## On sums and products of residues modulo $p$
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1. Introduction. Throughout the paper we use the notation $e(\alpha)=e^{2 \pi i \alpha}$.

Our goal is to show that if $A, B, C, D$ are "large" subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+b=c d, \quad a \in A, b \in B, c \in C, d \in D \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be solved.
Theorem. If $p$ is a prime, $A, B, C, D \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, and the number of solutions of (1) is denoted by $N$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N-\frac{|A||B||C||D|}{p}\right| \leq(|A||B||C||D|)^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 1. If $p$ is a prime, $A, B, C, D \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A||B||C||D|>p^{3} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (1) can be solved.
Note that Corollary 1 and thus also the Theorem is the best possible apart from the constant factor in (2), resp. (3). Indeed, taking $A=B=$ $\{n: 1 \leq n<p / 2\}$ (here and in what follows we do not distinguish between integers and residue classes represented by them), $C=\{1, \ldots, p\}$ and $D=$ $\{0\}$, we have

$$
|A||B||C||D|=\left(\frac{1}{4}+o(1)\right) p^{3}
$$

however, (1) has no solution.
Moreover, we remark that these results cannot be extended from prime moduli to composite moduli, i.e., from $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{m}$. Indeed, let $m=2 k$ be an even positive integer, and let $A=C=\{2,4, \ldots, 2 k\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{m}, B=$ $\{1,3, \ldots, 2 k-1\}$ and $D=\mathbb{Z}_{m}$. Then we have

[^0]$$
|A||B||C||D|=\frac{1}{8} m^{4}
$$
so that much more holds than the $m$-analogue of (3), however, clearly (1) has no solution. One might like to study the question that in what rings $R$ (including infinite ones) is it true that if $A, B, C, D$ are "dense" subsets of $R$, then (1) must be solvable.

First, in Section 2 we will show that the Theorem and Corollary 1 generalize several earlier theorems, and the proofs of the Theorem and Corollary 1 will be presented in Section 3.

## 2. Consequences

Corollary 2. If $p$ is a prime number, $\chi$ is a (multiplicative) character modulo $p$ of order $d$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}, A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A||B|>d^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-2} p \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there are $a \in A, b \in B$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(a+b)=e\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Writing $C=\left\{u: u \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \chi(u)=1\right\}$ and $D=\left\{v: v \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, \chi(v)=\right.$ $\left.e\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)\right\}$, we have

$$
|C|=|D|=\frac{p-1}{d}
$$

so that, by (4),

$$
|A||B||C||D|>d^{2} \frac{p^{3}}{(p-1)^{2}} \frac{(p-1)^{2}}{d^{2}}=p^{3} .
$$

Thus by Corollary 1, (1) can be solved. If $a, b, c, d$ satisfy (1) then we have

$$
\chi(a+b)=\chi(c d)=\chi(c) \chi(d)=1 \cdot e\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)=e\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)
$$

so that (5) holds and this completes the proof of Corollary 2.
In particular, if $\chi(n)=\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)$ (for $(n, p)=1$ ) is the Legendre symbol in Corollary 2 so that $d=2$, then we have the following consequence:

Corollary 3. If $p$ is an odd prime, $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and

$$
|A||B|>4\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-2} p
$$

then there are $a, a^{\prime} \in A, b, b^{\prime} \in B$ with

$$
\left(\frac{a+b}{p}\right)=1, \quad\left(\frac{a^{\prime}+b^{\prime}}{p}\right)=-1 .
$$

This sharpens and generalizes a result of Erdős and Sárközy [1]; see also [2] and [3].

Corollary 4. If $p$ is a prime, $k \in \mathbb{N},(p-1, k)>1, A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and for all $a \in A, b \in B, a+b$ is a kth power in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, i.e., writing $E=\left\{x^{k}: x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right\}$ we have $A+B \subset E$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A||B| \leq 9\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-2} p \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that apart from the constant factor in the upper bound in (6), this is Gyarmati's Theorem 8(b) in [5].

Proof of Corollary 4. We have to show that if $A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A||B|>9\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-2} p \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there are $a \in A, b \in B$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+b \notin E \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write $D=(p-1, k)$ (so that $D>1$ ), let $r(n, D)$ denote the least nonnegative residue of $n$ modulo $D$, let $g$ be a primitive root modulo $p$, and define $C, D$ by $C=\left\{g^{u}: 0 \leq r(u, D)<D / 2\right\}, D=\left\{g^{v}: 0<r(v, D) \leq\right.$ $D / 2\}$ so that, by $D>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{|C|,|D|\} \geq\left[\frac{D}{2}\right] \frac{p-1}{D} \geq \frac{p-1}{3} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (7) and (9) we have

$$
|A||B||C||D|>9\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-2} p\left(\frac{p-1}{3}\right)^{2}=p^{3}
$$

so that, by Corollary $1,(1)$ can be solved. If $a, b, c, d$ satisfy (1) then $a+b$ can be written in form

$$
a+b=c d=g^{u} \cdot g^{v}=g^{u+v}
$$

with $0<r(u+v, D)<D$ so that $D \nmid(u+v)$. Thus $D$ does not divide the (base $g$ ) index of $a+b$ modulo $p$ whence (8) follows.

Corollary 5. If $p$ is a prime, $k \in \mathbb{N}, A, B \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and, writing $D=$ $(k, p-1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A||B|>D^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)^{-2} p \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+b=x^{k}, \quad a \in A, b \in B, x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, x \neq 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be solved.

