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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Abstract. Using a three critical points theorem and variational methods, we study } \\
& \text { the existence of at least three weak solutions of the Navier problem } \\
& \qquad \begin{cases}\Delta\left(|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=\lambda f(x, u)+\mu g(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=\Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 1)$ is a non-empty bounded open set with a sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, \lambda>0, \mu>0$ and $f, g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are two $L^{1}$-Carathéodory functions.

1. Introduction and main results. Consider the following fourthorder partial differential equation coupled with Navier boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta\left(|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=\lambda f(x, u)+\mu g(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{P}\\ u=\Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 1)$ is a non-empty bounded open set with a sufficiently smooth boundary $\partial \Omega, p>\max \{1, N / 2\}, \lambda>0, \mu>0$ and $f, g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are two $L^{1}$-Carathéodory functions.

We recall that a function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be $L^{1}$-Carathéodory if

- $x \mapsto f(x, t)$ is measurable for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$;
- $t \mapsto f(x, t)$ is continuous for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.
- for every $\varrho>0$ there exists a function $l_{\varrho} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\sup _{|t| \leq \varrho}|f(x, t)| \leq l_{\varrho}(x)
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

[^0]Here and throughout, $X$ will denote the Sobolev space $W^{2, p}(\Omega) \cap$ $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\Delta u(x)|^{p}+|\nabla u(x)|^{p}\right) d x\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
K:=\sup _{u \in X \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\sup _{x \in \Omega}|u(x)|}{\|u\|} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p>\max \{1, N / 2\}, W^{2, p}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ is compact, and one has $K<\infty$. As usual, a weak solution of the problem ( $\mathcal{P}$ ) is any $u \in X$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u(x)|^{p-2} \Delta u(x) \Delta \xi(x) d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \nabla \xi(x) d x  \tag{1.2}\\
=\lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) \xi(x) d x+\mu \int_{\Omega} g(x, u(x)) \xi(x) d x
\end{align*}
$$

for every $\xi \in X$.
In recent years, Ricceri's three critical points theorem has been widely used to solve differential equations (see [12, 7, 17, 5, 2, 1, 8, 2, 10, 16 ] and references therein).

A nonlinear fourth-order equation furnishes a model to study travelling waves in suspension bridges, so it is important in physics. Several results are known concerning the existence of multiple solutions for fourth-order boundary value problems, and we refer the reader to [4, 6, 13, 14] and the references cited therein.

The aim of this paper is to establish the existence of a non-empty open interval $\Lambda \subseteq I$ and a positive real number $q$ with the following property: for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for each $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there is $\delta>0$ such that, for each $\mu \in[0, \delta]$, the problem $(\mathcal{P})$ admits at least three weak solutions whose norms in $X$ are less than $q$.

For the reader's convenience, we recall the revised form of Ricceri's three critical points theorem (Theorem 1 in [15]) which is our main tool to transfer the existence of three solutions of the problem $(\mathcal{P})$ into the existence of critical points of the Euler functional.

Theorem 1.1 ([15, Theorem 1]). Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space. Assume that $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*}$ and $\Phi$ is bounded on each bounded subset of $X ; J: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose

Gâteaux derivative is compact; and $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is an interval. Assume that

$$
\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty}(\Phi(u)+\lambda J(u))=\infty
$$

for all $\lambda \in I$, and that there exists $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\lambda \in I} \inf _{u \in X}(\Phi(u)+\lambda(J(u)+\rho))<\inf _{u \in X} \sup _{\lambda \in I}(\Phi(u)+\lambda(J(u)+\rho)) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists an open interval $\Lambda \subseteq I$ and a positive real number $q$ with the following property: for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and every $C^{1}$ functional $\Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact derivative, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, for each $\mu \in[0, \delta]$ the equation

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(u)+\lambda J^{\prime}(u)+\mu \Psi^{\prime}(u)=0
$$

has at least three solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $q$.
We will need the following result, which is Proposition 1.3 in [3] with $J$ replaced by $-J$, to show the minimax inequality 1.3 of Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 1.2 ([3, Proposition 1.3]). Let $X$ be a non-empty set, and $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, J: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ two real functions. Assume that $\Phi(u) \geq 0$ for every $u \in X$ and there exists $u_{0} \in X$ such that $\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)=J\left(u_{0}\right)=0$. Further, assume that there exist $u_{1} \in X$ and $r>0$ such that
(i) $r<\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)$,
(ii) $\sup _{\Phi(u)<r}(-J(u))<r \frac{-J\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)}$.

