## A framed *f*-structure on the tangent bundle of a Finsler manifold

by ESMAEIL PEYGHAN (Arak) and CHUNPING ZHONG (Xiamen)

**Abstract.** Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold, that is, M is a smooth manifold endowed with a Finsler metric F. In this paper, we introduce on the slit tangent bundle  $\widetilde{TM}$  a Riemannian metric  $\widetilde{G}$  which is naturally induced by F, and a family of framed f-structures which are parameterized by a real parameter  $c \neq 0$ . We prove that (i) the parameterized framed f-structure reduces to an almost contact structure on IM; (ii) the almost contact structure on IM is a Sasakian structure iff (M, F) is of constant flag curvature K = c; (iii) if  $\mathcal{S} = y^i \delta_i$  is the geodesic spray of F and  $R(\cdot, \cdot)$  the curvature operator of the Sasaki–Finsler metric which is induced by F, then  $R(\cdot, \cdot)\mathcal{S} = 0$  iff (M, F) is a locally flat Riemannian manifold.

1. Introduction. Recently, the geometry of the tangent bundle of a smooth manifold attracts some people's interest [BF, O1, O2, OP]. As is well known, a Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M gives rise to several Riemannian metrics on the tangent bundle TM. The best known example is the Sasaki metric  $g_S$ , which was first introduced and studied in [S]. Although the Sasaki metric  $g_S$  is naturally induced by a Riemannian metric g on M, it is very rigid. For example, TM endowed with the Sasaki metric  $g_S$  is not locally symmetric unless the metric g is flat [K]. Moreover, the Sasaki metric  $g_S$  is not a good metric in the sense of [B] since its Ricci curvature is not constant, that is, the Sasaki metric  $g_S$  is generally not an Einstein metric.

To overcome this defect, V. Oproiu and his collaborators [O1, O2, OP] constructed on TM a family of Riemannian metrics with respect to which TM is a locally symmetric Riemannian manifold and has constant Ricci curvature (or is an Einstein manifold). It is natural to ask whether we can construct some nice metrics on  $\widetilde{TM}$  under the condition that M is endowed with a Finsler metric. Recently, using the Sasaki–Finsler metric on the tan-

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 53B40; Secondary 53C60.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$  framed f-structure, almost contact structure, indicatrix bundle, Sasakian.

gent bundle of a Finsler manifold, M. Anastasiei [A1] showed that the indicatrix bundle of a Finsler manifold carries a contact structure. A. Bejancu and H. R. Farran [BF] proved that a Finsler manifold (M, F) is of constant curvature K = 1 if and only if the horizontal Liouville vector field  $S = y^i \delta_i$ (also called the geodesic spray field associated to F) is a Killing vector field on the indicatrix bundle IM of (M, F).

Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold, i.e., M is a smooth manifold and F is a Finsler metric on M. Denote  $\widetilde{TM}$  the slit tangent bundle of M, i.e., the complement of the zero section in TM. In this paper, we introduce the following lift metric  $\widetilde{G}$  on  $\widetilde{TM}$  (cf. Section 3):

(1.1) 
$$\widetilde{G} = G_{ij}dx^i dx^j + H_{ij}\delta y^i \delta y^j$$

where

$$G_{ij} = \frac{1}{\beta}g_{ij} + \frac{v}{\alpha\beta}y_iy_j, \quad H_{ij} = \beta g_{ij} + wy_iy_j,$$

 $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are constants, and v and w are nonnegative functions of  $\tau = F^2$ .

We construct a parameterized framed f-structure on TM. When restricted to the indicatrix bundle IM of (M, F), the framed f-framed structure reduces to an almost contact structure. We prove that the almost contact structure on IM is a Sasakian structure if and only if (M, F) is of constant flag curvature  $K = c \neq 0$ .

The main results of this paper are (cf. Theorems 4.9, 5.2, 5.4, 5.5 and 6.4 for details):

THEOREM 1.1. Let  $\widetilde{G}$ ,  $\widetilde{f}$ ,  $(\widetilde{\xi}_a)$ ,  $(\widetilde{\eta}^a)$ , a = 1, 2, be defined respectively by (3.6), (4.7), (4.1) and (4.2). Then the ensemble  $(\widetilde{f}, (\widetilde{\xi}_a), (\widetilde{\eta}^a))$ , a = 1, 2, provides a framed f-structure on  $\widetilde{TM}$  if and only if

(1.2) 
$$\widetilde{G} = \left(\frac{1}{\beta}g_{ij} + \frac{\beta\tau - 1}{\beta\tau}y_iy_j\right)dx^i dx^j + \left(\beta g_{ij} + \frac{1 - \beta\tau}{\tau^2}y_iy_j\right)\delta y^i \delta y^j.$$

THEOREM 1.2. Let  $(\tilde{f}, (\tilde{\xi}_a), (\tilde{\eta}^a)), a = 1, 2$ , be the framed f-structure given by Theorem 4.8. Then the triple  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\eta}^1)$  defines an almost contact structure on IM, that is,

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\eta}^1(\widetilde{\xi}_1) &= 1, \quad \widetilde{f}(\widetilde{\xi}_1) = 0, \quad \widetilde{\eta}^1 \circ \widetilde{f} = 0\\ \widetilde{f}^2 &= -I + \widetilde{\eta}^1 \otimes \widetilde{\xi}_1, \\ \widetilde{f}^3 + \widetilde{f} &= 0, \quad \text{rank } \widetilde{f} = 2n - 2. \end{split}$$

THEOREM 1.3. Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold endowed with the Chern-Rund connection  $\nabla$ . Let also  $c \neq 0$  be a parameter and (1.3)

$$\widetilde{G} = \sqrt{|c|} \left[ g_{ij} + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}} - 1\right) y_i y_j \right] dx^i dx^j + \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}} g_{ij} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}}\right) y_i y_j \right] \delta y^i \delta y^j$$

be the Riemannian metric on IM. Then  $(IM, \tilde{f}, \tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{G})$  is a contact Riemannian manifold.

THEOREM 1.4. Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold endowed with the Chern-Rund connection  $\nabla$ . Let also  $c \neq 0$  be a parameter and (1.4)

$$\widetilde{G} = \sqrt{|c|} \left[ g_{ij} + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}} - 1\right) y_i y_j \right] dx^i dx^j + \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}} g_{ij} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}}\right) y_i y_j \right] \delta y^i \delta y^j$$

be the Riemannian metric on IM. Then  $(IM, \tilde{f}, \tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{G})$  is a Sasakian manifold if and only if (M, F) is of constant flag curvature K = c.

THEOREM 1.5. Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold,  $S = y^i \delta_i$  be the geodesic spray field of F and  $R(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the curvature operator of the Sasaki–Finsler metric

$$\widetilde{G}_S = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j + g_{ij} \delta y^i \delta y^j.$$

Then

$$R(X,Y)\mathcal{S} = 0 \quad \forall X,Y \in \mathcal{X}(TM)$$

if and only if (M, F) is locally a flat Riemannian manifold.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we fix some definitions and notation, and introduce the Chern–Rund connection  $\nabla$  of (M,F). In Section 3, we introduce a Riemannian metric  $\widetilde{G}$  on the slit tangent bundle  $\widetilde{TM}$ , and define an almost complex structure  $\widetilde{F}$  on  $\widetilde{TM}$ . In Section 4, we first define two vector fields  $\tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\xi}_2$  and two 1-forms  $\tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{\eta}^2$  on TM, and give some basic properties of these objects. Then we prove that there is a framed f-structure on TM if and only if  $\tau(\beta + w\tau) = 1$ . In Section 5, we prove that the framed f-structure on TM naturally induces an almost contact structure  $(f, \xi_1, \tilde{\eta}^1)$  on the indicatrix bundle IM such that  $(IM,\widetilde{f},\widetilde{\xi_1},\widetilde{\eta}^1,\widetilde{G})$  is a contact Riemannian manifold. Furthermore, we prove that  $(IM, \tilde{f}, \tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{G})$  is a Sasakian manifold if and only if (M, F) is of constant flag curvature  $K = c \neq 0$ . In Section 6, we prove that  $R(\cdot, \cdot)\mathcal{S} = 0$ if and only if (M, F) is a locally flat Riemannian manifold, and prove that IM with the contact Riemannian metric structure  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{G}_S)$  is locally isometric to  $E^n \times S^{n-1}(4)$  for n > 2 and flat for n = 2 if the Finsler metric F comes from a locally flat Riemannian metric on M.

**2. Preliminaries.** In this section we fix some definitions and notation, and introduce the Chern–Rund connection  $\nabla$  of (M, F).

