

The natural operators lifting projectable vector fields to some fiber product preserving bundles

by W. M. MIKULSKI (Kraków)

Abstract. Admissible fiber product preserving bundle functors F on \mathcal{FM}_m are defined. For every admissible fiber product preserving bundle functor F on \mathcal{FM}_m all natural operators $B : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightarrow TF$ lifting projectable vector fields to F are classified.

Introduction. In [4], the authors classified all fiber product preserving bundle functors $F : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ from the category \mathcal{FM}_m of fibered manifolds with m -dimensional bases and fiber preserving maps with local diffeomorphisms as base maps into the category \mathcal{FM} of fibered manifolds and fibered maps. All such functors of order r are in bijection with triples (A, H, t) , where A is a Weil algebra of order r , H is a group homomorphism from the r th jet group G_m^r into the group $\text{Aut}(A)$ of all automorphisms of A , and t is a G_m^r -invariant algebra homomorphism from the algebra $\mathcal{D}_m^r = J_0^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ of all r -jets of \mathbb{R}^m into \mathbb{R} with source $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ into A . The natural transformations $F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ of two fiber product preserving bundle functors F_1 and F_2 on \mathcal{FM}_m are in bijection with the morphisms between corresponding triples.

The most important example of such a functor F is the r -jet prolongation functor $J^r : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$. The corresponding triple (A, H, t) is $(\mathcal{D}_m^r, \text{id}_{G_m^r}, \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}_m^r})$, where $H : G_m^r \rightarrow G_m^r \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_m^r)$ is the identity group homomorphism. Another example is the vertical Weil functor $V^A : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ corresponding to a Weil algebra A . The corresponding triple (A, H, t) is $(A, \text{id}_A, \varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon : \mathcal{D}_m^r \rightarrow A$ is the trivial algebra homomorphism and $\text{id}_A : G_m^r \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ is the trivial group homomorphism. The functors J^r and V^A are admissible in the following sense: for every derivation $D \in \text{Der}(A)$,

$$\text{if } H(j_0^r(\tau \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m})) \circ D \circ H(j_0^r(\tau^{-1} \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m})) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow 0 \text{ then } D = 0.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 58A20.

Key words and phrases: natural bundles, bundle functors, Weil algebras, natural operators, jets.

Another example of an admissible fiber product preserving bundle functor is the non-holonomic r -jet prolongation bundle functor $\tilde{J}^r : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ in the sense of C. Ehresmann [1]. All extensions of J^r and \tilde{J}^r in the sense of I. Kolář [2] are also admissible.

Let $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n} \subset \mathcal{FM}_m$ be the subcategory of all fibered manifolds with m -dimensional basis and n -dimensional fibers and local \mathcal{FM}_m -isomorphisms. In [5], Kolář and Slovák studied the problem of how a projectable vector field on an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -object Y induces a vector field $B(X)$ on $J^r Y$. This problem is reflected in the notion of natural operators $B : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TJ^r$. They proved that every such B is a constant multiple of the flow operator \mathcal{F}^r . The similar problem with V^A playing the role of J^r has also been studied. Every natural operator $B : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TV^A$ is a constant multiple of the flow operator \mathcal{V}^A plus an absolute operator $\text{op}(D)$ for some $D \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A)) = \text{Der}(A)$.

In the present paper we generalize the above results to a (large) class of admissible fiber product preserving bundle functors on \mathcal{FM}_m . The main result of this paper is that for an admissible fiber product preserving bundle functor $F : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ every natural operator $B : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TF$ is of the form

$$B = \lambda\mathcal{F} + \text{op}(D)$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A, H, t))$, where \mathcal{F} is the flow operator of F . For $F = J^r$ and $F = V^A$ we recover the above-mentioned results of [5], [3].

We also present a conterexample showing that the assumption of admissibility of F is essential.

All manifolds are assumed to be without boundary, finite-dimensional and smooth, i.e. of class C^∞ . Maps between manifolds are assumed to be smooth.

1. Fiber product preserving bundle functors. Suppose $F : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ is a bundle functor. We say that F is *fiber product preserving* if $F(Y_1 \times_M Y_2)_x \cong F(Y_1)_x \times F(Y_2)_x$ for any \mathcal{FM}_m -objects $Y_1 \rightarrow M$ and $Y_2 \rightarrow M$ and every $x \in M$.