This is a variant of a special case of Gyarmati's Theorem 10(b) in [5]. Note that it follows from this corollary that if $m, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ are fixed and $p$ is a prime large enough then the congruence

$$
x^{m}+y^{n} \equiv z^{k}(\bmod p),
$$

and in particular the Fermat congruence

$$
x^{n}+y^{n} \equiv z^{n}(\bmod p)
$$

has non-trivial solution $x, y, z$; the latter is Schur's theorem [7].
Proof of Corollary 5. Writing $F=\left\{x^{k}: x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, x \neq 0\right\}$, we clearly have

$$
|F|=\frac{p-1}{D} .
$$

Thus taking $C=D=F$, by (10) we have

$$
|A||B||C||D|=|A||B|\left(\frac{p-1}{D}\right)^{2}>p^{3}
$$

so that by Corollary 1 (1) can be solved. For $a, b, c, d$ satisfying (1) we have

$$
a+b=c d \in C D=F \cdot F=F
$$

which proves the solvability of (11).
Corollary 6. If $p$ is a prime, $S, T$ are integers with $1 \leq T \leq p$, $C, D \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|C||D|>\frac{4}{T^{2}} p^{3}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c d \equiv n(\bmod p), \quad c \in C, d \in D, S<n \leq S+T \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be solved.
This is a slight sharpening of the Corollary in [6]; the connection with the problem of the least quadratic non-residue was analyzed there. See also [4].

Proof of Corollary 6. Define $A, B$ by $A=\{a: S \leq a \leq S+[T / 2]\}$, $B=\{b: 0<b \leq T-[T / 2]\}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min \{|A|,|B|\} \geq T-\left[\frac{T}{2}\right] \geq \frac{T}{2} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (12) and (14) that

$$
|A||B||C||D|>\left(\frac{T}{2}\right)^{2} \frac{4}{T^{2}} p^{3}=p^{3}
$$

so that, by Corollary 1 , there are $a, b, c, d$ satisfying (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
a+b=c d . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of $A$ and $B$, here we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S<a+b \leq S+T \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (13) follows from (15) and (16).

## 3. The proofs

Proof of the Theorem. For every $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ we have

$$
\frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} e\left((a+b-c d) \frac{k}{p}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } a+b=c d \\ 0 & \text { if } a+b \neq c d\end{cases}
$$

so that

$$
N=\frac{1}{p} \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{c \in C} \sum_{d \in D} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} e\left((a+b-c d) \frac{k}{p}\right)
$$

Separating the term with $k=0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=\frac{|A||B||C||D|}{p}+\frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{c \in C} \sum_{d \in D} e\left((a+b-c d) \frac{k}{p}\right) \\
& =\frac{|A||B||C||D|}{p}+\frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\left(\sum_{a \in A} e\left(a \frac{k}{p}\right)\right)\left(\sum_{b \in B} e\left(b \frac{k}{p}\right)\right)\left(\sum_{c \in C} \sum_{d \in D} e\left(-c d \frac{k}{p}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

whence, writing $F(\alpha)=\sum_{a \in A} e(a \alpha)$ and $G(\alpha)=\sum_{b \in B} e(b \beta)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
||N|- & \left.\frac{|A||B||C||D|}{p} \right\rvert\,  \tag{17}\\
& =\frac{1}{p}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} F\left(\frac{k}{p}\right) G\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\left(\sum_{c \in C} \sum_{d \in D} e\left(-c d \frac{k}{p}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\left|F\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right|\left|G\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right|\left|\sum_{c \in C} \sum_{d \in D} e\left(-c d \frac{k}{p}\right)\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we need Vinogradov's lemma [8, p. 29]:
Lemma 7. Let $(a, q)=1, q>1$. Let

$$
S=\sum_{x=0}^{q-1} \sum_{y=0}^{q-1} \zeta(x) \eta(y) e\left(x y \frac{a}{q}\right)
$$

and suppose that

$$
\sum_{x=0}^{q-1}|\zeta(x)|^{2}=X_{0}, \quad \sum_{y=0}^{q-1}|\eta(y)|^{2}=Y_{0}
$$

Then

$$
|S| \leq\left(X_{0} Y_{0} q\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

We use this lemma with $a=-k, q=p$,

$$
\zeta(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { if } x \in C, \\
0 & \text { if } x \notin C,
\end{array} \quad \eta(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } d \in D, \\
0 & \text { if } d \notin D,\end{cases}\right.
$$

so that $X_{0}=|C|$ and $Y_{0}=|D|$. We obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{c \in C} \sum_{d \in D} e\left(-c d \frac{k}{p}\right)\right| \leq(|C||D| p)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { for }(k, p)=1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Cauchy's inequality and a Parseval formula type identity, it follows from (17) and (18) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|N-\frac{|A||B||C||D|}{p}\right| & \leq \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1}\left|F\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right|\left|G\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right|(|C||D| p)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq \frac{(|C||D|)^{1 / 2}}{p^{1 / 2}} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\left|F\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right|\left|G\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left(\frac{|C||D|}{p}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\left|F\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{p-1}\left|G\left(\frac{k}{p}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left(\frac{|C||D|}{p}\right)^{1 / 2}(|A| p)^{1 / 2}(|B| p)^{1 / 2} \\
& =(|A||B||C||D|)^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof of the Theorem.
Proof of Corollary 1. By our Theorem, it follows from (3) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
N & \geq \frac{|A||B||C||D|}{p}-(|A||B||C||D|)^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2} \\
& =\frac{|A||B||C||D|^{1 / 2}}{p}\left((|A||B||C||D|)^{1 / 2}-p^{3 / 2}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$
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