Push-forward of Hall-Littlewood classes

Piotr Pragacz
(IM PAN, Warszawa)

Introduction

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper map of varieties.

Introduction

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper map of varieties.

f gives rise to a map $f_* : A(X) \to A(Y)$ of the Chow groups induced by push-forward of cycles. It is called push-forward or Gysin map.

Introduction

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper map of varieties.

f gives rise to a map $f_* : A(X) \to A(Y)$ of the Chow groups induced by push-forward of cycles. It is called push-forward or Gysin map.

There are many formulas for Gysin maps. Those for degeneracy loci often involve determinants and Pfaffians.

Introduction

Let $f : X \to Y$ be a proper map of varieties.

f gives rise to a map $f_* : A(X) \to A(Y)$ of the Chow groups induced by push-forward of cycles. It is called push-forward or Gysin map.

There are many formulas for Gysin maps. Those for degeneracy loci often involve determinants and Pfaffians.

We shall use them simultaneously by means of Hall-Littlewood classes associated with a vector bundle $E \to X$ of rank n with Chern roots $x_1, \ldots x_n$.

Let $s_i(E)$ denotes the ith complete symmetric function in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, or $(-1)^i$ (i-th Segre class from Fulton's IT).

Let $s_i(E)$ denotes the ith complete symmetric function in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, or $(-1)^i$ (i-th Segre class from Fulton's IT).

Given a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0)$, we set

$$s_\lambda(E) = \left| s_{\lambda_i - i + j}(E) \right|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}.$$

Let $s_i(E)$ denotes the ith complete symmetric function in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, or $(-1)^i$ (i-th Segre class from Fulton's IT).

Given a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0)$, we set

$$s_\lambda(E) = \left| s_{\lambda_i - i + j}(E) \right|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} .$$

For $q \leq n$, let $\pi : G^q(E) \to X$ be the Grassmann bundle parametrizing rank q quotients of E. It is endowed with the universal exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow \pi^* E \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

where $\mathrm{rank}(Q) = q$. Let $r = n - q$.

Let $s_i(E)$ denotes the ith complete symmetric function in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, or $(-1)^i$ (i-th Segre class from Fulton's IT).

Given a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0)$, we set

$$s_\lambda(E) = \left| s_{\lambda_i - i + j}(E) \right|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}.$$

For $q \leq n$, let $\pi : G^q(E) \to X$ be the Grassmann bundle parametrizing rank q quotients of E. It is endowed with the universal exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow \pi^* E \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathrm{rank}(Q) = q$. Let $r = n - q$.

Then for any partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_q)$, $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r)$,

$$\pi_*\big(s_\lambda(Q) \cdot s_\mu(S)\big) = s_{\lambda_1 - r, \ldots, \lambda_q - r, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r}(E).$$

Want: degeneracy loci formulas for maps with symmetries.
We shall use Pfaffians.

Want: degeneracy loci formulas for maps with symmetries. We shall use Pfaffians.

Consider Schur P-functions $P_\lambda(E) = P_\lambda$ defined as follows. For a strict partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \ldots > \lambda_k > 0)$ with odd k,

$$P_\lambda = P_{\lambda_1} P_{\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_k} - P_{\lambda_2} P_{\lambda_1,\lambda_3,\ldots,\lambda_k} + \cdots + P_{\lambda_k} P_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1}} ,$$

Want: degeneracy loci formulas for maps with symmetries.
We shall use Pfaffians.

Consider Schur P-functions $P_\lambda(E) = P_\lambda$ defined as follows.
For a strict partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \ldots > \lambda_k > 0)$ with odd k,

$$P_\lambda = P_{\lambda_1} P_{\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_k} - P_{\lambda_2} P_{\lambda_1,\lambda_3,\ldots,\lambda_k} + \cdots + P_{\lambda_k} P_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1}} ,$$

and with even k,

$$P_\lambda = P_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} P_{\lambda_3,\ldots,\lambda_k} - P_{\lambda_1,\lambda_3} P_{\lambda_2,\lambda_4,\ldots,\lambda_k} + \cdots + P_{\lambda_1,\lambda_k} P_{\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1}} .$$

Want: degeneracy loci formulas for maps with symmetries.
We shall use Pfaffians.

Consider Schur P-functions $P_\lambda(E) = P_\lambda$ defined as follows.
For a strict partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \ldots > \lambda_k > 0)$ with odd k,

$$P_\lambda = P_{\lambda_1} P_{\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_k} - P_{\lambda_2} P_{\lambda_1,\lambda_3,\ldots,\lambda_k} + \cdots + P_{\lambda_k} P_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1}}\,,$$

and with even k,

$$P_\lambda = P_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} P_{\lambda_3,\ldots,\lambda_k} - P_{\lambda_1,\lambda_3} P_{\lambda_2,\lambda_4,\ldots,\lambda_k} + \cdots + P_{\lambda_1,\lambda_k} P_{\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1}}\,.$$

Here, $P_i = \sum s_\mu$, the sum over all hook partitions μ of i,

Want: degeneracy loci formulas for maps with symmetries.
We shall use Pfaffians.

Consider Schur P-functions $P_\lambda(E) = P_\lambda$ defined as follows.
For a strict partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1 > \ldots > \lambda_k > 0)$ with odd k,

$$P_\lambda = P_{\lambda_1} P_{\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_k} - P_{\lambda_2} P_{\lambda_1,\lambda_3,\ldots,\lambda_k} + \cdots + P_{\lambda_k} P_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1}} ,$$

and with even k,

$$P_\lambda = P_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2} P_{\lambda_3,\ldots,\lambda_k} - P_{\lambda_1,\lambda_3} P_{\lambda_2,\lambda_4,\ldots,\lambda_k} + \cdots + P_{\lambda_1,\lambda_k} P_{\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_{k-1}} .$$

Here, $P_i = \sum s_\mu$, the sum over all hook partitions μ of i,

and for positive $i > j$ we set

$$P_{i,j} = P_i P_j + 2 \sum_{d=1}^{j-1} (-1)^d P_{i+d} P_{j-d} + (-1)^j P_{i+j} .$$

Want:

$$\pi_*\big(c_{qr}(Q\otimes S)P_\lambda(Q)P_\mu(S)\big) = ?$$

Want:

$$\pi_*\big(c_{qr}(Q \otimes S)P_\lambda(Q)P_\mu(S)\big) = ?$$

If $l(\lambda) = q$, $\mu = 0$, we get $P_\lambda(E)$.

