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## APPROXIMATE POLYNOMIAL EXPANSION FOR JOINT DENSITY

Abstract. Let $(X, Y)$ be a random vector with joint probability measure $\sigma$ and with margins $\mu$ and $\nu$. Let $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(Q_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two bases of complete orthonormal polynomials with respect to $\mu$ and $\nu$, respectively. Under integrability conditions we have the following polynomial expansion:

$$
\sigma(d x, d y)=\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} P_{n}(x) Q_{k}(y) \mu(d x) \nu(d y)
$$

In this paper we consider the problem of changing the margin $\mu$ into $\widetilde{\mu}$ in this expansion. That is the case when $\mu$ is the true (or estimated) margin and $\widetilde{\mu}$ is its approximation. It is shown that a new joint probability with new margins is obtained. The first margin is $\widetilde{\mu}$ and the second one is expressed using connections between orthonormal polynomials. These transformations are compared with those obtained by the Sklar Theorem via copulas. A bound for the distance between the new joint distribution and its parent is proposed. Different cases are illustrated.

1. Introduction and motivations. If $X$ and $Y$ are two random variables with probability measures $\mu$ and $\nu$ and if $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\operatorname{resp} .\left(Q_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right)$ is a $\mu$ (resp. $\nu$ ) complete orthonormal basis of polynomials, then the joint density of $(X, Y)$, say $\sigma$, satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(d x, d y)=\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} P_{n}(x) Q_{k}(y) \mu(d x) \nu(d y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as soon as the series $\sum \varrho_{n, k} P_{n}(x) Q_{k}(y)$ converges in $L^{2}(\mu \times \nu)$, or equivalently if $\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k}^{2}<\infty$. The sequence $\left(\varrho_{n, k}\right)$ expresses the correlation between $P_{n}(X)$ and $Q_{k}(Y)$; that is, $\varrho_{n, k}=\mathbb{E}\left(P_{n}(X) Q_{k}(Y)\right)$.

[^0]Such a representation has many advantages. In particular, estimators of the coefficients $\varrho_{n, k}$ are easily obtained by empirical polynomial correlations between $X$ and $Y$ (see [1]). Also, independence between $X$ and $Y$ may be measured by the use of these coefficients (see [7]). An important case is obtained when the coefficients $\varrho_{n, k}$ vanish for $n \neq k$. In this case $\sigma$ is called a Lancaster distribution. Such bivariate distributions have been studied in [8]. Also, [15] proved that if $X$ and $Y$ are unbounded then the condition $\varrho_{n, k}=0$ for $n-k>N$ for some integer $N$ implies that $\varrho_{n, k}=0$ for $n \neq k$; that is, $\sigma$ is a Lancaster distribution. In canonical analysis, such a situation is studied as singular value decomposition (see [2]).

In this paper we wish to investigate the structure of the bivariate probability measures satisfying (1) by changing their margins. Our purpose is to keep a polynomial expansion of the joint density function. More precisely, given any joint distribution $\sigma$ satisfying a polynomial expansion (1), we study the consequences of transformations of one margin $\mu$ or $\nu$. It is shown that replacing $\mu$ by a probability measure $\widetilde{\mu}$ in the series (1) yields a new joint distribution, say $\widetilde{\sigma}$, with a polynomial expansion with respect to its new margins $\widetilde{\mu}$ and $\widetilde{\nu}$. Next our purpose is to determine the second margin $\widetilde{\nu}$ by using connection coefficients between orthogonal polynomials. A bound for the distance between the parent joint distribution and the new one will be evaluated.

This problem of change of margins is motivated by different reasons:
Practical motivation. As an illustration assume that $\mu$ is a given measure, for example a negative binomial probability, and let $\left(P_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote its associated Meixner polynomials. Assume also that $\nu$ and the coefficients $\varrho_{n, k}$ are known and that $\sigma$ has the form (1). As an illustration we may consider the vector $(X, Y)$ corresponding to the number of accident claims and the age of insured person for insurance data. Usually $X$ and $Y$ are supposed to be negative binomial and Gaussian distributed, respectively. It is frequent to approximate the negative binomial distribution by the Poisson one (see for instance [16]). Consider an appropriate Poisson approximation of $\mu$, say $\widetilde{\mu}$ (for example, $\widetilde{\mu}$ and $\mu$ have common mean). We write this $\mu \approx \widetilde{\mu}$. Such an approximation yields the following approximation of $\sigma$ :

$$
\sigma(d x, d y) \approx \sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} P_{n}(x) Q_{k}(y) \widetilde{\mu}(d x) \nu(d y) \equiv \widetilde{\sigma}(d x, d y)
$$

Then it is of interest to study the new expression $\widetilde{\sigma}$ and to compare it with $\sigma$. Our purpose is to show that it defines a new joint probability measure with new margins. A bound for the distance of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ from its parent distribution $\sigma$ will be obtained.

