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#### Abstract

Some new oscillation criteria are obtained for second order elliptic differential equations with damping $$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left[A_{i j}(x) D_{j} y\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(x) D_{i} y+q(x) f(y)=0, \quad x \in \Omega,
$$ where $\Omega$ is an exterior domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. These criteria are different from most known ones in the sense that they are based on the information only on a sequence of subdomains of $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, rather than on the whole exterior domain $\Omega$. Our results are more natural in


 view of the Sturm Separation Theorem.1. Introduction. In this paper, we consider the oscillation behavior of solutions of second order elliptic differential equations with damping

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left[A_{i j}(x) D_{j} y\right]+\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}(x) D_{i} y+q(x) f(y)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in \Omega$ and $\Omega$ is an exterior domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The following notations will be adopted throughout: $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{+}$are the intervals $(-\infty, \infty),(0, \infty)$ respectively. The Euclidean length of $x$ is $|x|=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$ and differentiation with respect to $x_{i}$ is denoted by $D_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$. For a constant $a>0$, let $S_{a}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x|=a\right\}, G(a, \infty)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x|>a\right\}$, $G(a, b)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: a<|x|<b\right\}, G[c, b)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: c \leq|x|<b\right\}$, $G(a, c]=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: a<|x| \leq c\right\}$. For an exterior domain $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, there exists a positive number $a_{0}$ such that $G\left(a_{0}, \infty\right) \subset \Omega$. In what follows we always assume that:

[^0]$\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right) \quad A(x)=\left(A_{i j}(x)\right)_{n \times n}$ is a real symmetric positive definite matrix function (ellipticity condition) with $A_{i j} \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1+\mu}(\Omega), \mu \in(0,1), i, j=$ $1, \ldots, n ; \lambda_{\max }(x)$ denotes the largest (necessarily positive) eigenvalue of the matrix $A(x)$; there exists a function $\lambda \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that $\lambda(r) \geq \max _{|x|=r} \lambda_{\max }(x)$ for $r>0$;
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right) \quad b_{i} \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1+\mu}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}), q \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mu}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}), \mu \in(0,1)$ and $q(x) \not \equiv 0$ for $|x| \geq a_{0} ;$
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right) \quad f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), y f(y)>0$ and $f^{\prime}(y) \geq k>0$ for all $y \neq 0$ and some constant $k$.
A function $y \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{2+\mu}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}), \mu \in(0,1)$, is said to be a solution of (1.1) in $\Omega$ if $y(x)$ satisfies (1.1) for all $x \in \Omega$. For the problem of existence of solutions of (1.1), we refer the reader to the monograph [2]. We restrict our attention only to nontrivial solutions $y(x)$ of (1.1), i.e., such that $\sup \{|y(x)|: x \in \Omega\}>0$. A nontrivial solution $y(x)$ of (1.1) is called oscillatory if the zero set $\{x: y(x)=0\}$ of $y(x)$ is unbounded, otherwise it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (1.1) is called oscillatory if all its nontrivial solutions are oscillatory.

There are a great number of papers (see, for example, $[1,3,5-7,14]$ and the references quoted therein) devoted to the particular cases of (1.1), including the following second order ordinary differential equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) y(t) & =0  \tag{1.2}\\
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) y(t) & =0  \tag{1.3}\\
\left(r(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+q(t) f(y) & =0 \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

An important tool in the study of oscillatory behavior of solutions for (1.2) is the averaging technique. Here we list some known oscillation criteria for (1.2):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} q(v) d v d s=\infty \quad(\text { Wintner [14]); }  \tag{1.5}\\
& \liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} q(\tau) d \tau d s \\
& \left.\quad<\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} q(\tau) d \tau d s \leq \infty \quad \quad \text { (Hartman }[3]\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t^{m-1}} \int_{t_{0}}^{t}(t-s)^{m-1} q(s) d s=\infty \quad \text { for some } m>2 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Kamenev [5]).
Some other oscillatory criteria can be found in $[8,12,16]$ and the references therein.