Want:

$$\pi_*\big(c_{qr}(Q \otimes S)P_\lambda(Q)P_\mu(S)\big) = ?$$

If $l(\lambda) = q$, $\mu = 0$, we get $P_\lambda(E)$.

If $l(\lambda) = q - 1$, $\mu = 0$, we get $P_\lambda(E)$ for $n - q$ even.

Want:

$$\pi_*\big(c_{qr}(Q \otimes S)P_\lambda(Q)P_\mu(S)\big) =?$$

If $l(\lambda) = q$, $\mu = 0$, we get $P_\lambda(E)$.

If $l(\lambda) = q - 1$, $\mu = 0$, we get $P_\lambda(E)$ for $n - q$ even.

If $l(\lambda) = q - 1$, $\mu = 0$, we get 0 for $n - q$ odd.

Want:

$$\pi_*\big(c_{qr}(Q \otimes S)P_\lambda(Q)P_\mu(S)\big) = ?$$

If $l(\lambda) = q$, $\mu = 0$, we get $P_\lambda(E)$.

If $l(\lambda) = q - 1$, $\mu = 0$, we get $P_\lambda(E)$ for $n - q$ even.

If $l(\lambda) = q - 1$, $\mu = 0$, we get 0 for $n - q$ odd.

If $n = 15$, $q = 7$, $l(\lambda) = 3$, $l(\mu) = 4$, then

$$\pi_*(c_{56}(Q \otimes S) \cdot P_{931}(Q) \cdot P_{7542}(S)) = (-6)P_{9754321}(E)\,.$$

Let $\tau_E : Fl(E) \to X$ be the complete flag bundle parametrizing flags of quotients of E of ranks $n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1$.

Let $\tau_E : Fl(E) \to X$ be the complete flag bundle parametrizing flags of quotients of E of ranks $n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1$.

Let t be a variable. The main formula will be located in $A(X)[t]$ or $H(X)[t]$.

Let $\tau_E : Fl(E) \to X$ be the complete flag bundle parametrizing flags of quotients of E of ranks $n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1$.

Let t be a variable. The main formula will be located in $A(X)[t]$ or $H(X)[t]$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ be sequence of nonnegative integers. Define

$$R_\lambda(E; t) = (\tau_E)_* \left(x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n} \prod_{i<j} (x_i - t x_j) \right),$$

where $(\tau_E)_*$ acts on each coefficient of the polynomial in t separately.

Let $\tau_E : Fl(E) \to X$ be the complete flag bundle parametrizing flags of quotients of E of ranks $n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1$.

Let t be a variable. The main formula will be located in $A(X)[t]$ or $H(X)[t]$.

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ be sequence of nonnegative integers. Define

$$R_\lambda(E;t) = (\tau_E)_* \Big(x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n} \prod_{i<j} (x_i - t x_j) \Big) ,$$

where $(\tau_E)_*$ acts on each coefficient of the polynomial in t separately.

This is not an important polynomial but it will give rise to an important Hall-Littlewood class.

Proposition

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{q}_{\geq 0}$ *and* $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-q}_{\geq 0}$ *then*

$$\pi_*\Big(R_\lambda(Q;t)R_\mu(S;t)\prod_{i\leq q<j}(x_i - tx_j)\Big) = R_{\lambda\mu}(E;t),$$

where $\lambda\mu = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_q, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r)$ *is the juxtaposition of* λ *and* μ.

Proposition

If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{q}$ *and* $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n-q}$ *then*

$$\pi_*\Big(R_\lambda(Q;t)R_\mu(S;t)\prod_{i\leq q<j}(x_i - tx_j)\Big) = R_{\lambda\mu}(E;t),$$

where $\lambda\mu = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_q, \mu_1, \ldots, \mu_r)$ *is the juxtaposition of* λ *and* μ.

This is seen from a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Fl(Q) \times_{G^q(E)} Fl(S) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Fl(E) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_Q \times \tau_S} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_E} \\ G^q(E) & \xrightarrow[\pi]{} & X \end{array}$$

which gives

$$\pi_*(\tau_Q \times \tau_S)_* = (\tau_E)_* \,.$$

Suppose that $x_1 \ldots, x_q$ are the Chern roots of Q and $x_{q+1}, \ldots, x_n$ are the ones of S.

Suppose that $x_1 \dots, x_q$ are the Chern roots of Q and $x_{q+1}, \dots, x_n$ are the ones of S. Let $x^\lambda = x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_q^{\lambda_q}$ and $x^\mu = x_{q+1}^{\mu_1} \cdots x_n^{\mu_r}$.

Suppose that $x_1 \dots, x_q$ are the Chern roots of Q and $x_{q+1}, \dots, x_n$ are the ones of S. Let $x^\lambda = x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_q^{\lambda_q}$ and $x^\mu = x_{q+1}^{\mu_1} \cdots x_n^{\mu_r}$.

It follows from the above equality:

$$\pi_*\big(R_\lambda(Q;t)R_\mu(S;t) \prod_{i \le q < j} (x_i - tx_j)\big)$$

Suppose that $x_1 \dots, x_q$ are the Chern roots of Q and $x_{q+1}, \dots, x_n$ are the ones of S. Let $x^\lambda = x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_q^{\lambda_q}$ and $x^\mu = x_{q+1}^{\mu_1} \cdots x_n^{\mu_r}$.