Suppose M is an *n*-dimensional  $C^{\infty}$  manifold with local coordinates  $(x^1, \ldots, x^n)$ . Denote by  $T_x M$  the tangent space at  $x \in M$  and  $\pi : TM \to M$  the tangent bundle of M. Let  $(x^1, \ldots, x^n, y^1, \ldots, y^n)$  be the induced local

coordinates on TM and  $\mathcal{X}(T\overline{M})$  be the set of sections of the tangent bundle  $T\widetilde{TM}$  of  $\widetilde{TM}$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. A Finiler metric on M is a function  $F: TM \to [0, \infty)$  such that

- (i) F is  $C^{\infty}$  on  $\widetilde{TM}$ ;
- (ii) F is positively 1-homogeneous on the fibers of TM, i.e.,  $F(x, \lambda y) = \lambda F(x, y)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ ;
- (iii) for each  $y \in T_x \overline{M}$ , the quadratic form  $g_y : T_x M \times T_x M \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $g_y(u_1, u_2) := g_{ij}(y)u_1^i u_2^j$  is positive definite, i.e., the Finsler fundamental tensor

$$g_{ij}(y) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 F^2}{\partial y^i \partial y^j}$$

is positive definite on TM.

We denote by  $(g^{ij})$  the inverse matrix of  $(g_{ij})$ , i.e.,  $g_{ij}g^{ik} = \delta_j^k$ .

Let  $x \in M$  and denote by  $F_x$  the restriction of F to the fiber  $T_x M$ . To measure the non-Euclidean features of  $F_x$ , define  $\mathbf{C}_y: T_x M \times T_x M \times T_x M \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $\mathbf{C}_y(u_1, u_2, u_3) := C_{ijk}(y)u_1^i u_2^j u_3^k$  where

$$C_{ijk}(y) := \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial y^k}.$$

By the 1-homogeneity of F, it is easy to check that

(2.1) 
$$C_{ijk}(y)y^{i} = C_{ijk}(y)y^{j} = C_{ijk}(y)y^{k} = 0.$$

The family  $\mathbf{C} := {\mathbf{C}_y}_{y \in \widetilde{TM}}$  is called the *Cartan torsion* of (M, F). Using the Cartan torsion  $\mathbf{C}$ , one can define the *mean Cartan torsion*  $\mathbf{I}_y : T_x M \to \mathbb{R}$  by  $\mathbf{I}_y(u) := I_i(y)u^i$ , where  $I_i(y) := g^{jk}C_{ijk}(y)$ . It is well known that  $\mathbf{I} = 0$  if and only if F comes from a Riemannian metric on M.

Let  $\pi^*TM$  be the pull-back tangent bundle over TM. It is well known [CS] that  $\pi^*TM$  admits a unique linear connection  $\nabla$  called the *Chern-Rund connection*, which is torsion free and almost metric compatible. In the following we shall recall the connection coefficients and curvature components of  $\nabla$ .

First, using the Finsler fundamental tensor  $g_{ij}$  and the Cartan tensor  $C_{ijk}$ associated to F, one defines the tensor  $C^i_{\ jk} := g^{is}C_{sjk}$ , which is actually the vertical connection coefficients of the Cartan connection of (M, F). If we define the formal Christoffel symbols  $\gamma^k_{\ ij}$  of the second kind of F by

$$\gamma^{k}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}g^{kl} \bigg( \frac{\partial g_{jl}}{\partial x^{i}} + \frac{\partial g_{il}}{\partial x^{j}} - \frac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial x^{l}} \bigg),$$

then there is a canonical nonlinear connection on  $\widetilde{TM}$ , which is locally characterized by its connection coefficients  $N_i^i$ , i.e.,

$$N_j^i := \gamma^i{}_{jk} y^k - C^i{}_{jk} \gamma^k{}_{rs} y^r y^s.$$

We denote by  $G^i := \frac{1}{2} \gamma^i{}_{jk} y^j y^k$  the spray coefficients of F and by  $G^i{}_{jk} := \partial^2 G^i / \partial y^j \partial y^k$  the Berwald connection coefficients of F. The connection coefficients  $\Gamma^k{}_{ij}$  of the Chern–Rund connection  $\nabla$  are given by (see [CS])

$$\Gamma^{k}{}_{ij}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}[\delta_i(g_{jl}) + \delta_j(g_{il}) - \delta_l(g_{ij})]$$

here and in the following we denote  $\dot{\partial}_j := \partial/\partial y^j$  and  $\delta_i := \partial/\partial x^i - N_i^j \partial/\partial y^j$ . It is clear that

(2.2) 
$$\Gamma^{k}{}_{ij} = \Gamma^{k}{}_{ji}, \quad y^{i} \Gamma^{k}{}_{ij} = N^{k}_{j}.$$

Note that  $\{\delta_i, \dot{\partial}_i\}$  is the natural local frame on  $\widetilde{TM}$ , and its dual coframe is  $\{dx^i, \delta y^i\}$ , where we denote  $\delta y^i = dy^i + N^i_j dx^j$ . In terms of the natural local frame  $\{dx^i, \delta y^i\}$  on  $\widetilde{TM}$ , the connection 1-form of  $\nabla$  is  $\omega_j{}^i = \Gamma^i{}_{jk} dx^k$ , and the curvature 2-form of  $\nabla$  is

$$\Omega_j{}^i = d\omega_j{}^i - \omega_j{}^k \wedge \omega_k{}^i.$$

More precisely [CS],

$$\Omega_j{}^i = \frac{1}{2} R_j{}^i{}_{kl} dx^k \wedge dx^l + P_j{}^i{}_{kl} dx^k \wedge \delta y^l,$$

where

(2.3) 
$$R_{j\ kl}^{\ i} = \delta_k(\Gamma_{jl}^i) - \delta_l(\Gamma_{jk}^i) + \Gamma_{ks}^i \Gamma_{jl}^s - \Gamma_{jk}^s \Gamma_{ls}^i,$$

(2.4) 
$$P_{j\ kl}^{\ i} = -\partial_l(\Gamma_{jk}^i)$$

It is clear that

(2.5) 
$$R_j{}^i{}_{kl} + R_j{}^i{}_{lk} = 0,$$

(2.6) 
$$R_{j\ kl}^{\ i} + R_{k\ lj}^{\ i} + R_{l\ jk}^{\ i} = 0,$$

(2.7) 
$$P_{j\,kl}^{\ i} = P_{k\,jl}^{\ i}.$$

Denote

(2.8) 
$$R^{i}_{\ kl} := y^{j} R^{\ i}_{j \ kl}, \quad L^{i}_{\ kl} := -y^{j} P^{\ i}_{j \ kl}.$$

Clearly,

(2.9) 
$$R^{i}_{\ kl} = -R^{i}_{\ lk}, \quad L^{i}_{\ kl} = L^{i}_{\ lk}, \quad L^{i}_{\ kl}y^{k} = 0,$$

and it is easy to check that (cf. [CS])

(2.10) 
$$R^{i}{}_{kl} = \delta_k(N^{i}_l) - \delta_l(N^{i}_k), \quad L^{i}{}_{kl} = G^{i}{}_{kl} - \Gamma^{i}{}_{kl}.$$

Set

$$R_{jikl} := g_{is} R_{j\ kl}^{\ s}, \quad R_{ikl} := g_{is} R_{\ kl}^{\ s}, \quad R_{i\ k}^{\ i} := R_{\ kl}^{\ i} y^{l}, \quad R_{ij} := g_{im} R_{\ j}^{\ m}.$$

Clearly,

(2.11) 
$$y^{j}R_{jikl} = R_{ikl}, \quad y^{l}R_{ikl} = -y^{l}R_{ilk} = R_{ik}$$

and it is easy to check that (cf. [CS])

(2.12) 
$$R^i_{\ k} y^k = 0, \quad R_{ij} = R_{ji}.$$

The flag curvature of the Chern–Rund connection  $\nabla$  associated to F is a geometrical invariant, which generalizes the sectional curvature in Riemannian geometry. Let  $x \in M$  and  $0 \neq y \in T_x M$ . Then  $V := V^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$  is called the *transverse edge*. The flag curvature is obtained by carrying out the following computation at the point  $(x, y) \in \widetilde{TM}$ , and viewing y and V as sections of  $\pi^*TM$ :

$$K(y,V) := \frac{R_{ik}V^{i}V^{k}}{g(y,y)g(V,V) - [g(y,V)]^{2}}.$$

If K(y, V) is independent of the transverse edge V, i.e., there is a scalar function  $\lambda(x, y)$  on  $\widetilde{TM}$  such that  $K(y, V) = \lambda(x, y)$ , then (M, F) is called of scalar flag curvature. If furthermore  $\lambda(x, y)$  is constant on  $\widetilde{TM}$ , then the Finsler manifold (M, F) is called of constant flag curvature.

A framed *f*-structure is a natural generalization of an almost contact structure. It was introduced by S. I. Goldberg and K. Yano [GY]. We recall its definition following [MR].

