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1. Introduction. Let $p$ be a prime, $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ be the finite field in $p$ elements, $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}=\mathbb{Z}_{p}-\{0\}$, and $k$ be a positive integer. The smallest $s$ such that the congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{k}+\cdots+x_{s}^{k} \equiv a(\bmod p) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is solvable for all integers $a$ is called Waring's number $(\bmod p)$, and denoted $\gamma(k, p)$. If $d=(k, p-1)$ then clearly $\gamma(d, p)=\gamma(k, p)$ and so we assume henceforth that $k \mid(p-1)$.

An alternate way of defining Waring's number is in terms of sum sets. For any subsets $A, B$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and positive integer $s$ we let

$$
\begin{aligned}
A+B & =\{a+b: a \in A, b \in B\}, & s A & =A+\cdots+A \quad(s \text { times }) \\
A B & =\{a b: a \in A, b \in B\}, & n A B & =n(A B)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $A$ is the multiplicative subgroup of $k$ th powers in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $A_{0}=A \cup\{0\}$ then $\gamma(k, p)$ is the minimal $s$ such that $s A_{0}=\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Put $t=|A|=(p-1) / k$.

From the classical estimate of Hua and Vandiver [10] and Weil [22] for counting the number $N_{s}(a)$ of solutions of 1.1$)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|N_{s}(a)-p^{s-1}\right| \leq(k-1)^{s} p^{(s-1) / 2} \quad \text { for } a \neq 0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

one immediately obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(k, p) \leq s \quad \text { if }|A| \geq p^{1 / 2+1 /(2 s)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is the group of $k$ th powers. In particular, $\gamma(k, p) \leq 2$ if $|A| \geq p^{3 / 4}$ and $\gamma(k, p) \leq 3$ for $|A| \geq p^{2 / 3}$. It is reasonable to conjecture that $\gamma(k, p) \leq 2$ if $|A| \gg p^{1 / 2+\epsilon}$ and that $\gamma(k, p) \leq 3$ if $|A| \gg p^{1 / 3+\epsilon}$, but no further progress has been made in this direction. However, for $s \geq 4$, improvements on the lower bound on $|A|$ in $\sqrt{1.3}$ are available. The goal of this paper is to obtain

[^0]the best available estimates of this type. Our results are summarized in Table 1 below. For a given positive integer $s$, we let $t_{s}$ denote the smallest known value such that for any $k, p$ with $|A| \geq t_{s}$ we have $\gamma(k, p) \leq s$.

Table 1. Record breaking values for Waring numbers

| $s$ | $t_{s}$ | Exponent | Proof |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $p^{3 / 4}$ | .75000 | 1.3 |
| 3 | $p^{2 / 3}$ | .66667 | 1.3 |
| 4 | $p^{22 / 39+\epsilon}$ | .56411 | Section 6.1 |
| 5 | $p^{15 / 29+\epsilon}$ | .51725 | Section 6.2 |
| 6 | $p^{11 / 23+\epsilon}$ | .47827 | Theorem 6.1 |
| 7 | $p^{27 / 59+\epsilon}$ | .45763 | Theorem 6.1 |
| 8 | $p^{117 / 265+\epsilon}$ | .44151 | Theorem 6.1 |
| 16 | $p^{27 / 71+\epsilon}$ | .38029 | Theorem 6.1 |
| 24 | $p^{5 / 14+\epsilon}$ | .35715 | Section 8 |
| 32 | $p^{5 / 16+\epsilon}$ | .31250 | Section 8 |
| 48 | $p^{5 / 17+\epsilon}$ | .29412 | Section 8 |
| 64 | $p^{5 / 18+\epsilon}$ | .27778 | Section 8 |
| 96 | $p^{5 / 19+\epsilon}$ | .26316 | Section 8 |
| 128 | $p^{1 / 4}$ | .25000 | Section 8 |
| 392 | $p^{5 / 21+\epsilon}$ | .23810 | Section 8 |
| 2888 | $p^{10 / 53+\epsilon}$ | .18868 | Section 8 |

The values given in the table are Big-O estimates, where the constant depends on $\epsilon$ whenever $\epsilon$ is present. For $s>8$ we have chosen a sampling of special values to serve as benchmarks. Multiples of 8 are used because of the convenience of applying the Glibichuk-Konyagin $8 A B$ theorem; see Lemma 8.1. For $6 \leq s \leq 12$ the best admissible value we have found for $t_{s}$ is $p^{\frac{9 s+45}{29 s+33}+\epsilon}$ (see Theorem 6.1), sharpening the result of Schoen and Shkredov [16. Theorem 2.6], who obtained $t_{s}=\min \left\{p^{\frac{2 s+2}{5 s-3}}, p^{\frac{s+5}{3 s+3}}\right\}$. For $s>12$ some further improvements are available by appealing to estimates of $T_{3}(A)$ (see (3.7)), but we have not carried out these computations here.

The estimate in (1.3) yields no information for groups of size $\sqrt{p}$ and so one of the targets in recent years has been the determination of $\gamma(k, p)$ for subgroups $A$ of size $|A|>p^{1 / 2}$. Glibichuk [5] obtained $\gamma(k, p) \leq 8$ for such groups. This was improved by Schoen and Shkredov [16, Theorem 4.1] to $\gamma(k, p) \leq 6$ for $|A|>p^{41 / 83+\epsilon}$. Further improvements were made by Shkredov and Vyugin [21, Corollary 5.6], $\gamma(k, p) \leq 6$ for $|A|>p^{33 / 67+\epsilon}$, and Schoen and Shkredov [17, Corollary 49], $\gamma(k, p) \leq 6$ for $|A|>p^{99 / 203+\epsilon}=p^{48768 \ldots+\epsilon}$, both under the assumption that $-1 \in A$. Hart $[8]$ obtained $\gamma(k, p) \leq 6$ for
any $A$ with $|A|>p^{11 / 23+\epsilon}=p^{47826 \ldots+\epsilon}$. Here we extend his method to values of $s \geq 6$. In order to obtain $\gamma(k, p) \leq 5$, the best we have been able to do is to take $|A|>p^{15 / 29+\epsilon}$. The next milestone will be to obtain $\gamma(k, p) \leq 5$ for $|A| \gg p^{1 / 2}$.