It follows from the above equality:

$$\pi_*\Big(R_\lambda(Q;t)R_\mu(S;t) \prod_{i\leq q<j}(x_i - tx_j)\Big)$$

$$= \pi_*\Big((\tau_Q)_*\Big(x^\lambda \prod_{i<j\leq q}(x_i - tx_j)\Big) \cdot (\tau_S)_*\Big(x^\mu \prod_{q<i<j}(x_i - tx_j)\Big) \prod_{i\leq q<j}(x_i - tx_j)$$

Suppose that $x_1 \dots, x_q$ are the Chern roots of Q and $x_{q+1}, \dots, x_n$ are the ones of S. Let $x^\lambda = x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_q^{\lambda_q}$ and $x^\mu = x_{q+1}^{\mu_1} \cdots x_n^{\mu_r}$.

It follows from the above equality:

$$\pi_*\Big(R_\lambda(Q;t)R_\mu(S;t) \prod_{i\le q<j}(x_i - tx_j)\Big)$$

$$= \pi_*\Big((\tau_Q)_*\big(x^\lambda \prod_{i<j\le q}(x_i - tx_j)\big) \cdot (\tau_S)_*\big(x^\mu \prod_{q<i<j}(x_i - tx_j)\big) \prod_{i\le q<j}(x_i - tx_j)$$

$$= \pi_*(\tau_Q \times \tau_S)_*\Big(x^\lambda \prod_{i<j\le q}(x_i - tx_j)\; x^\mu \prod_{q<i<j}(x_i - tx_j) \prod_{i\le q<j}(x_i - tx_j)\Big)$$

Suppose that $x_1 \dots, x_q$ are the Chern roots of Q and $x_{q+1}, \dots, x_n$ are the ones of S. Let $x^\lambda = x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_q^{\lambda_q}$ and $x^\mu = x_{q+1}^{\mu_1} \cdots x_n^{\mu_r}$.

It follows from the above equality:

$$\pi_*\Big(R_\lambda(Q;t)R_\mu(S;t) \prod_{i\le q<j} (x_i - tx_j)\Big)$$

$$= \pi_*\Big((\tau_Q)_*\big(x^\lambda \prod_{i<j\le q} (x_i - tx_j)\big) \cdot (\tau_S)_*\big(x^\mu \prod_{q<i<j} (x_i - tx_j)\big) \prod_{i\le q<j} (x_i - tx_j)$$

$$= \pi_*(\tau_Q \times \tau_S)_*\Big(x^\lambda \prod_{i<j\le q} (x_i - tx_j)\ x^\mu \prod_{q<i<j} (x_i - tx_j) \prod_{i\le q<j} (x_i - tx_j)\Big)$$

$$= (\tau_E)_*(x^\lambda\ x^\mu \prod_{i<j} (x_i - tx_j)) = R_{\lambda\mu}(E;t)\,.$$

Suppose that $x_1 \dots, x_q$ are the Chern roots of Q and $x_{q+1}, \dots, x_n$ are the ones of S. Let $x^\lambda = x_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots x_q^{\lambda_q}$ and $x^\mu = x_{q+1}^{\mu_1} \cdots x_n^{\mu_r}$.

It follows from the above equality:

$$\pi_*\Big(R_\lambda(Q;t) R_\mu(S;t) \prod_{i \le q < j} (x_i - tx_j)\Big)$$
$$= \pi_*\Big((\tau_Q)_*\big(x^\lambda \prod_{i<j\le q} (x_i - tx_j)\big) \cdot (\tau_S)_*\big(x^\mu \prod_{q<i<j} (x_i - tx_j)\big) \prod_{i \le q < j} (x_i - tx_j)$$
$$= \pi_*(\tau_Q \times \tau_S)_*\Big(x^\lambda \prod_{i<j\le q} (x_i - tx_j)\ x^\mu \prod_{q<i<j} (x_i - tx_j) \prod_{i \le q < j} (x_i - tx_j)\Big)$$
$$= (\tau_E)_*(x^\lambda\ x^\mu \prod_{i<j} (x_i - tx_j)) = R_{\lambda\mu}(E;t)\,.$$

$$\textstyle\prod_{i<j\le q}(x_i - tx_j) \prod_{q<i<j}(x_i - tx_j) \prod_{i\le q<j}(x_i - tx_j) = \prod_{i<j}(x_i - tx_j)\,.$$

$$v_m(t) := \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t} = (1+t)(1+t+t^2)\cdots(1+t+\cdots+t^{m-1}).$$

$$v_m(t) := \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t} = (1+t)(1+t+t^2)\cdots(1+t+\cdots+t^{m-1}).$$

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$. Consider the maximal subsets I_1,...,I_d in $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, where the sequence λ is constant.

$$v_m(t) := \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t} = (1+t)(1+t+t^2)\cdots(1+t+\cdots+t^{m-1}).$$

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$. Consider the maximal subsets $I_1,\ldots,I_d$ in $\{1,\ldots,n\}$, where the sequence λ is constant.

Let $m_1,\ldots,m_d$ be the cardinalities of $I_1,\ldots,I_d$. So we have $m_1+\cdots+m_d = n$.

$$v_m(t) := \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t} = (1+t)(1+t+t^2)\cdots(1+t+\cdots+t^{m-1}).$$

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$. Consider the maximal subsets I_1,...,I_d in $\{1,\ldots,n\}$, where the sequence λ is constant.

Let $m_1,\ldots,m_d$ be the cardinalities of I_1,...,I_d. So we have $m_1+\cdots+m_d=n$.

Let S_n be the symmetric group of permutations of $\{1,\ldots,n\}$. We define the stabilizer of λ:

$$S_n^\lambda = \{w \in S_n : \lambda_{w(i)} = \lambda_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

We have $S_n^\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^d S_{m_i}$.

We have $S_n^\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^d S_{m_i}$.

Also we set $v_\lambda = v_\lambda(t) := \prod_{i=1}^d v_{m_i}(t)$.

We have $S_n^\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^d S_{m_i}$.

Also we set $v_\lambda = v_\lambda(t) := \prod_{i=1}^d v_{m_i}(t)$.

Example. $\lambda = (9, 9, 9, 8, 0, 0, 8, 8, 8, 8, 9)$, $n = 11$

We have $S_n^\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^d S_{m_i}$.

Also we set $v_\lambda = v_\lambda(t) := \prod_{i=1}^d v_{m_i}(t)$.