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $\widetilde{M}$  be a (2n + s)-dimensional manifold endowed with an endomorphism f of rank 2n of the tangent bundle satisfying  $f^3 + f =$ 0. If there are vector fields  $(\xi_b)$  and 1-forms  $\eta^a$   $(a, b = 1, \ldots, s)$  on  $\widetilde{M}$  such that

(2.13) 
$$\eta^{a}(\xi_{b}) = \delta^{a}_{b}, \quad f(\xi_{a}) = 0, \quad \eta^{a} \circ f = 0, \quad f^{2} = -I + \sum_{a=1}^{s} \eta^{a} \otimes \xi_{a},$$

where I is the identity automorphism of the tangent bundle, then  $\widetilde{M}$  is said to be a *framed f-manifold*.

Let  $\widetilde{M}$  be a (2n-1)-dimensional contact Riemannian manifold with a contact metric structure  $(f, \xi, \eta, g)$  and  $R(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the curvature operator of the Riemannian metric g. It is well-known that the condition  $R(\cdot, \cdot)\xi = 0$  has strong implications for a contact metric manifold, namely that  $\widetilde{M}$  is locally the product of Euclidean space  $E^n$  and a sphere of constant curvature +4. In [B1] and [B2], D. E. Blair proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.3. A contact metric manifold  $\widetilde{M}^{2n-1}$  satisfying  $R(\cdot, \cdot)\xi = 0$ is locally isometric to  $E^n \times S^{n-1}(4)$  for n > 2 and flat for n = 2. **3.** A Riemannian metric on TM. In this section we shall introduce a Riemannian metric  $\widetilde{G}$  and an almost complex structure  $\widetilde{F}$  on  $\widetilde{TM}$ .

Let  $v: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function. Then it makes sense to consider the function  $v(\tau)$ , where  $\tau := F^2$  is defined on TM and smooth on  $\widetilde{TM}$ . We define a symmetric M-tensor  $G_{ij}$  on  $\widetilde{TM}$  such that

(3.1) 
$$G_{ij} := \frac{1}{\beta} g_{ij} + \frac{v}{\alpha\beta} y_i y_j,$$

where  $\alpha, \beta$  are real constants and  $y_i = g_{ij}y^j$ . It is easy to check that the matrix  $(G_{ij})$  is positive definite on  $\widetilde{TM}$  if and only if  $\alpha, \beta > 0, \alpha + 2\tau v > 0$ . Let  $(H^{kl})$  be the inverse matrix of  $(G_{ij})$ , i.e.,  $G_{ij}H^{ik} = \delta_j^k$ . Then

(3.3) 
$$w = -\frac{\beta v}{\alpha + \tau v}.$$

The components  $H^{kl}$  define a symmetric *M*-tensor on  $\widetilde{TM}$ . It is easy to see that if the matrix  $(G_{ij})$  is positive definite, then so is  $(H^{kl})$ . Denote by  $H_{ij}(x,y)$  the symmetric *M*-tensor field of type (0,2) obtained from the components  $H^{kl}$  by lowering the indices, i.e.,

(3.4) 
$$H_{ij} = g_{ik}H^{kl}g_{lj} = \beta g_{ij} + wy_i y_j.$$

We also need the following M-tensor fields on TM obtained by usual algebraic tensor operations:

(3.5) 
$$\begin{cases} G^{kl} = g^{ki}G_{ij}g^{jl} = \frac{1}{\beta}g^{kl} + \frac{v}{\alpha\beta}y^{k}y^{l}, \\ G^{i}_{k} = G^{ih}g_{hk} = G_{kh}g^{hi} = \frac{1}{\beta}\delta^{i}_{k} + \frac{v}{\alpha\beta}y^{i}y_{k}, \\ H^{i}_{k} = H^{ih}g_{hk} = H_{kh}g^{hi} = \beta\delta^{i}_{k} + wy^{i}y_{k}, \end{cases}$$

where  $(H_k^i)$  is the inverse matrix of  $(G_k^i)$ , i.e.,  $H_k^i G_i^j = \delta_k^j$ .

We introduce the Riemannian metric

(3.6) 
$$\widetilde{G} = G_{ij}dx^i dx^j + H_{ij}\delta y^i \delta y^j$$

on the slit tangent bundle TM. Equivalently,

$$\widetilde{G}(\delta_i, \delta_j) = G_{ij}, \quad \widetilde{G}(\dot{\partial}_i, \dot{\partial}_j) = H_{ij}, \quad \widetilde{G}(\delta_i, \dot{\partial}_j) = \widetilde{G}(\dot{\partial}_i, \delta_j) = 0.$$

Now we define an endomorphism  $F: \mathcal{X}(TM) \to \mathcal{X}(TM)$  such that

(3.7) 
$$\widetilde{F}(\delta_i) = -G_i^k \dot{\partial}_k, \quad \widetilde{F}(\dot{\partial}_i) = H_i^k \delta_k.$$

It is easy to check that  $\widetilde{F}^2 = -I$ , where *I* is the identity endomorphism on  $\mathcal{X}(\widetilde{TM})$ . Thus  $\widetilde{F}$  is an almost complex structure on  $\widetilde{TM}$  [PT].

4. A framed *f*-structure on  $\widetilde{TM}$ . In this section we shall prove that there is a framed *f*-structure on  $\widetilde{TM}$ , which is parameterized by a real parameter. We do this by defining a tensor field  $\tilde{f}$  of type (1,1) on  $\widetilde{TM}$ , and obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\tilde{f}$  to be a framed *f*-structure on  $\widetilde{TM}$ .

As is well known, there are two remarkable vector fields defined on TM. One is the vertical Liouville vector field  $\mathcal{C} = y^i \dot{\partial}_i$ , which is globally defined on  $\widetilde{TM}$ . The other is the horizontal Liouville vector field  $\mathcal{S} = y^i \delta_i$  (also called the geodesic spray field of F).

Now we define the vector fields  $\tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\xi}_2$  and 1-forms  $\tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{\eta}^2$  on  $\widetilde{TM}$  respectively by

(4.1) 
$$\widetilde{\xi}_1 := (\beta + w\tau)\mathcal{S}, \quad \widetilde{\xi}_2 := \mathcal{C},$$

(4.2) 
$$\widetilde{\eta}^1 := y_i dx^i, \qquad \widetilde{\eta}^2 := (\beta + w\tau) y_i \delta y^i.$$

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let  $\widetilde{G}$  be defined by (3.6) and  $\widetilde{F}$  be defined by (3.7). Then

(4.3) 
$$\widetilde{G}(\widetilde{F}(X),\widetilde{F}(Y)) = \widetilde{G}(X,Y) \quad \text{for } X,Y \in \mathcal{X}(\widetilde{TM}).$$

LEMMA 4.2. Let  $\overline{F}$  be defined by (3.7) and  $\overline{\xi}_1, \overline{\xi}_2$  be defined by (4.1). Then

(4.4) 
$$\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{\xi}_1) = -\xi_2, \quad \widetilde{F}(\widetilde{\xi}_2) = \widetilde{\xi}_1.$$

*Proof.* This follows immediately from (3.7)–(4.2).

LEMMA 4.3. Let  $\widetilde{F}$  be defined by (3.7) and  $\widetilde{\eta}_1, \widetilde{\eta}_2$  be defined by (4.2). Then

(4.5) 
$$\widetilde{\eta}^1 \circ \widetilde{F} = \widetilde{\eta}^2, \quad \widetilde{\eta}^2 \circ \widetilde{F} = -\widetilde{\eta}^1.$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to check (4.5) with respect to the adapted frame  $\{\delta_i, \dot{\partial}_i\}$  on  $\widetilde{TM}$ . In fact,

$$\begin{split} &\widetilde{\eta}^1 \circ \widetilde{F}(\delta_i) = 0 = \widetilde{\eta}^2(\delta_i), \\ &\widetilde{\eta}^1 \circ \widetilde{F}(\dot{\partial}_i) = \widetilde{\eta}^1(H_i^k \delta_k) = H_i^k y_k = (\beta + w\tau) y_i = \widetilde{\eta}^2(\dot{\partial}_i) \\ &\widetilde{\eta}^2 \circ \widetilde{F}(\delta_i) = -y_i = -\widetilde{\eta}^1(\delta_i), \quad \widetilde{\eta}^2 \circ \widetilde{F}(\dot{\partial}_i) = 0 = \widetilde{\eta}^1(\dot{\partial}_i). \end{split}$$

LEMMA 4.4. Let  $\widetilde{G}$  be defined by (3.6) and  $\widetilde{\eta}_1, \widetilde{\eta}_2$  be defined by (4.2). Then

(4.6) 
$$\widetilde{\eta}^1(X) = \widetilde{G}(X, \widetilde{\xi}_1), \quad \widetilde{\eta}^2(X) = \widetilde{G}(X, \widetilde{\xi}_2) \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{X}(\widetilde{TM}).$$

*Proof.* With respect to the adapted frame  $\{\delta_i, \dot{\partial}_i\}$  on TM, we have  $\tilde{\eta}^1(\delta_i) = y_i$  and

$$\widetilde{G}(\delta_i,\widetilde{\xi}_1) = (\beta + w\tau) \left(\frac{1}{\beta}g_{ij} + \frac{v}{\alpha\beta}y_iy_j\right) y^j = \frac{\alpha\beta + \beta\tau v + w\tau(\alpha + \tau v)}{\alpha\beta} y_i = y_i,$$

where in the last equality we use (3.3). Thus  $\widetilde{G}(\delta_i, \widetilde{\xi}_1) = \widetilde{\eta}^1(\delta_i)$ . It is clear that  $\widetilde{G}(\dot{\partial}_i, \widetilde{\xi}_1) = 0 = \widetilde{\eta}^1(\dot{\partial}_i)$ . Therefore  $\widetilde{\eta}^1(X) = \widetilde{G}(X, \widetilde{\xi}_1)$ . Similarly we can prove  $\widetilde{\eta}^2(X) = \widetilde{G}(X, \widetilde{\xi}_2)$ .

