\delta)r}$ and $|\nabla \xi| \le \frac{c}{\delta r}$ in B_r . Note also, that by definition $\psi \equiv 0$ in $B_r \setminus A_{k,r}$. This and the choice of ξ in (35) lead us to the following inequality (cf. estimate (26) in Lemma 1):

$$\int_{A_{k,(1-\delta)r}} |Du|^{p-2} |\nabla u^1|^2 \phi'(u^1)^p \le \frac{c(p)}{(\delta r)^p} \int_{A_{k,r}} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^1|} \right)^{p-2} \psi^p.$$

The discussion similar to the one for Cases 1 and 2 in Lemma 1 (cf. inequalities (28) and (29)) gives us assertions (14) and (15).

The proofs of supremum estimates (16) (in both cases) require extra attention due to the appearance of expression $g:=\left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^1|}\right)^{p-2}$ under integrals (14) and (15) exploited in derivation of the supremum estimate. Note, that when the p-harmonic system (1) reduces to a single p-harmonic equation, then $|Du|=|\nabla u^1|$, and so $g\equiv 1$. In such a case we retrieve estimates from Lemma 2.6 in Granlund-Marola [12]. Here, we instead follow the method from a book by Giusti [10, Theorem 7.2] and adapt it to the vectorial case.

Using the notation analogous to [10], let $(1 - \delta)r \le \sigma r \le \tau r \le r$. At this point the discussion splits into three cases: (1) 1 , (2) <math>p > 2 according to estimates (14) and (15), respectively and (3) p = 2.

Case 1: 1 .

Let $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(\overline{B_{\frac{\sigma+\tau}{2}}}_r)$ such that $\eta \equiv 1$ on $B_{\sigma r}$ and $|\nabla \eta| \leq \frac{c}{(\tau-\sigma)r}$. Define $\xi(x) = \eta(x)\psi(x)$ for function ψ as in the first part of the proof. By the Hölder and the Sobolev inequalities we get

$$\int_{A_{k,\sigma r}} \psi^p \le \int_{A_{k,\sigma r}} \xi^p \le \left(\int_{A_{k,\sigma r}} \xi^{\frac{np}{n-p}} \right)^{1-\frac{p}{n}} |A_{k,\sigma r}|^{\frac{p}{n}} \le c_S |A_{k,\tau r}|^{\frac{p}{n}} \int_{A_{k,\sigma r}} |\nabla \xi|^p. \tag{36}$$

Using the definition of ξ we compute that $\nabla \xi = \psi \nabla \eta + \eta \nabla \psi$ and hence

$$\int_{A_{k,\sigma r}} \psi^p \le c_S |A_{k,\tau r}|^{\frac{p}{n}} \left(\int_{A_{k,\frac{\sigma+\tau}{2}r}} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p + \frac{1}{(\tau - \sigma)^p r^p} \int_{A_{k,\frac{\sigma+\tau}{2}r}} \psi^p \right). \tag{37}$$

Let $\alpha = \frac{p}{4}(2-p)$ and $\beta = p(1-\frac{p}{4})$. Then, the Young inequality applied with exponents $\frac{2-p}{\alpha} = \frac{4}{p}$ and its conjugate $\left(\frac{2-p}{\alpha}\right)' = \frac{2-p}{2-p-\alpha} = \frac{4}{4-p}$ gives us the following:

$$\int_{A_{k,\frac{\sigma+\tau}{2}r}} |\nabla \phi(u^{1})|^{p} = \int_{A_{k,\frac{\sigma+\tau}{2}r}} \left(|\nabla \phi(u^{1})|^{p-\beta} \left(\frac{|\nabla u^{1}|}{|Du|} \right)^{\alpha} \right) \left(|\phi'(u^{1})|^{\beta} |Du|^{\beta} \left(\frac{|\nabla u^{1}|}{|Du|} \right)^{\beta-\alpha} \right) \\
\leq \frac{p}{4} \int_{A_{k,\frac{\sigma+\tau}{2}r}} \left(\frac{|\nabla u^{1}|}{|Du|} \right)^{2-p} |\nabla \phi(u^{1})|^{p} + (1 - \frac{p}{4}) \int_{A_{k,\frac{\sigma+\tau}{2}r}} \left(\frac{|\nabla u^{1}|}{|Du|} \right)^{\frac{2p}{4-p}} |\phi'(u^{1})|^{p} |Du|^{p}. \tag{38}$$