Then for every $h>1$ and every $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\sup _{\Phi(u)<r}(-J(u))+\frac{r \frac{-J\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)}-\sup _{\Phi(u)<r}(-J(u))}{h}<\rho<r \frac{-J\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)}
$$

one has

$$
\sup _{\lambda \in I} \inf _{u \in X}(\Phi(u)+\lambda(J(u)+\rho))<\inf _{u \in X} \sup _{\lambda \in[0, a]}(\Phi(u)+\lambda(J(u)+\rho))
$$

where

$$
a=\frac{h r}{r \frac{-J\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)}-\sup _{\Phi(u)<r}(-J(u))} .
$$

2. Main results. Now, fix $x^{0} \in \Omega$ and pick $\gamma>0$ such that $B\left(x^{0}, \gamma\right)$ $\subset \Omega$ where $B\left(x^{0}, \gamma\right)$ denotes the ball with center $x^{0}$ and radius $\gamma$. Put

$$
Q=\int_{B\left(x^{0}, \gamma\right) \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \gamma / 2\right)}\left|\frac{12}{\gamma^{3}}\right| x-x^{0}\left|l-\frac{24}{\gamma^{2}} l+\frac{9}{\gamma} \frac{l}{\left|x-x^{0}\right|}\right|^{p} d x
$$

$$
R=\frac{\pi^{N / 2}}{\Gamma(N / 2)} \int_{(\gamma / 2)^{2}}^{\gamma^{2}}\left|\frac{12(N+1)}{\gamma^{3}} \sqrt{t}+\frac{9(N-1)}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}-\frac{24 N}{\gamma^{2}}\right|^{p} t^{N / 2-1} d t
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=K(R+Q)^{1 / p} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2},\left|x-x^{0}\right|=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(x_{i}-x_{i}^{0}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and $m(\Omega)$ denotes the volume of $\Omega$. We also let $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$ for all $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. Our main result is formulated as follows:

Theorem 2.1. Assume that there exist a positive constant $r$ and a function $w \in X$ such that
(H1) $\|w\|^{p}>p r$;
(H2) $\int_{\Omega} \sup _{s \in[-K}^{p \sqrt[p]{p r}, K} \operatorname{p}_{\sqrt[p]{p r}]} F(x, s) d x<p r \frac{\int_{\Omega} F(x, w(x)) d x}{\|w\|^{p}}$;
(H3) $p K^{p} m(\Omega) \limsup _{|s| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F(x, s)}{|s|^{p}}<\frac{1}{r \eta}$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for some $\eta$ satisfying

$$
\eta>\frac{1}{p r \frac{\int_{\Omega} F(x, w(x)) d x}{\|w\|^{p}}-\int_{\Omega} \sup _{s \in[-K}^{p \sqrt[p]{p r}, K} \sqrt[p]{p r]} F(x, s) d x} .
$$

Then there exist a non-empty open interval $\Lambda \subseteq[0, r \eta)$ and a positive real number $q$ with the following property: for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for an arbitrary $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, for each $\mu \in[0, \delta]$, the problem (P) has at least three solutions whose norms in $X$ are less than $q$.

Let us first present a consequence of Theorem 2.1 for a fixed test function $w$.