Bounds on Gauss sums immediately yield estimates for Waring's number. Let $e_{p}(\cdot)=e^{\frac{2 \pi i \cdot}{p}}$ and put

$$
\Phi_{k}=\max _{\lambda, p \nmid \lambda}\left|\sum_{x=1}^{p} e_{p}\left(\lambda x^{k}\right)\right| .
$$

It is elementary that $\left|N_{s}(a)-p^{s-1}\right|<\Phi_{k}^{s}$, and so

$$
\gamma(k, p) \leq\left\lceil\frac{\log p}{\log \left(p / \Phi_{k}\right)}\right\rceil
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{k} \leq(1-\epsilon) p \Rightarrow \gamma(k, p) \ll_{\epsilon} \log p \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{k} \leq p^{1-\epsilon} \Rightarrow \gamma(k, p) \leq\lceil 1 / \epsilon\rceil \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Bounds of the former type, (1.4), are discussed in [11] and [2]. Bounds of the latter type, 1.5 , follow from the $\epsilon-\delta$ exponential sum bound of Bourgain and Konyagin [1]: For any $\delta>0$ there exists a constant $\epsilon=\epsilon(\delta)$ such that if $|A| \gg p^{\delta}$ then $\Phi_{k} \ll p^{1-\epsilon}$. Consequently, there exists a constant $c(\delta)$ such that if $|A|>p^{\delta}$ then $\gamma(k, p) \ll c(\delta)$. Glibichuk and Konyagin [6] showed, using a completely different method, that one can take $c(\delta)=4^{1 / \delta}$. We employ the methods of Glibichuk and Konyagin in this paper to deal with the cases where $s>8$ in Table 1, and so the values we obtain reflect this order of magnitude. For small $s$ we use the machinery developed by Schoen and Shkredov [16], [17] and Shkredov and Vyugin [21], which in turn makes use of exponential sum estimates and additive energy estimates of Heath-Brown and Konyagin [9, and Konyagin [12].

Montgomery, Vaughan and Wooley [13] have conjectured that

$$
\Phi_{k} \ll \sqrt{k p \log (k p)}
$$

This would imply that if $|A|>p^{\delta}$, then $\gamma(k, p) \leq c / \delta$ for some constant $c$, and consequently $t_{s} \leq p^{c / s}$, which is best possible, up to the determination of the constant $c$.

REMARK 1.1. With the aid of a computer, one can determine explicit upper bounds for $\gamma(k, p)$ for small $k$. Tables of such values have been provided by Small [19], [20] and Moreno and Castro [14]. For instance, $\gamma(2, p) \leq 2$ for all $p, \gamma(3, p) \leq 2$ for $p>7, \gamma(4, p) \leq 2$ for $p>29, \gamma(4, p) \leq 3$ for $p>5$, $\gamma(5, p) \leq 2$ for $p>61$, etc.

One can also obtain an explicit determination of $\gamma(k, p)$ when $k$ is very close to $p$ in size. For instance $\gamma(p-1, p)=p-1, \gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{2}, p\right)=\frac{p-1}{2}$, and for $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4), \gamma\left(\frac{p-1}{4}, p\right)=a-1$ where $a$ is the positive integer satisfying $a^{2}+b^{2}=p, a>b, b \in \mathbb{Z}$; see [2]. See also [2] and [3] for further discussion of estimates when $|A|$ is small.
2. Estimating the number of solutions of (1.1). In this section we outline the standard method of estimating the number of solutions of a Waring-type congruence such as (1.1). For any subset $B$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and positive integer $\ell$, let

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{\ell}(B)=\mid\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\ell}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{\ell}\right):\right.  \tag{2.1}\\
& \left.\qquad x_{i}, y_{i} \in B, x_{1}+\cdots+x_{\ell}=y_{1}+\cdots+y_{\ell}\right\} \mid
\end{align*}
$$

and $E(B):=T_{2}(B)$, the additive energy of $B$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{B}=\max _{p \nmid \lambda}\left|\sum_{x \in B} e_{p}(\lambda x)\right|, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{p}(\cdot)$ denotes the additive character $e^{\frac{2 \pi i}{p}}$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. We call a subset $B$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ an $A$-invariant set if $A B \subseteq B$, that is, $A B=B$.

For any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$ let $N_{s}(B, a)$ denote the number of $s$-tuples $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}+\cdots+x_{s}=a, \quad x_{i} \in B, 1 \leq i \leq s \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $A$ be a multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}, B$ be an $A$ invariant subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and a be a nonzero element of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Then for any positive integers $s, r$ with $r \leq s / 2$, we have

$$
\left|N_{s}(B, a)-|B|^{s} / p\right|<\Phi_{B}^{s-2 r} T_{r}(B) \Phi_{A} /|A|
$$

Special cases of this theorem have appeared throughout the literature. Letting $B=A$, we find that 2.3 is solvable, and consequently $\gamma(k, p) \leq s$, provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|A|^{s+1}>p \Phi_{A}^{s+1-2 r} T_{r}(A) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that with $N_{s}^{*}(a)$ denoting the number of solutions of 1.1 with the $x_{i}$ nonzero, we have $N_{s}^{*}(a)=k^{s} N_{s}(A, a)$ and so we obtain the estimate

$$
\left|N_{s}^{*}(a)-(p-1)^{s} / p\right|<\Phi_{A}^{s+1-2 r} k^{s} T_{r}(A) /|A|
$$

