MATHEMATICAL LOGIC AND FOUNDATIONS

# On the Compactness and Countable Compactness of $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ in ZF

by

## Kyriakos KEREMEDIS, Evangelos FELOUZIS and Eleftherios TACHTSIS

Presented by Czesław BESSAGA

**Summary.** In the framework of ZF (Zermelo–Fraenkel set theory without the Axiom of Choice) we provide topological and Boolean-algebraic characterizations of the statements " $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  is countably compact" and " $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  is compact".

## 1. Notation and terminology

1. Let X be a non-empty set.  $2^X$  will denote the Tychonoff product of the discrete space  $2 = \{0, 1\}$ . Likewise,  $\mathcal{B}^X = \{[p] : p \in \operatorname{Fn}(X, 2)\}$ , where  $\operatorname{Fn}(X, 2)$  is the set of all finite partial functions from X into 2 and

$$[p] = \{ f \in 2^X : p \subset f \},\$$

will denote the standard clopen (= simultaneously closed and open) base for the topology on  $2^X$ . If  $A \subset X$ , and  $p \in \operatorname{Fn}(A, 2)$ , then  $[p]_A = \{f \in 2^A : p \subset f\}$ . If  $Y \subset 2^X$ , then  $\mathcal{B}^X|_Y = \{O \cap Y : O \in \mathcal{B}^X\}$ . A non-empty collection  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  has the finite intersection property, FIP for abbreviation, if  $\forall \mathcal{Q} \in [\mathcal{H}]^{<\omega}, \bigcap \mathcal{Q} \neq \emptyset$ .

- 2. Let (X, T) be a topological space.
  - (a) X is said to be *compact* if every open cover of X has a finite subcover. Equivalently, X is compact iff for every family  $\mathcal{G}$  of closed subsets of X having the FIP,  $\bigcap \mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$ .

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E25, 54A35, 54B10, 54D20, 54D30.

Key words and phrases: axiom of choice, weak axioms of choice, Tychonoff products, compact spaces, countably compact spaces, Lindelöf spaces, Boolean algebras, filters, ultrafilters.

- (b) X is said to be *countably compact* if every countable open cover of X has a finite subcover. Equivalently, X is countably compact iff for every countable family  $\mathcal{G}$  of closed subsets of X having the FIP,  $\bigcap \mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$ .
- (c) X is said to be *Lindelöf* if every open cover of X has a countable subcover.
- (d) Let  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ . A non-empty collection  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$  is an  $\mathcal{E}$ -filter iff
  - (i) if  $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  then  $F_1 \cap F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
  - (ii) if  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $F' \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $F \subset F'$ , then  $F' \in \mathcal{F}$ .

In particular, if  $\mathcal{E}$  is the collection of all non-empty closed (respectively, clopen, open) sets of X, then we say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a *closed* (respectively, *clopen*, *open*) filter. If  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$  then an  $\mathcal{E}$ -filter is called simply a filter on X. An  $\mathcal{E}$ -filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is free if  $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ . An  $\mathcal{E}$ -filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is an  $\mathcal{E}$ -ultrafilter if for every  $\mathcal{E}$ -filter  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$ we have  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$ .

- 3. If A and B are any sets, then  $|A| \leq |B|$  means that there exists a one-to-one function  $f: A \to B$ , and |A| = |B| means that there exists a bijection  $f: A \to B$ .
- AC(ℝ) (Form 79 in [1]): Every family of non-empty subsets of ℝ has a choice function.
- 5.  $CAC(\mathbb{R})$  (Form 94 in [1]):  $AC(\mathbb{R})$  restricted to countable families.
- 6.  $\operatorname{TP}(2^{\mathbb{R}})$ : The Tychonoff product  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  is compact.
- 7. TPC $(2^{\mathbb{R}})$ : The Tychonoff product  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  is countably compact.
- 8.  $\Pr_X(2^{\mathbb{R}})$ : If G is a closed subset of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  then  $\{g|_X : g \in G\}$  is a closed subspace of  $2^X$ .
- 9.  $\operatorname{Pr}(2^{\mathbb{R}})$ : For all  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\operatorname{Pr}_X(2^{\mathbb{R}})$ .
- 10. BPI( $\omega$ ) (Form 225 in [1]): Every proper filter of  $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$  can be extended to an ultrafilter.

