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Summary. The Polish space $Y$ constructed in [vM1] admits no nontrivial isotopy. Yet, there exists a Polish group that acts transitively on $Y$.

1. Introduction. We consider separable metric spaces only.

Theorem 1.1. The countable dense homogeneous Polish $A R$-space $Y$ constructed in [vM1] has the following properties:
(1) $Y$ admits no nontrivial isotopy with a continuum as the parameter set;
(2) $Y$ admits a transitive action of a Polish group and, hence, $Y$ is a coset space;
(3) $Y$ has the homeomorphism extension property for compacta (that is, $Y$ is compactly homogeneous);
(4) for any bijection $\Phi$ of $Y$ with $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{Fix}(\Phi))=\emptyset$ (in particular, by a result of van Mill [vM1], for any nonidentity homeomorphism of $Y$ ), $Y$ is countable dense homogeneous with respect to conjugates of $\Phi$.

Recall that a space $X$ is countable dense homogeneous (abbreviated $\mathrm{CDH})$ if for any countable dense subsets $A$ and $B$ of $X$ there exists a homeomorphism $h$ of $X$ such that $h(A)=B$; by a result of Bennett [B], such a connected $X$ is necessarily homogeneous. In (4) of Theorem 1.1, we have in mind the following "conjugated" variant of the countable dense homogeneity: Let $\Phi$ be a bijection of a space $X$ such that $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{Fix}(\Phi))=\emptyset$. We say that $X$ is countable dense homogeneous with respect to conjugates of $\Phi$

[^0](abbreviated $\Phi^{*}$ - CDH ) if for any two countable dense sets $A$ and $B$ of $X$, there exists a homeomorphism $h$ of $X$ such that $h^{-1}(\Phi(h(A)))=B$.

As shown in [vM1], every homeomorphism of $Y$ which is the identity on a nonempty open subset (more generally, on a non- $Z$-set in $Y$ ) must be the identity. It follows that $Y$ is not strongly locally homogeneous. (Recall that $X$ is strongly locally homogeneous if every $x \in X$ has a neighborhood $U$ so that for any $x, x^{\prime} \in U$ there exists a homeomorphism $h$ that moves $x$ to $x^{\prime}$ and is the identity outside $U$.) By a result of van Mill [vM2], every strongly locally homogeneous Polish space $X$ admits a transitive action of a Polish group (and, hence, $X$ is a coset space). So, Theorem 1.1 shows that, beyond the class of strongly locally homogeneous spaces, there are homogeneous coset spaces with a nice local structure. On the other hand, in [vM2], van Mill has constructed a homogeneous Polish space $Z$ which is not a coset space. The space $Z$, however, has a very bad local structure and, in particular, is far from being an AR. Possibly, as a rule, a homogeneous Polish space $X$ with a nice local structure must be a coset space. (The referee has kindly informed us that, recently, van Mill has constructed a counterpart of the space $Z$ which can be identified with a convex set in $\ell_{2}$. This shows that, in our vague statement above, the AR-property is not strong enough to guarantee that a homogeneous $X$ is a coset space.)

As noted in [vM1], the space $Y$ admits a topological copy $S$, which is a convex subset of the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space $H$; moreover, $S \times S$ is homeomorphic to $H$.

## 2. The space $Y$

Definition 2.1. Let $P$ be a compactum. A countable collection $\mathcal{P}$ in the Hilbert cube $Q$ is $Z$-embedding-dense for $P$ if $\mathcal{P}$ consists of pairwise disjoint topological copies of $P$ which are $Z$-sets and such that every map $\alpha: P \rightarrow Q$ can be approximated by an embedding $e: P \rightarrow Q$ with $e(P) \in \mathcal{P}$.

Employing the fact that the space of mappings of $P$ into the Hilbert cube $Q$ is separable and the basic facts on $Z$-sets (see, e.g., $[\mathrm{To}]$ ) one can easily construct a $Z$-embedding-dense collection $\mathcal{P}$ for an arbitrary compactum $P$ (see [vM1, Lemma 3.1]).