In order to estimate further (38) for p in the given range we appeal to Tolksdorf's estimate [20] and note that $\left(\frac{|\nabla u^1|}{|Du|}\right)^{\frac{2p}{4-p}} < 1$. Then

$$\int_{A_{k,\frac{\sigma+\tau}{2}r}} \!\! \left(\! \frac{|\nabla u^1|}{|Du|} \!\right)^{\frac{2p}{4-p}} \!\! |\phi'(u^1)|^p |Du|^p \leq C(p,n) \, \|\phi'\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{\sigma+\tau}{2}r})}^p \left(\! \frac{2}{(\sigma+\tau)r} \!\right)^n \left(|B_{(\sigma+\tau)r}| + \|Du\|_{L^p(B_{(\sigma+\tau)r})}^p \right) |A_{k,\frac{\sigma+\tau}{2}r}|.$$

We use this inequality in the second integral on the right-hand side of (38). Moreover, we observe that under assumptions on σ and τ it holds that $\frac{\sigma+\tau}{2} \leq \tau$ and thus $A_{k,\frac{\sigma+\tau}{2}r} \subset A_{k,\tau r}$. We apply estimate (14) with $r := \tau r$ and δ such that $(1-\delta)r\tau := \frac{\sigma+\tau}{2}r$ in the first integral on the right-hand side of (38). In a consequence, estimate (37) takes the following form:

$$\int_{A_{k,\sigma r}} \psi^{p} \leq C(p, n, c_{S}) |A_{k,\tau r}|^{\frac{p}{n}} \left(\frac{2^{p}}{(\tau - \sigma)^{p} r^{p}} \int_{A_{k,\tau r}} \psi^{p} + \|\phi'\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{\frac{\sigma + \tau}{2}r})}^{p} \frac{2^{n}}{(\sigma + \tau)^{n} r^{n}} (r^{n} + \|Du\|_{L^{p}(B_{2r})}^{p}) |A_{k,\frac{\sigma + \tau}{2}r}| + \frac{1}{(\tau - \sigma)^{p} r^{p}} \int_{A_{k,\frac{\sigma + \tau}{2}r}} \psi^{p} \right).$$
(39)

If h < k, then

$$(k-h)^p |A_{k,\tau r}| \le \int_{A_{h,\tau r}} (\phi(u^1) - h)^p$$
 and $\int_{A_{k,\tau r}} (\phi(u^1) - k)^p \le \int_{A_{h,\tau r}} (\phi(u^1) - h)^p$.

Denote

$$C = C(p, n, c_S) \left[1 + \|\phi'\|_{L^{\infty}(B_r)}^p (r^n + \|Du\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}^p) \right].$$

Using these in (39) we obtain the following estimate:

$$\int_{A_{k,\sigma r}} (\phi(u^{1}) - k)^{p} \leq \frac{C|A_{k,\tau r}|^{\frac{p}{n}}}{(\sigma - \tau)^{p} r^{p}} \left(\int_{A_{h,\tau r}} (\phi(u^{1}) - h)^{p} + \frac{(\sigma - \tau)^{p} r^{p}}{(\sigma + \tau)^{n} r^{n}} |A_{k,\tau r}| \right) \\
\leq \frac{C}{(k - h)^{\frac{p^{2}}{n}} (\sigma - \tau)^{p} r^{p}} \left(\int_{A_{h,\tau r}} (\phi(u^{1}) - h)^{p} \right)^{1 + \frac{p}{n}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{(k - h)^{p}} \frac{(\sigma - \tau)^{p} r^{p}}{(\sigma + \tau)^{n} r^{n}} \right]. \tag{40}$$

We are in a position to use the iteration scheme as in Lemma 7.1 in Giusti book [10]. Indeed, for some d > 0, to be determined later, let us consider the following quantities:

$$k_i := 2d(1 - 2^{-i}), \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, \qquad k = k_{i+1}, \quad h = k_i, \quad k - h = \frac{d}{2^i}$$

 $\sigma_i := \delta + (1 - \delta)2^{-i}, \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \dots, \qquad \sigma = \sigma_{i+1}, \qquad \tau = \sigma_i.$

Hence

$$\tau - \sigma = (1 - \delta)2^{-i-1}, \qquad \tau + \sigma = 2\delta + (1 - \delta)3 \cdot 2^{-i-1}.$$