2. Introduction and some preliminary results. The Tychonoff product of  $\aleph_0$  copies of the two-element set  $2 = \{0, 1\}$  with the discrete topology, i.e., the Cantor cube  $\mathcal{K}_{\omega} = 2^{\aleph_0}$ , is a universal space in the sense that every zero-dimensional, separable, metrizable space embeds into it. Likewise, the Cantor cube  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}} = 2^{\mathbb{R}}$  of weight  $\mathbb{R}$  is a universal space for the class  $\mathcal{S}$  of all zero-dimensional spaces of weight  $\leq |\mathbb{R}|$ . It is well-known that  $\mathcal{K}_{\omega}$  in ZF, and  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$  in ZFC, are compact spaces. In ZF, however,  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$  need not be compact. Indeed, in [4] we have shown:

THEOREM 1 ([4]). The following statements are equivalent in ZF:

(i) In a Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of size  $\leq |\mathbb{R}|$  every filter can be extended to an ultrafilter.

- (ii) BPI( $\omega$ ).
- (iii) For every separable compact  $T_2$  space (X,T) the product  $X^{\mathbb{R}}$  is compact.
- (iv) The product  $[0,1]^{\mathbb{R}}$  is compact.
- (v) Tychonoff products of finite subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}$  are compact.
- (vi)  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is compact.

Since in Feferman's model (Model  $\mathcal{M}2$  in [1]),  $\omega$  has no free ultrafilters, it follows that " $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is compact" fails to hold in  $\mathcal{M}2$ , and consequently it is not a theorem of ZF. At this point one may ask whether the weaker statement " $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is countably compact" is a theorem of ZF. The answer is again no (Theorem 7).

In this paper we shall continue the research of [4] and find equivalent conditions under which " $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is compact" or " $\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}}$  is countably compact".

THEOREM 2 ([5, Theorem 16.4(c)]). A product of Hausdorff spaces with at least two points each is separable iff each factor is separable and there are  $\leq |\mathbb{R}|$  factors. In particular, if (X,T) is a separable Hausdorff space then, in ZF, the product  $X^{\mathbb{R}}$  is separable.

PROPOSITION 3. (ZF) If |X| = |Y|, then the Tychonoff products  $2^X$  and  $2^Y$  are homeomorphic.

*Proof.* Let  $h: X \to Y$  be a bijection. Then  $H: 2^X \to 2^Y$  given by  $H(f)(x) = f(h^{-1}(x))$  is easily seen to be a bijection. Since H([(x,i)]) = [(h(x),i)] for all  $i \in 2$  and  $x \in X$ , it follows that H maps a basic open set of  $2^X$  to a basic of set of  $2^Y$ . Thus,  $2^X$  and  $2^Y$  are homeomorphic as required.

THEOREM 4 ([3]). (ZF) For any well-ordered cardinal  $\aleph$ , the Tychonoff product  $2^{\aleph}$  is compact.

THEOREM 5 ([2], Cantor-Bernstein theorem). (ZF) If  $|A| \leq |B|$  and  $|B| \leq |A|$ , then |A| = |B|.

#### 3. Main results

THEOREM 6. In ZF, TPC( $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ ) implies that every family  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ of non-empty finite subsets of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{A}$  is disjoint, has a choice function.

*Proof.* This can be proved just as Theorem 2 in [3].  $\blacksquare$ 

THEOREM 7. TPC $(2^{\mathbb{R}})$  is not provable in ZF.

