On quadratic character twists of Hecke *L*-functions attached to cusp forms of varying weights at the central point

by

WINFRIED KOHNEN (Heidelberg) and JYOTI SENGUPTA (Bombay)

1. Introduction. Let 2k be a positive integer divisible by 4. In [13] the second named author showed that the central critical values of Hecke *L*-functions L(f,s) ($s \in \mathbb{C}$) of cuspidal normalized Hecke eigenforms f of weight 2k with respect to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on average satisfy an analogue of the Lindelöf hypothesis when the weight varies, i.e. one has

(1)
$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{2k}} L(f,k) \ll_{\varepsilon} k^{1+\varepsilon} \quad (k \to \infty)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, where \mathcal{F}_{2k} is the set of normalized cuspidal Hecke eigenforms of weight 2k and the constant implied in \ll only depends on ε and is effective.

It is also proved in [13] that if one assumes a corresponding Lindelöf hypothesis in weight aspect for each individual f, i.e. for any $\varepsilon > 0$ one has

$$L(f,k) \ll_{\varepsilon} k^{\varepsilon} \quad (k \to \infty, \ f \in \mathcal{F}_{2k})$$

(which being optimistic is suggested by (1)), then

(2)
$$\#\{f \in \mathcal{F}_{2k} \mid L(f,k) \neq 0\} \gg_{\varepsilon} k^{1-\varepsilon} \quad (k \to \infty)$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$. If the constant implied in \ll is effective, then also the one implied in \gg is effective.

Note that one actually expects that $L(f, k) \neq 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{F}_{2k}$ and all k; for more information on this cf. [2]. The latter has been numerically checked for all even $k \leq 250$ [2]. According to [9], (2) is true with $\varepsilon = 1/2$.

The main ingredient of the proof of the above two assertions in [13] is an estimate from above and below for the Petersson norm ||f|| in weight aspect due to Iwaniec [8] and Hoffstein–Lockart [7], Goldfeld–Hoffstein–Lieman [6], respectively. The other ingredient is a formula (when specialized to the case

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11F46.

s = k) due to the first named author which expresses

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{2k}} \frac{L(f,s)}{\|f\|^2} \quad (1 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 2k - 1)$$

in terms of an infinite sum of hypergeometric functions [11].

The purpose of this note is to generalize the above two results to the case of a twist of L(f, s) by a quadratic character $(\frac{D}{r})$ where D is a fundamental discriminant and k is an arbitrary positive integer with $(-1)^k D > 0$.

Except for again exploiting the results of [6–8], the proof we shall give for general D is different from that given in [13] for the case D = 1. In fact, we shall use Waldspurger's result relating the twisted central critical values to squares of Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight in the more explicit version for level 1 given in [12], together with some simple estimates for Fourier coefficients of Poincaré series of half-integral weight.

REMARK. Probably our results can also be proved by properly modifying the methods developed by Duke [4]. However, we are not aware of any workout of this in the literature.

2. Statement of result. For k an integer ≥ 6 we denote by S_{2k} the space of cusp forms of weight 2k with respect to $\Gamma_1 := \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. If $f \in S_{2k}$ and D is a fundamental discriminant, we denote by L(f, D, s) ($s \in \mathbb{C}$) the L-function of f twisted with the quadratic character $\left(\frac{D}{\cdot}\right)$ of the field extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})/\mathbb{Q}$, defined by analytic continuation of the series

$$\sum_{n \ge 1} \left(\frac{D}{n}\right) a(n) n^{-s} \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0; \ a(n) = n \text{th Fourier coefficient of } f).$$

Recall that L(f, D, s) has an analytic continuation to \mathbb{C} and satisfies a functional equation under $s \mapsto 2k - s$ with root number $\left(\frac{D}{-1}\right)(-1)^k$. In particular, L(f, D, k) = 0 for $(-1)^k D < 0$.

As before we let \mathcal{F}_{2k} be the set of normalized Hecke eigenforms in S_{2k} .

THEOREM 1. Let D be a fundamental discriminant. Then

$$\sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{2k}} L(f, D, k) \ll_{\varepsilon, D} k^{1+\varepsilon} \quad (k \to \infty, \, (-1)^k D > 0)$$

where the constant implied in \ll depends only on ε and D and is effective.

THEOREM 2. Let D be a fundamental discriminant. Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ be fixed and suppose that

 $L(f, D, k) \ll_{\varepsilon, D} k^{\varepsilon}$ $(f \in \mathcal{F}_{2k}, k \to \infty, (-1)^k D > 0)$

with an effective constant implied in \ll . Then

$$#\{f \in \mathcal{F}_{2k} \mid L(f, D, k) \neq 0\} \gg_{\varepsilon, D} \frac{k^{1-\varepsilon}}{\log k} \quad (k \to \infty, \ (-1)^k D > 0)$$

where the constant implied in \gg is effective.