The most important example of a fiber product preserving bundle functor F on \mathcal{FM}_m is the r -jet prolongation functor $J^r : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$. Another example is the vertical Weil functor $V^A : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ corresponding to a Weil algebra A . One more example is the non-holonomic r -jet prolongation bundle functor $\tilde{J}^r : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ in the sense of C. Ehresmann [1]. The extensions of J^r and \tilde{J}^r in the sense of I. Kolář [2] are also fiber product preserving bundle functors on \mathcal{FM}_m .

A complete description of the fiber product preserving bundle functors on \mathcal{FM}_m has been given in [4]. We will recall it in Sections 2, 3 and 4.

2. Fiber product preserving bundle functors and induced triples.

Suppose F is a fiber product preserving bundle functor on \mathcal{FM}_m of finite order r . The functor F induces both a product preserving bundle functor G^F on the category \mathcal{Mf} of manifolds by

$$G^F N = F_0(\mathbb{R}^m \times N), \quad G^F f = F_0(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times f) : G^F N \rightarrow G^F P,$$

for every manifold N and every smooth map $f : N \rightarrow P$, and a group homomorphism $H^F : G_m^r \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G^F)$ by

$$H^F(\xi)_N = F_0(\varphi \times \text{id}_N) : G^F N \rightarrow G^F N$$

for every $\xi = j_0^r \varphi \in G_m^r$ and every manifold N , where $\text{Aut}(G^F)$ is the group of natural automorphisms (equivalences) of G^F into itself and $G_m^r = \text{inv } J_0^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)_0$ is the r -jet group. By the general theory of product preserving bundle functors on \mathcal{Mf} (see [3]), we obtain a Weil algebra A^F by setting

$$A^F = (G^F \mathbb{R}, G^F(+), G^F(\cdot), G^F(0), G^F(1)),$$

and a group homomorphism $H^F : G_m^r \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A^F)$ by defining

$$H^F(\xi) = H^F(\xi)_{\mathbb{R}} : A^F \rightarrow A^F$$

for every $\xi \in G_m^r$. Moreover the functor F defines an algebra homomorphism $t^F : \mathcal{D}_m^r \rightarrow A^F$ by

$$\{t^F(\xi)\} = \text{im}(F_0(\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}, f))$$

for every $\xi = j_0^r f \in \mathcal{D}_m^r = J_0^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$.

Thus every fiber product preserving bundle functor F on \mathcal{FM}_m of order r determines a triple (A^F, H^F, t^F) , where A^F is a Weil algebra of order r , H^F is a group homomorphism from G_m^r into $\text{Aut}(A^F)$, and t^F is a G_m^r -invariant algebra homomorphism from \mathcal{D}_m^r into A^F .

If \bar{F} is another fiber product preserving bundle functor on \mathcal{FM}_m of order r and $\eta : F \rightarrow \bar{F}$ is a natural transformation, then we have a morphism $\sigma^\eta : (A^F, H^F, t^F) \rightarrow (A^{\bar{F}}, H^{\bar{F}}, t^{\bar{F}})$ of triples, where $\sigma^\eta : A^F \rightarrow A^{\bar{F}}$ is the restriction and corestriction of $\eta_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}} : F(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \bar{F}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R})$.

3. Triples and induced fiber product preserving bundle functors. Conversely, suppose we have a triple (A, H, t) , where A is a Weil algebra of order r , H is a group homomorphism from G_m^r into $\text{Aut}(A)$, and t is a G_m^r -invariant algebra homomorphism from \mathcal{D}_m^r into A . By the general theory of product preserving bundle functors on \mathcal{Mf} , A determines the Weil functor $T^A : \mathcal{Mf} \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ which is product preserving, H determines the group homomorphism $H : G_m^r \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T^A)$ from G_m^r into the group $\text{Aut}(T^A)$

of all natural automorphisms of T^A into itself, and t determines the natural transformation $t : T_m^r \rightarrow T^A$, where $T_m^r = T^{\mathcal{D}_m^r} = J_0^r(\mathbb{R}^m, \cdot) : \mathcal{M}f \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ is the Weil functor (corresponding to \mathcal{D}_m^r) of (m, r) -velocities. For every \mathcal{FM}_m -object $p : Y \rightarrow M$ we have the bundle

