

# SEMILINEAR HYPERBOLIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

LÁSZLÓ SIMON

*Institute of Mathematics, L. Eötvös University  
 Pázmány P. sétány 1/c, 1117 Budapest, Hungary  
 E-mail: simonl@ludens.elte.hu*

**Abstract.** We consider second order semilinear hyperbolic functional differential equations where the lower order terms contain functional dependence on the unknown function. Existence and uniqueness of solutions for  $t \in (0, T)$ , existence for  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and some qualitative properties of the solutions in  $(0, \infty)$  are shown.

**1. Introduction.** In the present paper we consider weak solutions of initial-boundary value problems of the form

$$u''(t) + \tilde{Q}(u(t)) + \varphi(x)h'(u(t)) + H(t, x; u) + G(t, x; u, u') = F, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1)$$

$$u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1, \quad (2)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded domain and we use the notation  $u(t) = u(t, x)$ ,  $u' = D_t u$ ,  $u'' = D_t^2 u$ ,  $\tilde{Q}$  may be a linear second order symmetric elliptic differential operator in the variable  $x$ ;  $h$  is a  $C^1$  function having certain polynomial growth,  $H$  and  $G$  contain nonlinear functional (non-local) dependence on  $u$  and  $u'$ , with some polynomial growth.

There are several papers on semilinear hyperbolic differential equations, see, e.g., [3], [4], [10], [13] and the references therein. Semilinear hyperbolic functional equations were studied, e.g. in [5], [6], [7], with certain non-local terms, generally in the form of particular integral operators containing the unknown function. First order quasilinear evolution equations with non-local terms were considered, e.g., in [12] and [14], second order quasilinear evolution equations with non-local terms were considered in [11], by using the theory of monotone type operators (see [2], [9], [15]).

This paper was motivated by the classical work [9] of J.-L. Lions where the equation (1) was considered in the particular case  $\tilde{Q} = -\Delta$ ,  $\varphi = 1$ ,  $h'(\eta) = \eta|\eta|^\lambda$ ,  $H = 0$ ,  $G = 0$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 35L71; Secondary 35R10.

*Key words and phrases*: Semilinear hyperbolic equations, partial functional equations, qualitative properties of solutions.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

(semilinear hyperbolic differential equation). The proofs are based on Galerkin's method and imbedding theorems in Sobolev spaces. The aim of this work is to show that the ideas of [9] can be applied to semilinear hyperbolic equations, containing non-local terms of rather general form which may be of different types (integrals with respect to the space or time variable or terms with discrete delay etc.).

In Section 2 the existence of weak solutions will be proved for  $t \in (0, T)$  and in Section 3 we shall prove existence and certain properties of solutions for  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , finally, in Section 4 the uniqueness of the solution will be shown.

**2. Existence in  $(0, T)$ .** Denote by  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  a bounded domain having the uniform  $C^1$  regularity property (see [1]),  $Q_T = (0, T) \times \Omega$ . Denote by  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  the Sobolev space of real valued functions with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |D_j u|^2 + |u|^2 \right) dx \right]^{1/2}.$$

Further, let  $V \subset W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  be a closed linear subspace of  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  containing  $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$  (the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ ),  $V^*$  the dual space of  $V$ ,  $H = L^2(\Omega)$ , the duality between  $V^*$  and  $V$  will be denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , the scalar product in  $H$  will be denoted by  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Denote by  $L^2(0, T; V)$  the Banach space of the set of measurable functions  $u : (0, T) \rightarrow V$  with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L^2(0, T; V)} = \left[ \int_0^T \|u(t)\|_V^2 dt \right]^{1/2}$$

and by  $L^\infty(0, T; V)$ ,  $L^\infty(0, T; H)$  the set of measurable functions  $u : (0, T) \rightarrow V$ ,  $u : (0, T) \rightarrow H$ , respectively, with the  $L^\infty(0, T)$  norm of the functions  $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_V$ ,  $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_H$ , respectively.

Now we formulate the assumptions on the functions in (1).

(A<sub>1</sub>)  $\tilde{Q} : V \rightarrow V^*$  is a linear continuous operator such that

$$\langle \tilde{Q}\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \rangle = \langle \tilde{Q}\tilde{v}, \tilde{u} \rangle, \quad \langle \tilde{Q}\tilde{u}, \tilde{u} \rangle \geq c_0 \|\tilde{u}\|_V^2$$

for all  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in V$  with some constant  $c_0 > 0$ . Further we shall use the notation  $(Qu)(t) = \tilde{Q}(u(t))$ .

(A<sub>2</sub>)  $\varphi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable function satisfying

$$c_1 \leq \varphi(x) \leq c_2 \text{ for a.a. } x \in \Omega$$

with some positive constants  $c_1, c_2$ .

(A<sub>3</sub>)  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuously differentiable function satisfying

$$h(\eta) \geq 0, \quad |h'(\eta)| \leq \text{const } |\eta|^\lambda \text{ for } |\eta| > 1$$

$$\text{where } 1 < \lambda \leq \lambda_0 = \frac{n}{n-2} \text{ if } n \geq 3, \quad 1 < \lambda < \infty \text{ if } n = 2.$$

(A<sub>3</sub>')  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuously differentiable function satisfying with some positive constants  $c_3, c_4$

$$h(\eta) \geq 0, \quad c_3|\eta|^\lambda \leq |h'(\eta)| \leq c_4|\eta|^\lambda \quad \text{for } |\eta| > 1, \quad n \geq 3 \text{ where } \lambda > \lambda_0 = \frac{n}{n-2},$$

$$|h'(\eta)| \leq c_4|\eta|^\lambda \quad \text{for } |\eta| > 1, \quad n = 2 \text{ where } 1 < \lambda < \infty.$$

(A<sub>4</sub>)  $H : Q_T \times L^2(Q_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function for which  $(t, x) \mapsto H(t, x; u)$  is measurable for all fixed  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $H$  has the Volterra property, i.e. for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $H(t, x; u)$  depends only on the restriction of  $u$  to  $(0, t)$ ; the following inequality holds for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ :

$$\int_0^t \int_\Omega |H(\tau, x; u)|^2 dx d\tau \leq \text{const} \int_0^t \int_\Omega h(u(\tau)) dx d\tau.$$

Further, for any fixed functions  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m \in V$  (if (A<sub>3</sub>) is satisfied) and  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m \in V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)$  (if (A<sub>3</sub>') holds), respectively, for every  $K > 0$  there exists  $\psi_K \in L^1(0, T)$  such that for  $|(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m)| \leq K$

$$\left[ \int_\Omega \left| H\left(t, x; \sum_{k=1}^m c_k w_k\right) \right|^2 dx \right]^{1/2} \leq \psi_K(t), \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Finally,  $(u_k) \rightarrow u$  in  $L^2(Q_T)$  and  $(u_k) \rightarrow u$  a.e. in  $Q_T$  imply

$$H(t, x; u_k) \rightarrow H(t, x; u) \text{ for a.a. } (t, x) \in Q_T.$$

(A<sub>5</sub>)  $G : Q_T \times L^2(Q_T) \times L^\infty(0, T; H) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function satisfying:  $(t, x) \mapsto G(t, x; u, w)$  is measurable for all fixed  $u \in L^2(Q_T)$ ,  $w \in L^\infty(0, T; H)$ ,  $G$  has the Volterra property: for all  $t \in [0, T]$ ,  $G(t, x; u, w)$  depends only on the restriction of  $u, w$  to  $(0, t)$  and

$$|G(t, x; u, w)| \leq c_5|w(t)| + c_6$$

with some constants  $c_5, c_6$ .

