

# Convexity Propagation in Interest rate models

Josef Teichmann

TU Wien, Institute for mathematical methods in Economics

May 3, 2007

Convexity results of E. Ekström and J. Tysk

*N*-dimensional Setting

A result for *N*-dimensional Ito-diffusions

Consider a one-dimensional short rate model

$$dr_t = \beta(r_t)dt + \sigma(r_t)dW_t$$

on a filtered stochastic basis  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  carrying a one-dimensional Brownian motion  $W$ .

Under suitable assumptions on  $\beta, \sigma$  we can guarantee that

$$G(r, t) := E(\exp(-\int_0^t r_s ds)g(r_t))$$

makes sense for sufficiently regular pay-off functions  $g$ .

## The Theorem

Assume sufficient regularity on  $\beta, \sigma, g$  and assume that  $g$  is a **non-negative, convex, decreasing pay-off**. If

$$\beta_{rr}(r) \leq 2$$

for all  $r$ , then  $r \mapsto G(r, t)$  is convex in  $r$ .

J. Ekström and J. Tysk also prove assertions on monotonicity (with respect to changes in volatility and drift), log-convexity and log-convity. Properties of option prices in models with jumps can be found in Ekström/Tysk (to appear in Math. Finance).

## Questions

- ▶ What is the meaning of the condition  $\beta_{rr} \leq 2$ ?

## Questions

- ▶ What is the meaning of the condition  $\beta_{rr} \leq 2$ ?
- ▶ How does a multi-dimensional version of the previous theorem look like?

## Questions

- ▶ What is the meaning of the condition  $\beta_{rr} \leq 2$ ?
- ▶ How does a multi-dimensional version of the previous theorem look like?
- ▶ Does it hold for jump-diffusions or Lévy-driven processes?

## Questions

- ▶ What is the meaning of the condition  $\beta_{rr} \leq 2$ ?
- ▶ How does a multi-dimensional version of the previous theorem look like?
- ▶ Does it hold for jump-diffusions or Lévy-driven processes?
- ▶ Does it hold for affine processes?



Fix  $N, d \geq 1$ . We consider a stochastic differential equation

$$dr_s = \beta(r_s)ds + \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i(r_s)dW_s^i$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and a linear functional  $l$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We assume the coefficients to satisfy  $C^\infty$ -boundedness conditions and the initial value  $r$  to be deterministic. We **do not assume** ellipticity.

Let  $g : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a **convex, non-negative function** with sufficient regularity such that the following calculations make sense. We consider

$$G(r, t) = E(\exp(-\int_0^t l(r_s)ds)g(r_t))$$

for  $t \geq 0$ .

## First and Second Variation

We denote the derivatives with respect to  $r$  by  $T$  (tangent map). We shall apply the first and second variation of the stochastic process  $r$  with respect to its initial value in a deterministic direction  $v$ , which is a stochastic process again,

$$\partial_v r_s = T\beta(r_s)\partial_v r_s ds + \sum_{i=1}^d T\sigma_i(r_s)\partial_v r_s dW_s^i, \quad \partial_v r_0 = v$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_v^2 r_s &= (T\beta(r_s)\partial_v^2 r_s + T^2\beta(r_s)(\partial_v r_s)^2) ds + \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^d (T\sigma_i(r_s)\partial_v^2 r_s + T^2\sigma_i(r_s)(\partial_v r_s)^2) dW_s^i \end{aligned}$$

with  $\partial_v^2 r_0 = 0$ .

## Taking derivatives

The first derivative of  $G$  with respect to  $r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} TG(r, t)v &= -E\left(\exp\left(-\int_0^t l(r_s)ds\right) \int_0^t l(\partial_v r_s)ds g(r_t)\right) + \\ &+ E\left(\exp\left(-\int_0^t l(r_s)ds\right) Tg(r_t)\partial_v r_t\right) \end{aligned}$$

and...

