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#### Abstract

We consider Poisson pencils, each generated by a linear Poisson-Lie bracket and a quadratic Poisson bracket corresponding to a so-called Reflection Equation Algebra. We show that any bracket from such a Poisson pencil (and consequently, the whole pencil) can be restricted to any generic leaf of the Poisson-Lie bracket. We realize a quantization of these Poisson pencils (restricted or not) in the framework of braided affine geometry. Also, we introduce super-analogs of all these Poisson pencils and describe the corresponding quantum algebras. A few detailed examples are exhibited.


1. Introduction. In the paper we deal with certain Poisson pencils defined in the algebras $\mathbb{K}\left[g l(m)^{*}\right] \cong \operatorname{Sym}(g l(m))$ and their super-analogs. Hereafter, $\mathbb{K}$ is the ground field, namely $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$. The Poisson center ${ }^{11}$ of each bracket from such a pencil coincides with that of the linear Poisson-Lie bracket $\{,\}_{g l(m)}$ (or that of $\{,\}_{g l(m \mid n)}$ in a super-case) coming in the pencil. It is in this sense that we speak about pencils with the gl-type center. Consequently, any bracket from such a pencil can be restricted to an arbitrary generic $G L(m)$-orbit $\mathcal{O} \subset g l(m)^{*}$ (or its super-analog).

The simplest example of pencils possessing this property is the following one (below it will be treated as a restriction of a pencil defined in the algebra $\operatorname{Sym}(g l(2)))$. Let

$$
\{,\}_{s l(2)}: \operatorname{Sym}(s l(2))^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}(s l(2))
$$

[^0]be the linear Poisson-Lie bracket corresponding to the $s l(2)$ Lie structure and defined in the standard basis $\{x, h, y\}$ of the algebra $\operatorname{Sym}(s l(2))$ as follows:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{x, y\}_{s l(2)}=h, \quad\{h, x\}_{s l(2)}=2 x, \quad\{h, y\}_{s l(2)}=-2 y \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The Poisson center of the bracket (1) consists of functions $p\left(x y+y x+\frac{h^{2}}{2}\right)$ where $p$ is a polynomial in one variable.

Also, consider the following quadratic Poisson bracket

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{x, y\}^{\prime}=h^{2}, \quad\{h, x\}^{\prime}=2 x h, \quad\{h, y\}^{\prime}=-2 y h \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that these two brackets are compatible and any bracket from the corresponding pencil

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{,\}_{a, b}=a\{,\}_{s l(2)}+b\{,\}^{\prime} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

possesses the same center as the bracket $\{,\}_{s l(2)}$ does. Consequently, this Poisson pencil can be restricted to any variety defined by the equation $x y+y x+\frac{h^{2}}{2}=C \neq 0$.

In virtue of the famous Kontsevich result [K] any Poisson structure on a smooth variety $\mathcal{M}$ can be quantized by deformation quantization means. Namely, there exists a new associative product in the commutative algebra $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{M}][[\hbar]]$ (where $\hbar$ is a quantization parameter), satisfying the so-called correspondence principle. Consequently, each individual bracket from the pencil (3) or its restriction can be quantized in this sense. However, in general it is not clear what are relations between quantum algebras arising from the pencil (3) and those arising from its restrictions. Namely, whether the latter quantum algebras can be realized as some quotients of the former ones. In order to answer this question we have to describe the quantum analog of the center of the pencil (3).

Fortunately, the Poisson pencil (3) can be explicitly quantized. As a result, we get a family of quantum algebras depending on two parameters (which can be specialized to numbers since our quantization is not formal). Moreover, the center of any such an algebra can be easily described. Namely, each center is also generated by a quadratic element but it is not symmetric any more with respect to permutation of the factors in its summands and it cannot be written in a symmetric form. Consequently, the pairing defined on the space $\mathcal{L}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{K}}(x, h, y)$ via the matrix inverse to that formed by the coefficients of this quadratic element is not symmetric either. This is a hint that the resulting quantum algebra is related to a braiding, i.e. to a solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation (see Section 3). Though in Section 2 we quantize the pencil (3) by a direct and somewhat elementary method, it can be also done by a general method based on the so-called $R$-matrix technique. This technique enables us to introduce a quantum (braided) trace (or $R$-trace) which plays a crucial role in describing the center of the algebras (super-algebras included) arising from pencils similar to (3).

The $R$-trace is an ingredient of the braided geometry considered in GS1. Other ingredients are braided Lie algebras, braided vector fields (which are not considered in this paper), and braided affine varieties. Braided varieties, we are dealing with, are deformations of generic $G L(m)$-orbits in $g l(m)^{*}$ (or their super-analogs). They are in a sense regular varieties since for such a variety there exists a projective module playing the role of the cotangent vector bundle in the framework of the Serre approach (see [GS2]). Thus,
by quantizing $g l(m)$ generalization of the Poisson pencil (3) we get a braided (i.e. related to a braiding) deformation of the enveloping algebra $U(g l(m))$. Whereas the quantum counterpart of such a pencil restricted to a generic orbit in $g l(m)^{*}$ can be realized as a quotient of this "braided enveloping algebra". Finally, this quotient is treated as a braided generic orbit.