3. Proofs. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}_{2k}$. We denote by

$$||f||^2 = \int_{\Gamma_1 \setminus \mathcal{H}} |f(z)|^2 y^{2k-2} \, dx \, dy \quad (\mathcal{H} = \text{upper half-plane}, \ z = x + iy)$$

the Petersson norm of f.

Let F be the automorphic form on GL_3 which is the adjoint square lift of f and let $L_{\operatorname{St}}(F,s)$ $(s \in \mathbb{C})$ be its standard zeta function, so $L_{\operatorname{St}}(F,s)$ is also the symmetric square L-function of f (see [5]; the L-functions here are normalized to have functional equations under $s \mapsto 1-s$). One then has

(3)
$$\frac{1}{\log(2k+1)} \ll L_{\mathrm{St}}(F,1) \ll_{\varepsilon} k^{\varepsilon}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ where the constant implied in the lower bound is absolute and all the constants implied in \ll are effective. The upper bound inequality was proved in [8] and the lower bound inequality in [6, 7]. Note that in the quoted papers the corresponding estimates were given in the context of Maass wave forms (with 2k replaced by the corresponding eigenvalue λ under the Laplace operator), but that the arguments carry over to the holomorphic case (cf. [7, p. 164] and [3, p. 1183]; cf. also [13]).

Since the symmetric square *L*-function of f up to multiplication with a Riemann zeta function is the Rankin zeta function of f and the latter has a simple pole at s = 1 with residue essentially equal to $||f||^2$, we see that (3) actually gives bounds for ||f||; working out the constants one finds that

(4)
$$\frac{\Gamma(2k)}{(4\pi)^{2k}\log(2k+1)} \ll ||f||^2 \ll_{\varepsilon} \frac{\Gamma(2k)}{(4\pi)^{2k}} k^{\varepsilon}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ (cf. [13]). From (4) it follows that

(5)
$$\frac{\Gamma(2k)}{(4\pi)^{2k}\log(2k+1)} \sum_{f\in\mathcal{F}_{2k}} \frac{L(f,D,k)}{\|f\|^2} \ll \sum_{f\in\mathcal{F}_{2k}} L(f,D,k) \\ \ll_{\varepsilon} \frac{\Gamma(2k)}{(4\pi)^{2k}} k^{\varepsilon} \sum_{f\in\mathcal{F}_{2k}} \frac{L(f,D,k)}{\|f\|^2}.$$

Denote by $S_{k+1/2}^+$ the space of cusp forms of weight k+1/2 with respect to

$$\Gamma_0(4) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1 \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \right\}$$

with nth Fourier coefficients vanishing unless $(-1)^k n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$, equipped with the normalized Petersson scalar product

$$\langle g,h\rangle = \frac{1}{6} \int_{\Gamma_0(4)\backslash\mathcal{H}} g(z)\overline{h(z)}y^{k-3/2} \, dx \, dy \quad (z=x+iy).$$

Then according to [12] one has

(6)
$$\frac{L(f,D,k)}{\|f\|^2} = \frac{\pi^k}{\Gamma(k)} |D|^{1/2-k} \frac{c(|D|)^2}{\|g\|^2}$$

where $g \in S_{k+1/2}^+$ is a Hecke eigenform with real Fourier coefficients corresponding to f under the Shimura correspondence and c(|D|) is the |D|th Fourier coefficient of g. (This is a more explicit version of Waldspurger's result in the special case of level 1; note that the explicit knowledge of the constant of proportionality is very important for our purposes here.)

Let $P_{k,D}$ be the |D|th Poincaré series in $S^+_{k+1/2}$ characterized by

$$\langle h, P_{k,D} \rangle = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(k-1/2)}{(4\pi|D|)^{k-1/2}} c_h(|D|)$$

for all $h \in S_{k+1/2}^+$ where $c_h(|D|)$ denotes the |D|th Fourier coefficient of h. We write $P_{k,D}$ in terms of a basis $\{g\}$ of Hecke eigenforms corresponding to the basis \mathcal{F}_{2k} and take |D|th Fourier coefficients. Using the implied expression for the |D|th Fourier coefficient $p_{k,D}(|D|)$ of $P_{k,D}$ we find after inserting (6) into (5) that

(7)
$$c_k \cdot \frac{1}{\log(2k+1)} \cdot p_{k,D}(|D|) \ll \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_{2k}} L(f,D,k) \ll_{\varepsilon} c_k \cdot k^{\varepsilon} \cdot p_{k,D}(|D|)$$

where

$$c_k := \frac{\Gamma(2k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(k-1/2)2^{2k-1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