Finally, let

$$\phi_i := \int_{A_{k_i, \sigma_i}} (\phi(u^1) - k_i)^p.$$

With this notation inequality (40) reads:

$$\phi_{i+1} \le \frac{C}{(1-\delta)^p r^p} d^{-\frac{p^2}{n}} (1 + d^{-p} r^{p-n}) 2^{pi(1+p/n)} \phi_i^{1+\frac{p}{n}}, \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots$$

The claim of the second part of Lemma 2 for 1 follows from [10, Lemma 7.1], cf. the proof of Theorem 7.2 in [10]. Indeed, we set that for some <math>a > 0,

$$1 + d^{-p}r^{p-n} \le a.$$

By taking $B = 2^{p(1+p/n)}$, $\alpha = \frac{p}{n}$ and $c = \frac{Ca}{(1-\delta)^p r^p} d^{-\frac{p^2}{n}}$ we verify that the assumption of [10, Lemma 7.1] that $\phi_0 \leq c^{-1/\alpha} B^{-1/(\alpha^2)}$ leads to the following conditions:

$$d^{p} \ge \frac{(Ca)^{\frac{n}{p}}}{((1-\delta)r)^{n}} \int_{B_{r}} (\phi(u^{1}))^{p}$$
 and $(a-1)d^{p} \ge r^{p-n}$,

as $A_{0,r} = B_r$. Thus, by taking e.g. a = 2 we get that the above inequalities for

$$d^{p} = \frac{C^{\frac{n}{p}}}{((1-\delta)r)^{n}} \int_{B_{r}} (\phi(u^{1}))^{p} + r^{p-n}$$

and the claim follows, since by [10, Lemma 7.1] $\lim_{i\to\infty}\phi_i=0$ and so $\sup_{B_{\delta_n}}\phi(u^1)\leq 2d$.

Case 2: 2 .

We proceed similarly to the previous case. Upon using estimate (15) in (37) together with the fact that $\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^1|}$ is bounded by (8) and our assumptions, we obtain that

$$\int_{A_{k,\sigma r}} (\phi(u^1) - k)^p \le \frac{C_{\sup}}{((\sigma - \tau)r)^p} \left(\frac{1}{(k - h)^p} \int_{A_{h,\sigma r}} (\phi(u^1) - h)^p \right)^{1 + \frac{p}{n}}.$$
 (41)

Constant $C_{\sup} = c(p, n, c_S) \left(\frac{\|Du\|_{L^p(B_{2r})}}{c} r^{-\frac{n}{p}}\right)^{\frac{n}{p}(p-2)}$. The reasoning similar to the previous case gives us the claim for 2 . However, in this case we get the homogeneous estimate (19).

Case 3: p = 2.

If p = 2 and n > 2, then we follow the proof for $2 and since <math>\left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^1|}\right)^{p-2} \equiv 1$, assumption (18) and discussion at (38) are not needed and we obtain (19) with constant $C = c(p, n, c_S)$ in (20).

If p=2 and n=2, then in (36) we use a variant of the Sobolev inequality (see e.g. Corollary 1.57 in Malý–Ziemer [16]) and get

$$\int_{A_{k,\sigma r}} \psi^2 \le \int_{A_{k,\sigma r}} \xi^2 \le |A_{k,\tau r}| \int_{A_{k,\sigma r}} |\nabla \xi|^2.$$

This leads to the estimate similar to (41) and (19), while the resulting constant C depends on p, n and c_S .

Case 4: p = n.

As in the previous case, we use [16, Corollary 1.57] and obtain the following

$$\int_{A_{k,\sigma r}} \psi^n \le \int_{A_{k,\sigma r}} \xi^n \le |A_{k,\tau r}| \int_{A_{k,\sigma r}} |\nabla \xi|^n.$$

We follow the proof for the case of $2 and get <math>C_{\sup} = c(n) (\frac{\|Du\|_{L^n(B_{2r})}}{cr})^{n-2}$. Hence, the proof of Lemma 2 is completed.

4 The proof of Theorem

In the proof of Theorem we use the doubling property of the Lebesgue measure. This means, that for any ball $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ it holds that $\mathcal{L}^n(B_{2R}) \leq C\mathcal{L}^n(B_R)$, where $C = 2^n$. Below, we also appeal to the (1,p)-Poincaré inequality: if $v \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$, then

$$\int_{B_r} |v - v_{B_r}|^p \le Cr^p \int_{B_r} |\nabla v|^p,$$

where v_{B_r} denotes the mean value of v over the ball B_r and C depends on n and p.