LEMMA 4.5. Let  $\tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\xi}_2$  be defined by (4.1) and  $\tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{\eta}^2$  be defined by (4.2). Then

$$\widetilde{\eta}^a(\xi_b) = (\beta + w\tau)\tau\delta^a_b, \quad a, b = 1, 2.$$

*Proof.* In fact, it is easy to check that

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\eta}^1(\widetilde{\xi}_1) &= (\beta + w\tau)\tau, \quad \widetilde{\eta}^1(\widetilde{\xi}_2) = 0, \\ \widetilde{\eta}^2(\widetilde{\xi}_2) &= (\beta + w\tau)\tau, \quad \widetilde{\eta}^2(\widetilde{\xi}_1) = 0. \end{split}$$

Using the almost complex structure  $\widetilde{F}$ , we define a new tensor field  $\widetilde{f}$  of type (1,1) on  $\widetilde{TM}$  by

(4.7) 
$$\widetilde{f} = \widetilde{F} + \widetilde{\eta}^1 \otimes \widetilde{\xi}_2 - \widetilde{\eta}^2 \otimes \widetilde{\xi}_1$$

PROPOSITION 4.6. The tensor field f satisfies

- (4.8)  $\widetilde{f}(\widetilde{\xi}_1) = [(\beta + w\tau)\tau 1]\widetilde{\xi}_2, \quad \widetilde{f}(\widetilde{\xi}_2) = [1 (\beta + w\tau)\tau]\widetilde{\xi}_1,$
- (4.9)  $\widetilde{\eta}^1 \circ \widetilde{f} = [1 (\beta + w\tau)\tau]\widetilde{\eta}^2, \quad \widetilde{\eta}^2 \circ \widetilde{f} = [(\beta + w\tau)\tau 1]\widetilde{\eta}^1,$
- (4.10)  $\widetilde{f}^2 = -I + [2 (\beta + w\tau)\tau](\widetilde{\eta}^1 \otimes \widetilde{\xi}_1 + \widetilde{\eta}^2 \otimes \widetilde{\xi}_2).$

*Proof.* Equalities (4.8) follow from Lemmas 4.2 and 4.5; (4.9) follows from (4.8) and Lemma 4.5; and (4.10) follows from (4.8).  $\blacksquare$ 

PROPOSITION 4.7. The Riemannian metric  $\widetilde{G}$  satisfies  $\widetilde{G}(\widetilde{f}(X), \widetilde{f}(Y)) = \widetilde{G}(X, Y) - [2 - (\beta + w\tau)\tau][\widetilde{\eta}^1(X)\widetilde{\eta}^1(Y) + \widetilde{\eta}^2(X)\widetilde{\eta}^2(Y)]$ for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(\widetilde{TM})$ .

Proof. By Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5, we have (4.11)  $\widetilde{G}(\widetilde{\xi}_1,\widetilde{\xi}_1) = (\beta + w\tau)\tau = \widetilde{G}(\widetilde{\xi}_2,\widetilde{\xi}_2), \quad \widetilde{G}(\widetilde{\xi}_1,\widetilde{\xi}_2) = 0.$ From (4.11) and Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 we get  $\widetilde{G}(\widetilde{f}(X),\widetilde{f}(Y)) = \widetilde{G}(\widetilde{F}(X),\widetilde{F}(Y)) + \widetilde{G}(\widetilde{F}(X),\widetilde{\xi}_2)\widetilde{\eta}^1(Y) - \widetilde{G}(\widetilde{F}(X),\widetilde{\xi}_1)\widetilde{\eta}^2(Y) + \widetilde{G}(\widetilde{\xi}_2,\widetilde{F}(Y))\widetilde{\eta}^1(X) + \widetilde{\eta}^1(X)\widetilde{\eta}^1(Y)\widetilde{G}(\widetilde{\xi}_2,\widetilde{\xi}_2) - \widetilde{\eta}^2(X)\widetilde{G}(\widetilde{\xi}_1,\widetilde{F}(Y)) + \widetilde{\eta}^2(X)\widetilde{\eta}^2(Y)\widetilde{G}(\widetilde{\xi}_1,\widetilde{\xi}_1) = \widetilde{G}(X,Y) + \widetilde{\eta}^2(\widetilde{F}(X))\widetilde{\eta}^1(Y) - \widetilde{\eta}^1(\widetilde{F}(X))\widetilde{\eta}^2(Y) + \widetilde{\eta}^2(\widetilde{F}(Y))\widetilde{\eta}^1(X) + \widetilde{\eta}^1(X)\widetilde{\eta}^1(Y)(\beta + w\tau)\tau - \widetilde{\eta}^2(X)\widetilde{\eta}^1(\widetilde{F}(Y)) + \widetilde{\eta}^2(X)\widetilde{\eta}^2(Y)(\beta + w\tau)\tau = \widetilde{G}(X,Y) - [2 - (\beta + w\tau)\tau][\widetilde{\eta}^1(X)\widetilde{\eta}^1(Y) + \widetilde{\eta}^2(X)\widetilde{\eta}^2(Y)].$  THEOREM 4.8. Let  $\widetilde{G}$ ,  $\widetilde{f}$ ,  $(\widetilde{\xi}_a)$ ,  $(\widetilde{\eta}^a)$ , a = 1, 2, be defined respectively by (3.6), (4.7), (4.1) and (4.2). Then the ensemble  $(\widetilde{f}, (\widetilde{\xi}_a), (\widetilde{\eta}^a))$ , a = 1, 2, provides a framed f-structure on  $\widetilde{TM}$  if and only if

$$\tau(\beta + w\tau) = 1$$

*Proof.* Let  $(\tilde{f}, (\tilde{\xi}_a), (\tilde{\eta}^b))$  be a framed *f*-structure on  $\widetilde{TM}$ . Then by Definition 2.2, we have  $\tilde{f}(\tilde{\xi}_1) = \tilde{f}(\tilde{\xi}_2) = 0$ . Thus by (4.8) we get  $1 - (\beta + w\tau)\tau = 0$ . Conversely, if  $\tau(\beta + w\tau) = 1$ , then using Lemma 4.5 and Proposition 4.6 we obtain

(4.12) 
$$\widetilde{\eta}^{a}(\widetilde{\xi}_{b}) = \delta^{a}_{b}, \quad \widetilde{f}(\widetilde{\xi}_{a}) = 0, \quad \widetilde{\eta}^{a} \circ \widetilde{f} = 0, \quad a, b = 1, 2,$$

(4.13) 
$$f^2 = -I + \widetilde{\eta}^1 \otimes \xi_1 + \widetilde{\eta}^2 \otimes \xi_2.$$

In order to complete the proof, we need to prove  $\tilde{f}^3 + \tilde{f} = 0$  and to show that  $\tilde{f}$  is of rank 2n - 2. It follows from (4.12) and (4.13) that

$$\widetilde{f}^{3}(X) = -\widetilde{f}(X) \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(\widetilde{TM}).$$

Now we need to show that  $\operatorname{Ker} \widetilde{f} = \operatorname{span}\{\widetilde{\xi}_1, \widetilde{\xi}_2\}$ . The inclusion  $\operatorname{span}\{\widetilde{\xi}_1, \widetilde{\xi}_2\}$  $\subseteq \operatorname{Ker} \widetilde{f}$  follows from the second equation in (4.12). Now let  $X \in \operatorname{Ker} \widetilde{f}$ . Then  $\widetilde{f}(X) = 0$  implies that

$$\widetilde{F}(X) + \widetilde{\eta}^1(X)\widetilde{\xi}_2 - \widetilde{\eta}^2(X)\widetilde{\xi}_1 = 0.$$

Thus

$$\widetilde{F}^2(X) = \widetilde{\eta}^1(X)\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{\xi}_2) - \widetilde{\eta}^2(X)\widetilde{F}(\widetilde{\xi}_1).$$

Since  $\widetilde{F}^2 = -I$ , it follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$X = -\widetilde{\eta}^1(X)\widetilde{\xi}_1 - \widetilde{\eta}^2(X)\widetilde{\xi}_2,$$

that is,  $X \in \operatorname{span}\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$ .