Corollary 2.2. Assume that there exist positive constants $c$ and $d$ with $c<\theta d$ such that
(j) $F(x, s) \geq 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \gamma / 2\right)$ and all $s \in[0, d]$;
(jj) $\int_{\Omega(x, s) \in \Omega \times[-c, c]} \sup F(x, s) d x<\left(\frac{c}{\theta d}\right)^{p} \int_{B\left(x^{0}, \gamma / 2\right)} F(x, d) d x$;
(jjj) $c^{p} m(\Omega) \limsup _{|s| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F(x, s)}{|s|^{p}}<\frac{1}{\eta}$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for some $\eta$ satisfying

$$
\eta>\frac{1}{\left(\frac{c}{\theta d}\right)^{p} \int_{B\left(x^{0}, \gamma / 2\right)} F(x, d) d x-\int_{\Omega} \sup _{s \in[-c, c]} F(x, s) d x} .
$$

Then there exist a non-empty open interval $\Lambda \subseteq\left[0, p^{-1}(c / K)^{p} \eta\right)$ and a positive real number $q$ with the following property: for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for an arbitrary $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function $g: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there exists $\delta>0$ such that, for each $\mu \in[0, \delta]$, the problem $(\mathcal{P})$ has at least three solutions whose norms in $X$ are less than $q$.

REMARK 2.3. We remark that the authors in [11] had already studied the problem $\sqrt{\mathcal{P}}$ when $\mu=0$. Under weaker assumptions as for Theorem 1 of [11], Corollary 2.2 ensures a more precise conclusion. In fact, our condition (jjj) is weaker than the condition (A3) in Theorem 1 of [11]. For example, if $F$ is autonomous, let $F(s)=s^{p} / \ln \left(2+s^{2}\right)$. Clearly, $F$ satisfies our condition (jjj) but does not satisfy (A3) in Theorem 1 of [11].

The proof of Corollary 2.2 is based on the following technical lemma.
Lemma 2.4. Assume that $c$ and $d$ are positive constants with $c<\theta d$. Under assumptions $(\mathrm{j})$ and $(\mathrm{jj})$ of Corollary 2.2 , there exist $r>0$ and $w \in X$ such that $\|w\|^{p}>p r$ and

$$
\int_{\Omega} \sup _{s \in[-K \sqrt[p]{p r}, K} F(x, s) d x<p r \frac{\left.\int_{\Omega}^{p r}\right]}{} F(x, w(x)) d x .
$$

Proof. Let

$$
w(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } x \in \Omega \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \gamma\right)  \tag{2.2}\\ d\left(\frac{4}{\gamma^{3}}\left|x-x^{0}\right|^{3}-\frac{12}{\gamma^{2}}\left|x-x^{0}\right|^{2}\right. & \\ \left.+\frac{9}{\gamma}\left|x-x^{0}\right|-1\right) & \text { for } x \in B\left(x^{0}, \gamma\right) \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \gamma / 2\right) \\ d & \text { for } x \in B\left(x^{0}, \gamma / 2\right)\end{cases}
$$

where $r=p^{-1}(c / K)^{p}$. We have

$$
\frac{\partial w(x)}{\partial x_{i}}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } x \in \Omega \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \gamma\right) \cup B\left(x^{0}, \gamma / 2\right) \\ d\left(\frac{12}{\gamma^{3}}\left|x-x^{0}\right|\left(x_{i}-x_{i}^{0}\right)\right. & \\ \left.-\frac{24}{\gamma^{2}}\left(x_{i}-x_{i}^{0}\right)+\frac{9\left(x_{i}-x_{i}^{0}\right)}{\gamma\left|x-x^{0}\right|}\right) & \text { for } x \in B\left(x^{0}, \gamma\right) \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \gamma / 2\right)\end{cases}
$$

and
$\frac{\partial^{2} w(x)}{\partial^{2} x_{i}}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } x \in \Omega \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \gamma\right) \cup B\left(x^{0}, \gamma / 2\right), \\ d\left(\frac{12}{\gamma^{3}\left|x-x^{0}\right|}\left(x_{i}-x_{i}^{0}\right)^{2}\right. & \\ \left.-\frac{24}{\gamma^{2}}+\frac{9\left(\left|x-x^{0}\right|^{2}-\left(x_{i}-x_{i}^{0}\right)^{2}\right)}{\gamma\left|x-x^{0}\right|^{3}}\right) & \text { for } x \in B\left(x^{0}, \gamma\right) \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \gamma / 2\right) .\end{cases}$
It is easy to verify that $w \in W^{2, p}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, and in particular,

$$
\|w\|^{p}=(R+Q) d^{p} .
$$

Consequently, from (2.1) we see that

$$
\|w\|=\theta d / K
$$

Moreover, by the assumption $c<\theta d$, we get

$$
\frac{\|w\|^{p}}{p}>\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{d \theta}{K}\right)^{p}>\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{c}{K}\right)^{p}=r .
$$