Theoretical motivations. An important problem, but without general solution, is the following: given two margins $\mu$ and $\nu$ with associated orthog-
onal polynomials $P_{n}$ and $Q_{n}$, respectively, do there exist coefficients $\varrho_{n, k}$ such that (1) defines a joint probability measure? This problem is partially studied in [15] (see also [13]). It is clear that if $X$ and $Y$ are bounded random variables then there exist such coefficients $\varrho_{n, k}$ (for example: choose a finite family of coefficients). However, if $X$ and $Y$ are unbounded there is no general condition to ensure the positivity of $\sigma$ defined in (1).

The theory of copulas introduced in [14] gives another viewpoint on this problem. If we express a joint distribution function as a combination of its margins, then the same combination of any margins yields a new joint distribution function.

Here we wish to investigate the following related problem: if (1) defines a joint probability measure, do the same coefficients $\varrho_{n, k}$ ensure a new joint probability measure if we change one margin? The answer is positive and this approach will be compared with the copula approach.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 changes of margins preserving polynomial expansions are studied. In Section 3 changes of margins using copulas are examined. In Section 4 a bound for the distance between the new joint distribution and its parent is obtained. We give some illustrations related to connection coefficients in Section 5. In the Appendix we give a brief exposition of the connection problem for polynomials.

## 2. Change of margin

2.1. General case. Our purpose is to study the structure of bivariate distributions satisfying (1). We obtain a method for constructing new joint distributions with particular margins. The following result may be proved in the multivariate case in much the same way.

Theorem 1. Let $\sigma$ be a joint density function satisfying (1) and let $\tilde{X}$ be a random variable with distribution measure $\tilde{\mu}$ such that the series $\sum \varrho_{n, k}^{2} \mathbb{E}\left(P_{n}^{2}(\widetilde{X})\right)$ converges. Then the measure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\sigma}(d x, d y)=\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} P_{n}(x) Q_{k}(y) \widetilde{\mu}(d x) \nu(d y) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is still a probability measure. Its first margin is $\widetilde{\mu}$ and the second one is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\nu}(d y)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{k} Q_{k}(y) \nu(d y), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{k}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} \mathbb{E}\left(P_{n}(\widetilde{X})\right)$.
Proof. By construction we have $\widetilde{\sigma} \geq 0$. We now prove that its mass is equal to 1 . Using the orthogonality of the sequence $\left\{Q_{k}(x)\right\}$ and the
convergence condition we obtain

$$
\int \widetilde{\sigma}(d x, d y)=\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} \int P_{n}(x) \widetilde{\mu}(d x) \int Q_{k}(y) \nu(d y)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, 0} \int P_{n}(x) \widetilde{\mu}(d x) .
$$

But $\varrho_{n, 0}=\mathbb{E}\left(P_{n}(X)\right)=0$ for all $n>0$. Hence

$$
\int \tilde{\sigma}(d x, d y)=\varrho_{0,0}=1
$$

The first margin of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \widetilde{\sigma}(d y) & =\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} P_{n}(x) \widetilde{\mu}(d x) \int Q_{k}(y) \nu(d y)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, 0} P_{n}(x) \widetilde{\mu}(d x) \\
& =P_{0}(x) \widetilde{\mu}(d x)=\widetilde{\mu}(d x)
\end{aligned}
$$

The second margin is given by

$$
\int \widetilde{\sigma}(d x)=\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} Q_{k}(y)\left(\int P_{n}(x) \widetilde{\mu}(d x)\right) \nu(d y)
$$

which establishes the formula.
If the $\widetilde{\mu}$-orthogonal polynomials, denoted by $\left(\widetilde{P}_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, are known we may simply write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\nu}(d y)=\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{k} Q_{k}(y) \nu(d y) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{k}=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} \widetilde{C}_{0}(n)$, with $\widetilde{C}_{k}(n)$ denoting the connection coefficients between $P_{n}$ and $\widetilde{P}_{n}$; that is, $P_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \widetilde{C}_{k}(n) \widetilde{P}_{k}(x)$ (see Appendix).