Further, if

$$(u_k) \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(Q_T) \text{ and a.e. in } Q_T, \quad (w_k) \rightarrow w \text{ weakly in } L^\infty(0, T; H)$$

in the sense that for all fixed  $g_1 \in L^1(0, T; H)$

$$\int_0^T \langle g_1(t), w_k(t) \rangle dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \langle g_1(t), w(t) \rangle dt,$$

then

$$G(t, x; u_k, w_k) \rightarrow G(t, x; u, w) \text{ weakly in } L^\infty(0, T; H).$$

**THEOREM 2.1.** Assume (A<sub>1</sub>), (A<sub>2</sub>), (A<sub>3</sub>), (A<sub>4</sub>), (A<sub>5</sub>). Then for all  $F \in L^2(0, T; H)$ ,  $u_0 \in V$ ,  $u_1 \in H$  there exists  $u \in L^\infty(0, T; V)$  such that

$$u' \in L^\infty(0, T; H), \quad u'' \in L^2(0, T; V^*),$$

$u$  satisfies (1) in the sense: for a.a.  $t \in [0, T]$ , all  $v \in V$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u''(t), v \rangle + \langle \tilde{Q}(u(t)), v \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) h'(u(t)) v \, dx + \int_{\Omega} H(t, x; u) v \, dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} G(t, x; u, u') v \, dx = (F(t), v) \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

and the initial condition (2) is fulfilled.

If  $(A_1)$ ,  $(A_2)$ ,  $(A'_3)$ ,  $(A_4)$ ,  $(A_5)$  are satisfied then for all  $F \in L^2(0, T; H)$ ,  $u_0 \in V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)$ ,  $u_1 \in H$  there exists  $u \in L^\infty(0, T; V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega))$  such that

$$u' \in L^\infty(0, T; H),$$

$$u'' \in L^2(0, T; V^*) + L^\infty(0, T; L^{(\lambda+1)/\lambda}(\Omega)) \subset L^2(0, T; [V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)]^*)$$

and  $u$  satisfies (1) in the sense: for a.a.  $t \in [0, T]$ , all  $v \in V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)$  (3) holds, further, the initial condition (2) is fulfilled.

REMARK 2.2.  $u'' \in L^2(0, T; V^*) + L^\infty(0, T; L^{(\lambda+1)/\lambda}(\Omega))$  means that for the distributional derivative  $u'' = D_t^2 u$  we have

$$u'' = u_1 + u_2 \text{ where } u_1 \in L^2(0, T; V^*) \text{ and } u_2 \in L^\infty(0, T; L^{(\lambda+1)/\lambda}(\Omega)).$$

Since in this case

$$\begin{aligned} (u')' = u'' \in L^2(0, T; [V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)]^*) \\ \text{and } u' \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \subset L^2(0, T; [V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)]^*), \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 1.2 in Chapter 1 of [9]

$$u' \in C([0, T]; [V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)]^*),$$

thus the initial condition  $u'(0) = u_1 \in H$  makes sense since  $H \subset [V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)]^*$ .

Similarly, if  $(A_3)$  is satisfied, by

$$u'' \in L^2(0, T; V^*), \quad u' \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)) \subset L^2(0, T; V^*),$$

we have  $u' \in C([0, T]; V^*)$ , so the initial condition  $u'(0) = u_1 \in H$  makes sense.

*Proof.* We apply Galerkin's method. Let  $w_1, w_2, \dots$  be a linearly independent system in  $V$  if  $(A_3)$  is satisfied and in  $V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)$  if  $(A'_3)$  is satisfied such that the linear combinations are dense in  $V$  and  $V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)$ , respectively. We want to find the  $m$ -th approximation of  $u$  in the form

$$u_m(t) = \sum_{l=1}^m g_{lm}(t) w_l \quad (4)$$

where  $g_{lm} \in W^{2,2}(0, T)$  if  $(A_3)$  is satisfied and  $g_{lm} \in W^{2,2}(0, T) \cap L^\infty(0, T)$  if  $(A'_3)$  is fulfilled, further, for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_m''(t), w_j \rangle + \langle \tilde{Q}(u_m(t)), w_j \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) h'(u_m(t)) w_j \, dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} H(t, x; u_m) w_j \, dx + \int_{\Omega} G(t, x; u_m, u_m') w_j \, dx = \langle F(t), w_j \rangle, \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

$$u_m(0) = u_{m0}, \quad u_m'(0) = u_{m1} \quad (6)$$

where  $u_{m0}, u_{m1}$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are linear combinations of  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m$  satisfying

$$(u_{m0}) \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } V \text{ and } V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega), \text{ respectively, as } m \rightarrow \infty \quad (7)$$

$$\text{and } (u_{m1}) \rightarrow u_1 \text{ in } H \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8)$$

It is not difficult to show that all the conditions of the existence theorem for a system of functional differential equations with Carathéodory conditions (see [8]) are satisfied. Indeed,  $(A_3), (A'_3), (A_4), (A_5)$ , imply that all the terms in (5) containing the coefficients  $g_{lm}(t)$  are continuous with respect to  $g_{lm}(t)$  and they can be estimated by a Lebesgue integrable function if the variables  $g_{lm}(t)$  and  $g'_{lm}(t)$  are in a small neighbourhood.

Thus, by using the Volterra property of  $G$  and  $H$ , we obtain that there exists a solution of (5), (6) in a neighbourhood of 0. Further, the maximal solution of (5), (6) is defined in  $[0, T]$ . Indeed, multiplying (5) by  $g'_{lm}(t)$  and taking the sum with respect to  $j$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u''_m(t), u'_m(t) \rangle + \langle \tilde{Q}(u_m(t)), u'_m(t) \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) h'(u_m(t)) u'_m(t) dx \\ + \int_{\Omega} H(t, x; u_m) u'_m(t) dx + \int_{\Omega} G(t, x; u_m, u'_m) u'_m(t) dx = (F(t), u'_m(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Integrating the above equality over  $(0, t)$  we find by Young's inequality and by using the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \langle \tilde{Q}(u_m(\tau)), u'_m(\tau) \rangle d\tau &= \frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{Q}(u_m(t)), u_m(t) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{Q}(u_m(0)), u_m(0) \rangle, \\ \int_0^t \langle u''_m(\tau), u'_m(\tau) \rangle d\tau &= \frac{1}{2} \|u'_m(t)\|_H^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|u'_m(0)\|_H^2 \end{aligned}$$