Note that the condition  $\tau(\beta + w\tau) = 1$  in Theorem 4.8 implies that

(4.14) 
$$v = \frac{\alpha(\beta\tau - 1)}{\tau}, \quad w = \frac{1 - \beta\tau}{\tau^2}$$

Thus the functions v and w are related by

(4.15) 
$$v = -\alpha \tau w.$$

Now if we substitute (4.14) into (3.1) and (3.4), we can restate Theorem 4.8 as follows:

THEOREM 4.9. Let  $\widetilde{G}$ ,  $\widetilde{f}$ ,  $(\widetilde{\xi}_a)$ ,  $(\widetilde{\eta}^a)$ , a = 1, 2, be defined respectively by (3.6), (4.7), (4.1) and (4.2). Then the ensemble  $(\widetilde{f}, (\widetilde{\xi}_a), (\widetilde{\eta}^a))$ , a = 1, 2, provides a framed f-structure on  $\widetilde{TM}$  if and only if

(4.16) 
$$\widetilde{G} = \left(\frac{1}{\beta}g_{ij} + \frac{\beta\tau - 1}{\beta\tau}y_iy_j\right)dx^i dx^j + \left(\beta g_{ij} + \frac{1 - \beta\tau}{\tau^2}y_iy_j\right)\delta y^i \delta y^j.$$

COROLLARY 4.10. Assume that  $(\tilde{f}, (\tilde{\xi}_a), (\tilde{\eta}^a))$ , a = 1, 2, provides a framed f-structure on  $\widetilde{TM}$ . Then

$$\widetilde{G}(\widetilde{f}(X),\widetilde{f}(Y)) = \widetilde{G}(X,Y) - \widetilde{\eta}^1(X)\widetilde{\eta}^1(Y) - \widetilde{\eta}^2(X)\widetilde{\eta}^2(Y)$$

for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(\widetilde{TM})$ .

*Proof.* This follows from Proposition 4.7 and Theorem 4.8.  $\blacksquare$ 

Now let the framed f- structure on  $T\overline{M}$  be given by Theorem 4.8. Using (4.7), we can get the local expression of  $\tilde{f}$  as follows:

(4.17) 
$$\widetilde{f}(\delta_i) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \left( \delta_i^k - \frac{1}{\tau} y_i y^k \right) \dot{\partial}_k$$

(4.18) 
$$\widetilde{f}(\dot{\partial}_i) = \beta \left( \delta_i^k - \frac{1}{\tau} y_i y^k \right) \delta_k.$$

If we set  $\phi(X,Y) := \widetilde{G}(\widetilde{f}(X),Y)$  for  $X,Y \in \mathcal{X}(\widetilde{TM})$ , and use (4.17) and (4.18), we have

(4.19) 
$$\phi(\delta_i, \dot{\partial}_j) = \widetilde{G}(\widetilde{f}(\delta_i), \dot{\partial}_j) = -g_{ij} + \frac{1}{\tau} y_i y_j,$$

(4.20) 
$$\phi(\dot{\partial}_i, \delta_j) = \widetilde{G}(\widetilde{f}(\dot{\partial}_i), \delta_j) = g_{ij} - \frac{1}{\tau} y_i y_j,$$

(4.21) 
$$\phi(\delta_i, \delta_j) = \phi(\dot{\partial}_i, \dot{\partial}_j) = 0.$$

Using (4.19)–(4.21) we get  $\phi(X, Y) = -\phi(Y, X)$ . Thus  $\phi$  is a 2-form on TM. On the other hand, by using (4.2) we obtain

(4.22) 
$$d\tilde{\eta}^{1}(\delta_{i},\dot{\partial}_{j}) = \delta_{i}\tilde{\eta}^{1}(\dot{\partial}_{j}) - \dot{\partial}_{j}\tilde{\eta}^{1}(\delta_{i}) = -\dot{\partial}_{j}y_{i} = -g_{ij}.$$

Similarly we obtain

(4.23) 
$$d\tilde{\eta}^1(\dot{\partial}_i, \delta_j) = g_{ij}, \quad d\tilde{\eta}^1(\delta_i, \delta_j) = d\tilde{\eta}^1(\dot{\partial}_i, \dot{\partial}_j) = 0.$$

Relations (4.19)–(4.23) give us the following equality on TM:

(4.24) 
$$\phi = d\tilde{\eta}^1 + \Omega$$
, where  $\Omega = \frac{1}{\tau} y_i y_j dx^i \wedge \delta y^j$ .

5. Almost contact structure on the indicatrix bundle. In this section we assume that the framed f-structure on  $\widetilde{TM}$  is given by Theorem 4.8. In this case,

$$\widetilde{\xi}_1 = \frac{1}{\tau} S, \quad \widetilde{\eta}^2 = \frac{1}{\tau} y_i \delta y^i.$$

We shall prove that when we restrict the framed f-structure to the indicatrix bundle IM, we get a parameterized contact structure on IM. Moreover, we prove that the parameterized contact structure on IM is a Sasakian structure if and only if (M, F) is of constant flag curvature  $K = c \neq 0$ . Let IM be the indicatrix bundle of (M, F), i.e.,

$$IM = \{(x,y) \in \widetilde{TM} \mid F(x,y) = 1\},\$$

which is a submanifold of dimension 2n-1 of TM.

Note that  $\tilde{\xi}_2$  is a unit vector field on IM since  $\tilde{G}(\tilde{\xi}_2, \tilde{\xi}_2) = 1$ . It is easy to show that  $\tilde{\xi}_2$  is a normal vector field on IM with respect to the metric  $\tilde{G}$ . Indeed, if the local equations of IM in  $\widetilde{TM}$  are given by

(5.1) 
$$x^{i} = x^{i}(u^{\gamma}), \quad y^{i} = y^{i}(u^{\gamma}), \quad \gamma \in \{1, \dots, 2m-1\},$$

then we have

(5.2) 
$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^{\gamma}} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^i} \frac{\partial y^i}{\partial u^{\gamma}} = 0.$$

Since F is a horizontal covariant constant, i.e.,  $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^i} = N_i^k \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^k}$  we obtain

(5.3) 
$$\left(N_i^k \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^{\gamma}} + \frac{\partial y^k}{\partial u^{\gamma}}\right) \frac{\partial F}{\partial y^k} = 0.$$

The natural frame field  $\{\partial/\partial u^{\gamma}\}$  on IM is represented by

(5.4) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\gamma}} = \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial u^{\gamma}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} + \frac{\partial y^{i}}{\partial u^{\gamma}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}} = \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial u^{\gamma}} \delta_{i} + \left(N_{i}^{k} \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial u^{\gamma}} + \frac{\partial y^{k}}{\partial u^{\gamma}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{k}}.$$

Thus by (5.3) and the condition  $\tau(\beta + w\tau) = 1$ , we have

(5.5) 
$$\widetilde{G}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\gamma}}, \widetilde{\xi}_{2}\right) = \frac{1}{F}\left(N_{i}^{k}\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial u^{\gamma}} + \frac{\partial y^{k}}{\partial u^{\gamma}}\right)\frac{\partial F}{\partial y^{k}} = 0,$$

where we use the equality  $y_k/F = \partial F/\partial y^k$ . Therefore  $\tilde{\xi}_2$  is orthogonal to vectors that are tangent to IM. It is clear that the vector field  $\tilde{\xi}_1 = (1/\tau)y^i\delta_i$  is tangent to IM since  $\tilde{G}(\tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\xi}_2) = 0$ .

LEMMA 5.1. Let the framed f-structure be given by Theorem 4.8. Then restricting to IM we have

$$\widetilde{\xi}_1 = y^i \delta_i = \mathcal{S}, \quad \widetilde{\eta}^2 = 0, \quad \widetilde{f}(X) = \widetilde{F}(X) + \widetilde{\eta}^1(X) \widetilde{\xi}_2 \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{X}(IM).$$

*Proof.* It is clear since  $\tau = F^2 = 1$  on IM and  $\tilde{\eta}^2(X) = \tilde{G}(X, \tilde{\xi}_2) = 0$ . This completes the proof.