The estimate in 1.2 is (essentially) recovered on setting $r=1$ and using the elementary estimate $\Phi_{A} \leq \frac{k-1}{k} \sqrt{p}+\frac{1}{k}$, coming from $\left|\sum_{x=1}^{p} e_{p}\left(\lambda x^{k}\right)\right| \leq$ $(k-1) \sqrt{p}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ we have

$$
p N_{s}(B, a)=\sum_{\lambda=1}^{p} \sum_{x_{1} \in B} \cdots \sum_{x_{s} \in B} e_{p}\left(\lambda\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{s}-a\right)\right)
$$

Since $B$ is $A$-invariant, we see that $N_{s}(B, a x)=N_{s}(B, a)$ for any $x \in A$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
p|A| N_{s}(B, a) & =\sum_{\lambda=1}^{p} \sum_{x \in A} \sum_{x_{1} \in B} \cdots \sum_{x_{s} \in B} e_{p}\left(\lambda\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{s}-a x\right)\right) \\
& =|B|^{s}|A|+\sum_{\lambda \neq 0} \sum_{x \in A} \sum_{x_{1} \in B} \cdots \sum_{x_{s} \in B} e_{p}\left(\lambda\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{s}-a x\right)\right) \\
& =|B|^{s}|A|+\sum_{\lambda \neq 0}\left(\sum_{x \in A} e_{p}(-\lambda a x)\right)\left(\sum_{x \in B} e_{p}(\lambda x)\right)^{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus for any positive integer $r \leq s / 2$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|N_{s}(B, a)-\frac{|B|^{s}}{p}\right| & <\frac{\Phi_{B}^{s-2 r} \Phi_{A}}{p|A|} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left|\sum_{x \in B} e_{p}(\lambda x)\right|^{2 r}  \tag{2.5}\\
& =\frac{\Phi_{B}^{s-2 r} \Phi_{A}}{|A|} T_{r}(B)
\end{align*}
$$

3. Energy estimates. The first estimate we give is valid for any subset $A$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(A) & =p^{-1} \sum_{\lambda=0}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{x \in A} e_{p}(\lambda x)\right|^{4}=\frac{|A|^{4}}{p}+p^{-1} \theta \Phi_{A}^{2} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{x \in A} e_{p}(\lambda x)\right|^{2} \\
& =\frac{|A|^{4}}{p}+p^{-1} \theta^{\prime} \Phi_{A}^{2} p|A|=\frac{|A|^{4}}{p}+\theta^{\prime}|A| \Phi_{A}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some real numbers $\theta, \theta^{\prime}$ with $|\theta| \leq 1,\left|\theta^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$. In particular, for any subset $A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(A) \leq \frac{|A|^{4}}{p}+|A| \Phi_{A}^{2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For multiplicative subgroups $A$, we have the elementary bound $\Phi_{A} \leq \sqrt{p}$, and consequently $\left|E(A)-|A|^{4} / p\right| \leq|A| p$. Thus, for multiplicative groups with $|A|>p^{2 / 3}$, we have $E(A) \sim|A|^{4} / p$ (in the appropriate sense).

For subgroups of smaller size, improvements are available. Heath-Brown and Konyagin, using the method of Stepanov, established that for any multiplicative subgroup $A$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ with $|A|<p^{2 / 3}$, we have $E(A) \ll|A|^{5 / 2}$. The constant was made explicit in the work of Cochrane and Pinner [4, Theo-
rem 2.2]: For $|A|<p^{2 / 3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(A) \leq \frac{16}{3}|A|^{5 / 2} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For subgroups of size $|A| \ll p^{6 / 11}$, Shkredov [18, Theorem 34] obtained the improvement

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(A) \ll|A|^{22 / 9} \log ^{2 / 3}|A| \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Schoen and Shkredov [17, Corollary 48] obtained a new kind of upper bound on $E(A)$, expressing it in terms of $|A|$ and $|2 A|$ : For any multiplicative subgroup $A$ with $|A| \ll p^{1 / 2}, E(A) \ll|A|^{31 / 18}|2 A|^{4 / 9} \log ^{1 / 2}|A|$. This was improved by Shkredov [18, Theorems 30, 34] to

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(A) \ll|A|^{4 / 3}|2 A|^{2 / 3} \log |A| \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any multiplicative subgroup $A$ with $|A| \ll p^{9 / 17}$, improving on (3.3) if $|2 A| \ll|A|^{5 / 3} \log ^{-1 / 2}|A|$. Hart [8] made a further slight improvement, replacing the $\log |A|$ in (3.4) with $\log ^{1 / 2}|A|$, for $|A| \ll p^{9 / 17}$. Indeed, he showed that for $|A| \ll p^{2 / 3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(A) \ll \max \left\{|A|^{4 / 3}|2 A|^{2 / 3} \log ^{1 / 2}|A|,|A||2 A|^{2} p^{-1} \log |A|\right\} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that in the inequalities of this paragraph the set $2 A$ may be replaced by $A-A$.

For higher order $T_{\ell}(A)$ we have the following estimate of Konyagin 12 , Lemma 5] for any multiplicative group $A$ : For any positive integer $\ell \geq 3$ there exists a constant $c_{\ell}$ such that if $|A|<p^{1 / 2}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\ell}(A) \leq c_{\ell}|A|^{2 \ell-2+1 / 2^{\ell-1}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This was improved by Shkredov [18, Theorem 34] in the case $\ell=3$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{3}(A) \ll|A|^{151 / 36} \log ^{2 / 3}|A| \ll|A|^{4.1945} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|A|<p^{1 / 2}$.
4. Bounds for $\Phi_{A}$ and $\Phi_{2 A}$. The following lemma, a generalization of [12, Lemma 3], is a key tool for bounding exponential sums in terms of energy estimates.

Lemma 4.1. Let $A, B$ be subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ such that $B$ is $A$-invariant. Then for any positive integers $j, \ell$ we have

$$
\Phi_{B} \leq p^{\frac{1}{2 j \ell}} T_{\ell}(A)^{\frac{1}{2 j \ell}} T_{j}(B)^{\frac{1}{2 j \ell}}|A|^{-1 / j}|B|^{1-1 / \ell}
$$

The proof is provided in the Appendix for the convenience of the reader.