For the semilinear elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left[A_{i j} D_{j} y\right]+q(x) f(y)=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noussair and Swanson [11] first extended the Wintner theorem by using the following partial Riccati type transformation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x)=-\frac{\alpha(|x|)}{f(y(x))}(A \nabla y)(x) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in C^{2}$ is an arbitrary positive function and $\nabla y$ denotes the gradient of $y$. Swanson [13] summarized the oscillation results for (1.8) up to 1979. For recent contributions we refer the reader to Xu et al. [15] and the references therein.

We see that most known oscillation criteria involve the integral of $q(x)$ and hence require the knowledge of $q(x)$ on the entire half-line $\left[a_{0}, \infty\right)$. However, from the Sturm Separation Theorem, we know that oscillation is only an interval property, i.e., if there exists a sequence of subintervals $\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]$ of $\left[a_{0}, \infty\right)$, with $a_{i} \rightarrow \infty$, such that for each $i$ there exists a solution of (1.2) that has at least one zero in $\left[a_{i}, b_{i}\right]$, then every solution of (1.2) is oscillatory, no matter how "bad" (1.2) is (or $p$ and $q$ are) on the remaining parts of $\left[a_{0}, \infty\right)$.

Taking this into account, Kong [6] established an interval criterion for oscillation of the second order linear differential equation (1.2). Recently, Li and Agarwal [9, 10] and Huang [4] further studied interval oscillation criteria for nonlinear ODEs. However, for second order elliptic differential equations, whether similar results are true has remained an open question.

Motivated by the idea of Kong [6], Li and Agarwal [9, 10], Noussair and Swanson [11], and Xu et al. [12], in this paper we obtain, by using a generalized Riccati transformation and integral averaging technique, several new domain criteria for oscillation, that is, criteria given by the behavior of (1.1) only on a sequence of subdomains of $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Our results are extensions of the results of the above-mentioned authors.
2. Main results. We first introduce a class $\Phi$ of functions. Let $D_{0}=$ $\left\{(r, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: r>s \geq a_{0}\right\}$ and $D=\left\{(r, s) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: r \geq s \geq a_{0}\right\}$. A function $H \in C(D, \mathbb{R})$ is said to belong to $\Phi$ if there are $h_{1}, h_{2} \in C(D, \mathbb{R}), \varrho \in$ $C^{1}\left(\left[a_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and $\eta \in C^{1}\left(\left[a_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$ satisfying the following conditions:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \quad H(r, r)=0$ for $r \geq a_{0} ; H(r, s)>0$ for all $(r, s) \in D_{0} ;$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial r}[H(r, s)]+\left[\frac{\varrho^{\prime}(r)}{\varrho(r)}+\frac{2 k}{\omega} \eta(r) r^{1-n}\right] H(r, s)=h_{1}(r, s) \sqrt{H(r, s)} ;$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s}[H(r, s)]+\left[\frac{\varrho^{\prime}(s)}{\varrho(s)}+\frac{2 k}{\omega} \eta(s) s^{1-n}\right] H(r, s)=-h_{2}(r, s) \sqrt{H(r, s)}$.
For simplicity, we define functions $Q$ and $g$ as follows: For any given functions $\varrho \in C^{1}\left(\left[a_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and $\lambda, \eta \in C^{1}\left(\left[a_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}\right)$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(r)= & \varrho(r)\left\{\int_{S_{r}}\left[q(x)-\frac{1}{4 k} B^{T} A^{-1} B-\frac{1}{2 k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i} b_{i}\right] d \sigma\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{k}{\omega} \lambda(r) \eta^{2}(r) r^{1-n}-[\lambda(r) \eta(r)]^{\prime}\right\} \\
g(r)= & \frac{\omega}{k} \lambda(r) \varrho(r) r^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $S_{r}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x|=r\right\}$ for $r>0, B^{T}=\left(b_{1}(x), \ldots, b_{n}(x)\right), d \sigma$ denotes the spherical integral element in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\omega$ is the area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $y(x)$ be a nontrivial solution of (1.1) with $y(x)>0$ for $x \in G[c, b)$. For any $H \in \Phi$, define