Letting $P$ be the Hilbert cube itself, choose any $Z$-embedding-dense collection $\mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ and let

$$
Y=Q \backslash \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k} .
$$

It is easily seen that $Y$ is Polish and, as a complement of a countable union of $Z$-sets, is an AR (see, e.g., [To]).
3. No nontrivial isotopy on $Y$. Let $(T, *)$ be a pointed nontrivial continuum, where $*$ is a fixed point of $T$. Write $P_{k}^{\prime}=P_{k} \times T$ and consider the collection $\mathcal{P}^{\prime}=\left\{P_{1}^{\prime}, P_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots\right\}$ in $Q^{\prime}=Q \times T$. Let

$$
Y^{\prime}=Q^{\prime} \backslash \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k}^{\prime} \subset Q^{\prime}
$$

Definition 3.1. A map $h: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ is $(n, m)$-continuous if the natural extension

$$
\hat{h}:\left(Y^{\prime} \cup P_{n}^{\prime}\right) /\left\{P_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \rightarrow\left(Y^{\prime} \cup P_{m}^{\prime}\right) /\left\{P_{m}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

is continuous.
It was shown in [vM1] that, for a homeomorphism $h: Y \rightarrow Y$ and $n$, there exists $m$ such that the obvious counterpart of $\hat{h}$, that is, the map $\left(Y \cup P_{n}\right) /\left\{P_{n}\right\} \rightarrow\left(Y \cup P_{m}\right) /\left\{P_{m}\right\}$, is continuous. Moreover, the assignment $n \mapsto m$ is a permutation. A similar fact holds for the space $Y^{\prime}$.

Proposition 3.2. For every isotopy $\left(h_{t}\right): Y \rightarrow Y, t \in T$, with $h_{*}=\mathrm{id}$, there exists a permutation $p: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $h: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ given by $h(y, t)=\left(h_{t}(y), t\right),(y, t) \in Y^{\prime}$, is $(n, p(n))$-continuous.

Proof. We follow the proof of [vM1, Proposition 3.4].
Let $M$ be the closure of the graph of $h$ in the product $Q^{\prime} \times Q^{\prime}$ and let $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}$ be the restrictions to $M$ of the respective projections of $Q^{\prime} \times Q^{\prime} \rightarrow Q^{\prime}$. Then $M$ is a continuum, both $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are surjections, and $\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(\bigcup \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)=$ $\pi_{2}^{-1}\left(\cup \mathcal{P}^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover, modifying the argument of [ACvM, Lemma 3.6], one sees that both $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are monotone. To see that $\pi_{1}$ is monotone fix $(x, t) \in Q^{\prime}$. Suppose $\pi_{1}^{-1}(x, t) \subset U \cup V$ for some nonempty open and disjoint subsets of $M$. Since $\pi_{1}$ is closed, there exists an open connected set $W \subset Q$ with $x \in W$ and $\pi_{1}^{-1}(W \times\{t\}) \subset U \cup V$. It follows that $(W \backslash Y) \times\{t\}=$ $\left[(W \times\{t\}) \cap \pi_{1}(U \cap M)\right] \cup\left[(W \times\{t\}) \cap \pi_{1}(V \cap M)\right]$, which yields a separation of a connected set $W \backslash Y$, a contradiction.

Now, using the monotonicity of $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ and the Sierpiński theorem, one finds $m$ such that $\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(P_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{2}^{-1}\left(P_{m}^{\prime}\right)$. Let $p(n)=m$; clearly, $p$ is a permutation.

Suppose $\left\{y_{k}\right\}$ is a sequence in $Y^{\prime}$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d\left(y_{k}, P_{n}^{\prime}\right)=0$. It follows that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d\left(\left(y_{k}, h\left(y_{k}\right)\right), \pi_{1}^{-1}\left(P_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$. Since $\pi_{1}^{-1}\left(P_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{2}^{-1}\left(P_{m}^{\prime}\right)$, we have $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d\left(\left(y_{k}, h\left(y_{k}\right)\right), \pi_{2}^{-1}\left(P_{m}^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$. This implies

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} d\left(\pi_{2}\left(y_{k}, h\left(y_{k}\right)\right), P_{m}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

Thus $\left\{h\left(y_{k}\right)\right\}$ converges to $P_{m}^{\prime}$ in $\left(Y^{\prime} \cup P_{m}^{\prime}\right) /\left\{P_{m}^{\prime}\right\}$.
Theorem 3.3. Let $\left(h_{t}\right): Y \rightarrow Y, t \in T$, be an isotopy with $h_{*}=\mathrm{id}$. Then $h_{t}=\mathrm{id}$ for all $t \in T$.