## Taking derivatives

...the second one

$$\begin{aligned}
 T^2 G(r, t)(v)^2 &= E(\exp(-\int_0^t l(r_s) ds) (\int_0^t l(\partial_v r_s) ds)^2 g(r_t)) - \\
 &- E(\exp(-\int_0^t l(r_s) ds) \int_0^t l(\partial_v^2 r_s) ds g(r_t)) - \\
 &- E(\exp(-\int_0^t l(r_s) ds) \int_0^t l(\partial_v r_s) ds (Tg(r_t) \partial_v r_t)) - \\
 &- E(\exp(-\int_0^t l(r_s) ds) \int_0^t l(\partial_v r_s) ds (Tg(r_t) \partial_v r_t)) + \\
 &+ E(\exp(-\int_0^t l(r_s) ds) (T^2 g(r_t) (\partial_v r_t)^2)) + \\
 &+ E(\exp(-\int_0^t l(r_s) ds) (Tg(r_t) \partial_v^2 r_t)).
 \end{aligned}$$

There is a symmetric structure, which is best revealed by the following process

$$C_t = 2 \int_0^t l(\partial_v r_s) ds \partial_v r_t - \partial_v^2 r_t.$$

Hence we can write that

$$\begin{aligned} T^2 G(r, t)(v)^2 &= E(\exp(-\int_0^t l(r_s) ds) g(r_t) \int_0^t l(C_s) ds) - \\ &\quad - E(\exp(-\int_0^t l(r_s) ds) Tg(r_t) C_t) + \\ &\quad + E(\exp(-\int_0^t l(r_s) ds) (T^2 g(r_t) (\partial_v r_t)^2)) \end{aligned}$$

by gathering the respective terms and applying that

$$\int_0^t l(C_s) ds = (\int_0^t l(\partial_v r_s) ds)^2 - \int_0^t l(\partial_v^2 r_s) ds.$$

## The Theorem

Assume first that  $l(\partial_v r_t) \geq 0$  and  $Tg(r_t)\partial_v r_t \leq 0$  almost surely for all  $t \geq 0$ , then we obtain that

$$TG(r, t)v \leq 0$$

for  $r \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Next we assume (without granting the first assumption) that

$$2l(v)(TG(r, t)v - TG(r, t)T^2\beta(r)(v)^2) \leq 0$$

for all  $v, r \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Then we conclude that  $T^2G(t, r)(v)^2 \geq 0$ .

## Corollaries and Discussion

- ▶ Fix  $N, d \geq 1$ . Assume that the drift  $\beta$  is linear and that  $g = 1$ . If  $l(\partial_v r_t) \geq 0$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $l(v) \geq 0$ , then

$$r \mapsto E(\exp(\int_0^t l(r_s) ds))$$

is convex with respect to the initial values.

## Corollaries and Discussion

- ▶ Fix  $N, d \geq 1$ . Assume that the drift  $\beta$  is linear and that  $g = 1$ . If  $l(\partial_v r_t) \geq 0$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$  with  $l(v) \geq 0$ , then

$$r \mapsto E(\exp(\int_0^t l(r_s) ds))$$

is convex with respect to the initial values.

- ▶ Assume that  $N = 1, d \geq 1$  and that  $g$  is decreasing. We choose  $l(x) = x$  and  $v = 1$ . Since  $\partial_v r_0 = v$  we have that  $l(\partial_v r_t) \geq 0$  by continuity of the trajectories and the fact that  $\partial_v r_t \neq 0$  almost surely for  $t \geq 0$ . Consequently we obtain in this case  $TG(r, t)v \leq 0$ . Supposing finally that

$$2 - \beta_{rr}(r) \geq 0$$

yields convexity such as in Ekström/Tysk.



## Corollaries and Discussion

- ▶ The meaning of the condition

$$2 - \beta_{rr}(r) \geq 0$$

is related to the process  $\int_0^t C_s ds$  and the behavior of  $G(x, t)$  with respect to  $x = r + \int_0^t C_s ds$ .

## Corollaries and Discussion

- ▶ The meaning of the condition

$$2 - \beta_{rr}(r) \geq 0$$

is related to the process  $\int_0^t C_s ds$  and the behavior of  $G(x, t)$  with respect to  $x = r + \int_0^t C_s ds$ .

- ▶ The result does actually not only apply for Ito-diffusions, but is rather true in the world of Markov processes. Indeed we learn from the proof that the sign of the action of a certain generator  $\mathcal{A}$  on a certain function  $F$  determines the property.

## Corollaries and Discussion

- ▶ The meaning of the condition

$$2 - \beta_{rr}(r) \geq 0$$

is related to the process  $\int_0^t C_s ds$  and the behavior of  $G(x, t)$  with respect to  $x = r + \int_0^t C_s ds$ .

- ▶ The result does actually not only apply for Ito-diffusions, but is rather true in the world of Markov processes. Indeed we learn from the proof that the sign of the action of a certain generator  $\mathcal{A}$  on a certain function  $F$  determines the property.
- ▶ Time-inhomogenous Markov processes can be considered by the same methods. The results remain the same.