$$F^{(A,H,t)}Y = \{ \{u, X\} \in P^r M[T^A Y, H] \mid t_M(u) = T^A p(X) \}$$

over Y , where $P^r M = \text{inv } J_0^r(\mathbb{R}^m, M) \subset T_m^r M$ is the principal fiber bundle with structure group G_m^r acting on $P^r M$ by jet composition, $T^A Y$ is the left G_m^r -space by means of H , and $P^r M[T^A Y, H]$ is the associated fiber bundle. For every \mathcal{FM}_m -map $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ covering $\varphi : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ we have the induced map $P^r \varphi[T^A f, H] : P^r M_1[T^A Y_1, H] \rightarrow P^r M_2[T^A Y_2, H]$ sending $F^{(A,H,t)}Y_1$ into $F^{(A,H,t)}Y_2$, and (by restriction and corestriction) we have the fibered map $F^{(A,H,t)}f : F^{(A,H,t)}Y_1 \rightarrow F^{(A,H,t)}Y_2$ covering f .

Thus every triple (A, H, t) , where A is a Weil algebra of order r , H is a group homomorphism from G_m^r into $\text{Aut}(A)$, and t is a G_m^r -invariant algebra homomorphism from \mathcal{D}_m^r into A , induces a fiber product preserving bundle functor $F^{(A,H,t)} : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ of order r .

If $(\bar{A}, \bar{H}, \bar{t})$ is another triple of order r and $\sigma : (A, H, t) \rightarrow (\bar{A}, \bar{H}, \bar{t})$ is a morphism of triples then we have a natural transformation $\eta^\sigma : F^{(A,H,t)} \rightarrow F^{(\bar{A}, \bar{H}, \bar{t})}$, where $\eta_Y^\sigma : F^{(A,H,t)}Y \rightarrow F^{(\bar{A}, \bar{H}, \bar{t})}Y$ is the restriction and corestriction of $\text{id}_{P^r M}[\sigma_Y] : P^r M[T^A Y, H] \rightarrow P^r M[T^{\bar{A}} Y, \bar{H}]$ for any \mathcal{FM}_m -object $Y \rightarrow M$.

4. Classification of fiber product preserving bundle functors.

The main result of [4] is the following classification theorem.

THEOREM 1 ([4]). (i) *Every fiber product preserving bundle functor F on \mathcal{FM}_m is of some finite order r .*

(ii) *The correspondence $F \mapsto (A^F, H^F, t^F)$ induces a bijection between the equivalence classes of fiber product preserving bundle functors on \mathcal{FM}_m of order r and the equivalence classes of triples of order r . The inverse bijection is determined by $(A, H, t) \mapsto F^{(A,H,t)}$.*

(iii) *The natural transformations $F_1 \rightarrow F_2$ of two fiber product preserving bundle functors F_1 and F_2 on \mathcal{FM}_m of order r are in bijection with the morphisms between corresponding triples. An example of such a bijection is $\eta \mapsto \sigma^\eta$.*

5. The Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}(A, H, t)$. Consider a triple (A, H, t) , where A is a Weil algebra of order r , H is a group homomorphism from G_m^r into $\text{Aut}(A)$, and t is a G_m^r -invariant algebra homomorphism from \mathcal{D}_m^r into A . We note that $\text{Aut}(A, H, t)$ is a closed (and hence Lie) subgroup in $GL(A)$.

PROPOSITION 1. $\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A, H, t)) = \{ D \in \text{Der}(A) \mid D \circ t = 0, H(\xi) \circ D = D \circ H(\xi) \text{ for all } \xi \in G_m^r \}$.

Proof. By [3], $\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A)) = \text{Der}(A)$. Clearly, $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(A, H, t)$ iff $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(A)$ and $\sigma \circ t = t$ and $H(\xi) \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ H(\xi)$ for any $\xi \in G_m^r$. Analysing 1-parameter subgroups in $\text{Aut}(A, H, t)$ we end the proof. ■

6. Natural transformations of $F|_{\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}}$ into itself. In this section we prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let $F : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ be a fiber product preserving bundle functor and (A, H, t) be its triple. Every natural transformation η of $F|_{\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}}$ into itself can be extended to a unique natural transformation of F into itself. In particular, $\text{Aut}(F|_{\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}}) = \text{Aut}(A, H, t)$.*

Proof. Let $x^1, \dots, x^m, y^1, \dots, y^n$ be the coordinates on the $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -object $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, the trivial bundle.