Example. $\lambda = (9,9,9,8,0,0,8,8,8,8,9)$, $n = 11$

$I_1 = \{1,2,3,11\}$, $I_2 = \{4,7,8,9,10\}$, $I_3 = \{5,6\}$

We have $S_n^\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^d S_{m_i}$.

Also we set $v_\lambda = v_\lambda(t) := \prod_{i=1}^d v_{m_i}(t)$.

Example. $\lambda = (9, 9, 9, 8, 0, 0, 8, 8, 8, 8, 9)$, $n = 11$

$I_1 = \{1, 2, 3, 11\}$, $I_2 = \{4, 7, 8, 9, 10\}$, $I_3 = \{5, 6\}$

$m_1 = 4$, $m_2 = 5$, $m_3 = 2$

We have $S_n^\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^d S_{m_i}$.

Also we set $v_\lambda = v_\lambda(t) := \prod_{i=1}^d v_{m_i}(t)$.

Example. $\lambda = (9,9,9,8,0,0,8,8,8,8,9)$, $n = 11$

$I_1 = \{1,2,3,11\}$, $I_2 = \{4,7,8,9,10\}$, $I_3 = \{5,6\}$

$m_1 = 4$, $m_2 = 5$, $m_3 = 2$

$v_\lambda = v_4 v_5 v_2$, $S_{11}^\lambda = S_4 \times S_5 \times S_2$.

We have $S_n^\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^d S_{m_i}$.

Also we set $v_\lambda = v_\lambda(t) := \prod_{i=1}^d v_{m_i}(t)$.

Example. $\lambda = (9,9,9,8,0,0,8,8,8,8,9)$, $n = 11$

$I_1 = \{1,2,3,11\}$, $I_2 = \{4,7,8,9,10\}$, $I_3 = \{5,6\}$

$m_1 = 4$, $m_2 = 5$, $m_3 = 2$

$v_\lambda = v_4 v_5 v_2$, $S_{11}^\lambda = S_4 \times S_5 \times S_2$.

Example. Let $\nu = (\nu_1 > \ldots > \nu_k > 0)$ be a strict partition with $k \leq n$.

We have $S_n^\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^d S_{m_i}$.

Also we set $v_\lambda = v_\lambda(t) := \prod_{i=1}^d v_{m_i}(t)$.

Example. $\lambda = (9,9,9,8,0,0,8,8,8,8,9)$, $n = 11$

$I_1 = \{1,2,3,11\}$, $I_2 = \{4,7,8,9,10\}$, $I_3 = \{5,6\}$

$m_1 = 4$, $m_2 = 5$, $m_3 = 2$

$v_\lambda = v_4 v_5 v_2$, $S_{11}^\lambda = S_4 \times S_5 \times S_2$.

Example. Let $\nu = (\nu_1 > \ldots > \nu_k > 0)$ be a strict partition with $k \leq n$.

Let $\lambda = \nu 0^{n-k}$ be the sequence ν with $n-k$ zeros added at the end.

We have $S_n^\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^d S_{m_i}$.

Also we set $v_\lambda = v_\lambda(t) := \prod_{i=1}^d v_{m_i}(t)$.

Example. $\lambda = (9,9,9,8,0,0,8,8,8,8,9)$, $n = 11$

$I_1 = \{1,2,3,11\}$, $I_2 = \{4,7,8,9,10\}$, $I_3 = \{5,6\}$

$m_1 = 4$, $m_2 = 5$, $m_3 = 2$

$v_\lambda = v_4 v_5 v_2$, $S_{11}^\lambda = S_4 \times S_5 \times S_2$.

Example. Let $\nu = (\nu_1 > \ldots > \nu_k > 0)$ be a strict partition with $k \leq n$.

Let $\lambda = \nu 0^{n-k}$ be the sequence ν with $n-k$ zeros added at the end.

Then $d = k+1$, $(m_1, \ldots, m_d) = (1^k, n-k)$, $v_\lambda(t) = v_{n-k}(t)$, $S_n^\lambda = (S_1)^k \times S_{n-k}$.

We shall now need some results from Macdonald's book. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ and t be independent indeterminates.

We shall now need some results from Macdonald's book. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ and t be independent indeterminates.

Lemma

(Mcd p.207) We have

$$\sum_{w \in S_n} w\Big(\prod_{i<j} \frac{y_i - ty_j}{y_i - y_j}\Big) = v_n(t)\,.$$

We shall now need some results from Macdonald's book. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ and t be independent indeterminates.

Lemma

(Mcd p.207) We have

$$\sum_{w \in S_n} w\Big(\prod_{i<j} \frac{y_i - ty_j}{y_i - y_j}\Big) = v_n(t)\,.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ we set $y^\lambda = y_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots y_n^{\lambda_n}$ and define

We shall now need some results from Macdonald's book. Let $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ and t be independent indeterminates.

Lemma

(Mcd p.207) We have

$$\sum_{w \in S_n} w\Big(\prod_{i<j} \frac{y_i - ty_j}{y_i - y_j}\Big) = v_n(t)\,.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$ we set $y^\lambda = y_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots y_n^{\lambda_n}$ and define

$$R_\lambda(y_1, \ldots, y_n; t) = \sum_{w \in S_n} w\Big(y^\lambda \prod_{i<j} \frac{y_i - ty_j}{y_i - y_j}\Big),$$

i.e. an expression modeled on the class $R_\lambda(E;t)$.

Proposition

The polynomial $v_\lambda(t)$ *divides* $R_\lambda(y_1, \ldots, y_n; t)$, *and we have*

$$R_\lambda(y_1, \ldots, y_n; t) = v_\lambda(t) \sum_{w \in S_n/S_n^\lambda} w\left(y^\lambda \prod_{i<j, \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j} \frac{y_i - ty_j}{y_i - y_j}\right).$$

Proposition

The polynomial $v_\lambda(t)$ *divides* $R_\lambda(y_1,\dots,y_n;t)$, *and we have*

$$R_\lambda(y_1,\dots,y_n;t)=v_\lambda(t)\sum_{w\in S_n/S_n^\lambda} w\Big(y^\lambda\prod_{i<j,\lambda_i\neq\lambda_j}\frac{y_i-ty_j}{y_i-y_j}\Big)\,.$$

Proof. Any $w\in S_n$ which permutes only the digits from I_1 will fix the monomial y^λ, and by Lemma used for S_{m_1}, we can extract a factor $v_{m_1}(t)$ from R_λ.