The Fourier coefficients of $P_{k,D}$ were computed in [10]. In particular, one has

(8)
$$p_{k,D}(|D|) = \frac{2}{3} \left(1 + (-1)^{[(k+1)/2]} \pi \sqrt{2} \sum_{c \ge 1} \frac{1}{4c} H_c(|D|, |D|) J_{k-1/2}\left(\frac{\pi |D|}{c}\right) \right)$$

where

(9)
$$H_c(|D|, |D|)$$

= $(1 - (-1)^k i) \left(1 + \left(\frac{4}{c}\right)\right) \sum_{d(4c)^*} \left(\frac{4c}{d}\right) \left(\frac{-4}{d}\right)^{k+1/2} e^{2\pi i |D|(d+d^{-1})/(4c)}$

is a generalized Kloosterman sum and $J_{k-1/2}$ is the Bessel function of order k - 1/2. In (9) the summation is over a primitive residue system modulo $4c, d^{-1}$ denotes an integer with $d^{-1}d \equiv 1 \pmod{4c}, (\frac{\cdot}{\cdot})$ is the generalized Jacobi–Kronecker symbol and $(\frac{-4}{d})^{1/2}$ is equal to 1 or *i* according as $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, respectively.

The Poisson integral representation

$$J_{k-1/2}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cdot \frac{z^{k-1/2}}{2^k \Gamma(k)} \int_0^\pi \cos(z \cos \theta) \sin^{2k-1} \theta \, d\theta$$
$$(z \neq 0, -\pi/2 < \arg z^{1/2} \le \pi/2)$$

[1, formula 10.1.13] shows that

$$|J_{k-1/2}(x)| \le \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cdot \frac{x^{k-1/2}}{2^k \Gamma(k)}$$

for positive real x.

We split up the sum in (8) into the sum of the finitely many terms with $c < \pi |D|$ and the sum over the terms with $c > \pi |D|$. Using the trivial bound

 $|H_c(|D|, |D|)| \le \sqrt{2} \cdot 8c$

we then immediately deduce that

(10)
$$p_{k,D}(|D|) \ll_D 1 \quad (k \to \infty)$$

and

(11)
$$p_{k,D}(|D|) \gg_{D,\delta} \frac{2}{3} - \delta \quad (k \to \infty)$$

for any fixed $\delta > 0$.

Taking into account the value of c_k , we find that (10) and the second inequality in (7) imply the assertion of Theorem 1. Likewise (11) and the first inequality in (7) imply Theorem 2.

References

- M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Dover, New York, 1965.
- [2] J. B. Conrey and D. Farmer, *Hecke operators and the non-vanishing of L-functions*, in: Topics in Number Theory, S. D. Ahlgren, G. E. Andrews and K. Ono (eds.), Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1999, 143–150.
- [3] J. B. Conrey and H. Iwaniec, The cubic moment of central values of automorphic L-functions, Ann. of Math. 151 (2000), 1175–1216.
- W. Duke, The critical order of vanishing of automorphic L-functions with large level, Invent. Math. 119 (1995), 165–174.
- [5] S. Gelbart and H. Jacquet, A relation between automorphic representations of GL₂ and GL₃, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 11 (1978), 471–552.

- [6] D. Goldfeld, J. Hoffstein and D. Lieman, An effective zero-free region, Ann. of Math. 140, appendix to the paper quoted as [7] below.
- [7] J. Hoffstein and P. Lockart, Coefficients of Maass forms and the Siegel zero, Ann. of Math. 140 (1994), 161–181.
- [8] H. Iwaniec, Small eigenvalues of Laplacian for $\Gamma_0(N)$, Acta Arith. 56 (1990), 65–82.
- [9] W. Kohnen, Modular forms of half-integral weight on $\Gamma_0(4)$, Math. Ann. 248 (1980), 249–266.
- [10] —, Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight, ibid. 271 (1985), 237–268.
- [11] —, Non-vanishing of Hecke L-functions associated to cusp forms inside the critical strip, J. Number Theory 67 (1997), 182–189.
- [12] W. Kohnen and D. Zagier, Values of L-series of modular forms at the center of the critical strip, Invent. Math. 64 (1981), 175–198.
- [13] J. Sengupta, The central critical values of automorphic L-functions, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. 22 (2000), no. 2, 82–85.

Mathematisches Institut, INF 288 Universität Heidelberg D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany E-mail: winfried@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de School of Mathematics T.I.F.R. Homi Bhabha Road 400 005 Bombay, India E-mail: sengupta@math.tifr.res.in

Received on 17.4.2000

(3809)