Consider a natural transformation η of $F|_{\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}}$ into itself. Since F is fiber product preserving, $F_0(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n) = A^n$. Thus η is uniquely determined by the restriction and corestriction $\eta : A^n \rightarrow A^n$. Write $\eta(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (\eta^1(a_1, \dots, a_n), \dots, \eta^n(a_1, \dots, a_n))$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$.

By the invariance of η with respect to the $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -morphisms $(x^1, \dots, x^m, \tau_1 y^1, \dots, \tau_n y^n)$ for $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we get the homogeneity conditions $\tau_j \eta^j(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \eta^j(\tau_1 a_1, \dots, \tau_n a_n)$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$ and any $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and any $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n \in \mathbb{R}_+$. This type of homogeneity implies that η^j depends linearly on a_j by the homogeneous function theorem [3].

Using permutations of fibered coordinates we deduce that $\eta = \sigma \times \dots \times \sigma$ for $\sigma = \eta_1 : A \rightarrow A$.

We prove that $\sigma \in \text{Morph}(A, H, t)$.

STEP 1. σ is an algebra homomorphism.

We know that σ is \mathbb{R} -linear. Using the invariance of η with respect to the local $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -morphism $(x^1, \dots, x^m, y^1 + (y^1)^2, y^2, \dots, y^n)$ we derive that $\sigma(a + a^2) = \sigma(a) + (\sigma(a))^2$, i.e. $\sigma(a^2) = (\sigma(a))^2$ for any $a \in A$. Then $\sigma((a_1 + a_2)^2) = (\sigma(a_1 + a_2))^2$, i.e. $\sigma(a_1 a_2) = \sigma(a_1)\sigma(a_2)$ for any $a_1, a_2 \in A$. So, σ is multiplicative.

Using the invariance of η with respect to the $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -map $(x^1, \dots, x^m, y^1 + 1, y^2, \dots, y^n)$ we derive that $\sigma(a + 1) = \sigma(a) + 1$, i.e. $\sigma(1) = 1$.

These facts show that σ is an algebra homomorphism.

STEP 2. σ is G_m^r -equivariant.

Using the invariance of η with respect to the $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -maps $\varphi \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ for $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^m)$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ we obtain $H(\xi) \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ H(\xi)$ for any $\xi = j_0^r \varphi \in G_m^r$. So, σ is G_m^r -equivariant.

STEP 3. $\sigma \circ t = t$.

By the invariance of η with respect to the $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -morphisms $(x^1, \dots, x^m, f(x^1, \dots, x^m) + \tau y^1, \dots, \tau y^n)$ for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and any $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and next letting $\tau \rightarrow 0$ we get $\sigma \circ t(j_0^r f) = t(j_0^r f)$. Hence $\sigma \circ t = t$.

We have proved that $\sigma \in \text{Morph}(A, H, t)$. By Theorem 1 we have the natural transformation $\eta^\sigma : F \rightarrow F$ corresponding to σ . Clearly, η is the restriction of η^σ .

If $\tilde{\eta} : F \rightarrow F$ is another such transformation, then $\tilde{\eta} = \eta^\sigma$ because $\tilde{\eta}$ coincides with η^σ on $A = A \times \{0\} \subset A^n$. ■

7. Absolute operators. Let $F : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ be a fiber product preserving bundle functor and let (A, H, t) be its triple. We have the following example of absolute natural operators $T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TF$.

EXAMPLE 1 (The operators $\text{op}(D)$). Let $D \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A, H, t))$. Let σ_τ be the 1-parameter subgroup in $\text{Aut}(A, H, t)$ corresponding to D . By Theorem 1 we have the corresponding 1-parameter subgroup η^{σ_τ} of natural equivalences of F . So, for every $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -object Y we have a flow η^{σ_τ} on FY . This flow defines a vector field $\text{op}(D)$ on FY . The correspondence $\text{op}(D) : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TF$ is an *absolute* (i.e. constant) natural operator.