Proposition

The polynomial $v_\lambda(t)$ divides $R_\lambda(y_1,\ldots,y_n;t)$, and we have

$$R_\lambda(y_1,\ldots,y_n;t) = v_\lambda(t) \sum_{w\in S_n/S_n^\lambda} w\Big(y^\lambda \prod_{i<j,\lambda_i\neq\lambda_j} \frac{y_i - ty_j}{y_i - y_j}\Big)\,.$$

Proof. Any $w \in S_n$ which permutes only the digits from I_1 will fix the monomial y^λ, and by Lemma used for S_{m_1}, we can extract a factor $v_{m_1}(t)$ from R_λ.

Repeating this procedure for I_2,...,I_d and S_{m_2}, ..., S_{m_d}, we extract succesively factors $v_{m_2}(t)$, ..., $v_{m_d}(t)$ from R_λ, i.e. a factor $v_\lambda(t)$, and get the assertion. QED

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$. Extending Mcd, we set

$$P_\lambda(E;t) := \frac{1}{v_\lambda(t)} R_\lambda(E;t)$$

and call it *Hall-Littlewood class*.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$. Extending Mcd, we set

$$P_\lambda(E;t) := \frac{1}{v_\lambda(t)} R_\lambda(E;t)$$

and call it *Hall-Littlewood class*.

It follows from Proposition that $P_\lambda(E;t)$ is a polynomial in the Chern classes of E and t.

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$. Extending Mcd, we set

$$P_\lambda(E;t) := \frac{1}{v_\lambda(t)} R_\lambda(E;t)$$

and call it *Hall-Littlewood class*.

It follows from Proposition that $P_\lambda(E;t)$ is a polynomial in the Chern classes of E and t.

As a consequence of the two Propositions and the definition of $P_\lambda(E;t)$, we get

Theorem

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^q_{\geq 0}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-q}_{\geq 0}$. We then have

$$\pi_*\Big(\prod_{i \leq q < j} (x_i - t x_j) P_\lambda(Q;t) P_\mu(S;t)\Big) = \frac{v_{\lambda\mu}(t)}{v_\lambda(t) v_\mu(t)} P_{\lambda\mu}(E;t)\,.$$

We look at the specialization $t = 0$.

We look at the specialization $t = 0$.

We invoke the Jacobi-Trudi formula for $s_\lambda(E)$ with the help of the Gysin map associated to $\tau_E : Fl(E) \to X$:

$$s_\lambda(E) = (\tau_E)_*(x_1^{\lambda_1+n-1} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n}).$$

We look at the specialization $t = 0$.

We invoke the Jacobi-Trudi formula for $s_\lambda(E)$ with the help of the Gysin map associated to $\tau_E : Fl(E) \to X$:

$$s_\lambda(E) = (\tau_E)_*(x_1^{\lambda_1+n-1} \cdots x_n^{\lambda_n}).$$

We see that $P_\lambda(E;t) = s_\lambda(E)$ for $t = 0$. Under this specialization, Theorem becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_*\big((x_1 \cdots x_q)^r s_\lambda(Q) s_\mu(S)\big) &= \pi_*\big(s_{\lambda_1+r,\dots,\lambda_q+r}(Q) s_\mu(S)\big) \\ &= s_{\lambda\mu}(E)\,.\end{aligned}$$

(Józefiak-Lascoux-P)

If a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ is not a partition, then $s_\lambda(E)$ is either 0 or $\pm s_\mu(E)$ for some partition μ.

If a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is not a partition, then $s_\lambda(E)$ is either 0 or $\pm s_\mu(E)$ for some partition μ.

One can rearrange λ by a sequence of operations $(\dots, i, j, \dots) \mapsto (\dots, j-1, i+1, \dots)$ applied to pairs of successive integers.

If a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ is not a partition, then $s_\lambda(E)$ is either 0 or $\pm s_\mu(E)$ for some partition μ.

One can rearrange λ by a sequence of operations $(\ldots, i, j, \ldots) \mapsto (\ldots, j-1, i+1, \ldots)$ applied to pairs of successive integers.

Either one arrives at a sequence of the form $(\ldots, i, i+1, \ldots)$, in which case $s_\lambda(E) = 0$, or one arrives in d steps at a partition μ, and then $s_\lambda(E) = (-1)^d s_\mu(E)$.

Pair of strict partitions ν, σ:

Pair of strict partitions ν, σ:

$$\nu = (\nu_1 > \ldots > \nu_k > 0), \quad k \leq q, \quad \lambda := \nu 0^{q-k}$$

Pair of strict partitions ν, σ:

$$\nu = (\nu_1 > \ldots > \nu_k > 0), \quad k \le q, \quad \lambda := \nu 0^{q-k}$$

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1 > \ldots > \sigma_h > 0), \quad h \le n-q, \quad \mu := \sigma 0^{n-q-h}$$

Pair of strict partitions ν, σ:

$$\nu = (\nu_1 > \ldots > \nu_k > 0), \quad k \leq q, \quad \lambda := \nu 0^{q-k}$$

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1 > \ldots > \sigma_h > 0), \quad h \leq n-q, \quad \mu := \sigma 0^{n-q-h}$$

$$v_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{q-k} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t}$$

Pair of strict partitions ν, σ:

$$\nu = (\nu_1 > \ldots > \nu_k > 0), \quad k \le q, \quad \lambda := \nu 0^{q-k}$$

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1 > \ldots > \sigma_h > 0), \quad h \le n-q, \quad \mu := \sigma 0^{n-q-h}$$

$$v_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{q-k} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t}$$

$$v_\mu = \prod_{i=1}^{n-q-h} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t}$$