*Proof.* In the second Cohen model (model  $\mathcal{M}7$  in [1]) there exists a countable family  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_n : n \in \omega\}$  of two-element subsets of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  which admits no choice function in the model. Therefore, we may assume without loss of generality that for all  $n \in \omega$ , if  $A_n = \{X, Y\}$ , then  $X \setminus Y \neq \emptyset$  and  $Y \setminus X \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $|\mathbb{R} \times \omega| = |\mathbb{R}|$ , we may view the family  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_n : n \in \omega\}$ , where

$$B_n = \Big\{ \Big( \Big(\bigcup A_n\Big) \setminus X \Big) \times \{n\} : X \in A_n \Big\},\$$

as a family of two-element subsets of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{B}$  is disjoint. It follows that  $\mathcal{B}$  has no choice function in  $\mathcal{M}7$ , hence by Theorem 6,  $\operatorname{TPC}(2^{\mathbb{R}})$  fails to hold in  $\mathcal{M}7$ .

Clearly, for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the canonical projection of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  on the *t*-th coordinate is a closed map. However, the statement  $\Pr_X(2^{\mathbb{R}})$  need not be provable in ZF. In particular, we show in Theorem 8 below that  $\operatorname{TPC}(2^{\mathbb{R}})$  and  $\Pr_{\omega}(2^{\mathbb{R}})$  are equivalent.

THEOREM 8. The following statements are equivalent in ZF:

- (i) TPC( $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ ).
- (ii) Let G be a closed subset of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Then every countable family  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{R}}|_{G}$  with the FIP has a non-empty intersection.
- (iii) For every countably infinite subset X of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\Pr_X(2^{\mathbb{R}})$ .

*Proof.* (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii). This is straightforward.

(ii) $\rightarrow$ (iii). Let X be a countable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and let G be a closed subset of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Without loss of generality assume that  $X = \omega$ . Suppose that  $G_{\omega} = \{g|_{\omega} : g \in G\}$  is not closed in  $2^{\omega}$ , so let  $f \in \overline{G}_{\omega} \setminus G_{\omega}$ . For every  $n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}$ , let  $f_n = f|_{\{0,1,\dots,n-1\}}$ . We set  $V_n = [f_n] \cap G$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \{V_n : n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}\}$ . Clearly  $V_n \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  has the FIP. By hypothesis there exists  $g \in \bigcap \mathcal{F}$ . But then  $f = g|_{\omega} \in G_{\omega}$ , which is a contradiction.

(iii) $\rightarrow$ (i). Suppose that  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  is not countably compact and let  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_n : n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}\}$  be a descending family of closed subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  having empty intersection. It is straightforward to verify that  $G = \{g_n \in 2^{\omega} : n \in \omega\}$  is a closed subset of  $2^{\omega}$ , where for every  $n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $g_n$  is the characteristic function of  $A_n = \{n\}$ , and  $g_0$  is the characteristic function of the empty set. Since  $|\mathbb{R}| = |\mathbb{R} \setminus \omega|$  we may assume without loss of generality that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a family of closed subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}\setminus\omega}$ . For every  $n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}$  put

$$B_n = \{ f \in 2^{\mathbb{R}} : (f|_{\omega} = g_n) \land (f|_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\omega} \in G_n) \}.$$

CLAIM. The set  $H = \bigcup \{B_n : n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}\}$  is a closed subspace of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

*Proof of claim.* Fix  $f \in H^c$ . We consider the following cases:

(a)  $f|_{\omega} = g_n$  for some  $n \in \omega \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $f|_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\omega} \in G_n^c$ . Let  $p \in \operatorname{Fn}(\mathbb{R}\setminus\omega, 2)$  be such that  $f|_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\omega} \in [p]_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\omega} \subset G_n^c$ . Clearly, [q], where  $q = p \cup \{(n,1)\}$ , is a neighborhood of f avoiding H.