(see [15]):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|u'_m(t)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{Q}(u_m(t)), u_m(t) \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) h(u_m(t)) dx \\ + \int_0^t \left[ \int_{\Omega} H(\tau, x; u_m) u'_m(\tau) dx \right] d\tau + \int_0^t \left[ \int_{\Omega} G(\tau, x; u_m, u'_m) u'_m(\tau) dx \right] d\tau \\ = \int_0^t (F(\tau), u'_m(\tau)) d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \|u'_m(0)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle (Qu_m)(0), u_m(0) \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) h(u_m(0)) dx \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|F(\tau)\|_H^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|u'_m(\tau)\|_H^2 d\tau + \text{const} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where the constant is not depending on  $m$  and  $t$ . Indeed, by (6)–(8),  $(u_m(0))$  is bounded in  $V$  and  $V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)$ , respectively, and  $(u'_m(0))$  is bounded in  $H$ ;  $(Qu_m)(0)$  is bounded in  $V^*$  by  $(A_1)$ . Further,  $(h(u_m(0)))$  is bounded in  $L^1(\Omega)$  since by  $(A_3)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} h(u_m(0)) dx &\leq \text{const} \int_{\Omega} [1 + (u_m(0))^{\lambda+1}] dx \\ &\leq \text{const} \int_{\Omega} [1 + (u_m(0))^{(2n-2)/(n-2)}] dx \leq \text{const} \int_{\Omega} [1 + (u_m(0))^{2n/(n-2)}] dx \end{aligned}$$

and by Sobolev's imbedding theorem  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  is continuously imbedded into  $L^{2n/(n-2)}(\Omega)$

and if  $(A'_3)$  is satisfied then

$$\int_{\Omega} h(u_m(0)) dx \leq \text{const} \int_{\Omega} [1 + (u_m(0))^{\lambda+1}] dx \leq \text{const}$$

because  $(u_m(0))$  is bounded in  $L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)$ .

By using  $(A_2)$ ,  $(A_4)$ ,  $(A_5)$  and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain from (10)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|u'_m(t)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{Q}(u_m(t)), u_m(t) \rangle + c_1 \int_{\Omega} h(u_m(t)) dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|F(\tau)\|_H^2 d\tau + \text{const} \int_0^t \|u'_m(\tau)\|_H^2 d\tau + \text{const} \int_0^t \left[ \int_{\Omega} h(u_m(\tau)) dx \right] d\tau + \text{const} \\ & = \text{const} \int_0^t \left[ \|u'_m(\tau)\|_H^2 + \int_{\Omega} h(u_m(\tau)) dx \right] d\tau + \text{const}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Consequently,

$$\|u'_m(t)\|_H^2 + \int_{\Omega} h(u_m(t)) dx \leq \text{const} \left\{ 1 + \int_0^t \left[ \|u'_m(\tau)\|_H^2 + \int_{\Omega} h(u_m(\tau)) dx \right] d\tau \right\}$$

where the constant is not depending on  $t$  and  $m$ . Thus by Gronwall's lemma

$$\|u'_m(t)\|_H^2 + \int_{\Omega} h(u_m(t)) dx \leq \text{const}. \quad (12)$$

Hence by (11) and  $(A_1)$  we obtain in a neighbourhood of 0

$$\|u_m(t)\|_V \leq \text{const} \quad (13)$$

and the constant is not depending on  $t$  which implies that the maximal solution of (5), (6) is defined in  $[0, T]$ . Further, the estimates (12), (13) hold for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and in the case  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ ,  $n \geq 3$

$$\|u_m(t)\|_{V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)} \leq \text{const}, \quad (14)$$

thus

$$\|u_m\|_{L^\infty(0, T; V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega))} \leq \text{const}. \quad (15)$$

By (12), (13), if  $(A_3)$  is satisfied, there exist a subsequence of  $(u_m)$ , again denoted by  $(u_m)$  and  $u \in L^\infty(0, T; V)$  such that

$$(u_m) \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } L^\infty(0, T; V), \quad (16)$$

$$(u'_m) \rightarrow u' \text{ weakly in } L^\infty(0, T; H) \quad (17)$$

in the following sense: for any fixed  $g \in L^1(0, T; V^*)$  and  $g_1 \in L^1(0, T; H)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \langle g(t), u_m(t) \rangle dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \langle g(t), u(t) \rangle dt, \\ & \int_0^T (g_1(t), u'_m(t)) dt \rightarrow \int_0^T (g_1(t), u'(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, in the case  $\lambda > \lambda_0$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , there exist a subsequence of  $(u_m)$  and a function  $u \in L^\infty(0, T; V) \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)$  such that

$$(u_m) \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } L^\infty(0, T; V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)), \quad (18)$$

which means: for any fixed  $g \in L^1(0, T; (V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega))^*)$

$$\int_0^T \langle g(t), u_m(t) \rangle dt \rightarrow \int_0^T \langle g(t), u(t) \rangle dt.$$

Since the imbedding  $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$  into  $L^2(\Omega)$  is compact, by (16)–(18) we have for a subsequence

$$(u_m) \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(0, T; H) = L^2(Q_T) \text{ and a.e. in } Q_T. \quad (19)$$

As  $\tilde{Q} : V \rightarrow V^*$  is a linear and continuous operator, by (16) for all  $v \in V$  and  $v \in V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)$ , respectively, we have

$$\langle (Qu_m)(t), v \rangle \rightarrow \langle (Qu)(t), v \rangle \text{ weakly in } L^\infty(0, T) \quad (20)$$

and by (17)

$$\langle u_m''(t), v \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} \langle u_m'(t), v \rangle \rightarrow \langle u''(t), v \rangle \quad (21)$$

with respect to the weak convergence of the space of distributions  $D'(0, T)$ .

Further, by (19) and the continuity of  $h'$

$$\varphi(x)h'(u_m(t)) \rightarrow \varphi(x)h'(u(t)) \text{ for a.e. } (t, x) \in Q_T.$$

Now we show that for any fixed

$$v \in L^2(0, T; V), \quad v \in L^2(0, T; V) \cap L^1(0, T; L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)),$$

respectively, the sequence of functions

$$\varphi(x)h'(u_m(t))v \quad (22)$$

is equiintegrable in  $Q_T$ . Indeed, if  $(A_3)$  is satisfied then by Sobolev's imbedding theorem and (13) for all  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(x)h'(u_m(t))\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \text{const} \|h'(u_m(t))\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq \text{const} \left[ 1 + \int_{\Omega} |u_m(t)|^{2\lambda_0} dx \right] \leq \text{const} \left[ 1 + \|u_m(t)\|_V^{2\lambda_0} \right] \leq \text{const}, \end{aligned}$$

thus the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality implies that the sequence of functions (22) is equiintegrable in  $Q_T$ .

If  $(A'_3)$  is satisfied then for all  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\varphi(x)h'(u_m(t))|^{(\lambda+1)/\lambda} dx \leq \text{const} \int_{\Omega} [h(u_m(t)) + 1] dx \leq \text{const}$$

thus Hölder's inequality implies that the sequence (22) is equiintegrable in  $Q_T$ . Consequently, by Vitali's theorem we obtain that for any fixed

$$v \in L^2(0, T; V), \quad v \in L^2(0, T; V) \cap L^1(0, T; L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)),$$

respectively,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_T} \varphi(x)h'(u_m(t))v dt dx = \int_{Q_T} \varphi(x)h'(u(t))v dt dx \quad (23)$$

and

$$\varphi(x)h'(u(t)) \in L^2(0, T; V^*), \quad \varphi(x)h'(u(t)) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^{(\lambda+1)/\lambda}(\Omega)) \quad (24)$$

if  $(A_3)$ ,  $(A'_3)$  holds, respectively.