Pair of strict partitions ν, σ:

$$\nu = (\nu_1 > \ldots > \nu_k > 0), \quad k \le q, \quad \lambda := \nu 0^{q-k}$$

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1 > \ldots > \sigma_h > 0), \quad h \le n-q, \quad \mu := \sigma 0^{n-q-h}$$

$$v_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{q-k} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t}$$

$$v_\mu = \prod_{i=1}^{n-q-h} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t}$$

$$v_{\lambda\mu} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-k-h} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t} \right)^e$$

Pair of strict partitions ν, σ:

$$\nu = (\nu_1 > \ldots > \nu_k > 0), \quad k \leq q, \quad \lambda := \nu 0^{q-k}$$

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1 > \ldots > \sigma_h > 0), \quad h \leq n-q, \quad \mu := \sigma 0^{n-q-h}$$

$$v_\lambda = \prod_{i=1}^{q-k} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t}$$

$$v_\mu = \prod_{i=1}^{n-q-h} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t}$$

$$v_{\lambda\mu} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-k-h} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2} \frac{1-t^i}{1-t} \right)^e$$

Here e is the number of common parts of ν and σ.

We have

$$\frac{v_{\lambda\mu}}{v_\lambda v_\mu} = \frac{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{n-k-h})}{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{q-k})(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{n-q-h})}(1+t)^e$$

We have

$$\frac{v_{\lambda\mu}}{v_\lambda v_\mu} = \frac{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{n-k-h})}{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{q-k})(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{n-q-h})}(1+t)^e$$

This is the Gaussian polynomial $\begin{bmatrix} n-k-h \\ q-k \end{bmatrix}(t)$ times $(1+t)^e$.

We have

$$\frac{v_{\lambda\mu}}{v_\lambda v_\mu} = \frac{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{n-k-h})}{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{q-k})(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{n-q-h})}(1+t)^e$$

This is the Gaussian polynomial $\begin{bmatrix} n-k-h \\ q-k \end{bmatrix}(t)$ times $(1+t)^e$.

So by Theorem we have

$$\pi_*\Big(\prod_{i\le q<j}(x_i - t x_j) P_\lambda(Q;t) P_\mu(S;t)\Big) = \begin{bmatrix} n-k-h \\ q-k \end{bmatrix}(t)(1+t)^e P_{\lambda\mu}(E;t).$$

We have

$$\frac{v_{\lambda\mu}}{v_\lambda v_\mu} = \frac{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{n-k-h})}{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{q-k})(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{n-q-h})}(1+t)^e$$

This is the Gaussian polynomial $\begin{bmatrix} n-k-h \\ q-k \end{bmatrix}(t)$ times $(1+t)^e$.

So by Theorem we have

$$\pi_*\Big(\prod_{i\le q<j}(x_i - tx_j)P_\lambda(Q;t)P_\mu(S;t)\Big) = \begin{bmatrix} n-k-h \\ q-k \end{bmatrix}(t)(1+t)^e P_{\lambda\mu}(E;t).$$

- some zeros at the end of λ possible

We have

$$\frac{v_{\lambda\mu}}{v_\lambda v_\mu} = \frac{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{n-k-h})}{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{q-k})(1-t)\cdots(1-t^{n-q-h})}(1+t)^e$$

This is the Gaussian polynomial $\left[{n-k-h \atop q-k}\right](t)$ times $(1+t)^e$.

So by Theorem we have

$$\pi_*\Big(\prod_{i\le q<j}(x_i - tx_j)P_\lambda(Q;t)P_\mu(S;t)\Big) = \begin{bmatrix} n-k-h \\ q-k \end{bmatrix}(t)(1+t)^e P_{\lambda\mu}(E;t).$$

- some zeros at the end of λ possible

We look at the specialization $t=-1$. Most interesting is the specialization of Gaussian polynomials.

Lemma

At $t = -1$, the Gaussian polynomial

$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ a \end{bmatrix}(t)$$

specializes to zero if ab is odd and to the binomial coefficient

$$\binom{\lfloor (a+b)/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor}$$

otherwise.

Lemma

At $t = -1$, the Gaussian polynomial

$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ a \end{bmatrix}(t)$$

specializes to zero if ab is odd and to the binomial coefficient

$$\binom{\lfloor (a+b)/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor}$$

otherwise.

(with Witold Kraśkiewicz)

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ a \end{bmatrix}(t) = \frac{(1-t)(1-t^2)\cdots(1-t^{a+b})}{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^a)(1-t)\cdots(1-t^b)}\,.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ a \end{bmatrix}(t) = \frac{(1-t)(1-t^2)\cdots(1-t^{a+b})}{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^a)(1-t)\cdots(1-t^b)}\,.$$

Since $t=-1$ is a zero with multiplicity 1 of the factor $(1-t^d)$ for even d, and a zero with multiplicity 0 for odd d,

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ a \end{bmatrix}(t) = \frac{(1-t)(1-t^2)\cdots(1-t^{a+b})}{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^a)(1-t)\cdots(1-t^b)}\,.$$

Since $t=-1$ is a zero with multiplicity 1 of the factor $(1-t^d)$ for even d, and a zero with multiplicity 0 for odd d,

the order of the rational function $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a+b \\ a \end{smallmatrix}\right](t)$ at $t=-1$ is equal to

$$\lfloor (a+b)/2 \rfloor - \lfloor a/2 \rfloor - \lfloor b/2 \rfloor\,.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ a \end{bmatrix}(t) = \frac{(1-t)(1-t^2)\cdots(1-t^{a+b})}{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^a)(1-t)\cdots(1-t^b)}\,.$$

Since $t = -1$ is a zero with multiplicity 1 of the factor $(1-t^d)$ for even d, and a zero with multiplicity 0 for odd d,

the order of the rational function $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a+b \\ a \end{smallmatrix}\right](t)$ at $t = -1$ is equal to

$$\lfloor (a+b)/2 \rfloor - \lfloor a/2 \rfloor - \lfloor b/2 \rfloor\,.$$

This order is equal to 1 when a and b are odd, and 0 otherwise.