We have the following classification of absolute operators.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $F : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ be a fiber product preserving bundle functor and (A, H, t) be its triple. Every absolute natural operator $B : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TF$ is $\text{op}(D)$ for some $D \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A, H, t))$.*

Proof. Consider an absolute natural operator $B : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TF$. For every $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -object Y we have a vector field B on FY invariant with respect to $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -maps. The flow Fl_τ^B of B is $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -invariant. Using Theorem 1 we can easily show that there exists $v \in FY$ such that FY is the orbit of U with respect to $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -maps for any open neighbourhood $U \subset FY$ of v . This implies that B is complete, i.e. the flow Fl_τ^B is global. Hence the flow corresponds to some 1-parameter subgroup in $\text{Aut}(F|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n})$. By Theorem 2, we have the corresponding 1-parameter subgroup $\sigma^{\text{Fl}_\tau^B}$ in $\text{Aut}(A, H, t)$. This subgroup corresponds to some $D \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A, H, t))$. Thus $B = \text{op}(D)$. ■

The triple corresponding to J^r is $(\mathcal{D}_m^r, \text{id}_{G_m^r}, \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}_m^r})$, where $\text{id}_{G_m^r} : G_m^r \rightarrow G_m^r \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_m^r)$ is the identity. By Proposition 1, $\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_m^r, \text{id}_{G_m^r}, \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}_m^r})) = \{0\}$. Therefore we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1. *Every absolute operator on $J^r_{|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}}$ is 0.*

Let $J_v^r : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ be the vertical extension of J^r (see [2]). We recall that $J_v^r(Y) = \bigcup_{x \in M} J_x^r(M, Y_x)$ for every \mathcal{FM}_m -object $Y \rightarrow M$. The triple of J_v^r is $(\mathcal{D}_m^r, \text{id}_{G_m^r}, \varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon : \mathcal{D}_m^r \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_m^r$ is the trivial algebra

homomorphism (equal to 0 on the nilpotent ideal; see [4]). By Proposition 1 we have $\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_m^r, \text{id}_{G_m^r}, \varepsilon)) = \{D \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{D}_m^r) \mid \xi \circ D = D \circ \xi \text{ for all } \xi \in G_m^r\}$. As an easy exercise one can compute that $\{D \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{D}_m^r) \mid \xi \circ D = D \circ \xi \text{ for all } \xi \in G_m^r\} = \{0\}$ if $r \geq 2$. For $r = 1$ we have $\{D \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{D}_m^1) \mid \xi \circ D = D \circ \xi \text{ for all } \xi \in G_m^1\} = \mathbb{R}D_m^1$, where $D_m^1 \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{D}_m^1)$ is the unique derivation such that $D_m^1(j_0^1(x^i)) = j_0^1(x^i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. (Here x^1, \dots, x^m are the usual coordinates on \mathbb{R}^m .) Therefore we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2. (i) *Every absolute operator on $J_v^1|_{\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}}$ is a constant multiple of $\text{op}(D_m^1)$.*

(ii) *For $r \geq 2$ every absolute operator on $J_v^r|_{\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}}$ is 0.*

REMARK 1. We have the following geometrical interpretation of $\text{op}(D_m^1)$. For every $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -object $p : Y \rightarrow M$, $J_v^1 Y = \bigcup_{w \in Y} (T_{p(w)}^* M \otimes T_w Y_{p(w)})$ is a vector bundle over Y . The Liouville vector field L on the vector bundle $J_v^1 Y$ is $\text{op}(D_m^1)$.

The triple corresponding to V^A is $(A, \text{id}_A, \varepsilon)$, where $\text{id}_A : G_m^r \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ is the trivial group homomorphism and $\varepsilon : \mathcal{D}_m^r \rightarrow A$ is the trivial algebra homomorphism. By Proposition 1 we obtain $\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A, \text{id}_A, \varepsilon)) = \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A)) = \text{Der}(A)$. So, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3. *Every absolute operator on $V_{|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}}^A$ is $\text{op}(D)$ for some $D \in \text{Der}(D) = \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A)) = \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A, \text{id}_A, \varepsilon))$.*

Since every natural transformation of $\tilde{J}^2 = J^1 \circ J^1$ into itself is the identity (see [3]), then $\text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A^{\tilde{J}^2}, H^{\tilde{J}^2}, t^{\tilde{J}^2})) = \{0\}$. So, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4. *Every absolute operator on $\tilde{J}_{|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}}^2$ is 0.*