Proof. Suppose $h_{t_{0}}\left(y_{0}\right) \neq y_{0}$ for some $t_{0} \neq *$. Write $h(y, t)=\left(h_{t}(y), t\right)$ for $(y, t) \in Y^{\prime}$. Pick $\alpha: Q \rightarrow Q$ with

$$
y_{0} \in \alpha(Q) \quad \text { and } \quad h_{t_{0}}\left(y_{0}\right) \notin \alpha(Q) .
$$

Enlarge $y_{0}$ to an open neighborhood $\widetilde{W}$ in $Q$ such that, for $W=\widetilde{W} \cap Y$,

$$
\overline{h_{t_{0}}(W)} \cap \alpha(Q)=\emptyset .
$$

Since $y_{0} \in \alpha(Q) \cap \widetilde{W}$ and $\alpha(Q) \cap \overline{h_{t_{0}}(W)}=\emptyset$, there exists an embedding $e_{n}: Q \rightarrow P_{n}$ so close to $\alpha$ that

$$
P_{n} \cap \widetilde{W} \neq \emptyset \quad \text { and } \quad P_{n} \cap \overline{h_{t_{0}}(W)}=\emptyset .
$$

For $e_{n}^{\prime}(x, t)=\left(e_{n}(x), t\right),(x, t) \in Q^{\prime}$, we have $e_{n}^{\prime}\left(Q^{\prime}\right) \cap(Q \times\{*\}) \neq \emptyset$, that is,

$$
P_{n}^{\prime} \cap(Q \times\{*\}) \neq \emptyset .
$$

Since $h=\mathrm{id}$ on $(Q \times\{*\}) \cap Y^{\prime}, h$ is $(n, n)$-continuous (that is, $p(n)=n$ ), which contradicts the fact that
$P_{n}^{\prime} \cap \overline{W \times\left\{t_{0}\right\}} \neq \emptyset \quad$ and $\overline{h\left(W \times\left\{t_{0}\right\}\right)} \cap P_{p(n)}^{\prime}=\overline{h\left(W \times\left\{t_{0}\right\}\right)} \cap P_{n}^{\prime}=\emptyset$.
Corollary 3.4. The space $Y$ admits no nontrivial flow. More generally, if a group $G$ acts on $Y$ then, for every $g \in G$ that can be joined to the unit $e \in G$ by a continuum, we have $g y=y$ for every $y \in Y$.
4. A transitive action of a Polish group on $Y$. Let $H(Q)$ be the group of homeomorphisms of the Hilbert cube $Q$. Consider

$$
H(Q \mid Y)=\left\{h \in H(Q) \mid(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) h\left(P_{n}\right)=P_{n}\right\}=\{h \in H(Q) \mid h(Y)=Y\}
$$

a subgroup of $H(Q)$. It is easily seen that the group $H(Q \mid Y)$ acts transitively on $Y$. However, $H(Q \mid Y)$ with the topology inherited from $H(Q)$ is not completely metrizable (actually, $H(Q \mid Y)$ is a genuine $F_{\sigma \delta}$-subset of $H(Q))$. It is clear that if a group $G$ acts on a space $X$, then $G$ equipped with a stronger compatible topology (that is, giving rise to a topological group) will act on $X$ as well. If such a stronger Polish topology exists on $G$ then $G$ is referred to as Polishable. Below we show that this is the case for $G=H(Q \mid Y)$; this fact also follows from a general condition for Polishability established in [vM2].