The joint distribution $\widetilde{\sigma}$ can be expressed in the same way. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\sigma}(d x, d y)=\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \widetilde{\varrho}_{n, k} \widetilde{P}_{s}(x) Q_{k}(y) \widetilde{\mu}(d x) \nu(d y) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{\varrho}_{n, k}=\varrho_{n, k} \sum_{s \leq n} \widetilde{C}_{s}(n)$. Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\sigma}(d x, d y)=\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} \sum_{s \leq n} \widetilde{C}_{s}(n) \widetilde{P}_{s}(x) Q_{k}(y) / N(y) \widetilde{\mu}(d x) \widetilde{\nu}(d y) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N(y)$ is such that $\widetilde{\nu}(d y)=N(y) \nu(d y)$ a.e. The associated connection coefficients appear in (5) and (6). However, from (4), we only need the first coefficients $\widetilde{C}_{0}$ to compute the new margin $\widetilde{\nu}$. This fact will be illustrated in Section 5.
2.2. Transformations of Lancaster probabilities. A particularly interesting case is obtained when the orthonormal sequences $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}$ and $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}$ satisfy the following bi-orthogonality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left(P_{n}(X) Q_{k}(Y)\right)=\varrho_{n} \delta_{n k} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{n k}=1$ if $n=k$ and 0 otherwise. Then (1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(d x, d y)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n} P_{n}(x) Q_{n}(y) \mu(d x) \nu(d y) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In such a situation $\sigma$ is called a Lancaster probability and the sequence $\varrho_{n}$ is referred to as the Lancaster sequence. Lancaster probabilities appear in Markov theory, canonical analysis (see [6], and references therein) and also have a role in disjunctive kreaging (see [9]).

Under the condition that the series $\left(\varrho_{n}\right)$ converges in $l^{2}(\mathbb{N})$, the polynomial expansion has the simpler form (8) and Theorem 1 may be used. We just give a reformulation here:

Corollary 2. Let $\sigma$ be a Lancaster probability satisfying (8). Then, for all probability measures $\tilde{\mu}$, the measure

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\sigma}(d x, d y) & =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n} P_{n}(x) Q_{n}(y) \widetilde{\mu}(d x) \nu(d y)  \tag{9}\\
& =\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \leq n} \varrho_{n} \widetilde{C}_{k}(n) \widetilde{P}_{k}(x) Q_{n}(y) \widetilde{\mu}(d x) \nu(d y)
\end{align*}
$$

is still a probability measure, where $\widetilde{C}_{k}(n)$ are the connection coefficients between $P_{n}$ and the $\widetilde{\mu}$ orthonormal polynomials $\widetilde{P}_{n}$ (see Appendix). The first margin of $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is $\widetilde{\mu}$ and the second one is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\nu}(d y)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n} \widetilde{C}_{0}(n) Q_{n}(Y) \nu(d y) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is remarkable that the diagonal summation in (8) becomes triangular in (9). Therefore the joint distribution resulting from a change of margin is not in general a Lancaster probability.

An important fact is that the Lancaster sequence $\varrho_{n}$ can be expressed in terms of the new margins as follows:

Proposition 3. Let $(\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y})$ have a new joint distribution $\widetilde{\sigma}$ given in (9). Then

$$
\varrho_{n}=\mathbb{E}\left(P_{n}(\tilde{X}) Q_{n}(\tilde{Y})\right) \mathbb{E}\left(P_{n}(\tilde{X})\right)^{-1}
$$

Proof. This follows from the orthogonality of the polynomials $Q_{n}$. $\square$
Thus the coefficients $\varrho_{n}$ express correlations between $P_{n}(\tilde{X})$ and $Q_{n}(\tilde{Y})$ weighted by $\mathbb{E}\left(P_{n}(\widetilde{X})\right)^{-1}$. Note also that the form of the summation in (9) easily permits one to truncate the expansion and thus to get estimates.
3. Copula approach. Next it is of interest to compare the change of margins in Theorem 1 with the Sklar Theorem (see [14]) within the frame of copulas. This famous result permits one to change marginal distribution
functions to obtain new joint distribution functions. Note that Sklar's Theorem allows any changes of margins although Theorem 1 only ensures one change of margins (see the counterexample given in Section 5). Obviously, some cases permit many changes of margins, like the independent case when $\sigma$ is the product of $\mu$ and $\nu$.