Since, $0 \leq w(x) \leq d$, for each $x \in \Omega$, condition ( j ) ensures that

$$
\int_{\Omega \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \gamma\right)} F(x, w(x)) d x+\int_{B\left(x^{0}, \gamma\right) \backslash B\left(x^{0}, \gamma / 2\right)} F(x, w(x)) d x \geq 0 .
$$

Hence, from $(\mathrm{jj}), r=\frac{1}{p}\left(\frac{c}{K}\right)^{p}$ and the above inequality we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{\Omega} \sup _{s \in[-K}^{\left.\operatorname{pup}_{p r}^{p r}, K \sqrt[p]{p r}\right]} \\
& F(x, s) d x
\end{array}\right)<\left(\frac{c}{\theta d}\right)^{p} \int_{B\left(x^{0}, \gamma / 2\right)} F(x, d) d x .
$$

Proof of Corollary 2.2. From Lemma 2.4 we see that assumptions (H1) and (H2) of Theorem 2.1 are fulfilled for $w$ given in (2.2). Also, (jjj) implies that (H3) is satisfied. Hence, the conclusion follows directly from Theorem 2.1,

REmARK 2.5. The statement of Corollary 2.2 mainly depends upon the choice of the test function $w$ in Theorem 2.1. With the choice of $w$ given in (2.2) we have the present statement of Corollary 2.2. Other candidates for $w$ can be considered to obtain other versions of Corollary 2.2,

We end this section by giving the following example to illustrate Corollary 2.2 .

Example 2.6. Consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.u^{(i v)}-u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda f(u)+\mu g(x, u) \quad \text { in }\right] 0,2 \pi[  \tag{2.3}\\
u(0)=u(2 \pi)=u^{\prime \prime}(0)=u^{\prime \prime}(2 \pi)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
f(s)= \begin{cases}s^{2}, & s \leq 1 \\ 1 / s^{2}, & s>1\end{cases}
$$

and $g:[0,2 \pi] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function. Choose $p=2$, $x^{0}=\pi$ and $\gamma=\pi$. Noticing that $K=1 / 2 \pi$ (see Proposition 2.1 of [4]), one has

$$
\theta=\frac{\sqrt{15\left(509 \pi^{2}-720 \pi^{2} \ln 2+40\right)}}{5 \pi^{2}}
$$

So, we see that all the assumptions of Corollary 2.2 are satisfied by choosing, for instance $c=10^{-3}$ and $d=1$. Thus, for each

$$
\kappa>20^{-6} \pi^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\frac{10^{-3} \cdot 25 \pi^{5}}{90\left(509 \pi^{2}-720 \pi^{2} \ln 2+40\right)}-\frac{20^{-9} \pi}{3}}
$$

there exists an open interval $\Lambda \subset[0, \kappa]$ and a positive real number $q$ such that, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and for each $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function $g:[0,2 \pi] \times \mathbb{R}$ $\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, there is $\delta>0$ such that, for each $\mu \in[0, \delta]$, the problem (2.3) admits at least three weak solutions whose norms in $W^{2,2}([0,2 \pi]) \cap W_{0}^{1,2}([0,2 \pi])$ are less than $q$.
3. Proof of Theorem 2.1. For each $u \in X$, let

$$
\Phi(u)=\frac{\|u\|^{p}}{p}, \quad J(u)=-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x
$$

and

$$
\Psi(u)=-\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{u(x)} g(x, s) d s d x
$$

Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.1, $\Phi$ is a continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional. Moreover, the Gâteaux derivative of $\Phi$ admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*}$; and $\Psi$ and $J$ are continuously Gâteaux differentiable functionals whose Gâteaux derivatives are compact. Obviously, $\Phi$ is bounded on each bounded subset of $X$. In particular, for each $u, \xi \in X$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{\prime}(u)(\xi) & =\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u(x)| \Delta u(x) \Delta \xi(x) d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{p-2} \nabla u(x) \nabla \xi(x) d x \\
J^{\prime}(u)(\xi) & =-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) \xi(x) d x \\
\Psi^{\prime}(u)(\xi) & =-\int_{\Omega} g(x, u(x)) \xi(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, it follows from $(1.2)$ that the weak solutions of the problem $(\mathcal{P})$ are exactly the solutions of the equation

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(u)+\lambda J^{\prime}(u)+\mu \Psi^{\prime}(u)=0
$$

Furthermore, from (H3) there exist constants $\zeta, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0<\zeta<1 / r \eta$ such that

$$
p K^{p} m(\Omega) F(x, s) \leq \zeta|s|^{p}+\tau
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Fix $u \in X$. Then

$$
F(x, u(x)) \leq \frac{1}{p K^{p} m(\Omega)}\left(\zeta|u(x)|^{p}+\tau\right)
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. Then, for any fixed $\lambda \in] 0, r \eta]$, since

$$
\sup _{x \in \Omega}|u(x)| \leq K\|u\|
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(u)+\lambda J(u) & =\frac{\|u\|^{p}}{p}-\lambda \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) d x \\
& \geq \frac{\|u\|^{p}}{p}-\frac{r \eta}{p K^{p} m(\Omega)}\left(\zeta \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p} d x+\tau\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p}(1-\zeta r \eta)\|u\|^{p}-\frac{r \eta}{p K^{p} m(\Omega)} \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty}(\Phi(u)+\lambda J(u))=\infty
$$

We claim that there exist $r>0$ and $w \in X$ such that

$$
\sup _{\Phi(u)<r}(-J(u))<r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)}
$$

Note that $\sup _{x \in \Omega}|u(x)| \leq K\|u\|$ for each $u \in X$, and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{u \in X: \Phi(u)<r\} & =\left\{u \in X:\|u\|^{p}<p r\right\} \\
& \subseteq\{u \in X:|u(x)|<K \sqrt[p]{p r} \text { for all } x \in \Omega\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\left.\sup _{\Phi(u)<r}(-J(u))<\int_{\Omega} \sup _{t \in[-K}^{\sqrt[p]{p r}, K} \sqrt[p]{p r]}\right](x, t) d x<p r \frac{\int_{\Omega} F(x, w(x)) d x}{\|w\|^{p}}
$$

from (H2), and so

$$
\sup _{\Phi(u)<r}(-J(u))<r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)}
$$

Also from (H1) we have $\Phi(w)>r$. Next recall from (H3) that

$$
\eta>\frac{1}{r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)}-\sup _{\Phi(u)<r}(-J(u))}
$$

So

$$
\sup _{\Phi(u)<r}(-J(u))+\frac{1}{\eta}<r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)} .
$$

Choose

$$
\nu>\eta\left(r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)}-\sup _{\Phi(u)<r}(-J(u))\right)
$$

and note $\nu>1$ and

$$
\sup _{\Phi(u)<r}(-J(u))+\frac{r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)}-\sup _{\Phi(u)<r}(-J(u))}{\nu}<r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)} .
$$

Therefore, from Proposition 2.2 (with $u_{0}=0$ and $u_{1}=w$ ) for every $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\sup _{\Phi(u)<r}(-J(u))+\frac{r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)}-\sup _{\Phi(u)<r}(-J(u))}{\nu}<\rho<r \frac{-J(w)}{\Phi(w)}
$$

we have (note $\sigma=r \eta$ )

$$
\sup _{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \inf _{u \in X}(\Phi(u)+\lambda(J(u)+\rho))<\inf _{u \in X} \sup _{\lambda \in[0, r \eta]}(\Phi(u)+\lambda(J(u)+\rho)) .
$$

Now, all assumptions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. Hence, the conclusion follows directly from Theorem 1.1 .
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