Theorem 4.1. The group $H(Q \mid Y)$ is Polishable.
Proof. Let $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the group of permutations of the integers with the pointwise convergence topology; $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a Polish topological group. Consider the group homomorphism $\varphi: H(Q \mid Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z})$ given by $\varphi(h)=$ $p(h) \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}), h \in H(Q \mid Y)$, where the value $p(n)=m$ is determined by $h\left(P_{n}\right)=P_{m}$. Then the graph $\Gamma(\varphi)=\Gamma$ is a subgroup of $H(Q) \times \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z})$. Since $(h, \varphi(h)) \mapsto h$ is continuous from $\Gamma$ onto $H(Q \mid Y)$, it is enough to show that $\Gamma$ is closed in $H(Q) \times \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z})$. To see this consider a sequence
$\left\{h_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset H(Q \mid Y)$ that converges in $H(Q)$ such that $\left\{\varphi\left(h_{k}\right)\right\}$ converges in $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z})$. It follows that, for every $n$, the sequence $\left\{\varphi\left(h_{k}\right)(n)\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ stabilizes, that is, $h_{k}\left(P_{n}\right)=P_{m}$ for some $m$ and all but finitely many $k$. Thus, letting $h=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{k}$, we have $h\left(P_{n}\right)=P_{m}$. Now, it is easily seen that $h \in H(Q \mid Y)$ and $\varphi(h)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(h_{k}\right)$; hence, $(h, \varphi(h)) \in \Gamma$.

Recall that, by the Effros theorem [E], if a Polish topological group $G$ acts transitively on a Polish space $X$ then $G / G_{x}$ is homeomorphic to $X$, where $G_{x}=\{g \in G \mid g x=x\}$ is the stabilizer of $x$ ( $x$ may be chosen arbitrarily in $X$ ). Hence, in such a case, $X$ is a coset space. The above theorem yields:

Corollary 4.2. The space $Y$ admits a transitive action of a Polish group, and hence is a coset space.

Remark 1. According to Corollary 3.4, the group $H(Q \mid Y)$ neither with its original topology nor with the above Polish topology contains a nontrivial continuum.
5. Different kinds of homogeneity of $Y$. The fact that $Y$ is CDH was verified in [vM1] by an application of the well-known back-and-forth technique. (Actually, it is shown that, for any countable dense sets $A, B \subset Y$, there exists $h \in H(Q \mid Y)$ with $h(A)=B$.) This same technique yields the compact homogeneity of $Y$. Let $K$ and $L$ be compacta in $Y$ and $h$ a homeomorphism of $K$ onto $L$. Observe that $K$ and $L$ are $Z$-sets in the Hilbert cube $Q$. So, $h$ can be extended to a homeomorphism $h_{0}$ of $Q$. Employing the fact that elements of $\mathcal{P}$ are $Z$-sets in $Q$ (and are homeomorphic to each other), we can modify $h_{0}$ step by step to a homeomorphism $h_{n}$ of $Q$ that agrees with $h_{n-1}$ on $K \cup P_{1} \cup \cdots \cup P_{n}$ and sends it into $L \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}$, and whose inverse $h_{n}^{-1}$ agrees with $h_{n-1}^{-1}$ on $L \cup P_{1} \cup \cdots \cup P_{n}$ and sends it into $K \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}$. This can be achieved so that $\lim h_{n}=\bar{h}$ is a homeomorphism of $Q$. Then $\bar{h}(Y)=Y$ (hence, $\bar{h} \in H(Q \mid Y)$ ) and $\bar{h} \mid K=h$. This shows (3) of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 2. The homeomorphism extension property fails for local compacta of $Y$. Recently van Mill [vM3] showed that the Hilbert cube $Q$ contains a countable compact set $\Delta$ so that every homeomorphism of $Y$ which restricts to the identity on $\Delta \cap Y$ is necessarily the identity on $Y$. Moreover, $\Delta \backslash Y$ is a convergent sequence space and $D=\Delta \cap Y$ is (countable) discrete in $Y$ (hence, $D$ is necessarily a $Z$-set in $Y$ ). Pick $y, y^{\prime} \in Y \backslash \Delta, y \neq y^{\prime}$. Then the homeomorphism $h$ of $D \cup\{y\}$ onto $D \cup\left\{y^{\prime}\right\}$ which is the identity on $D$ and sends $y$ to $y^{\prime}$ cannot be extended to a homeomorphism of $Y$.