However, in the case of multivariate margins Sklar's Theorem breaks down (see [3]). In such a case, when the two margins are multidimensional, Theorem 1 is valid and then provides a way of generating new joint distributions with fixed multivariate margins.

From the Sklar Theorem (see [10] for a fuller treatment of copulas) it is well known that if a joint distribution function is expressed in terms of marginal ones, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y)=C\left(F_{X}(x), F_{Y}(y)\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C:[0,1] \times[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a copula (that is, $C(u, 0)=C(0, v)=0$, $C(u, 1)=C(1, v)=1$ and $C$ is 2-increasing), then changing $F_{X}$ and $F_{Y}$ in such an expression yields a new joint distribution function with some nice properties (see [14]). We can apply this result to the expansion given in (1). Write $F, F_{X}$ and $F_{Y}$ for the distribution functions associated to $\sigma, \mu$ and $\nu$, respectively. Then there exists a copula, say $C$, such that (11) occurs. Differentiating twice this equality yields (under the condition of existence)

$$
f(x, y)=f_{X}(x) f_{Y}(y) C^{\prime \prime}\left(F_{X}(x), F_{Y}(y)\right)
$$

where $f, f_{X}$ and $f_{Y}$ denote the densities associated to $\sigma, \mu$ and $\nu$, respectively. It is required here that the relevant derivatives exist. To simplify notation we write $C^{\prime \prime}$ instead of $(\partial / \partial x)(\partial / \partial y) C$. Comparing this expression with (1) we obtain the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\prime \prime}(u, v)=\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} P_{n}\left(F_{X}^{-1}(u)\right) Q_{k}\left(F_{Y}^{-1}(v)\right), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

assuming that $F_{X}$ and $F_{Y}$ are invertible.
Theorem 4. Let $\sigma$ be a joint density function satisfying (1) and denote by $F_{X}$ the distribution functions associated to the margins $\mu$. Let $\widetilde{X}$ be a random variable with distribution measure $\widetilde{\mu}$ and with distribution function $\widetilde{F}_{X}$. Then the measure

$$
\widetilde{\sigma}(d x, d y)=\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} P_{n}\left(F_{X}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{F}_{X}(x)\right)\right) Q_{k}(y) \widetilde{\mu}(d x) \nu(d y)
$$

is still a probability measure with margins $\widetilde{\mu}$ and $\nu$.
Proof. Applying Sklar's Theorem we can change $F_{X}$ into $\widetilde{F}_{X}$. Hence

$$
\widetilde{F}(x, y)=C\left(\widetilde{F}_{X}(x), F_{Y}(y)\right)
$$

By taking second derivatives we finally obtain a new joint density as

$$
\tilde{f}(x, y)=\widetilde{f}_{X}(x) f_{Y}(y) C^{\prime \prime}\left(\widetilde{F}_{X}(x), F_{Y}(y)\right),
$$

and using (11) we get

$$
\tilde{f}(x, y)=\widetilde{f}_{X}(x) f_{Y}(y) \sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} P_{n}\left(F_{X}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{F}_{X}(x)\right)\right) Q_{k}(y),
$$

that is,

$$
\widetilde{\sigma}(d x, d y)=\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} P_{n}\left(F_{X}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{F}_{X}(x)\right)\right) Q_{k}(y) \widetilde{\mu}(d x) \nu(d y) .
$$

It is important to note that we can also change $F_{Y}$ into $\widetilde{F}_{Y}$ to obtain a new joint distribution. However, it appears that the orthogonal functions $P_{n}\left(F_{X}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{F}_{X}\right)\right)$ are polynomials if and only if there exists a polynomial $h$ such that $\widetilde{F}(x)=F(h(x))$. Thus, to keep a polynomial expansion of the new joint distribution the change of the marginal variable $X$ must have the form $\widetilde{X}=h^{-1}(X)$, where $h$ is a polynomial. Very few interesting examples have this property. For instance we may consider $\widetilde{X}=-X$ or $\widetilde{X}=\sqrt{X}$ or any affinities. But if the degree of $h$ is $\geq 2$ it appears very difficult to obtain a simple expression for $h^{-1}$.
4. Distance of a new joint distribution from its parent. It is natural now to try to measure the distance between models (1) and (2). For instance, we may consider the case where $\widetilde{\mu}(d x)=\mu(d x)(1+p(x))$, as in an Edgeworth expansion. The following proposition shows how the distance depends of this quantity $p(x)$.