8. Admissible fiber product preserving bundle functors. Suppose that $F : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ is a fiber product preserving bundle functor of order r and (A, H, t) is its corresponding triple. We say that F is *admissible* if the following condition is satisfied: for every derivation $D \in \text{Der}(A)$,

$$\text{if } H(j_0^r(\tau \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m})) \circ D \circ H(j_0^r(\tau^{-1} \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m})) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow 0 \text{ then } D = 0.$$

LEMMA 1. (i) *The functors J^r and \tilde{J}^r and their extensions in the sense of [2] are admissible.*

(ii) *All vertical Weil functors V^A are admissible.*

Proof. The triple corresponding to J^r is $(\mathcal{D}_m^r, \text{id}_{G_m^r}, \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}_m^r})$. Consider $D \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{D}_m^r)$ such that $j_0^r(\tau \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}) \circ D \circ j_0^r(\tau^{-1} \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}) \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$. Let x^1, \dots, x^m be the usual coordinates on \mathbb{R}^m . For $i = 1, \dots, m$ we can write $D(j_0^r x^i) = \sum a_\alpha^i j_0^r(x^\alpha)$ for some real numbers a_α^i , where the sum is over all

$\alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^m$ with $0 \leq |\alpha| \leq r$. We have

$$j_0^r(\tau \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}) \circ D \circ j_0^r(\tau^{-1} \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m})(j_0^r(x^i)) = \sum a_\alpha^i \frac{1}{\tau^{|\alpha|-1}} j_0^r(x^\alpha).$$

Then from the assumption on D it follows that $a_\alpha^i = 0$ for all $\alpha \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^m$ with $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq r$, i.e. $D(j_0^r x^i) = a_{(0)}^i j_0^r 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then (since $j_0^r((x^i)^{r+1}) = 0 \in \mathcal{D}_m^r$ and D is a differentiation) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D(j_0^r((x^i)^{r+1})) = D((j_0^r x^i)^{r+1}) = (r + 1)(j_0^r x^i)^r D(j_0^r x^i) \\ &= (r + 1)a_{(0)}^i j_0^r((x^i)^r). \end{aligned}$$

Then $a_{(0)}^i = 0$ as $j_0^r((x^i)^r) \neq 0 \in \mathcal{D}_m^r$. Then $D(j_0^r x^i) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then $D = 0$ because the $j_0^r x^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ generate the algebra \mathcal{D}_m^r . Hence J^r is admissible.

The proof of the admissibility of \tilde{J}^r is left to the reader. First observe that the triple (A, H, t) of \tilde{J}^r has the following properties: (1) $A = \bigotimes^r \mathcal{D}_m^1$ (see [2]); (2) $H(\xi) = \bigotimes^r \xi$ for $\xi = j_0^r(\tau \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}) \in G_m^1 \subset G_m^r$. Then the proof is similar to that for J^r .

Every extension F of J^r is admissible because $(A^F, H^F) = (A^{J^r}, H^{J^r})$ and J^r is admissible. By the same argument every extension of \tilde{J}^r is admissible.

The admissibility of V^A is a consequence of the fact that the triple of V^A is $(A, \text{id}_A, \varepsilon)$. ■

In Section 11 we will exhibit a non-admissible fiber product preserving bundle functor.

9. The main result

EXAMPLE 2 (The flow operator). In general, if $E : \mathcal{FM}_{m,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ is a bundle functor then we have the flow operator $\mathcal{E} : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TE$ lifting projectable vector fields to E . More precisely, if X is a projectable vector field on an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -object Y then its flow Fl_τ^X is formed by $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -morphisms. The flow $E(\text{Fl}_\tau^X)$ on EY generates $\mathcal{E}(X)$.

The main result of this paper is the following classification theorem.

THEOREM 3. *Let $F : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ be an admissible fiber product preserving bundle functor and let (A, H, t) be its triple. Every natural operator $B : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TF$ is of the form*

$$B = \lambda \mathcal{F} + \text{op}(D)$$

for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A, H, t))$, where \mathcal{F} is the flow operator.