Before we give the proof of (4) of Theorem 1.1 below, let us comment on the $\Phi^{*}$ - CDH property. The requirement that $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{Fix}(\Phi))=\emptyset$ is natural
if one expects the existence of $h$ for every choice of $A$ and $B$. Formally, the CDH and $\Phi^{*}-\mathrm{CDH}$ properties are incomparable. Obviously, for a homeomorphism $\Phi$ of $X$ with $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{Fix}(\Phi))=\emptyset$, the $\Phi^{*}$ - CDH property implies the CDH property. To see this in a more general setting let a group $G$ act on $X$ so that, for some $g_{0} \in G, g_{0} x=\Phi(x)$ is as required. By the definition of $\Phi^{*}-\mathrm{CDH}$, we can find a homeomorphism $h$ of $X$ so that $h^{-1} \circ g_{0} \circ h(A)=B$. Then, for the conjugated action $g * x=h^{-1}(g(h(x)))$ of $G$ on $X$, we have $g_{0} * A=B$.

Proposition 5.1. Fix any bijection $\Phi$ of $Y$ with $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{Fix}(\Phi))=\emptyset$ (in particular, any nonidentity homeomorphism of $Y$ ). Then, for any two countable dense sets $A$ and $B$ in $Y$, there exists a homeomorphism $h$ of $Q$ such that $\Phi(h(A))=h(B)$ and $h(\bigcup \mathcal{P})=\bigcup \mathcal{P}$. In particular, $Y$ is $\Phi^{*}-\mathrm{CDH}$.

Proof. Define $\Phi(x)=x$ for $x \in Q \backslash Y$. Enumerate $A=\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $B=\left\{b_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. We will inductively construct, for every $n \geq 1$, towers of finite subsets $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{n}\right\}$ of $A$ and $B$, respectively, a finite subfamily $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ of $\mathcal{P}$, and a homeomorphism $h_{n} \in H(Q)$ such that
(1) $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \subset A_{n}$ and $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\} \subset B_{n}$;
(2) $\Phi\left(h_{n}\left(\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}\right)\right) \subset h_{n}\left(B_{n}\right)$ and $\Phi^{-1}\left(h_{n}\left(\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}\right)\right) \subset h_{n}\left(A_{n}\right)$; furthermore, $\Phi\left(h_{n}\left(A_{n}\right)\right)=h_{n}\left(B_{n}\right)$;
(3) $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{P}_{n}$;
(4) $\left\{h_{n}\left(P_{1}\right), \ldots, h_{n}\left(P_{n}\right)\right\} \subset \mathcal{P}_{n}$ and $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\} \subset h_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}\right)$;
(5) $h_{n}\left|A_{n-1} \cup B_{n-1} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n-1}=h_{n-1}\right| A_{n-1} \cup B_{n-1} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$;
(6) $d\left(h_{n-1}, h_{n}\right)<2^{-1-n}$.

Clearly, $h=\lim h_{n}$ is then as required.
Inductive construction. Define $h_{0}=$ id and let $A_{0}=B_{0}=\mathcal{P}_{0}=\emptyset$. Suppose that $A_{n-1}, B_{n-1}, \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$, and $h_{n-1}$ have been constructed for $n \geq 1$ so that (1)-(6) are satisfied.

