

Minimizing down-side risk probability and risk-sensitive asset allocation for linear Gaussian models

(Joint work with H. Hata and S.J. Sheu)

Hideo Nagai

*Division of Mathematical Science Science for Social Systems,
Graduate School of Engineering Science,
Osaka University, Toyonaka, 560-8531, Japan,
E-mail: nagai@sigmath.es.osaka-u.ac.jp*

- Risk-sensitive asset allocation for linear Gaussain models
Bielecki-Pliska '99, Fleming-Sheu '00, '00, N. '00,
Kuroda-N. '02, N.-Peng '02, ...
- Up-side chance probability (1-dim.)
Pham '03, Hata-Sekine '05, Hata-Iida '06, ...
- Risk-sensitive asset allocation: bench marked case
Davis-Lleo '06

Linear Gaussaian model

Consider a market with $m + 1 \geq 2$ securities and $n \geq 1$ factors.

The bond price:

$$(2.1) \quad dS^0(t) = rS^0(t)dt, \quad S^0(0) = s^0,$$

where r is a positive constant.

The other security prices and factors:

$$(2.2) \quad dS^i(t) = S^i(t)\{\alpha^i(X_t)dt + \sum_{k=1}^{n+m} \sigma_k^i dW_t^k\},$$
$$S^i(0) = s^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad dX_t = \beta(X_t)dt + \lambda dW_t,$$
$$X(0) = x \in R^n,$$

$W_t = (W_t^k)_{k=1, \dots, (n+m)}$: an $m + n$ - dimensional standard B. M.

Assume that

(2.4)

$$\alpha(x) = Ax + a, \quad \beta(x) = Bx + b, \quad x \in R^n, \quad a \in R^m, \quad b \in R^n$$

$$\sigma\sigma^* > 0$$

where σ^* stands for the transposed matrix of σ .

Let us denote investment strategy to i -th security $S^i(t)$ by $h^i(t)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ and set

$$S(t) = (S^1(t), S^2(t), \dots, S^m(t))^*,$$

$$h(t) = (h^1(t), h^2(t), \dots, h^m(t))^*$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(S(u), X(u); u \leq t).$$

Definition 1 $(h^0(t), h(t)^*)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ is said an investment strategy if the following conditions are satisfied

i) $h(t)$ is a R^m valued \mathcal{F}_t progressively measurable stochastic process such that

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m h^i(t) + h^0(t) = 1$$

ii)

$$P\left(\int_0^T |h(s)|^2 ds < \infty\right) = 1, \quad \forall T > 0.$$

The set of all investment strategies will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}(T)$. When $(h^0(t), h(t)^*)_{0 \leq t \leq T} \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ we will often write $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ for simplicity since h^0 is determined by (2.5).

For given $h \in \mathcal{H}(T)$ the process $V_t = V_t(h)$ representing the investor's capital at time t is determined by the stochastic differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{dV_t}{V_t} &= \sum_{i=0}^m h^i(t) \frac{dS^i(t)}{S^i(t)} \\
 &= h^0(t) r dt + \sum_{i=1}^m h^i(t) \{ \alpha^i(X_t) dt + \sum_{k=1}^{m+n} \sigma_k^i dW_t^k \} \\
 (2.6) \quad &= r dt + h(t)^* (\alpha(X_t) - r \mathbf{1}) dt + h(t)^* \sigma dW_t,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$V_0 = v,$$

where $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^*$.

Down-side risk minimization

$$\hat{J}(k) := \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}_T} \log P\left(\frac{\log V_T(h)}{T} \leq k\right)$$

Risk-sensitive asset allocation

For a given constant $\theta > 0$ consider the following

$$(2.7) \quad \chi(\theta) = \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sup_{h \in \mathcal{A}_T} J(v, x; h; T)$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad J(v, x; h; T) = -2 \log E[V_T(h)^{-\frac{\theta}{2}}] = -2 \log E[e^{-\frac{\theta}{2} \log V_T(h)}],$$

and h ranges over the set $\mathcal{A}(T)$ of all admissible investment strategies defined later.