Note that Poisson pencils analogous to (3) exist on super-algebras Sym $(g l(m \mid n))$ too. Their quantization gives rise to braided algebras with similar properties. The main goal of this paper is to describe these Poisson structures, their restrictions to generic superorbits in $g l(m \mid n)^{*}$ and their quantum counterparts. A crucial role in our construction is played by a quantum (braided) version of the Cayley-Hamilton (CH) identity valid for the generating matrix $L$ of the Reflection Equation Algebra (REA) found in GPS1. This identity enables us to define eigenvalues of the matrix $L$. In terms of these eigenvalues we introduce a criterion of regularity of super-orbits and their braided counterparts.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we quantize the Poisson pencil (3) without using any technique related to braidings. Nevertheless, we arrive at an algebra which is in a sense a braided algebra. In Section 3 we consider a family of such braided algebras deforming super-commutative algebras $\operatorname{Sym}(g l(m \mid n))$ and exhibit the corresponding super-Poisson structures. In Section 4 we consider restrictions of such Poisson structures to generic super-orbits in $g l(m \mid n)^{*}$. Their quantum counterparts are braided generic orbits. In Section 5 we consider two low-dimensional examples (the first of them is just our basic example but treated in the framework of braided geometry). In the last section we list a few open problems related to a more general class of Poisson pencils with $g l$-type center.
2. Basic example. Let us consider the algebra $\mathbb{K}\left[s l(2)^{*}\right] \cong \operatorname{Sym}(s l(2))$ endowed with the Poisson pencil (3). Observe that the Poisson bracket (2) coming in this pencil is quadratic and differs by the factor $h$ from the linear bracket (1). Furthermore, it can be easily seen that the function Cas $=x y+y x+\frac{h^{2}}{2}$ is central for any Poisson bracket from this pencil:

$$
\{\text { Cas, } f\}_{a, b}=0 \quad \forall f \in \operatorname{Sym}(s l(2)) .
$$

We treat the enveloping algebra $U\left(s l(2)_{\hbar}\right)$ of the Lie algebra ${ }^{2} s l(2)_{\hbar}$ as a quantum counterpart of the Poisson algebra $\operatorname{Sym}(s l(2))$ with the Poisson-Lie bracket $\{,\}_{s l(2)}$. Our immediate aim is to quantize any bracket from the pencil (3) (in fact, we simultaneously quantize the whole Poisson pencil).

First, we quantize the bracket $\{,\}^{\prime}$ alone. Consider an associative algebra generated by three elements $x, h, y$ subject to the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
h x-x h=\nu(a h x+b x h), \quad h y-y h=-\nu(c h y+d y h), \quad x y-y x=\nu h^{2}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a, b, c$ and $d$ are parameters subject to the constraint $a+b=c+d=2$. The quantization parameter $\nu$ (as well as all parameters below) can be specialized to a number from the ground field $\mathbb{K}$.

[^1]The main feature of a quantization of the algebras in question is that it should give rise to quantum objects with a good deformation property in the following sense. Let a quadratic algebra $\mathcal{A}(\nu)$ depends on a parameter $\nu$ and at the "classical limit" $\nu \rightarrow 0$ it turns into the symmetric algebra of a space $V: \mathcal{A}(0)=\operatorname{Sym}(V)$. We say that $\mathcal{A}(\nu)$ possesses a good deformation property if $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}(\nu)^{k}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sym}^{k}(V)$ for any $k \geq 0$ and a generic $\nu$. Here the superscript $k$ stands for the $k$-th degree homogeneous component. If moreover, $\mathcal{A}(\nu, \hbar)$ is a quadratic-linear algebra such that $\mathcal{A}(\nu, 0)=\mathcal{A}(\nu)$, we say that it has a good deformation property if $\operatorname{Gr} \mathcal{A}(\nu, \hbar)=\mathcal{A}(\nu)$ where Gr stands for the associated graded algebra. In the same sense we speak about the good deformation property of algebras close to super-algebras $\operatorname{Sym}(g l(m \mid n))$.