$$
\begin{align*}
W(x) & =\frac{1}{f(y)}(A \nabla y)(x)+\frac{1}{2 k} B, & & x \in G[c, b)  \tag{2.1}\\
V(r) & =\varrho(r)\left[\int_{S_{r}} W(x) \gamma(x) d \sigma+\lambda(r) \eta(r)\right], & & x \in G[c, b) \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nabla y$ denotes the gradient of $y(x)$, and $\gamma(x)=x /|x|$ for $|x| \neq 0$ is the outward unit normal to $S_{r}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{H(b, c)} \int_{c}^{b} H(b, s) Q(s) d s \leq V(c)+\frac{1}{4 H(b, c)} \int_{c}^{b} g(s) h_{2}^{2}(b, s) d s \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. A direct computation with the use of (1.1) and (2.1) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{div} W(x)= & -\frac{f^{\prime}(y)}{f^{2}(y)}(\nabla y)^{T} A \nabla y-\frac{1}{f(y)}\left[q(x) f(y)+B^{T} \nabla y\right]  \tag{2.4}\\
& +\frac{1}{2 k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i} b_{i} \\
\leq & -k\left[W-\frac{1}{2 k} B\right]^{T} A^{-1}\left[W-\frac{1}{2 k} B\right]-q(x) \\
& -B^{T} A^{-1}\left[W-\frac{1}{2 k} B\right]+\frac{1}{2 k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i} b_{i} \\
= & -k W^{T} A^{-1} W-q(x)+\frac{1}{4 k} B^{T} A^{-1} B+\frac{1}{2 k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i} b_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying Green's formula to (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& V^{\prime}(r)=\frac{\varrho^{\prime}(r)}{\varrho(r)} V(r)+\varrho(r)\left\{\int_{S_{r}} \operatorname{div} W(x) d \sigma+[\lambda(r) \eta(r)]^{\prime}\right\}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \leq \frac{\varrho^{\prime}(r)}{\varrho(r)} V(r)-\varrho(r) k \int_{S_{r}} W^{T} A^{-1} W d \sigma \\
& \quad-\varrho(r)\left\{\int_{S_{r}}\left[q(x)-\frac{1}{4 k} B^{T} A^{-1} B-\frac{1}{2 k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i} b_{i}\right] d \sigma-[\lambda(r) \eta(r)]^{\prime}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$, we have $\left(W^{T} A^{-1} W\right)(x) \geq \lambda_{\max }^{-1}(x)|W(x)|^{2}$, and by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$
\int_{S_{r}}|W(x)|^{2} d \sigma \geq \frac{r^{1-n}}{\omega}\left[\int_{S_{r}} W(x) \gamma(x) d \sigma\right]^{2}
$$

Hence, by (2.2) and (2.5), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& V^{\prime}(r) \leq \frac{\varrho^{\prime}(r)}{\varrho(r)} V(r)-\frac{k \varrho(r) r^{1-n}}{\omega \lambda(r)}\left[\int_{S_{r}} W(x) \gamma(x) d \sigma\right]^{2}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \quad-\varrho(r)\left\{\int_{S_{r}}\left[q(x)-\frac{1}{4 k} B^{T} A^{-1} B-\frac{1}{2 k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i} b_{i}\right] d \sigma-[\lambda(r) \eta(r)]^{\prime}\right\} \\
& =\frac{\varrho^{\prime}(r)}{\varrho(r)} V(r)-\frac{k \varrho(r) r^{1-n}}{\omega \lambda(r)}\left[\frac{V(r)}{\varrho(r)}-\lambda(r) \eta(r)\right]^{2} \\
& \quad-\varrho(r)\left\{\int_{s_{r}}\left[q(x)-\frac{1}{4 k} B^{T} A^{-1} B-\frac{1}{2 k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i} b_{i}\right] d \sigma-[\lambda(r) \eta(r)]^{\prime}\right\} \\
& =-Q(r)+\left[\frac{\varrho^{\prime}(r)}{\varrho(r)}+\frac{2 k}{\omega} \eta(r) r^{1-n}\right] V(r)-\frac{1}{g(r)} V^{2}(r)
\end{align*}
$$