Note that Corollary 4.10 and Lemma 5.1 implies the following theorem:

THEOREM 5.2. Let the framed f-structure be given by Theorem 4.8. Then the triple  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\eta}^1)$  defines an almost contact structure on IM, that is,

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\eta}^1(\widetilde{\xi}_1) &= 1, \quad \widetilde{f}(\widetilde{\xi}_1) = 0, \quad \widetilde{\eta}^1 \circ \widetilde{f} = 0, \\ \widetilde{f}^2 &= -I + \widetilde{\eta}^1 \otimes \widetilde{\xi}_1, \\ \widetilde{f}^3 + \widetilde{f} &= 0, \quad \operatorname{rank} \widetilde{f} = 2n - 2. \end{split}$$

Note that  $\tau = 1$  on IM, thus by (1.2) we have

(5.6) 
$$\widetilde{G} = \frac{1}{\beta} [g_{ij} + (\beta - 1)y_i y_j] dx^i dx^j + [\beta g_{ij} + (1 - \beta)y_i y_j] \delta y^i \delta y^j.$$

By Corollary 4.10, we have

THEOREM 5.3. Let  $\widetilde{G}$  be the Riemannian metric given by (1.2). Then

$$\widetilde{G}(\widetilde{f}(X),\widetilde{f}(Y)) = \widetilde{G}(X,Y) - \widetilde{\eta}^1(X)\widetilde{\eta}^1(Y) \quad \text{for } X,Y \in \mathcal{X}(IM).$$

One can check that  $\{\delta_i, \tilde{f}(\delta_j)\}, j = 1, \ldots, n-1$ , is a local frame on a neighborhood U of the point  $(x, y) = (x^1, \ldots, x^n, y^1, \ldots, y^n) \in IM$  with  $y^n \neq 0$ . Since points like (x, 0) are not in IM, one may always consider such a local frame. Let  $\phi(X, Y) = \tilde{G}(\tilde{f}(X), Y)$  be the 2-form associated to the almost contact structure  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{G})$  on IM. By using (4.24) we have  $\phi = d\tilde{\eta}^1 + \Omega$ , where  $\Omega = \frac{1}{\tau} y_i y_j dx^i \wedge \delta y^j$ . Now we show that  $\Omega$  is zero on IM. Since  $\{\delta_i, \tilde{f}(\delta_j)\}_{j=1}^{n-1}$  is a local frame on a neighborhood U of  $(x, y) = (x^1, \ldots, x^n, y^1, \ldots, y^n) \in IM$  with  $y^n \neq 0$ , it is sufficient to prove  $\Omega(\delta_i, \tilde{f}(\delta_j)) = \Omega(\delta_i, \delta_j) = \Omega(\tilde{f}(\delta_i), \tilde{f}(\delta_j)) = 0$ . By the definition of  $\Omega$ , it is easy to see that  $\Omega(\delta_i, \delta_j) = \Omega(\tilde{f}(\delta_i), \tilde{f}(\delta_j)) = 0$ . But from (4.17) we obtain

$$\Omega(\delta_i, \tilde{f}(\delta_j)) = -\frac{1}{\beta} (\delta_j^k - y_j y^k) \Omega(\delta_i, \dot{\partial}_k)$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\beta} (\delta_j^k - y_j y^k) y_i y_k = -\frac{1}{\beta} (y_i y_j - y_j y_i) = 0.$$

Therefore  $\Omega(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(IM)$  and consequently by using (4.24) we deduce that  $\phi(X, Y) = d\tilde{\eta}^1(X, Y)$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(IM)$ . Substituting  $\beta = 1/\sqrt{|c|}$  with  $c \neq 0$  a constant into (1.3), we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.4. Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold endowed with the Chern-Rund connection  $\nabla$ . Let also  $c \neq 0$  be a parameter and (5.7)

$$\widetilde{G} = \sqrt{|c|} \left[ g_{ij} + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}} - 1\right) y_i y_j \right] dx^i dx^j + \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}} g_{ij} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}}\right) y_i y_j \right] \delta y^i \delta y^j$$

be the Riemannian metric on IM. Then  $(IM, \tilde{f}, \tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{G})$  is a contact Riemannian manifold.

Let  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\eta}^1)$  be the contact structure on IM and  $N_{\tilde{f}}$  be the Nijenhuis tensor field of  $\tilde{f}$ . The contact structure  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\eta}^1)$  is called *normal* if  $N := N_{\tilde{f}} + 2d\tilde{\eta}^1 \otimes \tilde{\xi}_1 = 0$ , and *Sasakian* if it is normal and  $\phi = d\tilde{\eta}^1$ . Note that if  $d\tilde{\eta}^1(\delta_i, \delta_j) = 0$ , then  $N(\delta_i, \delta_j) = N_{\tilde{f}}(\delta_i, \delta_j)$ . By the definition of Nijenhuis tensor field we have

$$N_{\widetilde{f}}(\delta_i, \delta_j) = [\widetilde{f}(\delta_i), \widetilde{f}(\delta_j)] - \widetilde{f}[\widetilde{f}(\delta_i), \delta_j] - \widetilde{f}[\delta_i, \widetilde{f}(\delta_j)] + \widetilde{f}^2[\delta_i, \delta_j].$$

By a direct calculation one gets

$$\begin{split} [\widetilde{f}(\delta_i), \widetilde{f}(\delta_j)] &= \frac{1}{\beta^2} (y_i \delta_j^k - y_j \delta_i^k) \dot{\partial}_k, \\ \widetilde{f}^2[\delta_i, \delta_j] &= (R^k_{ij} - R_{tij} y^t y^k) \dot{\partial}_k, \\ \widetilde{f}[\widetilde{f}(\delta_i), \delta_j] + \widetilde{f}[\delta_i, \widetilde{f}(\delta_j)] &= 0. \end{split}$$

Thus we obtain

$$N(\delta_i, \delta_j) = N_{\bar{f}}(\delta_i, \delta_j) = \left[\frac{1}{\beta^2}(y_i\delta_j^k - y_j\delta_i^k) + R_{ij}^k - R_{tij}y^ty^k\right]\dot{\partial_k}.$$

Therefore  $N(\delta_i, \delta_j) = 0$  is equivalent to

(5.8) 
$$\frac{1}{\beta^2} (y_i \delta_j^k - y_j \delta_i^k) + R^k_{\ ij} - R_{tij} y^t y^k = 0.$$

Contracting (5.8) with  $g_{kl}$  we get

(5.9) 
$$R_{lij} = R_{tij}y^t y_l - \frac{1}{\beta^2}(y_i g_{jl} - y_j g_{il})$$

Since  $R_{tij}y^ty^j = R_{ti}y^t = 0$ , thus the flag curvature K(y, V) of (M, F) is

$$K(y,V) = \frac{R_{li}V^{l}V^{i}}{(g_{li} - y_{l}y_{i})V^{l}V^{i}} = \frac{-\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}(y_{i}y_{l} - g_{il})V^{l}V^{i}}{(g_{li} - y_{l}y_{i})V^{l}V^{i}} = \frac{1}{\beta^{2}}.$$

Note that the vanishing of  $N(\delta_i, \delta_j)$  also implies the vanishing of  $N(\tilde{f}(\delta_i), \tilde{f}(\delta_j))$  and  $N(\delta_i, \tilde{f}(\delta_j))$ . Thus if we take  $\beta = 1/\sqrt{|c|}$  with  $c \neq 0$  a constant, we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 5.5. Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold endowed with the Chern-Rund connection  $\nabla$ . Let also  $c \neq 0$  be a parameter and (5.10)

$$\widetilde{G} = \sqrt{|c|} \left[ g_{ij} + \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}} - 1 \right) y_i y_j \right] dx^i dx^j + \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}} g_{ij} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}} \right) y_i y_j \right] \delta y^i \delta y^j$$

be the Riemannian metric on IM. Then  $(IM, \tilde{f}, \xi_1, \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{G})$  is a Sasakian manifold if and only if (M, F) is of constant flag curvature K = c.

If  $c = \pm 1$ , then using (1.3) we get the following Sasaki–Finsler metric  $G_S$ , which was also studied in [BF]:

(5.11) 
$$\widetilde{G}_S = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j + g_{ij} \delta y^i \delta y^j$$

Thus by Theorem 5.5 we have

COROLLARY 5.6. Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold endowed with the Chern-Rund connection  $\nabla$ . Then  $(IM, \tilde{f}, \tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{G}_S)$  is a Sasakian manifold if and only if (M, F) is of constant flag curvature  $K = \pm 1$ .

6. The Riemannian curvature of  $\widetilde{G}_S$ . In this section, we shall give an application of the Sasaki–Finsler metric (5.11), which is the special case of  $\widetilde{G}$ , i.e.,  $\beta = 1$  and  $\tau = 1$ . We first derive the curvature R(X, Y)S for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(\widetilde{TM})$ , where  $R(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the curvature operator of the Sasaki–Finsler metric (5.11) and  $S = y^i \delta_i$  is the geodesic spray field of F. Using the local formula for R(X,Y)S, we show at the end of this section that IM endowed with the contact Riemannian metric structure  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{G}_S)$  is locally isometric to  $E^n \times S^{n-1}(4)$  for n > 2 and flat for n = 2 if the Finsler metric F comes from a locally flat Riemannian metric on M.