For the case of a multiplicative subgroup $A$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$, we deduce from Lemma 4.1 that

$$
\Phi_{A} \leq \begin{cases}p^{1 / 2}, & j=1, \ell=1  \tag{4.1}\\ p^{1 / 4}|A|^{-1 / 4} E(A)^{1 / 4}, & j=2, \ell=1 \\ p^{1 / 8} E(A)^{1 / 4}, & j=2, \ell=2 \\ p^{1 / 12}|A|^{1 / 6} E(A)^{1 / 12} T_{3}(A)^{1 / 12}, & j=2, \ell=3\end{cases}
$$

The second and third bounds above were obtained by Heath-Brown and Konyagin [9, and the fourth bound by Konyagin [12]. Inserting the energy estimates $(3.2),(3.3),(3.4)$ and (3.7) yields estimates for $\Phi_{A}$, as given in (4.3). Hart [8 obtained a new estimate for $|A| \ll p^{1 / 2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{A} \ll p^{1 / 8}|A|^{-1 / 8}|2 A|^{1 / 4} E^{1 / 8}(A) \log ^{7 / 16}|A| \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the energy estimates (3.3) and (3.4) (with the improved $\log ^{1 / 2}|A|$ ) yields yet two more estimates for $\Phi_{A}$.

The various estimates are summarized below.

The labels (4.1) a,b,c,d refer to the four different inequalities in (4.1). The first estimate is due to Shkredov [18, Corollary 3.7], and the sixth to HeathBrown and Konyagin [9]. For $|A|<p^{.383}$, further improvements are available using (4.1)d together with (3.7). Applications of Lemma 4.1 with higher $j, l$ yield nontrivial estimates for $\Phi_{A}$ for $|A|$ as small as $p^{1 / 4+\epsilon}$, as shown by Konyagin [12]. We shall have no occasion to use these here. For $|A|<p^{1 / 2}$ the first three inequalities in (4.3) should be used, while for $|A|>p^{1 / 2}$ the final four are preferable. For $|A|<p^{1 / 2}$, inequality (4.3)b is the optimal choice for $|2 A|<|A|^{5 / 3}$, and (4.3)c is the optimal choice for $|A|^{5 / 3}<|2 A|<$ $|A|^{31 / 18}$ (ignoring log factors). For $|A|>p^{1 / 2}$, 4.3)e is the optimal choice for $|2 A|<|A|^{5 / 3}$ (and $|A| \ll p^{9 / 17}$ ).

Setting $B=2 A$ in Lemma 4.1, we obtain analogous bounds for $\Phi_{2 A}$, namely,

$$
\Phi_{2 A} \leq \begin{cases}p^{1 / 2}|2 A|^{1 / 2}|A|^{-1 / 2}, & j=1, \ell=1  \tag{4.4}\\ p^{1 / 4}|2 A|^{3 / 4}|A|^{-1} E(A)^{1 / 4}, & j=1, \ell=2 \\ p^{1 / 6}|2 A|^{5 / 6}|A|^{-1} T_{3}(A)^{1 / 6}, & j=1, \ell=3\end{cases}
$$

Inserting the energy estimates (3.3), (3.4), with the $\sqrt{\log |A|}$ improvement, and (3.7), yields

$$
\Phi_{2 A} \ll \begin{cases}p^{1 / 2}|2 A|^{1 / 2}|A|^{-1 / 2} & \text { for any } A ;  \tag{4.5}\\ p^{1 / 4}|2 A|^{3 / 4}|A|^{-3 / 8} & \text { for }|A|<p^{2 / 3}, \text { by }(3.2), 44.4 \mathrm{~b} ; \\ p^{1 / 4}|2 A|^{3 / 4}|A|^{-7 / 18} \log ^{1 / 6}|A| & \text { for }|A|<p^{6 / 11}, \text { by }(3.3), 44.4 \mathrm{~b} \\ p^{1 / 4}|2 A|^{11 / 12}|A|^{-2 / 3} \log ^{1 / 8}|A| & \text { for }|A|<p^{9 / 17}, \text { by (3.4), 4.4) } \mathrm{b}\end{cases}
$$

The first and second bounds were obtained by Schoen and Shkredov [16, Lemmas 2.1, 2.4].
5. Lower bounds for $|2 A|$. From the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$
|A|^{2}=\sum_{x} 1_{A} * 1_{A}(x) \leq|2 A|^{1 / 2} E(A)^{1 / 2}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
|2 A| \geq|A|^{4} / E(A) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the energy estimate in (3.2) one obtains $|2 A| \gg|A|^{3 / 2}$, a result first obtained by Heath-Brown and Konyagin 9$]$. Their result was made numeric by Cochrane and Pinner [3]: $|2 A| \geq \frac{1}{4}|A|^{3 / 2}$ for $|A|<p^{2 / 3}$. For $|A|>p^{2 / 3}$ it is elementary (see [3]) that $|2 A| \geq \frac{p}{2}$.

Inserting the energy estimate of Hart (3.5), one obtains [8, Theorem 10]

$$
|2 A| \gg \begin{cases}|A|^{8 / 5} \log ^{-3 / 10}|A| & \text { if }|A| \ll p^{5 / 9} \log ^{-1 / 18}|A|  \tag{5.2}\\ |A| p^{1 / 3} \log ^{-1 / 3}|A| & \text { if } p^{5 / 9} \log ^{-1 / 18}|A| \ll|A| \ll p^{2 / 3}\end{cases}
$$

The lower bound of order $|A|^{8 / 5}$ for $|2 A|$ was first obtained by Shkredov [18, Corollary 31], but for the shorter interval $|A| \ll p^{1 / 2}$. Using [18, Theorems $30,34]$, the interval can be improved to $|A| \ll p^{9 / 17}$, still short of what we obtain in 5.2 .