Finally, the following auxiliary result is used in the proof of Theorem as well, see Theorem 4.20 in Adamowicz [1] and Appendix A.2 in Adamowicz [3].

Lemma 3. [3, Observation 2] Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a p-harmonic mapping in the domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If for some u^i , $i = 1, \ldots, n$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u^i \leq k$ on $\partial\Omega$, then $u^i \leq k$ in Ω .

Proof of Theorem. Our approach is based on Theorem 1.3 in Granlund-Marola [12]. However, the fact that now we are in the setting of mappings instead of scalar functions requires new type of the de Giorgi estimates

(cf. Lemma 1 and 2). Moreover, the dependence of those estimates on $||Du||_{L^p}$ and $||\phi'||_{L^{\infty}}$ requires additional efforts and caution.

Define the following function (keeping in mind that the exact value of r_3 will be determined later):

$$\phi(t) := -\log\left(\frac{M(r_3) - t + \epsilon}{M(r_3) - M(r_1) + \epsilon}\right) \quad \text{for } t \in (-\infty, M(r_3)), \tag{42}$$

for any but fixed $\epsilon > 0$. It is easy to check that ϕ is C^2 convex and satisfies conditions (4) and (5). Indeed, by computations we see that

$$\phi'(t) = \frac{1}{M(r_3) - t + \epsilon} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \phi''(t) = \frac{1}{(M(r_3) - t + \epsilon)^2} \quad \text{in } (-\infty, M(r_3)).$$
 (43)

Furthermore, since $\phi(u^1) < 0$ on B_{r_1} , it holds that function $\psi := \max\{\phi(u^1), 0\}$ satisfies $\psi \equiv 0$ on B_{r_1} , thus also the mean value of ψ vanishes, $\psi_{B_{r_1}} = 0$. This together with the (1, p)-Poincaré inequality and the doubling property of the Lebesgue measure (with doubling constant 2^n) implies

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r+r_2}{2}}} \psi^p \le \int_{B_{\frac{r+r_2}{2}}} \left| \psi - \psi_{B_{(r+r_2)/2}} + \left(\psi_{B_{(r+r_2)/2}} - \psi_{B_{r_1}} \right) \right|^p \\
\le 2^p \int_{B_{\frac{r+r_2}{2}}} \left| \psi - \psi_{B_{(r+r_2)/2}} \right|^p + 2^{p+n} \left(\int_{B_{\frac{r+r_2}{2}}} \left| \psi - \psi_{B_{(r+r_2)/2}} \right| \right)^p \\
\le C(p,n) \left(\frac{r+r_2}{2} \right)^p \int_{B_{\frac{r+r_2}{2}}} \left| \nabla \psi \right|^p. \tag{44}$$

We consider two cases.

Case 1: 1 . Recall the supremum estimate (16) from Lemma 2:

$$\left(\sup_{B_{(1-\delta)R}} \phi(u^1)\right)^p \le \frac{C_{\sup}}{(\delta R)^n} \int_{B_R} \phi(u^1)^p + R^{p-n},$$

where C_{sup} is constant in (17), cf. statement of Lemma 2. We apply this estimate with $R=(r+r_2)/2$ and $0<\delta=(r_2-r)/(r_2+r)<1$, then use the definition of function ψ and Poincaré-type estimate (44).

$$\left(\sup_{B_{r}}\phi(u^{1})\right)^{p} \leq C_{\sup}\left(\frac{2}{r_{2}-r}\right)^{n} \int_{B_{\frac{r+r_{2}}{2}}} \phi(u^{1})^{p} + \left(\frac{r+r_{2}}{2}\right)^{p-n} \\
\leq C_{\sup}\left(\frac{r+r_{2}}{r_{2}-r}\right)^{n} \left(\frac{2}{r+r_{2}}\right)^{n} \int_{B_{\frac{r+r_{2}}{2}}} \psi^{p} + \left(\frac{r+r_{2}}{2}\right)^{p-n} \\
\leq C_{\sup}\left(\frac{2cr_{2}}{cr_{2}-r_{1}}\right)^{n} \left(\frac{r+r_{2}}{2}\right)^{p-n} \int_{B_{\frac{r+r_{2}}{2}}} |\nabla\phi(u^{1})|^{p} + \left(\frac{r+r_{2}}{2}\right)^{p-n} \\
\leq C_{\sup}\left(\frac{2}{c+1}\right)^{p-n} \frac{1}{r_{2}^{n-p}} \int_{B_{\frac{r+r_{2}}{2}}} |\nabla\phi(u^{1})|^{p} + \left(\frac{2}{c+1}\right)^{p-n} \frac{1}{r_{2}^{n-p}}. \tag{45}$$