(b)  $f|_{\omega} \neq g_n$  for all  $n \in \omega$ . Since G is a closed subset of  $2^{\omega}$  it follows that there exists a  $p \in \operatorname{Fn}(\omega, 2)$  such that  $f|_{\omega} \in [p]_{\omega} \subset G^c$ . Then  $f \in [p] \subset H^c$ . (c)  $f|_{\omega} = g_0$ . Since  $\bigcap \mathcal{G} = \emptyset$  it follows that  $f|_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\omega} \in G_n^c$  for some  $n \in \omega$ . Then  $[\{(i,0): i \leq n\} \cup p]$ , where  $p \in \operatorname{Fn}(\mathbb{R} \setminus \omega, 2)$  and  $f|_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\omega} \in [p]_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\omega} \subset G_n^c$ , is clearly a neighborhood of f avoiding H.

Thus, H is closed in  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  as required.

Clearly, the projection of H to  $2^{\omega}$  is  $G \setminus \{g_0\}$ , which is not closed in  $2^{\omega}$ . This contradiction finishes the proof.

THEOREM 9. (ZF) Each of the following statements implies the one beneath it:

- (i) TPC $(2^{\mathbb{R}})$ .
- (ii)  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  has no countably infinite closed relatively discrete subsets.
- (iii) Every countable clopen cover of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  has a finite subcover. (Equivalently, every countable descending family of clopen subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  has a non-empty intersection).
- (iv) Every countable family of clopen subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  having the FIP can be extended to a clopen ultrafilter.

*Proof.* (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii). This is straightforward.

(ii) $\rightarrow$ (iii). Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{G_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a descending family of clopen subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Assume that  $\bigcap \mathcal{G} = \emptyset$ . Let  $D = \{d_m : m \in \omega\}$  be a countable dense subset of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Using the fact that D is countable we fix a  $d_{m_n} \in$  $(G_n \setminus G_{n+1}) \cap D$  for each  $n \in \omega$ . Since  $\bigcap \mathcal{G} = \emptyset$ , the set  $K = \{d_{m_n} : n \in \omega\}$ is a closed relatively discrete subset of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ , contradicting (ii). Thus,  $\bigcap \mathcal{G} \neq \emptyset$ .

(iii) $\rightarrow$ (iv). Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a descending family of clopen subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . By our hypothesis there exists an  $f \in \bigcap \mathcal{F}$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{G} = \{G \subset 2^{\mathbb{R}} : G \text{ is clopen and } f \in G\}$  is a clopen ultrafilter of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  which includes  $\mathcal{F}$ .

We next show that statements (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 9 are equivalent in ZF. First we need the following lemma. We denote by S the standard subbase of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ , that is,  $S = \{[(t,i)] : (t,i) \in \mathbb{R} \times 2\} \cup \{\emptyset, 2^{\mathbb{R}}\}.$ 

LEMMA 10. (ZF)

- (i) Every cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  consisting of standard subbasic open sets has a finite subcover  $\mathcal{V}$  with  $|\mathcal{V}| \leq 2$ .
- (ii)  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  has no free clopen ultrafilters.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{S}$  be a cover of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Suppose that  $2^{\mathbb{R}} \notin \mathcal{U}$ . For each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , put  $\mathcal{U}_t = \{i \in \{0, 1\} : [(t, i)] \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . We assert that there exists  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_{t_0} = \{0, 1\}$ . Assume not; then for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  consider the least element  $f(t) \in \{0, 1\} \setminus \mathcal{U}_t$  and let  $f = (f(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ . It is evident that  $f \notin \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ , a contradiction. Clearly  $\{[(t_0, 0)], [(t_0, 1)]\}$  is a finite subcover of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

(ii) Assume that  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  has a free clopen ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{C \in \mathcal{S} : C \notin \mathcal{F}\}$ . Since  $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a cover of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Indeed, let  $f \in 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Then there exists an  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $f \notin F$ . Let  $O = [\{(t_1, i_1), \ldots, (t_n, i_n)\}]$  be a basic neighborhood of f such that  $O \cap F = \emptyset$ . Since  $O \notin \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter, it follows that  $[(t_j, i_j)] \notin \mathcal{F}$  for some  $j \leq n$ . Since  $f \in [(t_j, i_j)]$  we infer that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a cover of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  as asserted. By (i), let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite subcover of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a clopen ultrafilter,  $V^c \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ , and as  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter it follows that  $2^{\mathbb{R}} \neq \bigcup \mathcal{V}$ , a contradiction.