Next we multiply (2.6), with $r$ replaced by $s$, by $H(r, s)$ and integrate from $c$ to $r$, to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{c}^{r} H(r, s) Q(s) d s \leq-\int_{c}^{r} V^{\prime}(s) H(r, s) d s-\int_{c}^{r} \frac{H(r, s)}{g(s)} V^{2}(s) d s  \tag{2.7}\\
& \quad+\int_{c}^{r}\left[\frac{\varrho^{\prime}(s)}{\varrho(s)}+\frac{2 k}{\omega} \eta(s) s^{1-n}\right] V(s) H(r, s) d s \\
& =H(r, c) V(c)-\int_{c}^{r} \frac{H(r, s)}{g(s)} V^{2}(s) d s \\
& \quad+\int_{c}^{r}\left\{\frac{\partial H(r, s)}{\partial s}+\left[\frac{\varrho^{\prime}(s)}{\varrho(s)}+\frac{2 k}{\omega} \eta(s) s^{1-n}\right] H(r, s)\right\} V(s) d s \\
& =H(r, c) V(c)-\int_{c}^{r} \frac{H(r, s)}{g(s)} V^{2}(s) d s-\int_{c}^{r} h_{2}(r, s) \sqrt{H(r, s)} V(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & H(r, c) V(c)-\int_{c}^{r}\left[\sqrt{\frac{H(r, s)}{g(s)}} V(s)+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g(s)} h_{2}(r, s)\right]^{2} d s \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \int_{c}^{r} g(s) h_{2}^{2}(r, s) d s \\
\leq & H(r, c) V(c)+\frac{1}{4} \int_{c}^{r} g(s) h_{2}^{2}(r, s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $r \rightarrow b^{-}$in (2.7). Dividing both sides by $H(b, c)$, we obtain (2.3).
Lemma 2.2. Let $y(x)$ be a nontrivial solution of (1.1) with $y(x)>0$ for $x \in G(a, c]$. For any $H \in \Phi$, let $W(r), V(r)$ be defined on $G(a, c]$ by (2.1), (2.2) respectively. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{H(c, a)} \int_{a}^{c} H(s, a) Q(s) d s \leq-V(c)+\frac{1}{4 H(c, a)} \int_{a}^{c} g(s) h_{1}^{2}(s, a) d s \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that Lemma 2.1. We multiply (2.6) by $H(s, r)$ and integrate with respect to $s$ from $r$ to $c$ for $r \in(a, c]$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{r}^{c} H(s, r) Q(s) d s  \tag{2.9}\\
& \leq-\int_{r}^{c} V^{\prime}(s) H(s, r) d s-\int_{r}^{c} \frac{H(s, r)}{g(s)} V^{2}(s) d s \\
&+\int_{r}^{c}\left[\frac{\varrho^{\prime}(s)}{\varrho(s)}+\frac{2 k}{\omega} \eta(s) s^{1-n}\right] V(s) H(s, r) d s \\
&=-H(c, r) V(c)-\int_{r}^{c} \frac{H(s, r)}{g(s)} V^{2}(s) d s \\
&+\int_{r}^{c}\left\{\frac{\partial H(s, r)}{\partial s}+\left[\frac{\varrho^{\prime}(s)}{\varrho(s)}+\frac{2 k}{\omega} \eta(s) s^{1-n}\right] H(s, r)\right\} V(s) d s \\
&=-H(c, r) V(c)-\int_{r}^{c} \frac{H(s, r)}{g(s)} V^{2}(s) d s+\int_{r}^{c} h_{1}(s, r) \sqrt{H(s, r)} V(s) d s \\
&=-H(c, r) V(c)-\int_{r}^{c}\left[\sqrt{\frac{H(s, r)}{g(s)}} V(s)-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g(s)} h_{1}(s, r)\right]^{2} d s \\
&+\frac{1}{4} \int_{r}^{c} g(s) h_{1}^{2}(s, r) d s \\
& \leq-H(c, r) V(c)+\frac{1}{4} \int_{r}^{c} g(s) h_{1}^{2}(s, r) d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $r \rightarrow a^{+}$in (2.9). Dividing both sides by $H(c, a)$, we obtain (2.8).