In the last step we included expression with r_1, r_2, c into the constant C_{\sup} . In order to use estimate (12) in (45) we need to use first the Young inequality with exponents $\frac{1}{\alpha} = 1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ and $\beta = 1 + \epsilon$, for some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$:

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_{\frac{r+r_2}{2}}} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p &= \int_{B_{\frac{r+r_2}{2}}} \left(\frac{|\nabla u^1|}{|Du|}\right)^{(2-p)\alpha} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^{p\alpha} \left(\frac{|\nabla u^1|}{|Du|}\right)^{(p-2)\alpha} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^{p(1-\alpha)} \\ &\leq \int_{B_{\frac{r+r_2}{2}}} \left(\frac{|\nabla u^1|}{|Du|}\right)^{2-p} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p + \int_{B_{\frac{r+r_2}{2}}} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^1|}\right)^{(2-p)\epsilon} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p. \end{split} \tag{46}$$

The second integral on the right-hand side of (46) can be easily estimated as follows:

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r+r_2}{2}}} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^1|} \right)^{(2-p)\epsilon} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p \le \|\phi'\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{r_2})}^p \|Du\|_{L^p(B_{r_2})}^p.$$

We use (12) from Lemma 1 with with r_2 and $\delta = \frac{r_2 - r}{2r_2}$ together with properties of radii (21). Then estimate (46) for the *p*-energy of $\phi(u^1)$ takes the following form:

$$\int_{B_{\frac{r+r_2}{2}}} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p \leq \left(\frac{2c}{cr_2 - r_1}\right)^p r_2^{n-p} + \|\phi'\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{r_2})}^p \|Du\|_{L^p(B_{r_2})}^p.$$

The expression on the right-hand side is similar to the one in C_{sup} . We use this observation and the last inequality in estimate (45) and obtain the following bound, which we in turn estimate using properties of function ϕ , see (43):

$$\left(\sup_{B_{r}}\phi(u^{1})\right)^{p} \leq C_{\sup} \frac{2^{p-n}}{(c+1)^{p-n}} \frac{1}{r_{2}^{n-p}} \left(C(p,r_{1},r_{2},c)r_{2}^{n-p} + \|\phi'\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{r_{2}})}^{p} \|Du\|_{L^{p}(B_{r_{2}})}^{p}\right) + \frac{2^{p-n}}{(c+1)^{p-n}} \frac{1}{r_{2}^{n-p}} \\
\leq \frac{C}{r_{2}^{n-p}} \left\{ \left(1 + \|\phi'\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{r_{2}})}^{p} (r_{2}^{n} + \|Du\|_{L^{p}(B_{2r_{2}})}^{p})\right) \left(r_{2}^{n-p} + \|\phi'\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{r_{2}})}^{p} \|Du\|_{L^{p}(B_{r_{2}})}^{p} + 1\right) \right\} \\
\leq C \left(1 + \|\phi'\|_{L^{\infty}(B_{r_{2}})}^{p} (r_{2}^{n} + \|Du\|_{L^{p}(B_{2r_{2}})}^{p})\right)^{2} \max\{1, r_{2}^{p-n}\} \\
\leq C \left(1 + \frac{1}{|M(r_{3}) - M(r_{2})|^{2p}} \left(r_{2}^{2n} + \|Du\|_{L^{p}(B_{2r_{2}})}^{2p}\right)\right) \max\{1, r_{2}^{p-n}\}. \tag{47}$$

Here $C=C(p,n,c,c_S,r_1,r_2)$. By the weak maximum principle in Lemma 3, it holds that $M(r_2) < M(r_3)$ for a non-constant u^1 and some $r_3 > r_2$. The continuity of u implies that maxima $M(r_2)$ and $M(r_3)$ are attained at some points, denote them by, $x_3 \in S_{r_3}$ and $x_2 \in S_{r_2}$. By the mean value theorem and the Tolksdorf estimate we get that

$$|u^{1}(x_{2}) - u^{1}(x_{0})| \leq \sup_{B_{r_{2}}} |Du| |x_{2} - x_{0}| \leq C(p, n) (r_{2}^{\frac{1}{p}} + ||Du||_{L^{p}(B_{2r_{2}})}) r_{2}^{1 - \frac{n}{p}}.$$