Proposition 5. Let $\sigma$ be a joint distribution with polynomial expansion (1). Assume that $\widetilde{\mu}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $\mu$ and write $h=d \widetilde{\mu} / d \mu$; that is, $\widetilde{\mu}(d x)=h(x) \mu(d x)$. Denote by $\widetilde{\sigma}$ the new joint distribution with margin changed from $\mu$ into $\widetilde{\mu}$. Then

$$
\int|\sigma-\widetilde{\sigma}|(d x, d y) \leq\left(\int h(x) \widetilde{\mu}(d x)-1\right)^{1 / 2} \sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\varrho_{n, k}\right| .
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int|\sigma-\widetilde{\sigma}|(d x, d y) & =\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\varrho_{n, k}\right| \int\left|Q_{k}(y)\right| \nu(d y) \int\left|P_{n}(x)\right||\mu-\widetilde{\mu}|(d x) \\
& \leq \sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\varrho_{n, k}\right| \int\left|P_{n}(x)\right||1-h(x)| \mu(d x) \\
& \leq \sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\varrho_{n, k}\right|\left(\int(1-h(x))^{2} \mu(d x)\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which establishes the formula.

Example 1. Let $\mu$ and $\widetilde{\mu}$ be Gaussian with unit variance and with two different means 0 and $m$. Consider the Lancaster distribution $\sigma$ with margins $\mu=\nu$ with correlation $-1<t<1$. The Lancaster sequence is given by $\varrho_{n}=t^{n}$ (see [6]) and the associated orthonormal polynomials are Hermite polynomials. Then we have $h(x)=\exp \left(m x-m^{2} / 2\right)$ and

$$
\left(\int h(x) \widetilde{\mu}(d x)-1\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\exp \left(m^{2}\right)-1\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

We obtain

$$
\int|\sigma-\widetilde{\sigma}|(d x, d y) \leq\left(\exp \left(m^{2}\right)-1\right)^{1 / 2}(1-|t|)^{-1} .
$$

Example 2. Let $\mu$ be a normal distribution and let

$$
\widetilde{\mu}(d x)=\mu(d x)\left\{1+\sum_{j=1}^{J} \alpha_{j} H_{j}(x)\right\}
$$

be an Edgeworth expansion, where $H_{j}$ are Hermite polynomials and $\alpha_{j}$ are suitable constants. Then

$$
\int|\sigma-\widetilde{\sigma}|(d x, d y) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{J}\left|\alpha_{j}\right| \sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}}\left|\varrho_{n, k}\right| .
$$

## 5. Illustrations

5.1. Calculating some connection coefficients. We use the recurrence relations given in [11] to find the values of some coefficients. The important simplification is that we only need the coefficients $\widetilde{C}_{0}$. Some examples are reported in Table 1, where $M_{n}^{(a, b)}, C_{n}^{a}, K_{n}^{(a, b)}$ are the Meixner, Charlier and Krawtchouk polynomials, respectively (see [5] for notation and properties of orthogonal polynomials) and where $(x)_{n}=x(x+1) \cdots(x+n-1)$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol.