THEOREM 11. The following statements are equivalent in ZF:

- (i) Every countable clopen cover of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  has a finite subcover.
- (ii) Every countable family of clopen subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  having the FIP can be extended to a clopen ultrafilter.

*Proof.* (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii). This is shown in Theorem 9.

(ii) $\rightarrow$ (i). Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a clopen cover of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{U}$  has no finite subcover. Then  $\mathcal{V} = \{U_n^c : n \in \omega\}$  is a family of clopen subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  having the FIP. By our hypothesis,  $\mathcal{V}$  can be extended to a clopen ultrafilter  $\mathcal{W}$ . By Lemma 10(ii) we have  $\bigcap \mathcal{W} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $\mathcal{U}$  is not a cover of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ , a contradiction.

THEOREM 12. The following statements are equivalent in ZF:

- (i)  $\operatorname{TP}(2^{\mathbb{R}})$ .
- (ii) Every closed filter of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  can be extended to a closed ultrafilter.
- (iii) Every clopen filter of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  can be extended to a clopen ultrafilter.
- (iv) Every open filter of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  can be extended to an open ultrafilter.
- (v) Every regular-open filter of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  can be extended to a regular-open ultrafilter.
- (vi)  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  is Lindelöf.
- (vii) Every open cover of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  has a well-ordered subcover.

*Proof.* (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii). Fix a filter  $\mathcal{C}$  of closed subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Since  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  is compact it follows that  $\bigcap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$ . Fix  $g \in \bigcap \mathcal{C}$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{F} = \{F \subset 2^{\mathbb{R}} : F \text{ is closed and } g \in F\}$  is a closed ultrafilter of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  including  $\mathcal{C}$ .

(ii) $\rightarrow$ (iii). Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a family of clopen subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  having the FIP. By hypothesis, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a closed ultrafilter of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  which includes  $\mathcal{G}$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{H} = \{F \in \mathcal{F} : F \text{ is clopen}\}$  is a clopen ultrafilter extending  $\mathcal{G}$ .

(iii) $\rightarrow$ (i). This can be proved as in (ii) $\rightarrow$ (i) of Theorem 11 using arbitrary basic open covers.

 $(i) \to (v)$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be the complete Boolean algebra of all regular-open subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D} = \{d_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a countable dense subset of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  (see Theorem 2). It is not hard to verify that the function  $f : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D})$ ,  $f(O) = O \cap \mathcal{D}$  for all  $O \in \mathcal{R}$ , is one-to-one. Therefore,  $|\mathcal{R}| \leq |\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{D})| = |\mathbb{R}|$ . Since clopen sets are regular-open and the standard clopen base  $\mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  has size  $|\mathbb{R}|$ , it follows from Theorem 5 that  $|\mathcal{R}| = |\mathbb{R}|$ . The conclusion now follows from Theorem 1.

 $(v) \rightarrow (iv)$ . Fix a filter  $\mathcal{G}$  of open subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  and let  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{R}$  be the filter generated by the family  $\{int(\overline{G}) : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$ , where int(A) denotes the interior of the set A. By hypothesis there exists an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{W}$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  which includes  $\mathcal{H}$ . Put

 $\mathcal{F} = \{ O \subset 2^{\mathbb{R}} : O \text{ is open and } \operatorname{int}(\overline{O}) \in \mathcal{W} \}.$ 

In order to complete the proof of the implication, it suffices to show:

CLAIM.  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is an open ultrafilter of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

*Proof of Claim.* The first assertion is straightforward. We next show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is filter. Fix  $O, Q \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\operatorname{int}(\overline{O}) \cap \operatorname{int}(\overline{Q}) \in \mathcal{W}$ . We show that

(\*) 
$$\overline{\operatorname{int}(\overline{O}) \cap \operatorname{int}(\overline{Q})} = \overline{O \cap Q}.$$