We now appeal to growth condition (22), to obtain the following estimate:

$$|M(r_3) - M(r_2)| = |u^1(x_3) - u^1(x_2)| \ge |u^1(x_3) - u^1(x_0)| - |u^1(x_2) - u^1(x_0)|$$

$$\ge Cr_3^{\alpha} - C(p, n)(r_2^{\frac{1}{p}} + ||Du||_{L^p(B_{2r_2})})r_2^{1 - \frac{n}{p}}.$$

We use this inequality on the right-hand side of (47) and notice that by taking sufficiently large r_3 , for instance such that

$$Cr_3^{\alpha} \ge (1 + r_2^{2n} + \|Du\|_{L^p(B_{2r_3})}^{2p})^{1/(2p)} + C(p, n)(r_2^{\frac{1}{p}} + \|Du\|_{L^p(B_{2r_2})})r_2^{1-\frac{n}{p}}$$

we get that the right-hand side of (47) can now be estimated by $A := C(p, n, c, c_S, r_1, r_2) \max\{1, r_2^{p-n}\}$. Observe that r_3 depends on n, p, r_2 and $||Du||_{L^p(B_{2r_2})}$, but not on r.

Case 2: $2 \le p < n$. We start from the estimate similar to (45). Namely, the supremum estimate (19) leads to the following inequality:

$$\left(\sup_{B_r} \phi(u^1)\right)^p \le C_{\sup} \left(\frac{2}{c+1}\right)^{p-n} \frac{1}{r_2^{n-p}} \int_{B_{\frac{r+r_2}{2}}} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^p.$$

We use Lemma 1 with r_2 and $\delta = \frac{r_2 - r}{2r_2}$ together with constant (20) from Lemma 2 and properties of radii

(21) to obtain the following inequalities:

$$\left(\sup_{B_{r}}\phi(u^{1})\right)^{p} \leq C_{\sup}\left(\frac{2}{c+1}\right)^{p-n} \frac{1}{r_{2}^{n-p}} \int_{B_{\frac{r+r_{2}}{2}}} |\nabla\phi(u^{1})|^{p} \\
\leq C_{\sup}\left(\frac{2}{c+1}\right)^{p-n} \frac{1}{r_{2}^{n-p}} \left(\frac{2}{r_{2}-r}\right)^{p} \int_{B_{r_{2}}} \left(\frac{|Du|}{|\nabla u^{1}|}\right)^{p-2} \\
\leq c(p,n,c_{S}) \left(\frac{\|Du\|_{L^{p}(B_{r+r_{2}})}}{c_{1}\left(\frac{r+r_{2}}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{p}}}\right)^{\frac{n}{p}(p-2)} \left(\frac{2}{r_{2}-r_{1}/c}\right)^{p} \frac{1}{r_{2}^{n-p}} \left(\frac{\|Du\|_{L^{p}(B_{2r_{2}})}}{c_{1}r_{2}^{\frac{n}{p}}}\right)^{\frac{n}{p}(p-2)} \\
\leq c(p,n,c_{S},c,r_{1},r_{2}) \left(\frac{\|Du\|_{L^{p}(B_{2r_{2}})}}{c_{1}}\right)^{2\frac{n}{p}(p-2)} . \tag{48}$$

Similarly to the previous case, we denote the constant on the right-hand side of the above inequality by A. Observe that for p = 2 the constant on the right-hand side of (48) depends only on p, n, c_S and c, r_1, r_2 due to (21).

Case 3: p = n. We discuss this case separately due to the importance of *n*-harmonic mappings in nonlinear analysis. As in the previous case we obtain the estimate similar to (45):

$$\left(\sup_{B_r} \phi(u^1)\right)^n \le C_{\sup} \left(\frac{2}{r_2 - r}\right)^n \int_{B_{\frac{r+r_2}{2}}} |\nabla \phi(u^1)|^n.$$

The reasoning analogous to Case 2 gives us that

$$\left(\sup_{B_r} \phi(u^1)\right)^n \le c(n) \left(\frac{\|Du\|_{L^n(B_{2r_2})}}{c_1}\right)^{2(n-2)} \left(\frac{r_1}{c} + r_2\right)^2 \frac{1}{r_2^{n-2}}.$$

As in the previous cases, we denote the constant on the right-hand side of the above inequality by A.