Table 1. Connection coefficients between polynomials

| Polynomials | $C_{0}(n)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $C_{n}^{(a)} \rightarrow C_{n}^{(b)}$ | $(b-a)^{n}$ |
| $M_{n}^{(1, a)} \rightarrow M_{n}^{(1, b)}$ | $n\{(b-a) /(1-a)(1-b)\}^{n}$ |
| $K_{n}^{(a)}(\cdot, N) \rightarrow k_{n}^{(b)}(\cdot, N)$ | $(N+1)_{n}(b-a)^{n}$ |
| $M_{N}^{(c, 1)} \rightarrow C_{n}^{(b)}$ | $(-b)^{n}(n+1)!/(c)_{n}$ |

5.2. Examples with connection coefficients. Consider a joint distribution with margins $\mu=\mathcal{P}\left(m_{0}\right)$ (Poisson with mean $\left.m_{0}\right)$ and $\nu=\mathcal{N} B(1, p)$ (corresponding to the geometric distribution) and with expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(d x, d y)=\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k} C_{n}^{\left(m_{0}\right)} M_{n}^{(p, 1)} \mathcal{P}\left(m_{0}\right)(d x) \mathcal{N} B(1, p)(d y) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Poisson to Poisson. Changing $\mu$ into a new Poisson margin $\mathcal{P}\left(m_{1}\right)$ yields, from Table 1, a new joint distribution with margins $\widetilde{\mu}=\mathcal{P}\left(m_{1}\right)$ and

$$
\widetilde{\nu}(d y)=\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k}\left(m_{0}-m_{1}\right)^{n} M_{n}^{(p, 1)} \mathcal{N} B(p, 1)(d y)
$$

Negative binomial to Poisson. In (13), changing $\mathcal{N} B(1, p)$ into $\mathcal{P}\left(m_{1}\right)$ yields a new joint distribution with new margins $\widetilde{\nu}=\mathcal{P}\left(m_{1}\right)$ and

$$
\widetilde{\mu}(d x)=\sum_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}} \varrho_{n, k}\left(-m_{0}\right)^{n}(n+1)!/(p)_{n} C_{n}^{\left(m_{0}\right)} \mathcal{P}\left(m_{0}\right)(d x)
$$

5.3. Counterexample. We give here a counterexample to point out the limitations of Theorem 1. It proves that changing both $\mu$ and $\nu$ in (1) does not yield a new joint distribution in general.

Consider a Lancaster distribution with margins $\mu=\nu$ distributed as a Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ with correlation $-1<t<1$. Let $P_{n}=Q_{n}$ be the associated orthonormal Hermite polynomials. Changing both $\mu$ and $\nu$ into arbitrary new measures $\widetilde{\mu}=\widetilde{\nu}=\varphi$ in (8) yields

$$
\widetilde{\sigma}(d x, d y)=\left(1+\sum_{n>0} \varrho_{n} P_{n}(x) P_{n}(Y)\right) \varphi(d x) \varphi(d y)
$$

where $\varrho_{n}=t^{n}$. Then

$$
\iint \widetilde{\sigma}(d x, d y)=1+\sum_{n>0} \varrho_{n}\left\{\int P_{n}(x) \varphi(d x)\right\}^{2}>1
$$

as soon as $t>0$, and $\widetilde{\sigma}$ is a positive measure but not with mass equal to 1 .
Appendix: Connection polynomials. Consider two sequences of polynomials $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}$ and $\left\{Q_{n}(x)\right\}$; that is, each element $P_{n}(x)$ (resp. $\left.Q_{n}(x)\right)$ is an $n$th degree polynomial in $x$. The connection problem between them consists in finding the coefficients $C_{k}(n)$ in the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} C_{k}(n) Q_{k}(x) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

When both sequences are orthonormal, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E} P_{n}(x) P_{k}(x) \mu(d x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n=k \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& \int_{E} Q_{n}(x) Q_{k}(x) \nu(d x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } n=k \\
0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

they satisfy three-term recurrence relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x P_{n}(x)=a_{n} P_{n+1}(x)+b_{n} P_{n}(x)+c_{n} P_{n-1}(x)  \tag{15}\\
& x Q_{n}(x)=\alpha_{n} Q_{n+1}(x)+\beta_{n} Q_{n}(x)+\gamma_{n} Q_{n-1}(x) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, multiplying (14) by $x$, using (15)-(16), and identifying with respect to $Q_{n}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\beta_{k}-b_{n}\right) C_{k}(n) & +\alpha_{k-1} C_{k-1}(n)  \tag{17}\\
& +\gamma_{k+1} C_{k+1}(n)-a_{n} C_{k}(n+1)-c_{n} C_{k}(n-1)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Recurrence relations for connection coefficients are studied in various papers (see [11], [4], [12]). Note that in Theorem 1 we only need particular connection coefficients which simplify the use of the relation (17).
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