Assume $a_{n} \in A \backslash\left(A_{n-1} \cup B_{n-1}\right)$ and let $c=\Phi\left(h_{n-1}\left(a_{n}\right)\right)$. It follows that $c \notin h_{n-1}\left(B_{n-1}\right)$. If $c \notin h_{n-1}\left(A_{n-1}\right)$ and $c \neq h_{n-1}\left(a_{n}\right)$ (the latter condition, in particular, implies $h_{n-1}\left(a_{n}\right) \in Y$, we set $g^{(1)}=h_{n-1}$. If, however, $c \in h_{n-1}\left(A_{n-1}\right)$ or $c=h_{n-1}\left(a_{n}\right)$, then there exists a homeomorphism $g^{(1)}$ such that $h_{n-1}\left|A_{n-1} \cup B_{n-1} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n-1}=g^{(1)}\right| A_{n-1} \cup B_{n-1} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$, $\Phi\left(g^{(1)}\left(a_{n}\right)\right) \in Y \backslash h_{n-1}\left(A_{n-1} \cup B_{n-1}\right)$, and $\Phi\left(g^{(1)}\left(a_{n}\right)\right) \neq g^{(1)}\left(a_{n}\right)$; to obtain the latter condition use the fact that $\operatorname{int}(\operatorname{Fix}(\Phi))=\emptyset$. Moreover, $g^{(1)}$ can be made as close to $h_{n-1}$ as we wish. Let $A^{\prime}=A_{n-1} \cup\left\{a_{n}\right\}$. Note that, in both cases, we have $\Phi\left(g^{(1)}\left(a_{n}\right)\right) \in Y \backslash g^{(1)}\left(A^{\prime} \cup B_{n-1}\right)$. Now, there exists a homeomorphism $g^{(2)}$ (as close to $g^{(1)}$ as we wish) such that $g^{(1)}\left|A^{\prime} \cup B_{n-1} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n-1}=g^{(2)}\right| A^{\prime} \cup B_{n-1} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ and $g^{(2)}\left(b^{\prime}\right)=\Phi\left(g^{(1)}\left(a_{n}\right)\right)$ for a certain $b^{\prime} \in B$; it follows that $b^{\prime} \notin A^{\prime} \cup B_{n-1}$.

Let $B^{\prime}=B_{n-1} \cup\left\{b^{\prime}\right\}$. Clearly, we have $\Phi\left(g^{(2)}\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right)=g^{(2)}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$. Assume $b_{n} \in B \backslash\left(A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime}\right)$ and let $d=\Phi^{-1}\left(g^{(2)}\left(b_{n}\right)\right)$. It follows that $d \notin g^{(2)}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$. If $d \notin g^{(2)}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ and $d \neq g^{(2)}\left(b_{n}\right)$ (the latter condition, in particular, implies $\left.g^{(2)}\left(b_{n}\right) \in Y\right)$, we set $g^{(3)}=g^{(2)}$. If $d \in g^{(2)}\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ or $d=g^{(2)}\left(b_{n}\right)$, then there exists a homeomorphism $g^{(3)}$ such that we have $g^{(3)} \mid A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n-1}=$ $g^{(2)} \mid A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n-1}, \Phi^{-1}\left(g^{(3)}\left(b_{n}\right)\right) \in Y \backslash g^{(3)}\left(A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime}\right)$, and $\Phi^{-1}\left(g^{(3)}\left(b_{n}\right)\right) \neq$ $g^{(3)}\left(b_{n}\right)$. Moreover, $g^{(3)}$ can be made as close to $g^{(2)}$ as we wish. In both cases, we have $\Phi^{-1}\left(g^{(3)}\left(b_{n}\right)\right) \in Y \backslash g^{(3)}\left(A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup\left\{b_{n}\right\}\right)$. Now, there exists a homeomorphism $g^{(4)}$ (as close to $g^{(3)}$ as we wish) such that $g^{(3)} \mid A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup$ $\left\{b_{n}\right\} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n-1}=g^{(4)} \mid A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup\left\{b_{n}\right\} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ with $g^{(4)}\left(a^{\prime}\right)=\Phi^{-1}\left(g^{(3)}\left(b_{n}\right)\right)$ for a certain $a^{\prime} \in A$; it follows that $a^{\prime} \notin A^{\prime} \cup B^{\prime} \cup\left\{b_{n}\right\}$. We let $A_{n}=A^{\prime} \cup\left\{a^{\prime}\right\}$ and $B_{n}=B^{\prime} \cup\left\{b_{n}\right\}$.

