

Arbitrage-free market models for option prices

Martin Schweizer

Department of Mathematics
ETH Zürich

AMaMeF Conference on
“Advances in Mathematics of Finance”,
Bedlewo,
01.05.2007

based on joint work with Johannes Wessel (ETH Zürich)

The problem

The problem

Basic problem: Construct a model for

- a stock S
- a bond ($\equiv 1$ in discounted units)
- **several call options** $C(K, T)$ on S

in such a way that the model is

- **arbitrage-free,**
- **practically usable.**

Where is the problem ?

Martingale models ?

- Write down model for S , directly under a pricing measure Q .
- Define $C_t(K, T) := E_Q[(S_T - K)^+ | \mathcal{F}_t]$.
- This **martingale model** is obviously arbitrage-free.
- But ...
- ... **calibration is practically impossible !**
- This is **no solution !**
- So what now ???

Market models

- **Basic idea:** specify dynamics (SDEs) for **all tradable assets**.
- Joint model for S and all $C(K, T)$ with $K \in \mathcal{K}$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}$.
- **Advantage: calibration is automatic** since market prices of options at time 0 are input as initial conditions.
- But: **arbitrage restrictions:**
 - No **static** arbitrage \longrightarrow restrictions on **state space of processes**.
 - No **dynamic** arbitrage \longrightarrow restrictions on **SDE coefficients (drift restrictions à la HJM)**.
- How to handle these constraints ?

Ideas and questions

The simplest example

- Consider one stock S and **one** call $C(K, T)$. **Restrictions** are
 - **static:** $(S_t - K)^+ \leq C_t \leq S_t$ and $C_T = (S_T - K)^+$.
 - **dynamic:** S and C both martingales under some $Q \approx P$.
- How to write down **SDE for** (S, C) to ensure this ???
- Way out (\rightarrow Lyons 1997, Babbar 2001):
 - **reparametrize:** Instead of C_t , use **implied volatility** $\hat{\sigma}_t$ via $C_t = c_{BS}(S_t, K, (T - t)\hat{\sigma}_t^2)$.
 - more precisely: work with $V_t := (T - t)\hat{\sigma}_t^2$.
 - **static** arbitrage constraint is equivalent to $0 \leq V_t < \infty$ and $V_T = 0$; so **state space** is nice.
 - **dynamic** arbitrage constraint reduces to **drift restriction** for V in SDE model for (S, V) .
 - SDE is still tricky (nonlinear), but feasible; explicit examples.

Multiple maturities

- Consider one stock S and **many** calls $C(K, T)$ with one fixed strike K and **maturities** $T \in \mathcal{T}$.
- Use **new parametrization**:
 - **forward implied volatilities** defined by

$$X_t(T) := \frac{\partial}{\partial T} ((T - t)\hat{\sigma}_t^2(K, T)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} V_t(T).$$

- **static** arbitrage constraints are equivalent to
 - $V_t(T) \geq 0$ as before.
 - $T \mapsto V_t(T)$ is increasing, i.e., $0 \leq X_t(T) < \infty$.
 So: **state space** is nice.
- **dynamic** arbitrage constraints reduce to **drift restrictions** for all $X(T)$ in SDE model for $(S, X(T))_{T \in \mathcal{T}}$.
- \longrightarrow Schönbucher 1999, Brace et al. 2001, Ledoit et al. 2002

Problems with multiple maturities I

- Structure of model:

$$\begin{aligned} dS_t &= S_t \mu_t dt + S_t \sigma_t dW_t, \\ dX_t(T) &= \alpha_t(T) dt + v_t(T) dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

- **Dynamic arbitrage constraints:** (S_t) and all

$$C_t(T) = c_{BS} \left(S_t, K, \int_t^T X_t(s) ds \right)$$

must be (local) martingales under some $Q \approx P$.