If we denote by  $g_{jk;l} := \delta_l(g_{jk}) - g_{sk}G_{jl}^s - g_{js}G_{kl}^s$  the horizontal covariant derivative of  $g_{jk}$  with respect to the Berwald connection of (M, F), then we have

LEMMA 6.1 ([A2], [BF]). In terms of the adapted frames  $\{\delta_i, \dot{\partial}_i\}$  on  $\widetilde{TM}$ , the Levi-Civita connection D associated to the Sasaki–Finsler metric  $\tilde{G}_S$  is given by

$$D_{\delta_k}\delta_j = A^i_{j;k}\delta_i + A^i_{jk}\dot{\partial}_i,$$
  

$$D_{\delta_k}\dot{\partial}_j = B^i_{j;k}\delta_i + B^i_{jk}\dot{\partial}_i,$$
  

$$D_{\dot{\partial}_k}\dot{\partial}_j = E^i_{j;k}\delta_i + E^i_{jk}\dot{\partial}_i,$$

where

(6.1) 
$$A_{j;k}^{i} = \Gamma_{jk}^{i}, \quad A_{jk}^{i} = -\left(C_{jk}^{i} + \frac{1}{2}R_{jk}^{i}\right),$$

(6.2) 
$$B_{j;k}^{i} = C_{jk}^{i} + \frac{1}{2}g^{il}R_{jlk}, \quad B_{jk}^{i} = \Gamma_{jk}^{i},$$

(6.3) 
$$E_{j;k}^i = -\frac{1}{2}g^{il}g_{jk;l}, \quad E_{jk}^i = C_{jk}^i.$$

THEOREM 6.2. In terms of the adapted frame  $\{\delta_i, \dot{\partial}_i\}$ , the curvature operator  $R(\cdot, \cdot)S$  of the Levi-Civita connection D associated to the Sasaki– Finsler metric  $\tilde{G}_S$  is given by

(6.4) 
$$R(\delta_{i},\delta_{j})\mathcal{S} = \left(\frac{1}{2}(R^{s}_{\ j}C^{k}_{si} - R^{s}_{\ i}C^{k}_{sj}) + \frac{1}{4}g^{kt}(R_{sti}R^{s}_{\ j} - R_{stj}R^{s}_{\ i}) - R^{k}_{\ ij} - \frac{1}{2}g^{kt}R^{l}_{\ ij}R_{lt}\right)\delta_{k} + \frac{1}{2}(R^{k}_{\ j|i} - R^{k}_{\ i|j})\dot{\partial}_{k},$$

(6.5) 
$$R(\dot{\partial}_{i},\dot{\partial}_{j})S = \frac{1}{2} \{ g^{kl}y^{s} [\dot{\partial}_{i}(R_{jls}) - \dot{\partial}_{j}(R_{ils})] - (C_{i}^{lk}R_{jl} - C_{j}^{lk}R_{il}) \\ + \frac{1}{2} g^{tl}g^{kr}(R_{jl}R_{irt} - R_{il}R_{jrt}) \} \delta_{k} \\ - \frac{1}{2} (R_{jl}L_{i}^{lk} - R_{il}L_{j}^{lk}) \dot{\partial}_{k},$$

E. Peyghan and C. P. Zhong

(6.6) 
$$R(\delta_{i},\dot{\partial}_{j})\mathcal{S} = \left(-L^{k}_{\ ji} + \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}R_{jl|i} - \frac{1}{4}R^{s}_{\ i}g^{kl}g_{rj}L^{r}_{\ sl} - \frac{1}{2}g^{kt}R_{lt}L^{l}_{\ ij}\right) - \frac{1}{4}g^{kt}R_{li}L^{l}_{\ jt}\delta_{k} - \left[C^{k}_{ji} + \frac{1}{2}y^{l}\dot{\partial}_{j}(R^{k}_{\ il}) + \frac{1}{2}R_{jl}C^{lk}_{\ ik}\right) + \frac{1}{4}g^{tl}R_{jl}R^{k}_{\ ti} + \frac{1}{2}R^{s}_{\ i}C^{k}_{\ sj}\dot{\partial}_{k}.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\nabla$  is torsion free and  $\tilde{\xi}_1 = S = y^k \delta_k$ . Thus by using Lemma 6.1 we get

$$(6.7) D_{\delta_j} \mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{2} R^k_{\ j} \dot{\partial}_k$$

and

(6.8) 
$$D_{[\delta_i,\delta_j]}\mathcal{S} = \left(R^k_{\ ij} + \frac{1}{2}R^l_{\ ij}g^{kt}R_{lt}\right)\delta_k.$$

Since  $R(\delta_i, \delta_j)\mathcal{S} = D_{\delta_i}D_{\delta_j}\mathcal{S} - D_{\delta_j}D_{\delta_i}\mathcal{S} - D_{[\delta_i, \delta_j]}\mathcal{S}$ , we get

$$R(\delta_i, \delta_j)\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_i(R^k_{\ j})\dot{\partial}_k + \frac{1}{2}R^s_{\ j}\left[\left(C^k_{si} + \frac{1}{2}g^{kt}R_{sti}\right)\delta_k + \Gamma^k_{\ si}\dot{\partial}_k\right] \\ - \frac{1}{2}\delta_j(R^k_{\ i})\dot{\partial}_k - \frac{1}{2}R^s_{\ i}\left[\left(C^k_{sj} + \frac{1}{2}g^{kt}R_{stj}\right)\delta_k + \Gamma^k_{\ sj}\dot{\partial}_k\right] \\ - \left(R^k_{\ ij} + \frac{1}{2}R^l_{\ ij}g^{kt}R_{lt}\right)\delta_k.$$

It is clear that

$$\frac{1}{2}[\delta_i(R^k_{\ j}) + R^s_{\ j}\Gamma^k_{\ si} - \delta_j(R^k_{\ i}) - R^s_{\ i}\Gamma^k_{\ sj}] = \frac{1}{2}(R^k_{\ j|i} - R^k_{\ i|j})$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}R^{s}_{\ j}\left(C^{k}_{si} + \frac{1}{2}g^{kt}R_{sti}\right) - \frac{1}{2}R^{s}_{\ i}\left(C^{k}_{sj} + \frac{1}{2}g^{kt}R_{stj}\right) - \left(R^{k}_{\ ij} + \frac{1}{2}R^{l}_{\ ij}g^{kt}R_{lt}\right) \\ = \frac{1}{2}\left(R^{s}_{\ j}C^{k}_{si} - R^{s}_{\ i}C^{k}_{sj}\right) + \frac{1}{4}g^{kt}\left(R_{sti}R^{s}_{\ j} - R_{stj}R^{s}_{\ i}\right) - R^{k}_{\ ij} - \frac{1}{2}g^{kt}R^{l}_{\ ij}R_{lt}.$$

Thus we obtain (6.4).

Next we prove (6.5). It is easy to check that

(6.9) 
$$D_{\dot{\partial}_i} \mathcal{S} = \delta_j + \frac{1}{2} g^{il} R_{jl} \delta_i.$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} D_{\dot{\partial}_{i}}D_{\dot{\partial}_{j}}\mathcal{S} &= D_{\delta_{j}}\dot{\partial}_{i} + [\dot{\partial}_{i},\delta_{j}] + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}_{i}(g^{tl}R_{jl})\delta_{t} + \frac{1}{2}g^{tl}R_{jl}\{D_{\delta_{t}}\dot{\partial}_{i} + [\dot{\partial}_{i},\delta_{t}]\} \\ &= \left[C_{ij}^{k} + \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}R_{ilj} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}_{i}(g^{kl}R_{jl}) + \frac{1}{2}g^{tl}R_{jl}(C_{it}^{k} + \frac{1}{2}g^{kr}R_{irt})\right]\delta_{k} \\ &- (L_{ij}^{k} + \frac{1}{2}g^{tl}R_{jl}L_{it}^{k})\dot{\partial}_{k} \\ &= \left[C_{ij}^{k} + \frac{1}{2}(g^{kl}R_{ilj} - C_{i}^{lk}R_{jl} + g^{kl}\dot{\partial}_{i}(R_{jl})) + \frac{1}{4}g^{tl}g^{kr}R_{jl}R_{irt}\right]\delta_{k} \\ &- (L_{ij}^{k} + \frac{1}{2}R_{jl}L_{i}^{lk})\dot{\partial}_{k}, \end{split}$$

38

where we denote  $C_i^{lk} := g^{lt} C_{ti}^k$  and  $L_i^{lk} := g^{tl} L_{it}^k$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{split} R(\dot{\partial}_{i},\dot{\partial}_{j})\mathcal{S} &= \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ g^{kl} (R_{ilj} - R_{jli}) - (C_{i}^{lk} R_{jl} - C_{j}^{lk} R_{il}) \\ &+ g^{kl} [\dot{\partial}_{i} (R_{jl}) - \dot{\partial}_{j} (R_{il})] + \frac{1}{2} g^{tl} g^{kr} (R_{jl} R_{irt} - R_{il} R_{jrt}) \Big\} \delta_{k} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} (R_{jl} L_{i}^{lk} - R_{il} L_{j}^{lk}) \dot{\partial}_{k} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Big\{ g^{kl} y^{s} [\dot{\partial}_{i} (R_{jls}) - \dot{\partial}_{j} (R_{ils})] - (C_{i}^{lk} R_{jl} - C_{j}^{lk} R_{il}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g^{tl} g^{kr} (R_{jl} R_{irt} - R_{il} R_{jrt}) \Big\} \delta_{k} - \frac{1}{2} (R_{jl} L_{i}^{lk} - R_{il} L_{j}^{lk}) \dot{\partial}_{k} \end{split}$$