Stronger lower bounds on $|A-A|$ are available in the works of Schoen and Shkredov [16, Theorem 1.1] and Shkredov and Vyugin [21, Theorem 5.5], the latter being $|A-A| \gg|A|^{5 / 3} \log ^{-1 / 2}|A|$ for $|A| \ll p^{1 / 2}$. (Note: Although [21, Theorem 5.5] was stated for sum or difference sets, the proof only holds for difference sets $A-A$.)
6. Hybrid counts. Let $A$ be the group of $k$ th powers in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$. In this section we estimate the number $N_{j, l}(2 A, A, a)$ of solutions to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}+\cdots+x_{j}+y_{1}+\cdots+y_{l}=a \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $x_{i} \in 2 A, 1 \leq i \leq j$, and $y_{j} \in A, 1 \leq j \leq l$. If one can show that $N_{j, l}(2 A, A, a)$ is positive for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$, then it follows that $\gamma(k, p) \leq 2 j+l$. Now, since $2 A$ is $A$-invariant, we have $N_{j, l}(2 A, A, a y)=N_{j, l}(2 A, A, a)$ for any $y \in A$, and so, following the proof of Theorem 2.1, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
p|A| N_{j, l}(2 A, A, a)= & |2 A|^{j}|A|^{l+1} \\
& +\sum_{\lambda=1}^{p-1}\left(\sum_{x \in 2 A} e_{p}(\lambda x)\right)^{j}\left(\sum_{y \in A} e_{p}(\lambda y)\right)^{\ell} \sum_{y \in A} e_{p}(-\lambda a y)
\end{aligned}
$$

One then has many options for bounding the error term (the second term on the right-hand side) in terms of $\Phi_{A}, \Phi_{2 A}, T_{j}(A)$ and $T_{j}(2 A)$. The method we employ in the following cases (assuming $j \geq 2$ ) is to simply say

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mid \text { Error }\left.\left|\leq \Phi_{2 A}^{j-2} \Phi_{A}^{\ell+1} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{p-1}\right| \sum_{x \in 2 A} e_{p}(\lambda x)\right|^{2}<\Phi_{2 A}^{j-2} \Phi_{A}^{\ell+1}|2 A| p \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus $N_{j, l}(2 A, A, a)$ is positive provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|2 A|^{j-1}|A|^{\ell+1}>\Phi_{2 A}^{j-2} \Phi_{A}^{\ell+1} p \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

6.1. The case $s=4$. It is already known (see (1.3)) that $\gamma(k, p) \leq 4$ for $|A| \geq p^{5 / 8}$ and so we may assume that $|A|<p^{5 / 8}$. By (6.3), $N_{2,0}(2 \bar{A}, A, a)$ is positive provided that

$$
|2 A||A|>p \Phi_{A}
$$

Using $\Phi_{A} \ll|A|^{3 / 8} p^{1 / 4}$, we see that it suffices to have

$$
|2 A||A|^{5 / 8} \gg p^{5 / 4}
$$

Then, using $|2 A| \gg|A| p^{1 / 3-\epsilon}$ for $|A| \gg p^{5 / 9-\epsilon}$, we see that it suffices to have $|A| \gg p^{22 / 39+\epsilon}$.
6.2. The case $s=5$. By (6.3), we see that $N_{2,1}(2 A, A, a)$ is positive provided that

$$
|2 A||A|^{2}>\Phi_{A}^{2} p
$$

Using $\Phi_{A}<|A|^{3 / 8} p^{1 / 4}$ (valid for $|A| \ll p^{2 / 3}$ ), and the two lower bounds on $|2 A|$ in $(5.2)$, we see that it suffices to have $|A| \gg p^{10 / 19+\epsilon}=p^{.52631 \ldots+\epsilon}$. We assume now that $|A| \ll p^{5264}$. In particular $|A| \ll p^{9 / 17}$, and so using the stronger bound $\Phi_{A} \ll p^{1 / 4+\epsilon}|A|^{1 / 12}|2 A|^{1 / 6}$ we see that it suffices to have $|2 A|^{2 / 3}|A|^{11 / 6} \gg p^{3 / 2+\epsilon}$. Then, using $|2 A| \gg|A|^{8 / 5-\epsilon}$, we see that it suffices to have $|A| \gg p^{15 / 29+\epsilon}$.

### 6.3. The case $s \geq 6$

THEOREM 6.1. For $s \geq 6$, if $|A| \gg p^{\frac{9 s+45}{29 s+33}+\epsilon}$ then $s A \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$.
This inequality recovers the estimate of Hart [8, Theorem 13] for the case $s=6,|A| \gg p^{11 / 23}$, but note the correction to the statement of his theorem, where the exponent was given to be $p^{33 / 71}$ due to an arithmetic error.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. If $|A|>p^{1 / 2}$ it is already known by the work of Shkredov [18, Corollary 32] and Hart [8, Theorem 13 or 14] that $6 A \supseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$, so we may assume that $|A| \ll p^{1 / 2}$. If $|2 A|<|A|^{5 / 3}$, we estimate $N_{2, s-4}(2 A, A, a)$, noting that it will be positive (by 6.3 ) provided that

$$
|2 A||A|^{s-3}>p \Phi_{A}^{s-3} .
$$

Using $\Phi_{A} \ll p^{1 / 8+\epsilon}|A|^{1 / 24}|2 A|^{1 / 3}$, we see that it suffices to have

$$
|A|^{\frac{23}{24}(s-3)} \gg p^{(5+s) / 8}|2 A|^{s / 3-2}
$$

Since $|2 A|<|A|^{5 / 3}$, the latter holds provided that $|A| \gg p^{\frac{9 s+45}{29 s+33}+\epsilon}$.
If $|2 A| \geq|A|^{5 / 3}$ and $s$ is even, say $s=2 n$, we estimate $N_{n, 0}(2 A, A, a)$, noting that it will be positive (by (6.3)) provided that

$$
|2 A|^{n-1}|A|>p \Phi_{2 A}^{n-2} \Phi_{A}
$$

Using $\Phi_{2 A} \ll p^{1 / 4+\epsilon}|2 A|^{3 / 4}|A|^{-7 / 18}, \Phi_{A} \ll p^{1 / 8+\epsilon}|A|^{13 / 72}|2 A|^{1 / 4}$, we see that it suffices to have

$$
|2 A|^{(n+1) / 4}|A|^{\frac{7}{18} n+\frac{1}{24}} \gg p^{\frac{n}{4}+\frac{5}{8}+\epsilon} .
$$