- **Drift restrictions:**

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_t &= -\sigma_t b_t, \\ \sigma_t &= f(X_t(t), S_t, v_t(\cdot)), \\ \alpha_t(T) &= g(X_t(T), S_t, v_t(\cdot), X_t(\cdot)). \end{aligned}$$

Problems with multiple maturities II

- Structure of model with **drift restrictions**:

$$dS_t = S_t f(X_t(t), S_t, v_t(\cdot))(dW_t - b_t dt),$$

$$dX_t(T) = g(X_t(T), S_t, v_t(\cdot), X_t(\cdot)) dt + v_t(T) dW_t.$$

- f and g are **nonlinear**; so even if v is Lipschitz and of linear growth, dt -coefficients and σ are not !
- **Existence problem for (infinite, nonlinear) SDE system !**
- No results in the literature (except classical HJM, with severe conditions: bounded and Lipschitz).

Multiple strikes: even more problems

- Consider one stock S and **many** calls $C(K, T)$ with one fixed maturity T and **strikes** $K \in \mathcal{K}$.
- Arbitrage constraints:
 - **dynamic**: as usual some **drift restrictions**.
 - **static**: $K \mapsto C_t(K, T)$ is convex and satisfies

$$-1 \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial K} C_t(T, K) \leq 0.$$

- **state space** for $C(T, K)$ very complicated.
 - using (classical or forward) implied volatilities does not help either.
- Before even thinking about SDEs: **How to choose parametrization ??**

Results

Infinite SDE systems

- **Key mathematical tool:** consider SDE system

$$dX_t(T) = \alpha_t(T, X_t(\cdot)) dt + \sigma_t(T, X_t(\cdot)) dW_t$$

with $0 \leq T \leq T^*$ and $0 \leq t \leq T_0 \leq T^*$.

- \longrightarrow **J. Wissel 2006:**
 - **Existence and uniqueness** result for **strong solution** under only **local Lipschitz-type conditions** on α, σ .
 - Includes sufficient conditions on growth for non-explosion.
 - Key idea: work on product space $[0, T^*] \times \Omega$.
- **Important:** global Lipschitz condition is too strong for the required applications.

Multiple maturities

- SDE system with **drift restrictions** is

$$\begin{aligned}dS_t &= S_t f(X_t(t), S_t, v_t(\cdot))(dW_t - b_t dt), \\dX_t(T) &= g(X_t(T), S_t, v_t(\cdot), X_t(\cdot)) dt + v_t(T) dW_t.\end{aligned}$$

- **Theorem:** Sufficient conditions on v for **existence and uniqueness** of solution.
- **Not direct** from general SDE results, because
 - only v can be chosen here.
 - in addition, must have $X \geq 0$.
- Classes of explicit examples for such models, for **first time in literature**.
- \longrightarrow **S/Wissel 2006**

Explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t(T) = & -\frac{1}{2} \left((\mathcal{R}_t(T))^2 - \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_t(T)} - \frac{1}{4} \right) v_t(T) \cdot \int_t^T v_t(s) ds \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left((\mathcal{R}_t(T))^2 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_t(T)} \right) \frac{X_t(T)}{\mathcal{Z}_t(T)} \left| \int_t^T v_t(s) ds \right|^2 \\ & + \left(\mathcal{R}_t(T) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \sigma_t v_t^1(T) \\ & - \mathcal{R}_t(T) \frac{X_t(T)}{\mathcal{Z}_t(T)} \sigma_t \int_t^T v_t^1(s) ds - b_t \cdot v_t(T) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$Y_t(t) := \log S_t, \quad \mathcal{R}_t(T) := \frac{Y_t(t) - \log K}{\mathcal{Z}_t(T)}, \quad \mathcal{Z}_t(T) := \int_t^T X_t(s) ds.$$

Multiple strikes: new parametrization

- Recall key difficulty: **how to parametrize ?**
- Call option prices **admissible** if for each t , $K \mapsto C_t(K)$
 - is C^2 ,
 - is strictly convex,
 - satisfies $-1 < C'_t(K) < 0$ for all K ,
 - satisfies $\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} C_t(K) = 0$.
- (This is slight strengthening of **static arbitrage constraints**.)
- New concept: local implied volatilities**

$$X_t(K) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{T-t} K C''_t(K)} \varphi\left(\Phi^{-1}\left(-C'_t(K)\right)\right)$$

and, for fixed K_0 , **price level**

$$Y_t := \sqrt{T-t} \Phi^{-1}\left(-C'_t(K_0)\right).$$

- **Theorem:** There is a bijection between **admissible option price models** and all pairs (X, Y) of **positive local implied volatility curves X** and **real-valued price levels Y** .
- In other words:
 - State space of (X, Y) is nice ...
 - ... and yet captures exactly the static arbitrage constraints !
- Also
 - interpretation for the $X_t(T)$ as “local implied volatilities” .
 - explicit formulae relating the classical and the above new local implied volatilities.
 - recovers standard volatility in Black-Scholes setting.
- So: **good solution to parametrization problem with multiple strikes !**