Now we prove (6.6). It follows from (6.7) and (6.9) that

$$R(\delta_{i},\dot{\partial}_{j})S = D_{\delta_{i}}\left(\delta_{j} + \frac{1}{2}g^{tl}R_{jl}\delta_{t}\right) - \frac{1}{2}D_{\dot{\partial}_{j}}(R^{k}_{i}\dot{\partial}_{k}) - G^{l}_{ji}D_{\dot{\partial}_{l}}\widetilde{\xi}_{1}$$

$$= \Gamma^{k}_{ji}\delta_{k} - \left(C^{k}_{ji} + \frac{1}{2}R^{k}_{ji}\right)\dot{\partial}_{k} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{i}(g^{kl}R_{jl})\delta_{k}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}g^{tl}R_{jl}\left[\Gamma^{k}_{ti}\delta_{k} - \left(C^{k}_{ti} + \frac{1}{2}R^{k}_{ti}\right)\dot{\partial}_{k}\right] - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}_{j}(R^{k}_{i})\dot{\partial}_{k}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}R^{s}_{i}\left(-\frac{1}{2}g^{kl}g_{sj;l}\delta_{k} + C^{k}_{sj}\dot{\partial}_{k}\right) - G^{k}_{ji}\delta_{k} - \frac{1}{2}G^{l}_{ij}g^{kt}R_{lt}\delta_{k}.$$

Since  $\delta_i(g_{st}) = g_{rt} \Gamma^r_{si} + g_{sr} \Gamma^r_{ti}$ , we have

$$\delta_i(g^{kl}R_{jl}) = -g^{ks}g^{lt}\delta_i(g_{st})R_{jl} + g^{kl}\delta_i(R_{jl})$$
$$= -(g^{ks}\Gamma^l_{si} + g^{lt}\Gamma^k_{ti})R_{jl} + g^{kl}\delta_i(R_{jl})$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} R(\delta_{i},\dot{\partial}_{j})\mathcal{S} &= \left[\Gamma_{\ ji}^{k} - \frac{1}{2}(g^{ks}\Gamma_{\ si}^{l} + g^{lt}\Gamma_{\ ti}^{k})R_{jl} + \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}\delta_{i}(R_{jl}) + \frac{1}{2}g^{tl}R_{jl}\Gamma_{\ ti}^{k} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}R_{\ s}^{s}g^{kl}g_{sj;l} - G_{ji}^{k} - \frac{1}{2}G_{ij}^{l}g^{kt}R_{lt}\right]\delta_{k} \\ &- \left[\left(C_{ji}^{k} + \frac{1}{2}R_{\ ji}^{k}\right) + \frac{1}{2}R_{jl}C_{i}^{lk} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}g^{tl}R_{jl}R_{\ ti}^{k} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\partial}_{j}(R_{\ i}^{k}) + \frac{1}{2}R_{\ s}^{s}C_{sj}^{k}\right]\dot{\partial}_{k} \\ &= \left(-L_{\ ji}^{k} + \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}R_{jl|i} - \frac{1}{4}R_{\ s}^{s}g^{kl}g_{rj}L_{\ sl}^{r} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}g^{kt}R_{lt}L_{ij}^{l} - \frac{1}{4}g^{kt}R_{li}L_{\ jt}^{l}\right)\delta_{k} \\ &- \left[C_{ji}^{k} + \frac{1}{2}y^{l}\dot{\partial}_{j}(R_{\ il}^{k}) + \frac{1}{2}R_{jl}C_{i}^{lk} + \frac{1}{4}g^{tl}R_{jl}R_{\ ti}^{k} + \frac{1}{2}R_{\ s}^{s}C_{sj}^{k}\right]\dot{\partial}_{k}. \end{split}$$

THEOREM 6.3. Let (M, F) be a Finsler manifold and  $R(\cdot, \cdot)S$  be the curvature operator of the Sasaki-Finsler metric

$$G_S = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j + g_{ij} \delta y^i \delta y^j.$$

Then

$$R(X,Y)\mathcal{S} = 0 \quad \forall X,Y \in \mathcal{X}(TM)$$

if and only if (M, F) is a locally flat Riemannian manifold.

*Proof.* Let (M, F) be a locally flat Riemannian manifold. Then  $C_{jk}^{i} = 0, \quad R_{j}^{i} = 0, \quad R_{jk}^{i} = 0, \quad R_{ijk} = 0, \quad R_{ij} = 0, \quad L_{ji}^{k} = 0.$  Thus using (6.4)–(6.6), we obtain

$$R(\delta_i, \delta_j)\mathcal{S} = R(\dot{\partial}_i, \dot{\partial}_j)\mathcal{S} = R(\delta_i, \dot{\partial}_j)\mathcal{S} = 0,$$

which implies that R(X,Y)S = 0 for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(TM)$ . Conversely, if R(X,Y)S = 0 then by using (6.6) we obtain

(6.10) 
$$C_{ji}^{k} + \frac{1}{2}y^{l}\dot{\partial}_{j}(R_{il}^{k}) + \frac{1}{2}R_{jl}C_{i}^{lk} + \frac{1}{4}g^{tl}R_{jl}R_{ti}^{k} + \frac{1}{2}R_{i}^{s}C_{sj}^{k} = 0.$$

Contracting (6.10) with  $y^j$  we get  $y^j y^l \dot{\partial}_j(R^k_{\ il}) = 0$ . Since  $R^k_{\ il}$  is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to y, it follows that  $y^l R^k_{\ il} = 0$ , or equivalently  $R^k_{\ i} = 0$ . So  $R_{jl} = 0$ . Furthermore, by (2.50) of [CS],

$$R^{i}{}_{kl} = \frac{1}{3} [\dot{\partial}_{l} (R^{i}{}_{k}) - \dot{\partial}_{k} (R^{i}{}_{l})] = 0.$$

Consequently, by (6.10) we get  $C_{ji}^k = 0$ , which implies that F comes from a Riemannian metric on M and (M, F) is locally flat.

From Theorems 6.3 and 2.3 we have following theorem

THEOREM 6.4. The (2n-1)-dimensional manifold IM with the contact Riemannian metric structure  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{\xi}_1, \tilde{\eta}^1, \tilde{G}_S)$  is locally isometric to  $E^n \times S^{n-1}(4)$  for n > 2 and flat for n = 2 if the Finsler metric F comes from a locally flat Riemannian metric on M.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her careful reading and helpful comments.

Research of C. P. Zhong was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 10971170 and 11171277).

## References

- [A1] M. Anastasiei, A framed f-structure on tangent manifold of a Finsler space, An. Univ. Bucureşti Mat. Inform. 49 (2000), 3–9.
- [A2] M. Anastasiei and H. Shimada, Deformations of Finsler metrics, in: Finslerian Geometries–A Meeting of Minds, Kluwer, 2000, 53–65.
- [BF] A. Bejancu and H. R. Farran, A geometric characterization of Finsler manifolds of constant curvature K = 1, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 23 (2000), 399–407.
- [B] A. L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [B1] D. E. Blair, Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry, Lecture Notes in Math. 509, Springer, Berlin, 1976.
- [B2] —, Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds, Progr. Math. 203, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2002.
- [CS] S. S. Chern and Z. Shen, *Riemann–Finsler Geometry*, World Sci., 2005.
- [GY] S. I. Goldberg and K. Yano, On normal globally framed f-manifolds, Tohoku Math. J. 22 (1970), 362–370.
- [K] O. Kowalski, Curvature of the induced Riemannian metric of the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold, J. Reine Angew. Math. 250 (1971), 124–129.
- [MR] I. Mihai, R. Rosca and L. Verstraelen, Some aspects of the differential geometry of vector fields, PADGE 2, Katholieke Universitieit Leuven, 1996.

- [O1] V. Oproiu, A generalization of natural almost Hermitian structures on tangent bundles, Math. J. Toyama Univ. 22 (1999), 1–14.
- [O2] —, A Kähler Einstein structure on the tangent bundle of a space form, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 3 (2001), 183–195.
- [OP] V. Oproiu and N. Papaghiuc, A Kähler structure on the nonzero tangent bundle of a space form, Differential Geom. Appl. 11 (1999), 1–12.
- [PT] E. Peyghan and A. Tayebi, A Kähler structure on Finsler spaces with nonzero constant flag curvature, J. Math. Phys. 51 (2010), 022904, 11 pp.
- [S] S. Sasaki, On the differential geometry of tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J. 10 (1958), 338–354.

Esmaeil PeyghanChunping Zhong (corresponding author)Department of MathematicsSchool of Mathematical SciencesFaculty of ScienceXiamen UniversityArak UniversityXiamen 361005, ChinaArak 38156-8-8349, IranE-mail: zcp@xmu.edu.cnE-mail: epeyghan@gmail.comE-mail: zcp@xmu.edu.cn

Received 23.2.2011 and in final form 22.6.2011

(2395)