Further, by (19) and (A<sub>4</sub>)

$$H(t, x; u_m) \rightarrow H(t, x; u) \text{ a.e. in } Q_T \quad (25)$$

and by (12)

$$\int_{Q_T} |H(t, x; u_m)|^2 dx dt \leq \text{const} \int_{Q_T} h(u_m(t)) dx dt \leq \text{const},$$

hence, by the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, for any fixed  $v \in L^2(0, T; V)$ , the sequence of functions  $H(t, x; u_m)v$  is equiintegrable in  $Q_T$ , thus by (25) and Vitali's theorem

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_T} H(t, x; u_m)v dt dx = \int_{Q_T} H(t, x; u)v dt dx \quad (26)$$

and

$$H(t, x; u) \in L^2(0, T; V^*).$$

Similarly, (17), (19) and (A<sub>5</sub>) imply

$$G(t, x; u_m, u'_m) \rightarrow G(t, x; u, u') \text{ weakly in } L^\infty(0, T; H) \quad (27)$$

and for arbitrary  $v \in L^2(Q_T)$  and, consequently, for all  $v \in L^2(0, T; V)$  by (27)

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{Q_T} G(t, x; u_m, u'_m)v dt dx = \int_{Q_T} G(t, x; u, u')v dt dx \quad (28)$$

and

$$G(t, x; u, u') \in L^2(Q_T) \subset L^2(0, T; V^*).$$

Now let

$$v \in V \text{ and } \psi \in C_0^\infty(0, T)$$

be arbitrary functions. Further, let  $z_N = \sum_{j=1}^N b_j w_j$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , be a sequence of functions such that

$$(z_N) \rightarrow v \text{ in } V \text{ and } V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega), \quad (29)$$

respectively. Further, by (5) we have for all  $m \geq N$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \langle -u'_m(t), z_N \rangle \psi'(t) dt + \int_0^T \langle \tilde{Q}(u_m(t)), z_N \rangle \psi(t) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \varphi(x) h'(u_m(t)) z_N \psi(t) dt dx + \int_0^T \int_\Omega H(t, x; u_m) z_N \psi(t) dt dx \\ & + \int_0^T \int_\Omega G(t, x; u_m, u'_m) z_N \psi(t) dt dx = \int_0^T \langle F(t), z_N \rangle \psi(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

By (17), (20), (23), (26), (28) we obtain from (30) as  $m \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \langle u'(t), z_N \rangle \psi'(t) dt + \int_0^T \langle \tilde{Q}(u(t)), z_N \rangle \psi(t) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \int_\Omega \varphi(x) h'(u(t)) z_N \psi(t) dt dx + \int_0^T \int_\Omega H(t, x; u) z_N \psi(t) dt dx \\ & + \int_0^T \int_\Omega G(t, x; u, u') z_N \psi(t) dt dx = \int_0^T \langle F(t), z_N \rangle \psi(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$



From equality (30) we obtain as  $N \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_0^T \langle u'(t), v \rangle \psi'(t) dt + \int_0^T \langle \tilde{Q}(u(t)), v \rangle \psi(t) dt \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) h'(u(t)) v \psi(t) dt dx + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} H(t, x; u) v \psi(t) dt dx \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} G(t, x; u, u') v \psi(t) dt dx = \int_0^T \langle F(t), \rangle \psi(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Since  $v \in V$  and  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(0, T)$  are arbitrary functions, (31) means that

$$u'' \in L^2(0, T; V^*) \text{ and } u'' \in L^2(0, T; (V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega))^*), \quad (32)$$

respectively (see, e.g. [15]), and for a.a.  $t \in [0, T]$

$$u'' + Qu + \varphi(x)h'(u) + H(t, x; u) + G(t, x; u, u') = F, \quad (33)$$

i.e. we proved (1).

Now we show that the initial condition (2) holds. Since  $u \in L^\infty(0, T; V)$ ,  $u' \in L^\infty(0, T; H)$ , we have  $u \in C([0, T]; H)$  and for arbitrary  $\psi \in C^\infty[0, T]$  with the properties  $\psi(0) = 1$ ,  $\psi(T) = 0$ , and all  $j$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \langle u'(t), w_j \rangle \psi(t) dt = -(u(0), w_j)_H - \int_0^T \langle u(t), w_j \rangle \psi'(t) dt, \\ & \int_0^T \langle u'_m(t), w_j \rangle \psi(t) dt = -(u_m(0), w_j)_H - \int_0^T \langle u_m(t), w_j \rangle \psi'(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (6), (7), (16), (17), we obtain as  $m \rightarrow \infty$

$$(u_0, w_j)_H = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (u_{m0}, w_j)_H = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (u_m(0), w_j)_H = (u(0), w_j)_H$$

for all  $j$  which implies  $u(0) = u_0$ .

Similarly, since

$$u' \in L^\infty(0, T; H) \text{ and } u'' \in L^2(0, T; V^*) + L^\infty(0, T; L^{(\lambda+1)/\lambda}(\Omega))$$

if  $(A'_3)$  holds, we obtain by Remark 2.2 with a function  $\psi \in C^\infty[0, T]$  with the properties  $\psi(0) = 1$ ,  $\psi(T) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \langle u''(t), w_j \rangle \psi(t) dt = \int_0^T \frac{d}{dt} \langle u'(t), w_j \rangle \psi(t) dt \\ & = -(u'(0), w_j)_H - \int_0^T \langle u'(t), w_j \rangle \psi'(t) dt, \\ & \int_0^T \langle u''_m(t), w_j \rangle \psi(t) dt = -(u'_m(0), w_j)_H - \int_0^T \langle u'_m(t), w_j \rangle \psi'(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

whence by (6), (8), (17), (32), we obtain as  $m \rightarrow \infty$

$$(u_1, w_j)_H = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (u_{m1}, w_j)_H = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (u'_m(0), w_j)_H = (u'(0), w_j)_H$$

for all  $j$  which implies  $u'(0) = u_1$ . The case where  $(A_3)$  holds is similar. ■

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let the operator  $\tilde{Q}$  be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{Q}\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \left[ \sum_{j,l=1}^n a_{jl}(x) (D_l \tilde{u})(D_j \tilde{v}) + d(x) \tilde{u} \tilde{v} \right] dx \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\Omega} \left[ D_j \tilde{v}(x) \int_{\Omega} K_j(x, y) D_j \tilde{u}(y) dy \right] dx + \int_{\Omega} \left[ \tilde{v}(x) \int_{\Omega} K_0(x, y) \tilde{u}(y) dy \right] dx \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_{jl}, d \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ,  $a_{jl} = a_{lj}$ ,  $\sum_{j,l=1}^n a_{jl}(x) \xi_j \xi_l \geq c_0 |\xi|^2$ ,  $d \geq c_0$  with some positive constant  $c_0$  and the functions  $K_j \in L^2(\Omega \times \Omega)$  satisfy

$$K_j(x, y) = K_j(y, x) \text{ for a.a. } x, y \in \Omega \text{ and } \int_{\Omega \times \Omega} K_j(x, y) w(x) w(y) dx dy \geq 0$$

for all  $w \in L^2(\Omega)$ . (The last assumption means that the integral operators defined by the kernels  $K_j$  are selfadjoint and positive.) Then, clearly, assumption (A<sub>1</sub>) is satisfied.