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that for some $c \in(a, b)$ and some $H \in \Phi$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{H(c, a)} \int_{a}^{c} H(s, a) Q(s) d s+\frac{1}{H(b, c)} \int_{c}^{b} H(b, s) Q(s) d s  \tag{2.10}\\
& \quad>\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{H(c, a)} \int_{a}^{c} g(s) h_{1}^{2}(s, a) d s+\frac{1}{H(b, c)} \int_{c}^{b} g(s) h_{2}^{2}(b, s) d s\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Then every nontrivial solution of (1.1) has at least one zero in $G(a, b)=$ $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: a<|x|<b\right\}$

Proof. Suppose the contrary. Then without loss of generality we may assume that there is a solution $y(x)$ of (1.1) such that $y(x)>0$ for $x \in$ $G(a, b)$. From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we see that both (2.3) and (2.8) hold. Adding (2.3) and (2.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{H(c, a)} \int_{a}^{c} H(s, a) Q(s) d s+\frac{1}{H(b, c)} \int_{c}^{b} H(b, s) Q(s) d s  \tag{2.11}\\
& \quad \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{H(c, a)} \int_{a}^{c} g(s) h_{1}^{2}(s, a) d s+\frac{1}{H(b, c)} \int_{c}^{b} g(s) h_{2}^{2}(b, s) d s\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which contradicts the assumption (2.10) and completes the proof.
Theorem 2.2. Suppose for each $T \geq a_{0}$ there exist $H \in \Phi$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $T \leq a<c<b$ and (2.10) holds. Then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Pick a sequence $\left\{T_{i}\right\} \subset\left[a_{0}, \infty\right)$ with $T_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. By the assumption, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $T_{i} \leq a_{i}<$ $c_{i}<b_{i}$, and (2.10) holds with $a, b, c$ replaced by $a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}$, respectively. From Theorem 2.1, every solution $y(x)$ has at least one zero $x \in G\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$. Noting that $|x|>a_{i} \geq T_{i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we see that the zero set $\{x \in \Omega: y(x)=0\}$ of $y(x)$ is unbounded. Thus, every nontrivial solution of (1.1) is oscillatory. The proof is complete.

THEOREM 2.3. Suppose for each $l \geq a_{0}$ there exists $H \in \Phi$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{l}^{r}\left[H(s, l) Q(s)-\frac{1}{4} g(s) h_{1}^{2}(s, l)\right] d s>0 \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{l}^{r}\left[H(r, s) Q(s)-\frac{1}{4} g(s) h_{2}^{2}(r, s)\right] d s>0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. For any $T \geq a_{0}$, let $a=T$. In (2.12) we choose $l=a$. Then there exists $c>a$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{c}\left[H(s, a) Q(s)-\frac{1}{4} g(s) h_{1}^{2}(s, a)\right] d s>0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (2.13) we choose $l=c$. Then there exists $b>c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{c}^{b}\left[H(b, s) Q(s)-\frac{1}{4} g(s) h_{2}^{2}(b, s)\right] d s>0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.14) and (2.15) we obtain (2.10). The conclusion thus comes from Theorem 2.2. The proof is complete.

From the above oscillation criteria, we can obtain various sufficient conditions for oscillation of (1.1) for different choices of $H(r, s), \varrho(s)$ and $\eta(s)$.

In Theorem 2.2, if we choose $\eta(s) \equiv 0$, and $H=H(r-s) \in \Phi$, we have $\partial H(r-s) / \partial r=-\partial H(r-s) / \partial s$; if we denote this common value by $h(r-s)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}(r, s)=\frac{h(r-s)}{\sqrt{H(r-s)}}+\frac{\varrho^{\prime}(r)}{\varrho(r)} \sqrt{H(r-s)} \\
& h_{2}(r, s)=\frac{h(r-s)}{\sqrt{H(r-s)}}-\frac{\varrho^{\prime}(s)}{\varrho(s)} \sqrt{H(r-s)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The subclass of $\Phi$ consisting of such $H(r-s)$ is denoted by $\Phi_{0}$. Applying Theorem 2.2 to $\Phi_{0}$, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.4. Suppose for each $l \geq a_{0}$ there exists $H \in \Phi_{0}$ and $a, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $T \leq a<c$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{a}^{c} H(s-a) & {[Q(s)+Q(2 c-s)] d s>\frac{1}{4} \int_{a}^{c}[g(s)+g(2 c-s)] \frac{h^{2}(s-a)}{H(s-a)} d s }  \tag{2.16}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{a}^{c}\left[g(s) \frac{\varrho^{\prime}(s)}{\varrho(s)}-g(2 c-s) \frac{\varrho^{\prime}(2 c-s)}{\varrho(2 c-s)}\right] h(s-a) d s \\
& +\frac{1}{4} \int_{a}^{c}\left[g(s) \frac{\varrho^{\prime 2}(s)}{\varrho^{2}(s)}+g(2 c-s) \frac{\varrho^{\prime 2}(2 c-s)}{\varrho^{2}(2 c-s)}\right] H(s-a) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. Let $b=2 c-a$. Then $H(b-c)=H(c-a)=H((b-a) / 2)$ and for any $\phi \in L[a, b]$, we have