## The Proof

We consider the  $5N$ -dimensional Markov process  $X$  composed of  $(r_t, \partial_v r_t, \partial_v^2 r_t, \int_0^t r_s ds, \int_0^t \partial_v r_s ds)$  for the appropriate initial value  $(r, v, 0, 0, 0)$ . We interpret the previous expectations as solutions of the respective heat equation for a particular initial function  $F$ . We know from Dynkin's formula that

$$E(F(X_t)) = F(X_0) + \int_0^t E(\mathcal{A}F(X_s)) ds,$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  denotes the generator of  $X$ .

## The Proof

We choose

$$F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = \exp(-l(x_4)) Tg(x_1)(2l(x_5)x_2 - x_3),$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) &= -l(x_1) \exp(-l(x_4)) Tg(x_1)(2l(x_5)x_2 - x_3) + \\ &+ \exp(-l(x_4)) Tg(x_1)(2l(x_5)x_2 - x_3) + \\ &+ \exp(-l(x_4)) Tg(x_1) \times \\ &\times (2l(x_2)x_2 + 2l(x_5) T\beta(x_1)x_2 - T^2\beta(x_1)(x_2)^2 - T\beta(x_1)x_3). \end{aligned}$$

## The Proof

We assume that  $T^2G(r, t)(v)^2 = 0$  and want to prove that the derivative at  $t$  of this quantity has to be positive. We obtain by the previous consideration

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} T^2G(r, t)(v)^2 &\geq -\mathcal{A}F(r, v, 0, 0, 0) \\ &= -(2l(v)Tg(r)v - Tg(r)T^2\beta(r)(v)^2) \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

By slightly deforming  $\beta$  we can assume that the inequality is strict and therefore we obtain that  $T^2G(r, \delta)(v)^2 > 0$  for  $0 \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$ , where  $\delta_0 > 0$  is some number. The general result follows by approximation arguments.

## Discussion

For the proof we need the following structures:

- ▶ A Markov process admitting sufficiently regular solutions of its associated heat equation.

## Discussion

For the proof we need the following structures:

- ▶ A Markov process admitting sufficiently regular solutions of its associated heat equation.
- ▶ A Markov process admitting first and second variations (see for instance Ph. Protter's book for conditions when semi-martingale-driven SDEs admit stochastic flows).



## Discussion

For the proof we need the following structures:

- ▶ A Markov process admitting sufficiently regular solutions of its associated heat equation.
- ▶ A Markov process admitting first and second variations (see for instance Ph. Protter's book for conditions when semi-martingale-driven SDEs admit stochastic flows).
- ▶ The sign of the synthesized generator  $\mathcal{A}$  on

$$F(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = \exp(-l(x_4)) Tg(x_1)(2l(x_5)x_2) - x_3$$

at  $(r, v, 0, 0, 0)$  is decisive.

# Applications

- ▶ Greeks play a major role in hedging contingent claims.

# Applications

- ▶ Greeks play a major role in hedging contingent claims.
- ▶ Convexity of the matrix of “Gammas” tells about the distance of tangent processes to the actual claim.

- ▶ Bismut, J.M., *Calcul des variations stochastique et processus de sauts*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb. **63**, 147-235 (1983).
- ▶ Cass, Th., Friz, P., *The BEL formula for jump-diffusions and applications to Monte Carlo Methods in Finance*, preprint (2006).
- ▶ Erik Ekström, Johan Tysk, *Convexity Theory for the Term structure equation*, preprint (2007).
- ▶ Erik Ekström, Johan Tysk, *Properties of option prices in models with jumps*. to appear in Math. Finance (2007).
- ▶ Davis, M.H.A. and Johansson, M. *Malliavin Monte Carlo Greeks for Jump Diffusions*, Stochastic Processes and their Applications **116**, No.1, 101 - 129 (2006).
- ▶ Forster, B., Lütkebohmert, E., Teichmann, J., *Calculation of Greeks for Jump-Diffusion*, Stoch. Proc. Appl., to appear (2007).

- ▶ Fournié, E., Lasry, J.M., Lebuchoux, J., Lions, P.-L. and Touzi, N., *Applications of Malliavin calculus to Monte Carlo methods in finance*, Finance Stoch. **3**, No.4, 391-412 (1999).

▶ Thank you for your attention!

- ▶ Thank you for your attention!
- ▶ Thanks to the organizers for the perfect organisation at this inspiring place.

- ▶ Thank you for your attention!
- ▶ Thanks to the organizers for the perfect organisation at this inspiring place.
- ▶ Further informations on this research @ *Publications* soon on my webpage (google Josef Teichmann).