Proof. We assume $F = F^{(A,H,t)}$. We have $\mathbb{R}^m \times T^A \mathbb{R}^n \cong F(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, where $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is the standard $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -object. The identification is given by

$(x, X) \cong \{j_0^r \tau_x, (t_{\mathbb{R}^m}(j_0^r(\tau_x)), X)\} \in F^{(A,H,t)}(\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $X \in T^A \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\tau_x : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is the translation by x . The homothety $\tau \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ for $\tau \neq 0$ sends (x, X) into $(\tau x, H(j_0^r(\tau \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}))(X))$. An $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -morphism of the form $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \psi$ with $\psi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ sends (x, X) into $(x, T^A \psi(X))$.

Let $x^1, \dots, x^n, y^1, \dots, y^n$ be the usual coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$. The operator B is determined by $B(\partial/\partial x^1)$. We can write

$$B\left(\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}\right)_{(x,X)} = \sum_{i=1}^m a^i(\mu, x, X) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i|_x} + E(\mu, x, X),$$

where $E_{\mu,x} = E(\mu, x, \cdot)$ is a vector field on $T^A \mathbb{R}^n$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. The functions a^i and E are smooth.

Using the invariance of $B(\mu \partial/\partial x^1)$ with respect to the $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -morphisms of the form $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \psi$ with $\psi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ we see that $E_{\mu,x}$ is $T^A \psi$ -invariant. So, $E_{\mu,x}$ corresponds to some absolute operator on $T^A_{\mathcal{M}f_n}$. By the result of [3], $E_{\mu,x} = \text{op}(D_{\mu,x})$ for some $D_{\mu,x} \in \text{Der}(A)$. The family $D_{\mu,x}$ depends smoothly on μ and x .

Using the invariance of $B(\mu \partial/\partial x^1)$ with respect to the fiber homotheties $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \tau \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ for $\tau \neq 0$ we deduce that $a^i(\mu, x, X) = a^i(\mu, x, \tau X)$, i.e. $a^i(\mu, x, X) = a^i(\mu, x)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Using the invariance of $B(\mu \partial/\partial x^1)$ with respect to the base homotheties $\tau \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ for $\tau \neq 0$ we deduce that $a^i(\tau \mu, \tau x) = \tau a^i(\mu, x)$, i.e. $a^i(\mu, x)$ depends linearly on μ and x by the homogeneous function theorem.

Clearly, $B(0)$ is an absolute operator. So, $B(0) = \text{op}(D)$ for $D \in \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A, H, t))$ by Proposition 2.

Now, replacing B by $B - B(0)$ we can write

$$B\left(\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}\right)_{(x,X)} = \sum_{i=1}^m a^i \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i|_x} + \text{op}(D_{\mu,x})_X,$$

where $a^i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D_{\mu,x} \in \text{Der}(A)$ is a smoothly parametrized family of derivations with $D_{0,0} = 0$.

Using the invariance of $B(\mu \partial/\partial x^1)$ with respect to the $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -morphisms $(x^1, \tau x^2, \dots, \tau x^m, y^1, \dots, y^n)$ for $\tau \neq 0$ we get $a^2 = \dots = a^m = 0$. So replacing B by $B - a^1 \mathcal{F}$ we can write

$$B\left(\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}\right)_{(x,X)} = \text{op}(D_{\mu,x})_X,$$

where $D_{\mu,x} \in \text{Der}(A)$ is a smoothly parametrized family of derivations with $D_{0,0} = 0$.

Using the invariance of $B(\mu \partial/\partial x^1)$ with respect to the base homotheties $\tau \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m} \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ we get $\text{op}(D_{\tau \mu, \tau x})_X = (H(j_0^r(\tau \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}))_* \text{op}(D_{\mu,x}))_X$, i.e.

$$D_{\tau \mu, \tau x} = H(j_0^r(\tau \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m})) \circ D_{\mu,x} \circ H(j_0^r(\tau^{-1} \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}^m}))$$

for any $\tau \neq 0$.

If $\tau \rightarrow 0$, then $D_{\tau\mu, \tau x} \rightarrow 0$ because $D_{0,0} = 0$. Thus $D_{\mu, x} = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ because F is admissible. ■

REMARK 2. Observe that from Theorem 3 it follows that under the assumption of the theorem any natural operator $B : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TF$ has order less than or equal to the order of F . This order estimation also follows by the general method from [6].