$$
\int_{c}^{b} \phi(s) d s=\int_{a}^{c} \phi(2 c-s) d s
$$

Thus if (2.16) holds then (2.10) holds for $H \in \Phi_{0}, \varrho \in C^{1}\left(\left[a_{0}, \infty\right), \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and therefore (1.1) is oscillatory by Theorem 2.2. The proof is complete.

Define

$$
\Lambda(r)=\int_{a_{0}}^{r} \frac{s^{1-n}}{\varrho(s) \lambda(s)} d s, \quad r \geq a_{0}
$$

and let

$$
H(r, s)=[\Lambda(r)-\Lambda(s)]^{\mu} \quad(\mu>1), \quad \eta(s) \equiv 0
$$

Based on the above results, we obtain the following oscillation criteria of Kamenev's type.

Theorem 2.5. Assume that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda(r)=\infty$. If for each $b \geq a_{0}$, there exists $\mu>1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{\mu-1}(r)} \int_{b}^{r}[\Lambda(s)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu} Q(s) d s>\frac{\omega \mu^{2}}{4 k(\mu-1)} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{\mu-1}(r)} \int_{b}^{r}[\Lambda(r)-\Lambda(s)]^{\mu} Q(s) d s>\frac{\omega \mu^{2}}{4 k(\mu-1)} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

then equation (1.1) is oscillatory.
Proof. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{1}(r, s) & =\mu[\Lambda(r)-\Lambda(s)]^{(\mu-2) / 2} \frac{r^{1-n}}{\varrho(r) \lambda(r)} \\
h_{2}(r, s) & =\mu[\Lambda(r)-\Lambda(s)]^{(\mu-2) / 2} \frac{s^{1-n}}{\varrho(s) \lambda(s)} \\
g(r) & =\frac{\omega}{k} \varrho(r) \lambda(r) r^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{b}^{r} g(s) h_{1}^{2}(s, b) d s & =\int_{b}^{r} \frac{\omega}{k} \varrho(s) \lambda(s) s^{n-1} \mu^{2}[\Lambda(s)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu-2} \frac{\left(s^{1-n}\right)^{2}}{\varrho^{2}(s) \lambda^{2}(s)} d s \\
& =\frac{\omega \mu^{2}}{k} \int_{b}^{r}[\Lambda(s)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu-2} \frac{s^{1-n}}{\varrho(s) \lambda(s)} d s \\
& =\frac{\omega \mu^{2}}{k(\mu-1)}[\Lambda(r)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{b}^{r} g(s) h_{2}^{2}(r, s) d s=\int_{b}^{r} \frac{\omega}{k} \varrho(s) \lambda(s) s^{n-1} \mu^{2}[\Lambda(r)-\Lambda(s)]^{\mu-2} \frac{\left(s^{1-n}\right)^{2}}{\varrho^{2}(s) \lambda^{2}(s)} d s
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\omega \mu^{2}}{k} \int_{b}^{r}[\Lambda(r)-\Lambda(s)]^{\mu-2} \frac{s^{1-n}}{\varrho(s) \lambda(s)} d s \\
& =\frac{\omega \mu^{2}}{k(\mu-1)}[\Lambda(r)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda(r)=\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4 \Lambda^{\mu-1}(r)} \int_{b}^{r} g(s) h_{1}^{2}(s, b) d s=\frac{\omega \mu^{2}}{4 k(\mu-1)} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4 \Lambda^{\mu-1}(r)} \int_{b}^{r} g(s) h_{2}^{2}(r, s) d s=\frac{\omega \mu^{2}}{4 k(\mu-1)} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.17) and (2.19), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{\mu-1}(r)} \int_{b}^{r}\left\{[\Lambda(s)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu} Q(s)-\frac{1}{4} g(s) h_{1}^{2}(s, b)\right\} d s \\
& \quad=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{\mu-1}(r)} \int_{b}^{r}[\Lambda(s)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu} Q(s) d s-\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{\mu-1}(r)} \int_{b}^{r} \frac{1}{4} g(s) h_{1}^{2}(s, b) d s \\
& \quad=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{\mu-1}(r)} \int_{b}^{r}[\Lambda(s)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu} Q(s) d s-\frac{\omega \mu^{2}}{4 k(\mu-1)}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., (2.12) holds. Similarly, (2.18) implies (2.13) holds. From Theorem 2.3, equation (1.1) is oscillatory.