Since $|2 A|>|A|^{5 / 3}$, the latter holds provided that $|A| \gg p^{\frac{18 n+45}{58 n+33}+\epsilon}=$ $p^{\frac{9 s+45}{29 s+33}+\epsilon}$.

If $|2 A| \geq|A|^{5 / 3}$ and $s$ is odd, say $s=2 n+1$, we estimate $N_{n, 1}(2 A, A, a)$, noting that it will be positive provided that

$$
|2 A|^{n-1}|A|^{2}>p \Phi_{2 A}^{n-2} \Phi_{A}^{2}
$$

Using $\Phi_{2 A} \ll p^{1 / 4+\epsilon}|2 A|^{3 / 4}|A|^{-7 / 18}, \Phi_{A} \ll p^{1 / 8+\epsilon}|A|^{13 / 72}|2 A|^{1 / 4}$, we see that it suffices to have

$$
|2 A|^{n / 4}|A|^{\frac{7}{18} n+\frac{31}{36}} \gg p^{\frac{n}{4}+\frac{3}{4}+\epsilon} .
$$

Since $|2 A|>|A|^{5 / 3}$, the latter holds provided that $|A| \gg p^{\frac{9 n+27}{29 n+31}+\epsilon}=$ $p^{\frac{9 s+45}{29 s+33}+\epsilon}$.
7. Lower bounds for $|n A|$ for $n>2$. From the higher order energy estimate of Konyagin, (3.6), one easily obtains the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1. For any positive integer $\ell$ and multiplicative subgroup $A$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ with $|A|<p^{2 / 3}$ if $\ell=2$, and $|A|<\sqrt{p}$ if $\ell \geq 3$, we have $|\ell A| \gg$ $|A|^{2-1 / 2^{\ell-1}}$.

Proof. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$
|A|^{2 \ell}=\left(\sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}} N_{\ell}(A, a)\right)^{2} \leq|\ell A| \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}} N_{\ell}(A, a)^{2}=|\ell A| T_{\ell}(A),
$$

and the result follows from (3.6).
In particular, for $|A|<p^{1 / 2}$ we have

$$
|3 A| \gg|A|^{7 / 4}, \quad|4 A| \gg|A|^{15 / 8} .
$$

These results can be superseded by using the following result of Shkredov and Vyugin [21, Corollary 5.1, part 3].

Lemma 7.2 (Shkredov-Vyugin). Let $A$ be a multiplicative subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ and $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{3}$ be $A$-invariant sets such that $\left|B_{1}\right|\left|B_{2}\right|\left|B_{3}\right| \ll$ $\min \left\{|A|^{5}, p^{3}|A|^{-1}\right\}$. Let $B_{i}(x)$ denote the characteristic function of the set $B_{i}$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then

$$
\sum_{x, y} B_{1}(x) B_{2}(y) B_{3}(x+y) \ll|A|^{-1 / 3}\left(\left|B_{1}\right|\left|B_{2}\right|\left|B_{3}\right|\right)^{2 / 3} .
$$

Letting $B_{3}=B_{1}+B_{2}$, the lemma implies that for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{1}\right|\left|B_{2}\right|\left|B_{1}+B_{2}\right| \ll \min \left\{|A|^{5}, p^{3}|A|^{-1}\right\} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\left|B_{1}\right|\left|B_{2}\right|=\sum_{x, y} B_{1}(x) B_{2}(y) B_{3}(x+y) \ll|A|^{-1 / 3}\left(\left|B_{1}\right|\left|B_{2}\right|\left|B_{1}+B_{2}\right|\right)^{2 / 3},
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{1}+B_{2}\right| \gg \sqrt{\left|B_{1}\right|\left|B_{2}\right||A|} . \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 7.3. For any multiplicative subgroup $A$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ we have:
(a) If $\sqrt{|2 A|}|A|<p$ then $|3 A| \gg \sqrt{|2 A|}|A|$.
(b) If $|A| \ll p^{1 / 2}$ then $|3 A| \gg|A|^{9 / 5-\epsilon}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\sqrt{|2 A|}|A|<p$. Let $B_{1}=A, B_{2}=2 A$. If $|A||2 A||3 A|$ $\gg|A|^{5}$, then $|3 A| \gg|A|^{4} /|2 A|>\sqrt{|2 A|}|A|$, since $|2 A|<|A|^{2}$. If $|A||2 A||3 A|$ $\gg p^{3} /|A|$, then $|3 A| \gg p^{3} /\left(|A|^{2}| | 2 A \mid\right)>\sqrt{|2 A|}|A|$, by the hypothesis that $\sqrt{|2 A|}|A|<p$. Otherwise, hypothesis $7.1 \mid$ holds and we obtain the result of the lemma from (7.2).

To prove part (b), first note that if $|A| \ll p^{1 / 2}$, then the hypothesis in part (a) holds trivially, and so $|3 A| \gg \sqrt{|2 A|}|A|$. The result then follows upon inserting the lower bound $|2 A| \gg|A|^{8 / 5-\epsilon}$.