Finally, assuming $P_{n} \notin \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$, we can find a homeomorphism $g_{1}$ as close to $g^{(4)}$ as we wish and such that $g_{1}\left|A_{n} \cup B_{n} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n-1}=g^{(4)}\right| A_{n} \cup B_{n} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ and $g_{1}\left(P_{n}\right) \in \mathcal{P}$. Similarly, if $g_{1}^{-1}\left(P_{n}\right) \notin \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$, we can find a homeomorphism $g_{2}$ as close to $g_{1}$ as we wish and such that $g_{2} \mid A_{n} \cup B_{n} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n-1} \cup P_{n}=$ $g_{1} \mid A_{n} \cup B_{n} \cup \bigcup \mathcal{P}_{n-1} \cup P_{n}$ and $g_{2}(P)=P_{n}$ for some $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{n}=$ $\mathcal{P}_{n-1} \cup\left\{g_{1}\left(P_{n}\right)\right\} \cup\{P\}$. The inductive construction is completed by letting $h_{n}=g_{2}$.

Proposition 5.1, together with the comments preceding its statement, yields

Corollary 5.2. For a nontrivial action of a group $G$ on the space $Y$ and countable dense subsets $A$ and $B$ of $Y$ there exists $g_{0} \in G$ so that, for a certain homeomorphism $h$ of $X$, the conjugated action $g * x=h^{-1}(g(h(x)))$ sends $A$ onto $B$ when $g=g_{0}$.

Remark 3. In Proposition 5.1, the homeomorphism $h$ can be chosen as close to the identity in $H(Q)$ as we wish. As a consequence, for countable dense subsets $A$ and $B$ of $Y$, any homeomorphism $g$ of $Y$ can be approximated by conjugations $h^{-1} \circ g \circ h$ that send $A$ onto $B$. However, this approximation is not in the limitation topology on the group of homeomorphisms $H(Y)$ of $Y$ because $h \in H(Q \mid A)$ is not necessarily close to the identity in the limitation topology. Actually, it can be shown that $Y$ is not homogeneous "via small homeomorphisms". More precisely, there exists a continuous function $\varepsilon: Y \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ such any homeomorphism $h$ of $Y$ which satisfies $d(h(x), x)<\varepsilon(x)$ for every $x \in Y$ must be the identity on $Y$ (that is, if $h$ is in the $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of the identity in the limitation topology, then $h$ must be the identity itself).
6. Other counterparts of $Y$. The most elementary example that can be obtained via the procedure described in Section 2 is the space $Q \backslash A$, where $A$ is a countable dense subset of $Q$; simply, apply the procedure
to a one-point space $P$. The resulting space, however, is strongly locally homogeneous. On the other hand, choosing a countable $Z$-embedding-dense collection $\mathcal{P}$ in the Hilbert cube $Q$ for $P=[0,1]$, we obtain the space $Q \backslash \bigcup \mathcal{P}$ which is a counterpart of the space $Y$. This space (which can be checked to be topologically different from $Y$ ) shares all the properties of $Y$ from Theorem 1.1.

In case $P$ is a compactum with $\operatorname{dim}(P) \leq k, Z_{k}$-embedding-dense collections can be constructed in the interior of the $(2 k+1)$-dimensional cube $I^{2 k+1}$, which replaces the Hilbert cube $Q$ (for the definition of a $Z_{k}$-set see $[\mathrm{To}])$. In particular, there exists a $Z_{1}$-embedding-dense collection $\mathcal{I}=$ $\left\{I_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $\dot{I}^{m}$, the interior of $I^{m}$ for $m \geq 4$. Actually, we can assume that each $I_{n}$ is a finite union of line segments. Then the resulting space $Y_{I}=I^{m} \backslash \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_{n}$ seems to share all the properties of $Y$ listed in Theorem 1.1 with the exception of (3). Obviously, $Y_{I}$ is not an AR-space; yet, it must be locally connected, connected, and $l$-connected for some $l$. The following counterpart of property (3) holds: $Y_{I}$ has the homeomorphism extension property for compacta in $\dot{I}^{m}$ which are $Z_{1}$-sets in $I^{m}$. The tricky case of $m=3$ will be discussed in the forthcoming paper by S. Spiez and the author.
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