REmark. If the assumption $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)$ is replaced by

$$
f(y) / y \geq k>0, \quad y \neq 0
$$

then we can obtain the same results when $q(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Example 1. Consider the second order nonlinear elliptic differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left[\frac{\alpha}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{1}}\right]+ & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left[\frac{\beta}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{2}}\right]+\frac{\alpha}{r^{3}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{1}}+\frac{\beta}{r^{3}} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x_{2}}  \tag{2.21}\\
& +\frac{(\alpha+\beta+4) r-6\left(\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{2}\right)}{4 r^{5}}\left(y+y^{3}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $r=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}, r \geq 1, n=2, \alpha \geq \beta>0$. It is easy to see that

$$
\lambda(r)=\frac{\alpha}{r^{2}}, \quad q(x)=\frac{(\alpha+\beta+4) r-6\left(\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{2}\right)}{4 r^{5}}, \quad f(y)=y+y^{3}
$$

Let $\varrho=1$. Then
$\Lambda(r)=\int_{1}^{r} \frac{s^{1-n}}{\lambda(s)} d s=\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left(r^{2}-1\right), \quad Q(r)=\frac{2 \pi}{r^{3}}, \quad f^{\prime}(y)=1+3 y^{2} \geq 1, \quad \omega=2 \pi$.
Then, for any $b \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{\mu-1}(r)} \int_{b}^{r}[\Lambda(s)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu} Q(s) d s=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[\Lambda(r)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu} \cdot 2 \pi / r^{3}}{(\mu-1) \Lambda^{\mu-2} r / \alpha}  \tag{2.22}\\
&=\frac{2 \pi \alpha}{\mu-1} \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[\Lambda(r)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu}}{\Lambda^{\mu-2} r^{4}}=\frac{2 \pi}{4(\mu-1) \alpha}
\end{align*}
$$

For any $\alpha<1$, there exists $\mu>1$ such that $\frac{2 \pi}{4(\mu-1) \alpha}>\frac{2 \pi \mu^{2}}{4(\mu-1)}$, so (2.17) holds.

Noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{b}^{r}\left\{[\Lambda(r)-\Lambda(s)]^{\mu}-\right. & {\left.[\Lambda(s)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu}\right\} \frac{2 \pi}{s^{3}} d s } \\
& \geq \frac{2 \pi}{r^{3}} \int_{b}^{r}\left\{[\Lambda(r)-\Lambda(s)]^{\mu}-[\Lambda(s)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu}\right\} d s=0
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
\int_{b}^{r}[\Lambda(r)-\Lambda(s)]^{\mu} Q(s) d s \geq \int_{b}^{r}[\Lambda(s)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu} Q(s) d s
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{\mu-1}(r)} \int_{b}^{r}[\Lambda(r)-\Lambda(s)]^{\mu} & Q(s) d s \\
& \geq \lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\Lambda^{\mu-1}(r)} \int_{b}^{r}[\Lambda(s)-\Lambda(b)]^{\mu} Q(s) d s \\
& =\frac{2 \pi}{4(\mu-1) \alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that (2.18) holds for the same $\mu>1$. Applying Theorem 2.5, we find that equation (2.21) is oscillatory for $\alpha<1$.
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