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ a \end{bmatrix}(t) = \frac{(1-t)(1-t^2)\cdots(1-t^{a+b})}{(1-t)\cdots(1-t^a)(1-t)\cdots(1-t^b)} .$$

Since $t=-1$ is a zero with multiplicity 1 of the factor $(1-t^d)$ for even d, and a zero with multiplicity 0 for odd d,

the order of the rational function $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} a+b \\ a \end{smallmatrix}\right](t)$ at $t=-1$ is equal to

$$\lfloor (a+b)/2 \rfloor - \lfloor a/2 \rfloor - \lfloor b/2 \rfloor .$$

This order is equal to 1 when a and b are odd, and 0 otherwise.

In the former case, we get the claimed vanishing, and

in the latter one, the product of the factors with even exponents is equal to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lfloor a+b/2 \rfloor \\ \lfloor a/2 \rfloor \end{bmatrix} (t^2)\,.$$

The value of this function at $t=-1$ is equal to $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lfloor a+b/2 \rfloor \\ \lfloor a/2 \rfloor \end{smallmatrix}\right](1)$

in the latter one, the product of the factors with even exponents is equal to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lfloor a+b/2 \rfloor \\ \lfloor a/2 \rfloor \end{bmatrix} (t^2) \, .$$

The value of this function at $t=-1$ is equal to $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lfloor a+b/2 \rfloor \\ \lfloor a/2 \rfloor \end{smallmatrix}\right](1)$

which is the binomial coefficient

$$\binom{\lfloor (a+b)/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor} \, .$$

in the latter one, the product of the factors with even exponents is equal to

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lfloor a+b/2 \rfloor \\ \lfloor a/2 \rfloor \end{bmatrix} (t^2)\,.$$

The value of this function at $t=-1$ is equal to $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lfloor a+b/2 \rfloor \\ \lfloor a/2 \rfloor \end{smallmatrix}\right](1)$

which is the binomial coefficient

$$\binom{\lfloor (a+b)/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor a/2 \rfloor}\,.$$

This is the requested value since the remaining factors with odd exponents give 2 in the numerator and the same number in the denominator. QED

Schur in his 1911 paper on projective representations of the symmetric group showed that for a strict partition λ with $l(\lambda) = k$,

Schur in his 1911 paper on projective representations of the symmetric group showed that for a strict partition λ with $l(\lambda) = k$,

$$P_\lambda(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \sum_{w \in S_n/(S_1)^k \times S_{n-k}} w\left(y_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots y_n^{\lambda_n} \prod_{i<j, i \leq k} \frac{y_i + y_j}{y_i - y_j} \right)$$

Schur in his 1911 paper on projective representations of the symmetric group showed that for a strict partition λ with $l(\lambda) = k$,

$$P_\lambda(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \sum_{w \in S_n/(S_1)^k \times S_{n-k}} w\Big(y_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots y_n^{\lambda_n} \prod_{i<j, i \le k} \frac{y_i + y_j}{y_i - y_j}\Big)$$

where on LHS we use Schur's P-functions from Introduction in the variables $y_1, \ldots, y_n$.

Schur in his 1911 paper on projective representations of the symmetric group showed that for a strict partition λ with $l(\lambda) = k$,

$$P_\lambda(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \sum_{w \in S_n/(S_1)^k \times S_{n-k}} w\left(y_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots y_n^{\lambda_n} \prod_{i<j, i \leq k} \frac{y_i + y_j}{y_i - y_j}\right)$$

where on LHS we use Schur's P-functions from Introduction in the variables $y_1, \ldots, y_n$.

In other words, for such a λ, we have $P_\lambda(E; t)_{t=-1} = P_\lambda(E)$.

Schur in his 1911 paper on projective representations of the symmetric group showed that for a strict partition λ with $l(\lambda) = k$,

$$P_\lambda(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \sum_{w \in S_n/(S_1)^k \times S_{n-k}} w\left(y_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots y_n^{\lambda_n} \prod_{i<j, i \le k} \frac{y_i + y_j}{y_i - y_j} \right)$$

where on LHS we use Schur's P-functions from Introduction in the variables $y_1, \ldots, y_n$.

In other words, for such a λ, we have $P_\lambda(E; t)_{t=-1} = P_\lambda(E)$.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$, let also write $P_\lambda := P_\lambda(E; t)_{t=-1}$ for short.

Schur in his 1911 paper on projective representations of the symmetric group showed that for a strict partition λ with $l(\lambda) = k$,

$$P_\lambda(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \sum_{w \in S_n/(S_1)^k \times S_{n-k}} w\Big(y_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots y_n^{\lambda_n} \prod_{i<j, i \le k} \frac{y_i + y_j}{y_i - y_j} \Big)$$

where on LHS we use Schur's P-functions from Introduction in the variables $y_1, \ldots, y_n$.

In other words, for such a λ, we have $P_\lambda(E; t)_{t=-1} = P_\lambda(E)$.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\ge 0}$, let also write $P_\lambda := P_\lambda(E; t)_{t=-1}$ for short.

Note that for $i + j > 0$, we have $P_{\ldots,i,j,\ldots} = -P_{\ldots,j,i,\ldots}$ (follows from Mcd pp. 213-214). Thus

Schur in his 1911 paper on projective representations of the symmetric group showed that for a strict partition λ with $l(\lambda) = k$,

$$P_\lambda(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = \sum_{w \in S_n/(S_1)^k \times S_{n-k}} w\Big(y_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots y_n^{\lambda_n} \prod_{i<j, i \leq k} \frac{y_i + y_j}{y_i - y_j}\Big)$$

where on LHS we use Schur's P-functions from Introduction in the variables $y_1, \ldots, y_n$.

In other words, for such a λ, we have $P_\lambda(E; t)_{t=-1} = P_\lambda(E)$.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geq 0}$, let also write $P_\lambda := P_\lambda(E; t)_{t=-1}$ for short.