If  $h$  is a  $C^1$  function such that  $h(\eta) = |\eta|^{\lambda+1}$  if  $|\eta| > 1$  then (A<sub>3</sub>), (A'<sub>3</sub>), respectively, are satisfied.

Further, let  $\tilde{h} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function satisfying

$$\text{const } |\eta|^{(\lambda+1)/2} \leq |\tilde{h}(\eta)| \leq \text{const } |\eta|^{(\lambda+1)/2} \text{ for } |\eta| > 1$$

with some positive constants. It is not difficult to show that the operators  $H$  defined by one of the formulas

$$H(t, x; u) = \chi(t, x) \tilde{h} \left( \int_{Q_t} u(\tau, \xi) d\tau d\xi \right),$$

$$H(t, x; u) = \chi(t, x) \tilde{h} \left( \int_0^t u(\tau, x) d\tau \right),$$

$$H(t, x; u) = \chi(t, x) \tilde{h} \left( \int_{\Omega} u(t, \xi) d\xi \right),$$

$$H(t, x; u) = \chi(t, x) \tilde{h}(u(\tau(t), x))$$

$$\text{where } \tau \in C^1, \quad 0 \leq \tau(t) \leq t, \quad \tau'(t) \geq c_1 > 0,$$

satisfy (A<sub>4</sub>) if  $\chi \in L^{\infty}(Q_T)$ .

The operator  $G$  may have the form

$$G(t, x; u, w) = \psi_1(t, x; u) w(t) + \psi_2(t, x; u)$$

where the values of the operators (of Volterra type)  $\psi_1, \psi_2 : Q_T \times L^2(Q_T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are bounded,

$$(u_k) \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^2(Q_T) \text{ and a.e. in } Q_T$$

$$\text{imply } \psi_j(t, x; u_k) \rightarrow \psi_j(t, x; u) \text{ for a.a. } (t, x) \in Q_T \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Then (A<sub>5</sub>) is fulfilled. The operators  $\psi_1, \psi_2$  may have form similar to the above forms of  $H$  with bounded continuous functions  $\tilde{h}$ .

REMARK 2.4. Instead of  $\int_{Q_t} u(\tau, \xi) d\tau d\xi$  one may consider  $\int_{Q_t} K(t, x; \tau, \xi) u(\tau, \xi) d\tau d\xi$  with “sufficiently good” kernel  $K$ . Similar generalizations of  $\int_0^t u(\tau, x) d\tau$  and  $\int_{\Omega} u(t, \xi) d\xi$  can be considered.

**3. Solutions in  $(0, \infty)$ .** Now we formulate and prove existence of solutions for  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Denote by  $L_{\text{loc}}^p(0, \infty; V)$  the set of functions  $u : (0, \infty) \rightarrow V$  such that for each fixed finite  $T > 0$ , their restrictions to  $(0, T)$  satisfy  $u|_{(0, T)} \in L^p(0, T; V)$  and let  $Q_\infty = (0, \infty) \times \Omega$ ,  $L_{\text{loc}}^\alpha(Q_\infty)$  the set of functions  $u : Q_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u|_{Q_T} \in L^\alpha(Q_T)$  for any finite  $T$ .

Now we formulate assumptions on  $H$  and  $G$ .

(B<sub>4</sub>) The function  $H : Q_\infty \times L_{\text{loc}}^2(Q_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is such that for all fixed  $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(Q_\infty)$  the function  $(t, x) \mapsto H(t, x; u)$  is measurable,  $H$  has the Volterra property (see (A<sub>4</sub>)) and for each fixed finite  $T > 0$ , the restriction  $H_T$  of  $H$  to  $Q_T \times L^2(Q_T)$  satisfies (A<sub>4</sub>).

REMARK 3.1. Since  $H$  has the Volterra property, the restriction  $H_T$  is well defined by the formula

$$H_T(t, x; \tilde{u}) = H(t, x; u), \quad (t, x) \in Q_T, \quad \tilde{u} \in L^2(Q_T)$$

where  $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(Q_\infty)$  may be any function satisfying  $u(t, x) = \tilde{u}(t, x)$  for  $(t, x) \in Q_T$ .

(B<sub>5</sub>) The operator

$$G : Q_\infty \times L_{\text{loc}}^2(Q_\infty) \times L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, \infty; H) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is such that for all fixed  $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(Q_\infty)$ ,  $w \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, \infty; H)$  the function  $(t, x) \mapsto G(t, x; u, w)$  is measurable,  $G$  has the Volterra property and for each fixed finite  $T > 0$ , the restriction  $G_T$  of  $G$  to  $Q_T \times L^2(Q_T) \times L^\infty(0, T; H)$  satisfies (A<sub>5</sub>).

THEOREM 3.2. Assume (A<sub>1</sub>)–(A<sub>3</sub>), (B<sub>4</sub>), (B<sub>5</sub>). Then for all  $F \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(0, \infty; H)$ ,  $u_0 \in V$ ,  $u_1 \in H$  there exists

$$u \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, \infty; V) \text{ such that } u' \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, \infty; H), \quad u'' \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(0, \infty; V^*),$$

$u$  satisfies (1) for a.a.  $t \in (0, \infty)$  (in the sense formulated in Theorem 2.1) and the initial condition (2).

If (A<sub>1</sub>), (A<sub>2</sub>), (A<sub>3</sub>'), (B<sub>4</sub>), (B<sub>5</sub>) are fulfilled then for all  $F \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(0, \infty; H)$ ,  $u_0 \in V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)$ ,  $u_1 \in H$  there exists

$$u \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, \infty; V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)) \text{ such that } u' \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, \infty; H),$$

$$u'' \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(0, \infty; V^*) + L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, \infty; L^{(\lambda+1)/\lambda}(\Omega)) \subset L_{\text{loc}}^2(0, \infty; [V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)]^*),$$

$u$  satisfies (1) for a.a.  $t \in (0, \infty)$  (in the sense formulated in Theorem 2.1) and the initial condition (2).

If there exists a finite  $T_0 > 0$  such that

$$\text{for a.a. } t > T_0, \quad F(t) = 0, \quad G(t, x; u, w) = 0, \quad (34)$$

$$\text{for a.a. } t > T_0, \quad H(t, x; u) = 0 \quad (35)$$

then for the above solution  $u$  we have

$$u \in L^\infty(0, \infty; V), \quad u \in L^\infty(0, \infty; V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)), \text{ respectively,} \quad (36)$$

$$\text{and } u' \in L^\infty(0, \infty; H). \quad (37)$$

Further, if instead of (34) the condition

$$F - F_\infty \in L^2(0, \infty; H) \text{ and } G(t, x; u, u')u'(t) \geq \tilde{c}u'(t)^2 \quad (38)$$

holds with some constant  $\tilde{c} > 0$  and with some  $F_\infty \in H$  such that there exists  $u_\infty \in V$  satisfying  $\tilde{Q}u_\infty = F_\infty$  then

$$\|u'(t)\|_H \leq \text{const } e^{-\tilde{c}t}, \quad t \in (0, \infty) \quad (39)$$

and there exists  $w_0 \in H$  such that

$$u(T) \rightarrow w_0 \text{ in } H \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty, \quad \|u(T) - w_0\|_H \leq \text{const } e^{-\tilde{c}T}. \quad (40)$$

*Proof.* Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.1, we apply Galerkin's method and we want to find the  $m$ -th approximation of solution  $u$  for  $t \in (0, \infty)$  in the form (see (4)).

$$u_m(t) = \sum_{l=1}^m g_{lm}(t) w_l$$

where  $g_{lm} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,2}(0, \infty)$  if  $(A_3)$  is satisfied and  $g_{lm} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,2}(0, \infty) \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, \infty)$  if  $(A'_3)$  is satisfied. Here  $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,2}(0, \infty)$  and  $L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, \infty)$  denote the set of functions  $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that the restriction of  $g$  to  $(0, T)$  belongs to  $W^{2,2}(0, T)$ ,  $L^\infty(0, T)$ , respectively.