The  $\supseteq$  inclusion is clear. Conversely, fix  $x \in \operatorname{int}(\overline{O}) \cap \operatorname{int}(\overline{Q})$ . Assume that  $x \notin \overline{O \cap Q}$ . Fix a neighborhood  $V_x$  of x such that  $V_x \cap (O \cap Q) = \emptyset$  and let  $W = V_x \cap (\operatorname{int}(\overline{O}) \cap \operatorname{int}(\overline{Q}))$ . Clearly,  $\emptyset \neq W \subset \overline{O} \cap \overline{Q}$ . Fix  $y \in W$  and let  $V_y$  be a basic neighborhood of y such that  $V_y \subset W$ . Then  $P = V_y \cap O \neq \emptyset$ . Fix now  $z \in P$  and let  $V_z$  be a basic neighborhood of z such that  $V_z \subset P$ . Then  $V_z \subset W$  (since  $P \subset W$ ) and  $S = V_z \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $S \subset V_x$  satisfies  $S \cap (O \cap Q) \neq \emptyset$ , contradicting  $V_x \cap (O \cap Q) = \emptyset$ ; this proves (\*). Consequently,  $\operatorname{int}(\overline{O}) \cap \operatorname{int}(\overline{Q}) = \operatorname{int}(\operatorname{int}(\overline{O}) \cap \operatorname{int}(\overline{Q})) = \operatorname{int}(\overline{O \cap Q})$ , meaning that  $O \cap Q \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Now fix  $O \in \mathcal{F}$  and let Q be an open set such that  $O \subset Q$ . Since  $\operatorname{int}(\overline{O}) \subset \operatorname{int}(\overline{Q})$  and  $\operatorname{int}(\overline{O}) \in \mathcal{W}$ , it follows that  $\operatorname{int}(\overline{Q}) \in \mathcal{W}$  and consequently  $Q \in \mathcal{F}$ .

We next show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is not contained properly in any other open filter. Let H be an open set of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  such that  $H \cap O \neq \emptyset$  for all  $O \in \mathcal{F}$ . It can be readily verified that  $\operatorname{int}(\overline{H}) \cap Q \neq \emptyset$  for all  $Q \in \mathcal{W}$ , and since  $\mathcal{W}$  is not contained properly in any other regular-open ultrafilter, it follows that  $\operatorname{int}(\overline{H}) \in \mathcal{W}$ . Hence,  $H \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is an open ultrafilter, finishing the proof of the claim and of the implication.

 $(iv) \rightarrow (iii)$ . This can be proved similarly to  $(ii) \rightarrow (iii)$ .

 $(i) \rightarrow (vi) \rightarrow (vii)$ . These are straightforward.

 $(\text{vii}) \rightarrow (\text{i})$ . Fix a cover  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B}^{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . By (vii) we may assume that  $\mathcal{U}$  is well-ordered. Clearly,  $A = \bigcup \{\text{Dom}(p) : [p] \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is well-ordered (being a well-ordered union of finite subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ ). By Theorem 4,  $2^A$  is compact. It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{U}_A = \{[p]_A : [p] \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is an open cover of  $2^A$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}_A = \{[p_i]_A : i \leq n\}$  be a finite subcover. Clearly  $\mathcal{W} = \{[p_i] : i \leq n\}$  is a finite subcover of  $\mathcal{U}$ , and so  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  is compact as required.

REMARK 13. 1. Note that the complete Boolean algebra  $\operatorname{RO}(2^{\mathbb{R}})$  of all regular-open subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  does not coincide with the Boolean algebra  $\operatorname{Clop}(2^{\mathbb{R}})$  of all clopen subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Otherwise,  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  would be extremally disconnected (i.e., the closure of every open set would be open), which is not true. Indeed, let

$$V = \{ f \in 2^{\mathbb{R}} : f^{-1}(0) \cap \omega \neq \emptyset \text{ and if } n_f \text{ is the least natural number} \\ \text{ such that } f(n_f) = 0, \text{ then } f(n_f + 1) = 1 \}.$$

It is straightforward to verify that V is an open set of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . However,  $\overline{V} = V \cup \{f \in 2^{\mathbb{R}} : (\forall n \in \omega)(f(n) = 1)\}$  and the latter set is not open in  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ .

2. Clearly, one can prove in ZF that  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  has closed as well as clopen ultrafilters. Indeed, for any  $f \in 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \{G \subset 2^{\mathbb{R}} : G \text{ closed (resp., clopen)} \}$ and  $f \in G\}$  is a closed (resp., clopen) ultrafilter of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . If Q is a closed (resp., clopen) subset of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  meeting non-trivially each member of  $\mathcal{F}$  then  $f \in Q$ . If not then for some clopen neighborhood  $V_f$  of f we have  $V_f \cap Q = \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $Q \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a closed (resp., clopen) ultrafilter of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ . However, we cannot prove in ZF that  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  has an open ultrafilter. The reason, as one can easily verify, is that in ZF an open ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ is always free. (If  $\bigcap \{\overline{F} : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\bigcap \{\overline{F} : F \in \mathcal{F}\} = \{x\}$ . Since  $\{x\}^c \in \mathcal{F}$ , we see that  $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ .)

We leave the proofs of the next two theorems as an easy exercise for the reader.

THEOREM 14. The following statements are equivalent in ZF:

- (i) TPC( $2^{\mathbb{R}}$ ).
- (ii) Every countable family of closed subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  having the FIP can be extended to a closed ultrafilter.

THEOREM 15. The following statements are equivalent in ZF:

- (i) For every set X, the Tychonoff product  $2^X$  is compact.
- (ii) For every set X, every closed filter of  $2^X$  can be extended to a closed ultrafilter.
- (iii) For every set X, every clopen filter of  $2^X$  can be extended to a clopen ultrafilter.
- (iv) For every set X, every open filter of  $2^X$  can be extended to an open ultrafilter.
- (v) For every set X, every regular-open filter of  $2^X$  can be extended to a regular-open ultrafilter.
- (vi) BPI.
- (vii) For every set X,  $2^X$  is Lindelöf + CAC<sub>fin</sub> (= AC for countable families of non-empty finite sets).

(viii) For every set X, every open cover of  $2^X$  has a well-ordered subcover  $+ \operatorname{AC}(WO, < \aleph_0)$  (= AC for well-ordered families of non-empty finite sets).

In view of Theorem 1 we find that  $TP(2^{\mathbb{R}})$  implies that every Boolean algebra of size  $\leq |\mathbb{R}|$  has an ultrafilter. In the following theorem we give a characterization of the latter statement.

THEOREM 16. The following statements are equivalent in ZF:

- (i) Every Boolean algebra of size  $\leq |\mathbb{R}|$  has an ultrafilter.
- (ii) Every family B of regular-open (respectively, clopen, closed, open) subsets of 2<sup>ℝ</sup> which is closed under finite intersections contains a B-ultrafilter.

*Proof.* (i) $\rightarrow$ (ii). Fix a non-empty family  $\mathcal{B}$  of regular-open subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  closed under finite intersections. Let B be the subalgebra of the Boolean algebra of all regular-open subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R}}$  which is generated by  $\mathcal{B}$ . By hypothesis B has an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{F}$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{B}$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -ultrafilter.