10. Corollaries. We have the following immediate corollaries of Theorem 3, Corollaries 1–4 and Lemma 1.

COROLLARY 5 ([5]). *Every natural operator $B : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TJ^r$ is a constant multiple of the flow operator \mathcal{J}^r .*

COROLLARY 6. (i) *Every natural operator $B : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TJ_v^1$ is a linear combination of the flow operator \mathcal{J}_v^1 and $\text{op}(D_m^1)$ with real coefficients.*

(ii) *For $r \geq 2$ every natural operator $B : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TJ_v^r$ is a constant multiple of the flow operator \mathcal{J}_v^r .*

COROLLARY 7. *Every natural operator $B : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TV^A$, where A is a Weil algebra, is of the form $B = \lambda V^A + \text{op}(D)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D \in \text{Der}(D) = \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A)) = \text{Lie}(\text{Aut}(A, \text{id}_A, 0))$.*

COROLLARY 8. *Every natural operator $B : T_{\text{proj}|\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow T\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^2$ is a constant multiple of the flow operator $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^2$.*

11. A counterexample. We show that the assumption of admissibility of F in Theorem 3 is essential.

EXAMPLE 3. Given a fibered manifold $p : Y \rightarrow M$ from \mathcal{FM}_m we define a vector bundle

$$F^{(r)}Y = \bigcup_{y \in Y} \{j_{p(y)}^r \sigma \mid \sigma : M \rightarrow T_y Y_{p(y)}\}$$

over Y . For every \mathcal{FM}_m -map $f : Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ covering $\underline{f} : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ we define the induced vector bundle map $F^{(r)}f : F^{(r)}Y_1 \rightarrow F^{(r)}Y_2$ covering \underline{f} by

$$F^{(r)}f(j_{p(y)}^r \sigma) = j_{\underline{f}(p(y))}^r (Tf \circ \sigma \circ \underline{f}^{-1})$$

for any $\sigma : M \rightarrow T_y Y_{1p(y)}$, $y \in Y_1$. Then $F^{(r)} : \mathcal{FM}_m \rightarrow \mathcal{FM}$ is a fiber product preserving bundle functor with values in the category \mathcal{VB} of vector bundles.

Let $s = 0, \dots, r$. Given a projectable vector field X on an $\mathcal{FM}_{m,n}$ -object Y covering a vector field \underline{X} on M we define a vertical vector field $V^{(s)}(X)$

on $F^{(r)}Y$ as follows. Let $j_{p(y)}^r \sigma \in F_y^{(r)}Y$, $\sigma : M \rightarrow T_y Y_{p(y)}$, $y \in Y$. We put

$$V^{(s)}(X)(y) = (y, j_{p(y)}^r(\underline{X}^{(s)}\sigma(p(y)))) \in \{y\} \times F_y^{(r)}Y = V_y F^{(r)}Y,$$

where $\underline{X}^{(s)} = X \circ \dots \circ X$ (s times) and $\underline{X}^{(s)}\sigma(p(y)) : M \rightarrow T_y Y_{p(y)}$ is the constant map.

The correspondence $V^{(s)} : T_{\text{lin}|\mathcal{F}\mathcal{M}_{m,n}} \rightsquigarrow TF^{(r)}$ is a natural operator.

Of course if $s = 1, \dots, r$ then $V^{(s)}$ is not of the form as in Theorem 3, for $V^{(s)}(0) = 0$ and $V^{(s)}$ is not absolute.

References

- [1] C. Ehresmann, *Extension du calcul des jets aux jets non holonomes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 239 (1954), 1762–1764.
- [2] I. Kolář, *Bundle functors of the jet type*, in: Differential Geometry and Its Applications (Brno, 1988), Masaryk Univ., Brno, 1999, 231–237.
- [3] I. Kolář, P. W. Michor and J. Slovák, *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer, 1993.
- [4] I. Kolář and W. M. Mikulski, *On the fiber product preserving bundle functors*, Differential Geom. Appl. 11 (1999), 105–115.
- [5] I. Kolář and J. Slovák, *Prolongation of vector field to jet bundles*, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 22 (1990), 103–111.
- [6] A. Zajtz, *On the order of natural differential operators and liftings*, Ann. Polon. Math. 49 (1988), 169–175.

Institute of Mathematics
Jagiellonian University
Reymonta 4
30-059 Kraków, Poland
E-mail: mikulski@im.uj.edu.pl

Reçu par la Rédaction le 25.9.2002

(1369)