Note that for $i + j > 0$, we have $P_{\ldots,i,j,\ldots} = -P_{\ldots,j,i,\ldots}$ (follows from Mcd pp. 213-214). Thus

$$P_{\nu 0^{q-k} \sigma 0^{n-q-h}} = (-1)^{(q-k)h} P_{\nu \sigma 0^{n-k-h}} = (-1)^{(q-k)h} P_{\nu\sigma} \ .$$

If $e > 0$, then $P_{\nu\sigma} = 0$; so we can assume $e = 0$.

If $e > 0$, then $P_{\nu\sigma} = 0$; so we can assume $e = 0$.

Specializing $t = -1$ we get from the main Theorem by virtue of Lemma

Theorem

For strict partitions ν, σ *with* $l(\nu) = k \leq q$ *and* $l(\sigma) = h \leq n - q$,

$$\pi_*\big(c_{qr}(Q \otimes S)P_\nu(Q)P_\sigma(S)\big) = d_{\nu,\sigma} \cdot P_{\nu\sigma}(E)\,,$$

If $e > 0$, then $P_{\nu\sigma} = 0$; so we can assume $e = 0$.

Specializing $t = -1$ we get from the main Theorem by virtue of Lemma

Theorem

For strict partitions ν, σ with $l(\nu) = k \leq q$ and $l(\sigma) = h \leq n - q$,

$$\pi_*\big(c_{qr}(Q \otimes S)P_\nu(Q)P_\sigma(S)\big) = d_{\nu,\sigma} \cdot P_{\nu\sigma}(E)\,,$$

where $d_{\nu,\sigma} = 0$ if $(q-k)(r-h)$ is odd, and

If $e > 0$, then $P_{\nu\sigma} = 0$; so we can assume $e = 0$.

Specializing $t = -1$ we get from the main Theorem by virtue of Lemma

Theorem

For strict partitions ν, σ with $l(\nu) = k \leq q$ and $l(\sigma) = h \leq n - q$,

$$\pi_*\big(c_{qr}(Q \otimes S)P_\nu(Q)P_\sigma(S)\big) = d_{\nu,\sigma} \cdot P_{\nu\sigma}(E)\,,$$

where $d_{\nu,\sigma} = 0$ if $(q-k)(r-h)$ is odd, and

$$d_{\nu,\sigma} = (-1)^{(q-k)h} \binom{\lfloor (n-k-h)/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor (q-k)/2 \rfloor}$$

otherwise.

History of H-L polynomials (very brief):

Investigation of combinatorial structure of the lattice of finite p-groups (Philip Hall about 1950):

History of H-L polynomials (very brief):

Investigation of combinatorial structure of the lattice of finite p-groups (Philip Hall about 1950):

p - prime number, M finite Abelian p-group,
$M = \oplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{Z}/p^{\lambda_i}\mathbb{Z}$,

History of H-L polynomials (very brief):

Investigation of combinatorial structure of the lattice of finite p-groups (Philip Hall about 1950):

p - prime number, M finite Abelian p-group,
$M = \oplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{Z}/p^{\lambda_i}\mathbb{Z}$,

$\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots)$ - partition (“type of M”).

History of H-L polynomials (very brief):

Investigation of combinatorial structure of the lattice of finite p-groups (Philip Hall about 1950):

p - prime number, M finite Abelian p-group,
$M = \oplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{Z}/p^{\lambda_i}\mathbb{Z}$,

$\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots)$ - partition ("type of M").

Hall algebra : λ, μ, ν three partitions. Let M be of type λ.

$$G^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} := \mathrm{card}\{N \subset M : \mathrm{type}(N) = \nu,\ \mathrm{type}(M/N) = \mu\}$$

History of H-L polynomials (very brief):

Investigation of combinatorial structure of the lattice of finite p-groups (Philip Hall about 1950):

p - prime number, M finite Abelian p-group,
$M = \oplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{Z}/p^{\lambda_i}\mathbb{Z}$,

$\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots)$ - partition ("type of M").

Hall algebra : λ, μ, ν three partitions. Let M be of type λ.

$$G^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} := \mathrm{card}\{N \subset M : \text{type}(N) = \nu,\ \text{type}(M/N) = \mu\}$$

Let H be a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module with basis $\{u_\lambda\}$,

History of H-L polynomials (very brief):

Investigation of combinatorial structure of the lattice of finite p-groups (Philip Hall about 1950):

p - prime number, M finite Abelian p-group,
$M = \oplus_{i=1}^{r} \mathbb{Z}/p^{\lambda_i}\mathbb{Z}$,

$\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots)$ - partition ("type of M").

Hall algebra : λ, μ, ν three partitions. Let M be of type λ.

$$G^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} := \mathrm{card}\{N \subset M : \mathrm{type}(N) = \nu,\ \mathrm{type}(M/N) = \mu\}$$

Let H be a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module with basis $\{u_\lambda\}$,

$$u_\mu \cdot u_\nu := \sum G^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} u_\lambda \,.$$

Theorem

H is a commutative ring, and is generated as a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra by $\{u_{(1^r)}\}$ (algebraically independent).

Theorem

H is a commutative ring, and is generated as a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra by $\{u_{(1^r)}\}$ (algebraically independent).

Theorem

The $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $\psi : H \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (symmetric functions) such that

$$\psi(u_\lambda) = p^{-\sum(i-1)\lambda_i} P_\lambda(y_1, y_2, \ldots; p^{-1})$$

is a ring isomorphism.

Theorem

H is a commutative ring, and is generated as a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra by $\{u_{(1^r)}\}$ (algebraically independent).

Theorem

The $\mathbb{Q}$-linear map $\psi : H \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ (symmetric functions) such that

$$\psi(u_\lambda) = p^{-\sum(i-1)\lambda_i} P_\lambda(y_1, y_2, \dots; p^{-1})$$

is a ring isomorphism.

J.A. Green, D.E. Littlewood: Representation theory of GL_n over finite fields.

THE END

Conference **IMPANGA 20 on Schubert Varieties**.

Time: 11-17 July 2021, Venue: Bedlewo Poland.

We are planning a **BLENDED EVENT**. It will be possible to participate both in presence and online.

https://www.impan.pl/en/activities/banach-center/conferences/20-impanga

If you are interested in this event, please register following the instructions on the webpage.