We shall show that $\chi(\theta)$ is differentiable and concave and that

$$\hat{J}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\theta > 0} \{\theta k - \chi(\theta)\}$$

for $\chi'(0+) > k > \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \infty} \chi'(\theta)$ under suitable conditions through seeing that $\chi(\theta)$ satisfies the ergodic type H-J-B equation corresponding to the risk-sensitive asset allocation on infinite time horizon :

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\theta) = & \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\lambda \lambda^* D^2 w) + \left(\beta - \frac{\theta}{\theta+2} \lambda \sigma^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} (\alpha - r \mathbf{1}) \right)^* D w + \theta r \\ & - \frac{1}{4} (D w)^* \lambda \left(I - \frac{\theta}{\theta+2} \sigma^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} \sigma \right) \lambda^* D w + \frac{\theta}{\theta+2} (\alpha - r \mathbf{1})^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} (\alpha - r \mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$$

H-J-B equation: finite time horizon case

Risk-sensitive asset allocation on a finite time horizon:

$$(3.1) \quad I(v, x; T) := \sup_{h \in \mathcal{A}_T} J(v, x; h; T),$$

$$J(v, x; h; T) = -2 \log E[V_T(h)^{-\frac{\theta}{2}}] = -2 \log E[e^{-\frac{\theta}{2} \log V_T(h)}]$$

H-J-B equation:

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \sup_{h \in R^m} L^h u &= 0, \\ u(T, x) &= \theta \log v, \end{aligned}$$

where L^h is defined by

$$L^h u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\lambda \lambda^* D^2 u) + (\beta(x) - \frac{\theta}{2} \lambda \sigma^* h)^* D u \\ - \frac{1}{4} (D u)^* \lambda \lambda^* D u - \theta \eta(x, h),$$

$$\eta(x, h) = \frac{\theta + 2}{4} h^* \sigma \sigma^* h - r - h^* (\alpha(x) - r \mathbf{1}).$$

Note that $\sup_{h \in R^m} L^h u$ can be written as

$$\sup_{h \in R^m} L^h u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\lambda \lambda^* D^2 u) + (\beta - \frac{\theta}{\theta+2} \lambda \sigma^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} (\beta - r \mathbf{1}))^* D u \\ - \frac{1}{4} (D u)^* \lambda (I - \frac{\theta}{\theta+2} \sigma^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} \sigma) \lambda^* D u + \frac{\theta}{\theta+2} (\alpha - r \mathbf{1})^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} (\alpha - r \mathbf{1}) + \theta r.$$

(3.2) is written as:

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\lambda \lambda^* D^2 u) + F(x)^* D u - \frac{1}{2} (D u)^* \lambda N^{-1} \lambda^* D u + U(x) = 0,$$
$$u(T, x) = \theta \log v,$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad F(x) = \beta(x) - \frac{\theta}{\theta+2} \lambda \sigma^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} (\alpha(x) - r \mathbf{1})$$
$$N^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2} (I - \frac{\theta}{\theta+2} \sigma^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} \sigma)$$
$$U(x) = \frac{\theta}{\theta+2} (\alpha - r \mathbf{1})^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} (\alpha - r \mathbf{1}) + \theta r.$$

The solution of (3.2) is expressed as

$$(3.5) \quad u(t, x) = \frac{1}{2}x^*P(t)x + q(t)^*x + k(t).$$

Here $P(t)$ is the symmetric nonnegative definite solution of the Riccati differential equation:

$$(3.6) \quad \dot{P}(t) - P(t)K_0P(t) + K_1^*P(t) + P(t)K_1 + \frac{2\theta}{\theta+2}A^*(\Sigma\Sigma^*)^{-1}A = 0,$$

$$P(T) = 0,$$

where

$$K_0 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(I - \frac{\theta}{\theta+2}\sigma^*(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}\sigma)\lambda^* \equiv \lambda N^{-1}\lambda^*$$

$$K_1 = B - \frac{\theta}{\theta+2}\lambda\sigma^*(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}A.$$

The term $q(t)$ in (3.5) is a solution of the following linear ordinary differential equation

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \dot{q}(t) + (K_1^* - P(t)K_0)q(t) + P(t)b \\ & + \left(\frac{2\theta}{\theta+2}A^* - \frac{\theta}{\theta+2}P(t)\lambda\sigma^*\right)(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}(a - r)\mathbf{1} = 0, \\ & q(T) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and $k(t)$ is a solution of

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \dot{k}(t) + \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(\lambda\lambda^*P(t)) - \frac{1}{4}q(t)^*\lambda\lambda^*q(t) + b^*q(t) + \theta r \\ & + \frac{\theta}{\theta+2}(a - r\mathbf{1})^*(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}(a - r\mathbf{1}) + \frac{\theta}{4(\theta+2)}q(t)^*\lambda\sigma^*(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}\sigma\lambda^*q(t) \\ & - \frac{\theta}{\theta+2}(a - r\mathbf{1})^*(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}\sigma\lambda^*q(t) = 0, \\ & k(T) = \theta \log v. \end{aligned}$$