LEmma 7.4. For any multiplicative subgroup $A$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ with $|A| \ll p^{1 / 2}$, we have

$$
|4 A| \gg|A|^{2}
$$

Proof. Let $B_{1}=B_{2}=Q$, where $Q$ is a subset of $2 A$ such that $Q$ is a union of cosets of $A$ and $|Q| \approx|A|^{3 / 2}$. We know that such a $Q$ exists since $|2 A| \gg|A|^{3 / 2}$ for $|A|<p^{2 / 3}$. If $|Q|^{2}|2 Q| \gg|A|^{5}$ then

$$
|4 A| \geq|2 Q| \gg \frac{|A|^{5}}{|Q|^{2}} \approx|A|^{2}
$$

If $|Q|^{2}|2 Q| \gg p^{3} /|A|$ then

$$
|4 A| \geq|2 Q| \gg \frac{p^{3}}{|Q|^{2}|A|} \approx \frac{p^{3}}{|A|^{4}} \gg|A|^{2} \quad \text { for }|A| \ll p^{1 / 2}
$$

Otherwise, hypothesis (7.1) holds and, by $(7.2 \mid$, we obtain $|4 A| \geq|2 Q| \gg$ $\sqrt{|Q|^{2}|A|}=|A|^{2}$.

In order to beat $|n A|>|A|^{2}$ for some $n$, a different approach is taken. For any subsets $X, Y$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ let

$$
\frac{X-X}{Y-Y}=\left\{\frac{x_{1}-x_{2}}{y_{1}-y_{2}}: x_{1}, x_{2} \in X, y_{1}, y_{2} \in Y, y_{1} \neq y_{2}\right\}
$$

The first ingredient we need is the following lemma of Glibichuk and Konyagin [6, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 7.5. Let $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ be such that $\frac{X-X}{Y-Y} \neq \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Then

$$
\left|2 X Y-2 X Y+Y^{2}-Y^{2}\right| \geq|X||Y|
$$

If $A$ is a multiplicative subgroup and $X, Y$ are $A$-invariant sets then

$$
\left|\frac{X-X}{Y-Y}\right|<|X-X||Y-Y| /|A|
$$

and so the hypothesis of Lemma 7.5 holds if $|X-X||Y-Y| \leq p|A|$. Taking $(X, Y)$ to be $(A, A),(2 A, A),(2 A, 2 A)$ respectively, one obtains:

Lemma 7.6. For any multiplicative subgroup $A$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ we have:
(i) If $|A-A|^{2} \leq p|A|$, then $|3 A-3 A| \geq|A|^{2}$.
(ii) If $|2 A-2 A||A-A| \leq p|A|$, then $|5 A-5 A| \geq|2 A||A|$.
(iii) If $|2 A-2 A|^{2} \leq p|A|$, then $|12 A-12 A| \geq|2 A|^{2}$.

In order to pass from difference sets to sum sets, we use Ruzsa's triangle inequality (see e.g. Nathanson [15, Lemma 7.4]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|S+T| \geq|S|^{1 / 2}|T-T|^{1 / 2} \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, and its corollary, for any positive integer $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|n S| \geq|S|^{1 / 2^{n-1}}|S-S|^{1-1 / 2^{n-1}} \geq|S-S|^{1-1 / 2^{n}} \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 7.7. For any multiplicative subgroup $A$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$, we have:
(i) $|7 A| \geq \min \left\{|2 A||A|^{1 / 2}, p^{1 / 2}|A|^{1 / 4}\right\}$.
(ii) $|19 A| \geq \min \left\{|2 A|^{3 / 2}|A|^{1 / 4}, p^{1 / 2}|A|^{1 / 2-1 / 2^{7}}\right\}$.

Proof. By 7.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|7 A| \geq|2 A|^{1 / 2}|5 A-5 A|^{1 / 2} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|2 A-2 A||A-A|<p|A|$ then, by Lemma 7.6 (ii),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|7 A| \geq|2 A|^{1 / 2}|2 A|^{1 / 2}|A|^{1 / 2}=|2 A||A|^{1 / 2} . \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, $|5 A-5 A| \geq|2 A-2 A| \geq p|A| /|A-A|$. By ( $\overline{7.4}$ ), $|2 A| \geq|A-A|^{3 / 4}$. Thus,

$$
|7 A| \geq|2 A|^{1 / 2} p^{1 / 2}|A|^{1 / 2} /|A-A|^{1 / 2} \geq p^{1 / 2}|A|^{1 / 2} /|A-A|^{1 / 8} \geq p^{1 / 2}|A|^{1 / 4}
$$

For part (ii) we again start with the triangle inequality,

$$
|19 A| \geq|7 A|^{1 / 2}|12 A-12 A|^{1 / 2}
$$

If $|2 A-2 A|^{2}<p|A|$, then by Lemma 7.6 (iii) and 7.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|19 A| \geq|7 A|^{1 / 2}|2 A| \geq|2 A|^{3 / 2}|A|^{1 / 4} \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise $|2 A-2 A| \geq p^{1 / 2}|A|^{1 / 2}$. In particular, $|A|^{4} \geq p^{1 / 2}|A|^{1 / 2}$, that is, $|A| \geq p^{1 / 7}$. Then, by (7.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
|19 A| & \geq|9 \cdot 2 A| \geq|2 A-2 A|^{1-1 / 2^{9}} \geq p^{1 / 2-1 / 2^{10}}|A|^{1 / 2-1 / 2^{10}} \\
& \geq p^{1 / 2}|A|^{1 / 2-8 / 2^{10}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting the lower bound $|2 A| \gg|A|^{8 / 5-\epsilon}$ from (5.2), we obtain
Lemma 7.8. For any multiplicative subgroup $A$ satisfying $|A| \ll$ $p^{5 / 9} \log ^{-1 / 18}|A|$, we have:
(i) $|7 A| \gg \min \left\{|A|^{21 / 10-\epsilon}, p^{1 / 2}|A|^{1 / 4}\right\}$.
(ii) $|19 A| \gg \min \left\{|A|^{53 / 20-\epsilon}, p^{1 / 2}|A|^{1 / 2-1 / 2^{7}}\right\}$.