We are now in a position to complete the proof of Theorem. By our assumptions ϕ is strictly increasing and so we have that

$$\log\left(\frac{M(r_3) - M(r) + \epsilon}{M(r_3) - M(r_1) + \epsilon}\right) = -\sup_{B_r} \phi(u^1) \ge -A.$$

Note that in both cases: $1 and <math>p \ge 2$, constant A is independent of ϵ . Upon simplifying this inequality we arrive at the following:

$$M(r) \le e^{-A}M(r_1) + (1 - e^{-A})M(r_3) + (1 - e^{-A})\epsilon.$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0^+$ we reach the first assertion of theorem.

In order to prove the second assertion, we define a function

$$\phi(t) = -\log\left(\frac{t - m(r_3) + \epsilon}{m(r_1) - m(r_3) + \epsilon}\right) \quad \text{for } t \in [m(r_3), \infty).$$

$$(49)$$

Similarly to the proof of the first assertion, we verify that ϕ is C^2 convex and satisfies conditions (4) and (5). Indeed, by computations we see that

$$\phi'(t) = -\frac{1}{t - m(r_3) + \epsilon} < 0$$
 and $\phi''(t) = \frac{1}{(t - m(r_3) + \epsilon)^2}$ in $[m(r_3), \infty)$.

As in the case of maxima, we introduce a function $\psi := \max\{\phi(u^1), 0\}$ and show that $\psi \equiv 0$ on B_{r_1} . Then, following the steps of the proof for M(r) we reach conclusion that

$$\log\left(\frac{u^1(x) - m(r_3) + \epsilon}{m(r_1) - m(r_3) + \epsilon}\right) = -\sup_{x \in B_r} \phi(u^1(x)) \ge -A \qquad x \in B_r.$$

Thus,

$$u^{1}(x) \ge e^{-A}m(r_{1}) + (1 - e^{-A})m(r_{3}) - (1 - e^{-A})\epsilon.$$

The second assertion of the theorem now follows from taking $\epsilon \to 0^+$ and the proof of Theorem is completed.

Example 1. Let us comment on the existence of p-harmonic mappings satisfying assumptions (22) and (23) of Theorem. In order to do so, we employ radial p-harmonic mappings, cf. Lemma 1 and discussion in Section 2. Under the notation of Theorem, let us suppose that 1 and <math>u = H(|x|)x is a radial p-harmonic mapping in $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $H(x_0) = 0$ for $x_0 \in \Omega$. Then (22) reads:

$$|H(|x|)||x_1| \ge C|x|^{\alpha}$$
 for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{r_2}(x_0)$.

For instance, let Ω be such that $\operatorname{dist}(B_{r_2}(x_0), \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 = 0\}) > c$ and $H(|x|) = |x|^{\frac{2-p-n}{p-1}} + 1$, then computations at (30) reveal that u = H(|x|)x is p-harmonic in Ω and the above condition holds for $\alpha = \frac{2-p-n}{p-1}$, see also Adamowicz [1, Chapter 4.1] for further discussion on radial p-harmonics.

As for p > 2 and assumption (23), recall that by the proof of Lemma 1 we have that

$$|\nabla u^1|^2 = (H')^2 x_1^2 + 2HH' \frac{x_1^2}{r} + H^2 = \frac{x_1^2}{r^2} \left(\frac{H'}{H} + 1\right)^2 + 1 - \frac{x_1^2}{r^2} \ge 1 - \frac{x_1^2}{r^2}.$$

From this we infer, that $|\nabla u^1| > c$ follows from $(1 - \frac{x_1^2}{r^2})^{1/2} > c$, which in turn is satisfied e.g. if Ω is contained in cone-type domain $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{x_1^2}{r^2} < 1 - c\}$ provided that 0 < c < 1.