(ii) $\rightarrow$ (i). Let  $(\mathcal{B}, \oplus, \odot)$  be a Boolean algebra of size  $\leq |\mathbb{R}|$ . We shall show that there exists a non-trivial homomorphism  $g: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow 2$ . Then  $g^{-1}(1)$  will be the required ultrafilter. Let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_i : i \in I \subset \mathbb{R}\}$  be the set of all finite subalgebras of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $I = \mathbb{R}$ . Identify  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\mathbb{R}$  and let

$$\mathcal{G} = \{ [p] : \exists K \in [\mathbb{R}]^{<\omega}, \operatorname{Dom}(p) = \bigcup \{ \{i\} \times A_i : i \in K \}, \\ \forall i \in K, \ p(i, \cdot) : A_i \to 2 = \{0, 1\} \text{ is a non-trivial homomorphism,} \\ \text{and } \forall i, j \in K, \text{ if } A_i \subset A_j \text{ then } p(i, \cdot) \subset p(j, \cdot) \} \cup \{ \emptyset \}.$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{G}$  is a family of clopen subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}$  closed under finite intersections. By hypothesis, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\mathcal{G}$ -ultrafilter.

CLAIM 1. For every  $i \in \mathbb{R}$  there is a  $[p] \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\{i\} \times A_i \subset \text{Dom}(p)$ .

Proof of Claim 1. Assume that for some  $i \in \mathbb{R}$  and every  $[p] \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\{i\} \times A_i \not\subset \text{Dom}(p)$ . Then for every non-trivial homomorphism  $q: A_i \to 2$   $(A_i \text{ is a finite subalgebra and therefore such a <math>q$  exists),  $[\{i\} \times q] \in \mathcal{G}$  meets non-trivially each member of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is maximal it follows that  $[\{i\} \times q] \in \mathcal{F}$ . This is a contradiction.

Define  $g: \mathcal{B} \to 2$  by setting g(b) = p((i, b)), where  $i \in \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $A_i$  is the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}$  generated by  $\{b\}$  and  $[p] \in \mathcal{F}$  is such that  $\{i\} \times A_i \subset \text{Dom}(p)$ .

### CLAIM 2. g is well-defined.

Proof of Claim 2. Let [p] and [q] in  $\mathcal{F}$  be such that  $\{i\} \times A_i$  is included in both Dom(p) and Dom(q). Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a filter, p and q are compatible, hence p((i,b)) = q((i,b)). Thus, g is well-defined as required. Since for every  $a, b \in \mathcal{B}$ , the Boolean subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}(a, b)$  generated by a and b is finite, it follows that  $\mathcal{B}(a, b) = A_i$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Fix  $[p] \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $\{i\} \times A_i \subset \text{Dom}(p)$ . Since  $p(i, \cdot) : A_i \to 2$  is a homomorphism, it follows that  $g(a \oplus b) = p(i, a \oplus b) = p(i, a) + p(i, b) = g(a) + g(b)$  and  $g(a \odot b) = p(i, a \odot b) = p(i, a)p(i, b) = g(a)g(b)$ . Thus,  $g : \mathcal{B} \to 2$  is a (non-trivial) homomorphism as required.

#### 4. Questions

- 1. Does  $\text{TPC}(2^{\mathbb{R}})$  imply  $\text{TP}(2^{\mathbb{R}})$  in ZF?
- 2. Is  $\text{TPC}(2^{\mathbb{R}})$  provable in  $\text{ZF} + \text{CAC}(\mathbb{R})$ ?

#### References

- P. Howard and J. E. Rubin, Consequences of the Axiom of Choice, Math. Surveys Monogr. 59, Amer. Math. Soc., 1998.
- [2] T. Jech, The Axiom of Choice, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
- K. Keremedis, The compactness of 2<sup>ℝ</sup> and some weak forms of the axiom of choice, Math. Logic Quart. 46 (2000), 569–571.
- [4] —, Tychonoff products of two-element sets and some weakenings of the Boolean prime ideal theorem, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 53 (2005), 349–359.
- [5] S. Willard, General Topology, Addison-Wesley, 1968.

K. Keremedis and E. Felouzis	E. Tachtsis
Department of Mathematics	Department of Statistics
University of the Aegean	and Actuarial-Financial Mathematics
Karlovassi, 83200, Samos, Greece	University of the Aegean
E-mail: kker@aegean.gr	Karlovassi, 83200, Samos, Greece
${ m felouzis@aegean.gr}$	E-mail: $tah@aegean.gr$

Received September 10, 2007

(7614)