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|7 A| \gg|A|^{21 / 10-\epsilon} & \text { for }|A| \ll p^{10 / 37}=p^{.27027 \ldots} \\
|19 A| \gg|A|^{53 / 20-\epsilon} & \text { for }|A| \ll p^{.23171 \ldots}
\end{aligned}
$$

This process can be continued to generate further lower bounds on $|n A|$. For example, using the lower bounds for $|3 A|,|4 A|$, and $|8 A| \geq|3 A|^{1 / 2} \mid 5 A-$ $\left.5 A\right|^{1 / 2},|9 A| \geq|4 A|^{1 / 2}|5 A-5 A|^{1 / 2}$, one obtains lower bounds for $|8 A|,|9 A|$ respectively. See also [2] for further lower bounds of this type.
8. An application of the Glibichuk-Konyagin $8 A B$ theorem. The following lemma is due to Glibichuk [5], and Glibichuk and Konyagin [6]. See also Glibichuk and Rudnev [7] for a variation.

Lemma 8.1. Let $A$ and $B$ be subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ such that $|A||B| \geq 2 p$. Then $8 A B=\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Moreover, if $A$ is symmetric $(A=-A)$ or antisymmetric $(A \cap-A=\emptyset)$, then it suffices to have $|A||B| \geq p$.

Let $A$ be the multiplicative group of nonzero $k$ th powers, so that $(n m) A$ $\supseteq(n A)(m A)$ for any positive integers $m, n$. Thus, by Lemma 8.1, if $|A||2 A|$ $\geq 2 p$ then $16 A=\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, while if $|2 A||2 A| \geq 2 p$ then $32 A=\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Using $|2 A| \gg|A|^{8 / 5-\epsilon}$ we see that it suffices to have $|A| \gg p^{5 / 13+\epsilon},|A| \gg p^{5 / 16+\epsilon}$, respectively. The $16 A$ bound is slightly weaker than what we obtained from Theorem 6.1. Similarly, if $|A||3 A| \geq 2 p$, then $24 A=\mathbb{Z}_{p}$; if $|2 A||3 A| \geq 2 p$, then $48 A=\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Using $|3 A| \gg|A|^{9 / 5-\epsilon},|2 A| \gg|A|^{8 / 5-\epsilon}$, we obtain the bounds for $s=24,48$ in Table 1 .

Using $|2 A| \gg|A|^{8 / 5-\epsilon},|3 A| \gg|A|^{9 / 5-\epsilon},|4 A| \gg|A|^{2}$ (for $|A| \ll p^{1 / 2}$ ) we obtain in a similar manner the bounds for $s=64,96,128$ in Table 1 .

If $|7 A||7 A| \geq 2 p$ then $392 A=\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Using the lower bound in Lemma 7.8 for $|7 A|$, we see that it suffices to have $|A| \gg p^{5 / 21+\epsilon}$. Finally, if $|19 A||19 A|$ $\geq 2 p$, then $2888 A=\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Using the lower bound in Lemma 7.8 for $|19 A|$ we see that it suffices to have $|A| \gg p^{10 / 53+\epsilon}$. Clearly, one can continue obtaining further examples of this type, but our interest in this paper is small $s$.
9. Appendix: Proof of Lemma 4.1. The lemma is an easy consequence of the following double Hölder inequality.

Lemma 9.1. For any nonnegative real numbers $a_{i}, b_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, and any positive real number $\ell$, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} b_{i} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\ell}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \ell}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2 \ell}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \ell}}
$$

Proof. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} b_{i} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{\frac{2 \ell}{2 \ell-1}}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{2 \ell}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2 \ell}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \ell}} \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By another application of Hölder, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{\frac{2 \ell}{2 \ell-1}} & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{\frac{2 \ell-2}{2 \ell-1}} a_{i}^{\frac{2}{2 \ell-1}} \\
& \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{\frac{2 \ell-2}{2 \ell-1} \frac{2 \ell-1}{2 \ell-2}}\right)^{\frac{2 \ell-2}{2 \ell-1}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{\frac{2}{2 \ell-1}(2 \ell-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \ell-1}} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right)^{\frac{2 \ell-2}{2 \ell-1}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \ell-1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Inserting the latter bound into (9.1) yields the lemma.
Proof of Lemma 4.1. Since $B$ is $A$-invariant we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A|\left(\sum_{x \in B} e_{p}(\lambda x)\right)^{j} & =\sum_{y \in A}\left(\sum_{x \in B} e_{p}(\lambda y x)\right)^{j} \\
& =\sum_{x_{1} \in B} \cdots \sum_{x_{j} \in B} \sum_{y \in A} e_{p}\left(\lambda y\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{j}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{b=0}^{p-1} n(b) \sum_{y \in A} e_{p}(\lambda y b)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
n(b)=\left|\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}\right): x_{i} \in B, 1 \leq i \leq j, x_{1}+\cdots+x_{j}=b\right\}\right|
$$

By Lemma 9.1 and the elementary identities

$$
\sum_{b=0}^{p-1} n(b)=|B|^{j}, \quad \sum_{b=0}^{p-1} n(b)^{2}=T_{j}(B)
$$

we obtain, for $\lambda \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|A|\left|\sum_{x \in B} e_{p}(\lambda x)\right|^{j} & \leq\left(\sum_{b=0}^{p-1} n(b)\right)^{1-\frac{1}{\ell}}\left(\sum_{b=0}^{p-1} n(b)^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \ell}}\left(\sum_{b=0}^{p-1}\left|\sum_{y \in A} e_{p}(\lambda y b)\right|^{2 \ell}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \ell}} \\
& =|B|^{j\left(1-\frac{1}{\ell}\right)} T_{j}(B)^{\frac{1}{2 \ell}}\left(T_{\ell}(A) p\right)^{\frac{1}{2 \ell}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by $|A|$ and taking the $j$ th root of both sides yields the lemma.
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