Remark 2. For $1 , Theorem can be proven in a modified version with radius <math>r_3 = r_2$ and without imposing the growth condition (22). Namely, for the proof of the first assertion we define function (cf. (42)):

$$\phi(t) := -\log\left(\frac{M(r_3) - t + 1}{M(r_3) - M(r_1) + 1}\right) \quad \text{for } t \in (-\infty, M(r_3)),$$

and the analogous function for the proof of the second assertion, cf. (49). Then $\|\phi'\|_{L^{\infty}} < 1$ and estimate (47) simplifies as follows:

$$\left(\sup_{B_r} \phi(u^1)\right)^p \le C(p, n, c, C_S) \left(1 + r_2^n + \|Du\|_{L^p(B_{2r_2})}^{2p}\right) \max\{1, r_2^{p-n}\}.$$

In such a case no additional growth restriction on u^1 is needed. However, the first assertion of Theorem takes the form:

$$M(r) \le CM(r_1) + (1 - C)M(r_2) + 1 - C,$$

where $C = C(n, p, C_S, r_1, r_2, c, \|Du\|_{L^p(B_{2r_2})}^{2p}).$

References

- [1] T. Adamowicz, On the geometry of p-harmonic mappings, thesis (Ph.D.)—Syracuse University, 2008.
- [2] T. ADAMOWICZ, On p-harmonic mappings in the plane, Nonlinear Anal., 71 (2009), 502-511.
- [3] T. Adamowicz, The geometry of planar p-harmonic mappings: convexity, level curves and the isoperimetric inequality, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa. Cl. Sci. (5), 14(2), June 2015, doi: 10.2422/2036 2145.201201_010.
- [4] G. Alessandrini, L. Rondi, E. Rosset, S. Vessella, *The stability for the Cauchy problem for elliptic equations*, Inverse Problems **25** (2009), no. 12, 123004, 47 pp.
- [5] N. ARAKELIAN, N. MATEVOSYAN, Three spheres theorem for harmonic functions, J. Contemp. Math. Anal. 34 (1999), no. 3, 19 (2000); translated from Izv. Nats. Akad. Nauk Armenii Mat. 34 (1999), no. 3, 5–13 (2001).
- [6] M. Bonk, J. Heinonen, Quasiregular mappings and cohomology, Acta Math. 186 (2001), no. 2, 219-238.
- [7] R. Brummelhuis, Three-spheres theorem for second order elliptic equations, J. Anal. Math. 65 (1995), 179–206.

- [8] T. H. COLDING, C. DE LELLIS, W. P. MINICOZZI, Three circles theorems for Schrödinger operators on cylindrical ends and geometric applications Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 61 (2008), no. 11, 1540–1602.
- [9] N. GAROFALO, F.-H. LIN, Unique continuation for elliptic operators: a geometric-variational approach, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 40 (1987), no. 3, 347–366.
- [10] E. Giusti, Direct methods in the calculus of variations, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2003.
- [11] S. Granlund, A Phragmén-Lindelöf principle for subsolutions of quasilinear equations, Manuscripta Math. 36 (1981/82), no. 3, 355–365.
- [12] S. Granlund, N. Marola, Arithmetic three-spheres theorems for quasilinear Riccati type inequalities, preprint, arXiv:1305.5664.
- [13] J. HADAMARD, Sur les fonctions entières, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 122 (1896), 1257–1258.
- [14] R. Hardt, F. Lin, Singularities for p-energy minimizing unit vectorfields on planar domains, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 3 (1995), no. 3, 311–341.
- [15] T. IWANIEC, J. ONNINEN, n-Harmonic Mappings Between Annuli: The Art of Integrating Free Lagrangians, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 218 (2012), no. 1023.
- [16] J. Malý, W. Ziemer, Fine regularity of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **51** American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [17] C.-L. Lin, S. Nagayasu, J.-N. Wang, Quantitative uniqueness for the power of the Laplacian with singular coefficients, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) 10(3) (2011), 513–529.
- [18] V. MIKLYUKOV, A. RASILA, M. VUORINEN, Three spheres theorem for p-harmonic functions, Houston J. Math. 33 (2007), no. 4, 1215–1230.
- [19] M. PROTTER, H. WEINBERGER, Maximum principles in differential equations, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1967.
- [20] P. Tolksdorf, Everywhere-regularity for some quasilinear systems with a lack of ellipticity, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **134** (1983), 241-266.
- [21] K. Uhlenbeck, Regularity for a class of non-linear elliptic systems, Acta Math. 138 (1977), 219-240.
- [22] R. VÝBORNÝ, The Hadamard three-circles theorems for partial differential equations, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1973), 81–84.