Multiple strikes: structure of models

- **Dynamic arbitrage constraints:**

$$S_t = C_t(0) = \int_0^\infty \Phi \left(\frac{Y_t - \int_{K_0}^k \frac{1}{hX_t(h)} dh}{\sqrt{T-t}} \right) dk$$

and all call prices

$$C_t(K) = \int_K^\infty \Phi \left(\frac{Y_t - \int_{K_0}^k \frac{1}{hX_t(h)} dh}{\sqrt{T-t}} \right) dk$$

must be (local) martingales under some $Q \approx P$.

- **Drift restrictions** on SDEs for X and Y ?

- Model for local implied volatilities X and price level Y :

$$\begin{aligned} dX_t(K) &= X_t(K)u_t(K) dt + X_t(K)v_t(K) dW_t, \\ dY_t &= \beta_t dt + \gamma_t dW_t. \end{aligned}$$

- Drift restrictions** from **dynamic arbitrage constraints**:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_t &= -\gamma_t \cdot b_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{Y_t}{T-t} (|\gamma_t|^2 - 1), \\ u_t(K) &= -v_t(K) \cdot b_t + \frac{1}{T-t} \left(\frac{1}{2} (1 - |\gamma_t + \mathcal{I}_t^v(K)|^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (Y_t + \mathcal{I}_t^1(K)) (\gamma_t + \mathcal{I}_t^v(K)) \cdot v_t(K) \right) + |v_t(K)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\mathcal{I}_t^1(K) := \int_{K_0}^K \frac{1}{hX_t(h)} dh, \quad \mathcal{I}_t^v(K) := \int_{K_0}^K \frac{v_t(h)}{hX_t(h)} dh.$$

Multiple strikes: existence of models

- **Theorem:** Sufficient conditions on v for **existence and uniqueness** of solution.
- Again, **not direct** from general SDE results, because
 - only v can be chosen here.
 - in addition, must have $X > 0$.
- Up to now, **no result on existence** of such models in the literature.
- First **tractable parametrization** to tackle this problem at all !
- In addition, explicit class of **examples** for models.
- → **S/Wissel 2007**

Towards the end

Open problems

- Model and parametrization for **full option surface** (all maturities T and all strikes K): **??**
- Practical implementation ?
- Analogous results for finite family of options ?
- Recalibration ?
- ...

Some related work

- **Duipire: local volatility** model:
 - can also fit any initial term structure of option prices ...
 - ... but not rich enough for recalibration over time.
 - No explicit formulas, only PDEs for $C_t(K, T)$ with $t > 0$.
 - extensions to more stochastic factors ...
 - ... but there no existence results for models.
- **Bühler:** market models for **variance swaps**:
 - only maturity parameter T ; no strike structure.
 - special payoff function yields easy infinite SDE system.
 - some more explicit results.
- **Durrleman:** links between **spot and implied volatilities**:
 - classical martingale modelling, no market models.
 - results for at-the-money options and shortly before maturity.
 - practical usability less clear.

References

- J. Wissel, “Some results on strong solutions of SDEs with applications to term structure models”, *preprint, ETH Zürich, 2006, to appear in Stochastic Processes and their Applications*
<http://www.nccr-finrisk.unizh.ch/wps>
- M. Schweizer and J. Wissel, “Term structures of implied volatilities: Absence of arbitrage and existence results”, *preprint, ETH Zürich, 2006, to appear in Mathematical Finance*
<http://www.nccr-finrisk.unizh.ch/wps>
- M. Schweizer and J. Wissel, “Arbitrage-free market models for option prices: The multi-strike case”, *preprint, ETH Zürich, 2007*

The end (for the time being ...)

Thank you for your attention !