According to the arguments in the proof of Theorem 2.1, there exists a solution of (5), (6) in a neighbourhood of  $t = 0$ . Further, we obtain estimates (12)–(13) and (14)–(15), respectively, for  $t \in [0, T]$  with sufficiently small  $T$  where on the right hand side are finite constants (depending on  $T$ ). Consequently, the maximal solutions of (5), (6) are defined in  $(0, \infty)$  and the estimates (12)–(15) hold for all finite  $T > 0$  (if  $t \in [0, T]$ ), the constants on the right hand sides are depending only on  $T$ .

Let  $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a monotone increasing sequence, converging to  $+\infty$ . According to the arguments in the proof of Theorem 2.1, there is a subsequence  $(u_{m_1})$  of  $(u_m)$  for which (16), (17) and (18) hold, respectively, with  $T = T_1$ . Further, there is a subsequence  $(u_{m_2})$  of  $(u_{m_1})$  for which (16), (17) and (18) hold, respectively, with  $T = T_2$ , etc. By a diagonal process we obtain a sequence  $(u_{mm})_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that (16), (17), (18) hold for every fixed  $T > 0$ ; further,

$$\begin{aligned} u &\in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, \infty; V), \quad u' \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, \infty; H), \quad u'' \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(0, \infty; V^*) \\ \text{and } u &\in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, \infty; V \cap L^{\lambda+1}(\Omega)), \quad u' \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, \infty; H), \\ u'' &\in L_{\text{loc}}^2(0, \infty; V^*) + L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(0, \infty; L^{(\lambda+1)/\lambda}(\Omega)), \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

Now we consider the case where (34) holds. Then by (10) we obtain for all  $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|u'_m(t)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle (Qu_m)(t), u_m(t) \rangle + c_1 \int_\Omega h(u_m(t)) dx \\ \leq \|F\|_{L^2(0, T_0; H)} \|u'_m\|_{L^2(0, T_0; H)} + \frac{1}{2} \|u'_m(0)\|_H^2 \\ + \frac{1}{2} \langle (Qu_m)(0), u_m(0) \rangle + c_2 \int_\Omega h(u_m(0)) dx \\ + \text{const} \int_0^{T_0} \int_\Omega h(u_m(\tau)) dx d\tau + \text{const} [\|u'_m\|_{L^2(0, T_0; H)}^2 + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the right hand side of this inequality can be estimated by a constant not depending on  $m$  and  $t > 0$ , we obtain (36) and (37).

If (38) holds instead of (34), we find (39) from (10) in a similar way. By (9),  $\tilde{Q}u_\infty = F_\infty$ , (38) we obtain for  $w_m = u_m - u_\infty$  (since  $w'_m = u'_m$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle w''_m(t)w'_m(t) \rangle + \langle (Qw_m)(t), w'_m(t) \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x)h'(u_m)u'_m(t) dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega} H(t, x; u_m)w'_m(t) dx + \int_{\Omega} G(t, x; u_m, u'_m)w'_m(t) dx = \langle F(t) - F_\infty, w'_m(t) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Integrating over  $[0, t]$  we find (similarly to (10))

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|w'_m(t)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{Q}(w_m(t)), w_m(t) \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x)h(u_m(t)) dx + \tilde{c} \int_0^t \left[ \int_{\Omega} |w'_m(\tau)|^2 dx \right] d\tau \\ & \leq \varepsilon \int_0^t \left[ \int_{\Omega} |w'_m(\tau)|^2 dx \right] d\tau + C(\varepsilon) \int_0^t \|F(\tau) - F_\infty\|_H^2 d\tau \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \|u'_m(0)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle (Qu_m)(0), u_m(0) \rangle + c_2 \int_{\Omega} h(u_m(0)) dx \\ & + \text{const} \left\{ \int_0^{T_0} \left[ \int_{\Omega} h(u_m(\tau)) dx \right] d\tau \right\}^{1/2} \|w'_m\|_{L^2(0, T_0; H)}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Choosing  $\varepsilon = \tilde{c}/2$  we obtain

$$\int_0^t \left[ \int_{\Omega} |w'_m(\tau)|^2 dx \right] d\tau \leq \text{const} \quad (43)$$

for all  $t > 0$ ,  $m$  which implies  $u' \in L^2(0, \infty; H)$  because for every finite  $T > 0$

$$w'_m = u'_m \rightarrow u' \text{ weakly in } L^\infty(0, T; H).$$

Further, from (42), (43) we obtain

$$\|u'_m(t)\|_H^2 + \tilde{c} \int_0^t \|u'_m(\tau)\|_H^2 d\tau \leq c^*$$

with some positive constant  $c^*$  not depending on  $m$  and  $t$ . Thus by Gronwall's lemma we find

$$\|u'_m(t)\|_H^2 = \|w'_m(t)\|_H^2 \leq c^* e^{-\tilde{c}t}, \quad t > 0,$$

which implies (39).

Further, for arbitrary  $T_1 < T_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(T_2) - u(T_1)\|_H^2 &= (u(T_2), u(T_2) - u(T_1))_H - (u(T_1), u(T_2) - u(T_1))_H \\ &= \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \langle u'(t), u(T_2) - u(T_1) \rangle dt = \int_{T_1}^{T_2} (u'(t), u(T_2) - u(T_1))_H dt \\ &\leq \|u(T_2) - u(T_1)\|_H \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \|u'(t)\|_H dt \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|u(T_2) - u(T_1)\|_H \leq \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \|u'(t)\|_H dt. \quad (44)$$

Hence by (39)

$$\|u(T_2) - u(T_1)\|_H \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } T_1, T_2 \rightarrow \infty$$

which implies (40) and by (44), (39) we obtain

$$\|u(T) - w_0\|_H \leq \int_T^\infty \|u'(t)\|_H dt \leq \text{const } e^{-\tilde{c}T}. \blacksquare$$

#### 4. Uniqueness of the solution

THEOREM 4.1. Assume that the conditions (A<sub>1</sub>)–(A<sub>5</sub>) are fulfilled such that

$$G(t, x; u, u') = \tilde{\psi}(x)u'(t)$$

where  $\tilde{\psi}$  is measurable and

$$0 \leq \tilde{\psi}(x) \leq \text{const}, \quad (45)$$

$h$  is twice continuously differentiable and

$$|h''(\eta)| \leq \text{const } |\eta|^{\lambda-1} \text{ for } |\eta| > 1. \quad (46)$$

Further, for all  $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \left[ \int_\Omega |H(\tau, x; u_1) - H(\tau, x; u_2)|^2 dx \right] d\tau &\leq M(K) \int_0^t \left[ \int_\Omega |u_1 - u_2|^2 dx \right] d\tau \\ &\text{if } u_j \in L^\infty(0, T; V) \text{ and } \|u_j\|_{L^\infty(0, T; V)} \leq K, \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where  $M(K)$  is a constant depending on  $K$ .