Optimal strategy (finite time horizon case)

Lemma 1 (cf. Kuroda-N.'02) *We assume the assumptions in the above theorem and let u be a solution of (2.14). Define*

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{h}_t &= \hat{h}(t, X_t) \\ \hat{h}(t, x) &= \frac{2}{\theta+2}(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}(\alpha - r\mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma\lambda^*Du)(t, x), \\ &= \frac{2}{\theta+2}(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}[a - r\mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma\lambda^*q(t) + (A - \frac{1}{2}\sigma\lambda^*P(t))x]\end{aligned}$$

where X_t is the solution of (2.3). Then, \hat{h}_t is an optimal strategy for problem (3.1) and

$$J(x, v, \hat{h}; T) = \frac{1}{2}x^*P(0)x + q(0)^*x + k(0) = u(0, x).$$

\mathcal{A}_T is the set of investment strategies $h \in \mathcal{H}_T$ such that

$$E[e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^T [(P(s)+q_s)^*\lambda+\theta h_s^*\sigma]dW_s - \frac{1}{8}\int_0^T [(P(s)+q_s)^*\lambda+\theta h_s^*\sigma][(P(s)+q_s)^*\lambda+\theta h_s^*\sigma]^*ds}] = 1$$

Passage to the limit as $T \rightarrow \infty$

Lemma 2 (cf. *ibid.*) *Under the assumption that*

$$(4.1) \quad G \equiv B - \lambda \sigma^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} A \quad \text{is stable}$$

i) $P(0) = P(0; T)$ converges as $T \rightarrow \infty$ to a nonnegative definite matrix \bar{P} , which is a solution of algebraic Riccati equation:

$$(4.2) \quad -\bar{P}K_0\bar{P} + K_1^*\bar{P} + \bar{P}K_1 + \frac{2\theta}{\theta+2}A^*(\Sigma\Sigma^*)^{-1}A = 0,$$

and satisfies the estimate

$$(4.3) \quad 0 \leq \bar{P} \leq 2 \int_0^\infty e^{sG^*} A^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} A e^{sG} ds.$$

Moreover $K_1 - \lambda N^{-1} \lambda^ \bar{P}$ is stable.*

ii) $q(0) = q(0;T)$ converges as $T \rightarrow \infty$ to a constant vector \bar{q} , which satisfies

(4.4)

$$(K_1^* - \bar{P}K_0)\bar{q} + \bar{P}b + \left(\frac{2\theta}{\theta+2}A^* - \frac{\theta}{\theta+2}\bar{P}\lambda\sigma^*\right)(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}(a - r\mathbf{1}) = 0,$$

iii) $\frac{k(0;T)}{T}$ converges to a constant $\chi(\theta)$ defined by

(4.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\theta) = & \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(\lambda\lambda^*\bar{P}) - \frac{1}{4}\bar{q}^*\lambda\lambda^*\bar{q} + b^*\bar{q} + \theta r \\ & + \frac{\theta}{\theta+2}(a - r\mathbf{1})^*(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}(a - r\mathbf{1}) + \frac{\theta}{4(\theta+2)}\bar{q}^*\lambda\sigma^*(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}\sigma\lambda^*\bar{q} \\ & - \frac{\theta}{\theta+2}(a - r\mathbf{1})^*(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}\sigma\lambda^*\bar{q} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

Ergodic type H-J-B equation

Let us set

$$G(x) = \beta(x) - \lambda\sigma^*(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}(\alpha(x) - r\mathbf{1})$$

$$H(x) = \frac{2}{\theta+2}\sigma^*(\sigma\sigma^*)^{-1}(\alpha(x) - r\mathbf{1})$$

and rewrite equation (3.2) as

(4.7)

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(\lambda\lambda^*D^2u) + G(x)^*Du$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}(-\lambda^*Du + NH)^*N^{-1}(-\lambda^*Du + NH) + \frac{\theta+2}{4}H^*NH + \theta r = 0,$$

$$u(T, x) = \theta \log v,$$

Ergodic type H-J-B equation:

(4.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(\theta) = & \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(\lambda\lambda^*D^2w) + G(x)^*Dw \\ & -\frac{1}{2}(-\lambda^*Dw + NH)^*N^{-1}(-\lambda^*Dw + NH) + \frac{\theta+2}{4}H^*NH + \theta r, \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1 *Assume (4.1). Then, as $T \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, x; T) - u(0, 0; T) & \rightarrow w(x), \\ \frac{1}{T}u(0, x; T) & \rightarrow \chi(\theta), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly on each compact set, where

$$(4.9) \quad w(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^*\bar{P}x + \bar{q}^*x.$$

and $(w, \chi(\theta))$ is the solution of (4.8).