Then the solution of (1), (2) (formulated in Theorem 2.1) is unique. Further, if  $u_j$  is a solution of (1), (2) with  $F = F_j$ ,  $u_0 = u_0^j$ ,  $u_1 = u_1^j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) then for

$$w = u_1 - u_2 \text{ and } w_1(s) = \int_0^s [u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau)] d\tau$$

we have

$$\|w(s)\|_H^2 + \|w_1(s)\|_V^2 \leq \chi_0(F_j, u_0^j, u_1^j) e^s [\|f_1 - f_2\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \|u_0^1 - u_0^2\|_V^2 + \|u_1^1 - u_1^2\|_H^2] \quad (48)$$

where  $\chi_0$  is a function whose values are bounded if  $\|F_j\|_{L^2(Q_T)}$ ,  $\|u_0^j\|_V$ ,  $\|u_1^j\|_H$  are bounded and

$$f_j(t) = \int_0^t F_j(\tau) d\tau.$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $u_j$  is a solution of (1), (2) with  $F = F_j$ ,  $u_0 = u_0^j$ ,  $u_1 = u_1^j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ). Let  $s \in [0, T]$  be an arbitrary fixed number and apply (3) (with  $u_j$ ) to  $v$  defined by

$$v(t) = \int_t^s [u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau)] d\tau \text{ if } 0 \leq t \leq s \text{ and } v(t) = 0 \text{ if } s < t \leq T.$$

It is not difficult to show that  $v \in L^2(0, T; V)$  thus we may apply (3) to  $v$ , further,

$$v \in C(0, T; V), \quad v' \in L^\infty(0, T; V), \quad (49)$$

$$v'(t) = -w(t) = u_2(t) - u_1(t) \text{ if } t < s \text{ and } v'(t) = 0 \text{ if } s < t$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w''(t), v(t) \rangle + \langle Qw(t), v(t) \rangle + \int_\Omega \varphi(x)[h'(u_1) - h'(u_2)], v(t) dx \\ + \int_\Omega [H(t, x; u_1) - H(t, x; u_2)]v(t) dx + \int_\Omega \tilde{\psi}(x)w'(t)v(t) dx = \langle F_1(t) - F_2(t), v(t) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating over  $(0, s)$ , by (49) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^s \langle w''(t), v(t) \rangle dt + \int_0^s \langle Qw(t), v(t) \rangle dt + \int_0^s \left[ \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\psi}(x) w'(t) v(t) dx \right] dt \\ & + \int_0^s \langle F_1(t) - F_2(t), v(t) \rangle dt - \int_0^s \left[ \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) [h'(u_1) - h'(u_2)], v(t) dx \right] dt \\ & - \int_0^s \left[ \int_{\Omega} [H(t, x; u_1) - H(t, x; u_2)] v(t) dx \right] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Since

$$w \in L^\infty(0, T; V), \quad w' \in L^\infty(0, T; H), \quad w'' \in L^2(0, T; V^*), \quad (51)$$

by (49) and Remark 2.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s \langle w''(t), v(t) \rangle dt &= \int_0^s \langle w'(t), w(t) \rangle dt - \langle w'(0), v(0) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|w(s)\|_H^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|w(0)\|_H^2 - \langle w'(0), v(0) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

It is not difficult to show (see, e.g. [15], [12]) that by (A<sub>1</sub>)

$$\int_0^s \langle Qw(t), v(t) \rangle dt = - \int_0^s \langle Qv'(t), v(t) \rangle dt = - \frac{1}{2} \langle Qv(s), v(s) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle Qv(0), v(0) \rangle. \quad (53)$$

Consequently, since  $v(s) = 0$ , integrating by parts, from (50), (52), (53) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|w(s)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{2} \langle Qv(0), v(0) \rangle + \int_0^s \left[ \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\psi}(x) w^2(t) dx \right] dt \\ &= \int_0^s \langle F_1(t) - F_2(t), v(t) \rangle dt + \int_{\Omega} w'(0) v(0) dx + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\psi}(x) w(0) v(0) dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \|w(0)\|_H^2 - \int_0^s \left[ \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) [h'(u_1) - h'(u_2)] v(t) dx \right] dt \\ &- \int_0^s \left[ \int_{\Omega} [H(t, x; u_1) - H(t, x; u_2)] v(t) dx \right] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

By using the definition of  $v$ ,  $w$  and the notation  $w_1(s) = \int_0^s w(\tau) d\tau$  we have

$$v(0) = \int_0^s w(\tau) d\tau = w_1(s) \quad (55)$$

and by (A<sub>1</sub>)

$$\langle Qv(0), v(0) \rangle \geq c_0 \|v(0)\|_V^2 = c_0 \|w_1(s)\|_V^2. \quad (56)$$

Further, by using the notation  $f_j(t) = \int_0^t F_j(\tau) d\tau$ , integrating by parts, we obtain by Young's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^s \langle F_1(t) - F_2(t), v(t) \rangle dt \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \int_0^s [f_1'(t) - f_2'(t)] v(t) dt \right\} dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \int_0^s [f_1(t) - f_2(t)] w(t) dt \right\} dx \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \|w(t)\|_H^2 dt + \frac{1}{2} \|f_1 - f_2\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Similarly, by (55)

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} w'(0) v(0) dx \right| \leq \varepsilon \|w_1(s)\|_V^2 + C_1(\varepsilon) \|w'(0)\|_H^2 \quad (58)$$

and by (45)

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\psi}(x) w(0) v(0) dx \right| \leq \varepsilon \|w_1(s)\|_V^2 + C_2(\varepsilon) \|w(0)\|_H^2. \quad (59)$$

( $C_j(\varepsilon)$  denote constants depending on  $\varepsilon$ .)

The first nonlinear term on the right hand side of (54) can be estimated as follows: by (A<sub>2</sub>) and (46)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^s \left[ \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) [h'(u_1) - h'(u_2)] v(t) dx \right] dt \right| \\ & \leq \text{const} \left| \int_0^s \left[ \int_{\Omega} \sup\{|h''(\eta)| : \eta \in (a, b)\} |u_1(t) - u_2(t)| |v(t)| dx \right] dt \right| \\ & \leq \text{const} \int_0^s \left[ \int_{\Omega} (|u_1(t)|^{\lambda_0-1} + |u_2(t)|^{\lambda_0-1} + 1) |u_1(t) - u_2(t)| |v(t)| dx \right] dt \quad (60) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a = \min\{u_1(t), u_2(t)\}, \quad b = \max\{u_1(t), u_2(t)\}$$

since

$$|h''(\eta)| \leq \text{const} |\eta|^{\lambda_0-1} = \text{const} |\eta|^{2/(n-2)} \text{ if } |\eta| > 1$$

(for  $n = 2$ ,  $\lambda_0$  may be any positive number).