Concavity of $\chi(\theta)$

Lemma 3 *Assume (4.1) and that*

$$(5.1) \quad (B, \lambda) \text{ is controllable.}$$

Then, $\chi(\theta)$ is twice differentiable and concave. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial \theta} &= L(\theta)\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2(\theta+2)^2}(-\lambda^* D w + N H)^* \sigma^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} \sigma (-\lambda^* D W + N H) + r, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \chi}{\partial \theta^2} &= L(\theta)\zeta - \frac{1}{2}(D\xi)^* \lambda (I - \sigma^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} \sigma) \lambda^* D \xi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\theta+2} \left\{ \lambda^* D \xi - \frac{1}{\theta+2} (\lambda^* D w - N H) \right\}^* \sigma^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} \sigma \left\{ \lambda^* D \xi - \frac{1}{\theta+2} (\lambda^* D w - N H) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi = \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta}$, $\zeta = \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial \theta}$ and

$$L(\theta)\psi := \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}[\lambda\lambda^* D^2\psi] + G(x)^* D\psi + (-\lambda^* Dw + NH)^* N^{-1} \lambda^* D\psi$$

- $L(\theta)$ is the generator of the ergodic diffusion process Y_t :

$$dY_t = \{(K_1 - \lambda N^{-1} \lambda^* \bar{P})Y_t + f\}dt + \lambda dW_t$$

$$f := b - \frac{\theta}{\theta + 2} \lambda \sigma^* (\sigma \sigma^*)^{-1} (a - r\mathbf{1}) - \lambda N^{-1} \lambda^* \bar{q}$$

Definition 2 *The pair (K, L) of an $n \times n$ matrix K and an $n \times l$ matrix L is said to be controllable if an $n \times nl$ matrix $(L, KL, K^2L, \dots, K^{n-1}L)$ has rank n*

Asymptotics as $\theta \rightarrow \infty$

Proposition 1 *Assume (4.1). Then $\chi'(\infty) \equiv \lim_{\theta \rightarrow \infty} \chi'(\theta) = r$*

Main theorem

Theorem 1 *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3*

$$\hat{J}(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\theta > 0} \{\theta k - \chi(\theta)\}, & \chi'(0+) > k > r \\ 0, & k \geq \chi'(0+) \end{cases}$$

where

$$\hat{J}(k) = \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}_T} \log P\left(\frac{\log V_T(h)}{T} \leq k\right)$$

$$P(\log V_T(h) \leq kT) \leq E[V_T(h)^{-\frac{\theta}{2}}] e^{\frac{\theta}{2}kT}$$

$$-2 \log P\left(\frac{\log V_T(h)}{T} \leq k\right) \geq -2 \log E[V_T(h)^{-\frac{\theta}{2}}] - \theta kT$$

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{2}{T} \inf_h \log P\left(\frac{\log V_t(h)}{T} \leq k\right) \geq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sup_h \{-2 \log E[V_T(h)^{-\frac{\theta}{2}}]\} - \theta k$$

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{T} \inf_h \log P\left(\frac{\log V_T(h)}{T} \leq k\right) \leq \theta k - \chi(\theta)$$

Converse inequality:

$\forall \epsilon > 0$ such that $k - \epsilon > r$ and take $\bar{\theta}$ such that

$$\hat{\chi}(k - \epsilon) := \inf_{\theta > 0} \{\theta(k - \epsilon) - \chi(\theta)\} = \bar{\theta}\chi'(\bar{\theta}) - \chi(\bar{\theta})$$

Then we can show that there exists T_0 such that $\forall T \geq T_0$

$$P\left(\frac{V_T(h)}{T} \leq k\right) \geq \exp\left\{\left(\frac{\bar{\theta}}{2}\chi'(\bar{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2}\chi(\bar{\theta}) - \epsilon\right)T\right\}(1 - 4\epsilon)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{T} \inf_h \log P\left(\frac{V_T(h)}{T} \leq k\right) \geq \frac{\bar{\theta}}{2}\chi'(\bar{\theta}) - \frac{1}{2}\chi(\bar{\theta}) - \epsilon + \frac{1}{T}(1 - 4\epsilon)$$

Hence

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \inf_h \log P\left(\frac{V_T(h)}{T} \leq k\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\hat{\chi}(k - \epsilon)$$