Since  $V$  is continuously imbedded into  $L^q(\Omega)$  where  $q = \frac{2n}{n-2} = n(\lambda_0 - 1)$ , we may apply Hölder's inequality by  $\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^s \left[ \int_{\Omega} (|u_1(t)|^{\lambda_0-1} + |u_2(t)|^{\lambda_0-1} + 1) |u_1(t) - u_2(t)| |v(t)| dx \right] dt \\ & \leq \text{const} \int_0^s [\| |u_1(t)|^{\lambda_0-1} \|_{L^n(\Omega)} + \| |u_2(t)|^{\lambda_0-1} \|_{L^n(\Omega)} + 1] \|w(t)\|_H \|v(t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} dt \\ & \leq \text{const} \int_0^s [\|u_1(t)\|_V^{\lambda_0-1} + \|u_2(t)\|_V^{\lambda_0-1} + 1] \|w(t)\|_H \|v(t)\|_V dt. \quad (61) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u_1, u_2$  are solutions of (1), (2), by using arguments in the proof of Theorem 2.1, one can show that the  $L^\infty(0, T; V)$  norm of  $u_j$  can be estimated by a function of  $\|F_j\|_{L^2(Q_T)}$ ,  $\|u_0^j\|_V$ ,  $\|u_1^j\|_H$ , the values of which are bounded if  $\|F_j\|_{L^2(Q_T)}$ ,  $\|u_0^j\|_V$ ,  $\|u_1^j\|_H$  are bounded. (See the proof of (12)–(15).) Therefore, since

$$v(t) = w_1(s) - w_1(t) \text{ for } t \leq s,$$

we obtain from (60), (61)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^s \left[ \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) [h'(u_1) - h'(u_2)] v(t) dx \right] dt \right| \leq \chi(F_j, u_0^j, u_1^j) \int_0^s \|w(t)\|_H \|v(t)\|_V dt \\ & \leq \chi(F_j, u_0^j, u_1^j) \int_0^s \|w(t)\|_H [\|w_1(t)\|_V + \|w_1(s)\|_V] dt \\ & \leq \chi(F_j, u_0^j, u_1^j) [\varepsilon \|w_1(s)\|_V^2 + C(\varepsilon) \int_0^s (\|w(t)\|_H^2 + \|w_1(t)\|_V^2) dt] \quad (62) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi(F_j, u_0^j, u_1^j)$  is bounded if  $\|F_j\|_{L^2(Q_T)}$ ,  $\|u_0^j\|_V$ ,  $\|u_1^j\|_H$  are bounded.



For the last term on the right hand side of (54) we have, by using the notation

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_j(t) &= \int_0^t H(\tau, x; u_j) d\tau, \quad j = 1, 2, \\ \left| \int_0^s \left[ \int_{\Omega} (H(t, x; u_1) - H(t, x; u_2)) v(t) dx \right] dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_0^s (\chi_1'(t) - \chi_2'(t)) v(t) dt \right] dx \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_0^s (\chi_1(t) - \chi_2(t)) w(t) dt \right] dx \right| \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_0^s |\chi_1(t) - \chi_2(t)|^2 dt \right] dx \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_0^s \|w(t)\|_H^2 dt \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

The assumption (47) implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_0^s |\chi_1(t) - \chi_2(t)|^2 dt \right] dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_0^s \left| \int_0^t [H(\tau, x; u_1) - H(\tau, x; u_2)] d\tau \right|^2 dt \right] dx \\ &\leq \text{const} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \int_0^s |H(\tau, x; u_1) - H(\tau, x; u_2)|^2 d\tau \right] dx \leq \tilde{M}(K) \int_0^s \|w(\tau)\|_H^2 d\tau \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

if  $\|u_j\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V)} \leq K$  where  $\tilde{M}(K)$  is a constant depending on  $K$ .

Choosing sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we obtain from (54), (56)–(59), (62)–(64)

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(s)\|_H^2 + \|w_1(s)\|_V^2 &\leq \tilde{\chi}(F_j, u_0^j, u_1^j) \int_0^s [\|w(t)\|_H^2 + \|w_1(t)\|_V^2] dt \\ &\quad + \text{const} [\|f_1 - f_2\|_{L^2(Q_s)}^2 + \|w(0)\|_V^2 + \|w'(0)\|_H^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Gronwall's lemma we obtain (48). ■

**REMARK 4.2.** By using Examples in Section 2 it is not difficult to formulate examples satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 4.1.

**REMARK 4.3.** By a usual argument (Cantor's trick) one obtains: if the solution is unique (by the above theorem) then not only a subsequence but also the original sequence  $(u_m)$  obtained by Galerkin's method converges to the solution  $u$  weakly in  $L^\infty(0, T; V)$ , strongly in  $L^2(Q_T)$  and  $(u'_m) \rightarrow u'$  weakly in  $L^\infty(0, T; H)$ .

**Acknowledgments.** This work was supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research under grant OTKA K 81403.

## References

- [1] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev Spaces*, Pure Appl. Math. 65, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [2] J. Berkovits, V. Mustonen, *Topological degree for perturbations of linear maximal monotone mappings and applications to a class of parabolic problems*, Rend. Mat. Appl. (7) 12 (1992), 597–621.
- [3] Y. Boukhatef, B. Benabderrahmane, *Blow up of solutions for a semilinear hyperbolic equation*, Electron. J. Qual. Differ. Equ. 2012, No. 40, 1–12.

- [4] S. Boulite, L. Maniar, G. M. N'Guérékata, *Almost automorphic solutions for hyperbolic semilinear evolution equations*, Semigroup Forum 71 (2005), 231–240.
- [5] W. Czernous, *Global solutions of semilinear first order partial functional differential equations with mixed conditions*, Funct. Differ. Equ. 18 (2011), 135–154.
- [6] C. Giorgi, J. E. Muñoz Rivera, V. Pata, *Global attractors for a semilinear hyperbolic equation in viscoelasticity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 260 (2001), 83–99.
- [7] A. Guezane-Lakoud, A. Chaoui, *Roethe method applied to semilinear hyperbolic integro-differential equation with integral conditions*, Int. J. Open Probl. Comput. Sci. Math. 4 (2011), No. 1, 1–14.
- [8] J. K. Hale, *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*, second ed., Appl. Math. Sci. 3, Springer, New York, 1977.
- [9] J.-L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires*, Dunod Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [10] A. Nowakowski, *Nonhomogeneous boundary value problem for semilinear hyperbolic equation. Stability*, J. Dyn. Control Syst. 14 (2008), 537–558.
- [11] L. Simon, *On nonlinear hyperbolic functional differential equations*, Math. Nachr. 217 (2000), 175–186.
- [12] L. Simon, *Application of monotone type operators to parabolic and functional parabolic PDE's*, in: Handbook of Differential Equations. Evolutionary Equations, Vol. 4, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2008, 267–321.
- [13] D. Tataru, *Strichartz estimates in the hyperbolic space and global existence for the semilinear wave equation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001), 795–807.
- [14] J. Wu, *Theory and Applications of Partial Functional-Differential Equations*, Appl. Math. Sci. 119, Springer, New York, 1996.
- [15] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications. II/B. Nonlinear Monotone Operators*, Springer, New York, 1990.