In what follows we additionally assume $a=d, b=c$ in relations (4). Otherwise, as can be shown (see footnote 3), the corresponding quotient algebra is not a quantum object, i.e. it does not possess the good deformation property. Under this condition we can rewrite relations (4) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{2} h x-x h=0, \quad q^{2} y h-h y=0, \quad x y-y x-\nu h^{2}=0, \quad \text { where } q^{2}=\frac{1-a \nu}{1+b \nu} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to show that the algebra defined by relations (5) is indeed a quantization of the Poisson algebra $\operatorname{Sym}(\operatorname{sl}(2))$ with the Poisson bracket $\{,\}^{\prime}$, we fix the family of elements $\left\{e_{k, l, m}=x^{k} y^{l} h^{m}, k, l, m=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$ in it. Then we have to show that this family is a basis of the algebra in question (an analog of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem). To this end we have to check that the products $x e_{k, l, m}, y e_{k, l, m}$, and $h e_{k, l, m}$ can be expressed as a linear combination of the elements $\left\{e_{k, l, m}\right\}$. Besides, we should verify that the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q^{2} h x-x h\right) e_{k, l, m}=0, \quad\left(q^{2} y h-h y\right) e_{k, l, m}=0, \quad\left(x y-y x-\nu h^{2}\right) e_{k, l, m}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

do not lead to any dependencies among the elements $e_{k, l, m}$ for all $k, l, m$. Details are left to the reader.

Note that another way of verifying the good deformation property of these algebras is based on some special projectors GPS2 (see also the next Section).

Thus, we have got a family of quantum algebras depending on a value of $a$. However, for $\nu \neq 0$ all these quantizations are equivalent (over $\mathbb{C}$ ). It can be shown by rescaling the generator $h$. So, we set $a=b=1$. Then the relations between generators become

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{2} h x-x h=0, \quad q^{2} y h-h y=0, \quad\left(q^{2}+1\right)(x y-y x)+\left(q^{2}-1\right) h^{2}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(i.e. $q^{2}=\frac{1-\nu}{1+\nu}$ or equivalently, $\nu=\frac{1-q^{2}}{1+q^{2}}$ ).

Denote by $\mathcal{A}(q)$ the algebra generated by the space $\mathcal{A}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{K}}(x, h, y)$ where the generators are subject to the relations (7). The algebra $\mathcal{A}(q)$ is in a sense the " $q$-symmetric" algebra of the space $\mathcal{A}$. Below, we explain the exact meaning of this claim. Now, we pass to a quantization of the whole Poisson pencil (3).

To this end we look for numerical factors $A, B, C$ such that the algebra defined by the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{2} h x-x h=A x, \quad q^{2} y h-h y=B y, \quad\left(q^{2}+1\right)(x y-y x)+\left(q^{2}-1\right) h^{2}=C h \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

would have the good deformation property.

In order to find such factors we use the Jacobi identity in the form of PP . Let $I \subset \mathcal{A}^{\otimes 2}$ be a subspace spanned by the left hand side of (8). Then the space $I \otimes \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A} \otimes I$ is one-dimensiona $3^{3}$ and it is spanned by the element

$$
\begin{gathered}
y\left(q^{2} h x-x h\right)+q^{-2} x\left(q^{2} y h-h y\right)+h\left(x y-y x-\nu h^{2}\right)= \\
q^{-2}\left(q^{2} h x-x h\right) y+\left(q^{2} y h-h y\right) x+\left(x y-y x-\nu h^{2}\right) h .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using relations (8) we reduce this equality to the form

$$
A y x+q^{-2} B x y+\frac{C h^{2}}{1+q^{2}}-\left(q^{-2} A x y+B y x+\frac{C h^{2}}{1+q^{2}}\right)=0
$$

According to the Jacobi condition from $\overline{\mathrm{PP}}$ the left hand side of this relation must belong to $I$. Gathering similar terms and applying the relations (8), we come to the equality

$$
(A-B)\left(\left(1-q^{-2}\right) x y+\frac{q^{2}-1}{q^{2}+1} h^{2}-\frac{\bar{C}}{q^{2}+1} h\right)=0 .
$$

In case $q^{2} \neq 1$ the only possible choice is $A=B$. For the factor $C$ there is no restriction.
We assume $C \neq 0$, then by rescaling $x$ (or $y$ ) we can get ${ }^{4}$

$$
C=A=B=2_{q} \hbar,
$$

where $\hbar$ is a new quantization parameter and the $q$-numbers are defined in the usual way

$$
k_{q}=\frac{q^{k}-q^{-k}}{q-q^{-1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\hbar, q)$ the algebra defined by the relations (8) with $A=B=C=2_{q} \hbar$. So, if $\hbar=0$ this algebra turns into $\mathcal{A}(q)$. Choosing the same basis $\left\{e_{k, l, m}\right\}$ in the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\hbar, q), \hbar \neq 0$, we can show that the property similar to (6) (with linear terms added) is still valid and we conclude that the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\hbar, q)$ has the good deformation property and it is a two parameter deformation of $\operatorname{Sym}(s l(2))$.

In order to get a quantization of one bracket from the pencil $\{,\}_{a, b}$ it suffices to bound the parameters of quantization $q=\exp (\alpha \mu), \hbar=\beta \mu$, and to find the Poisson bracket corresponding to the parameter $\mu$.

Now, motivated by the fact that all brackets $\{,\}_{a, b}$ have the center generated by the element Cas $=x y+y x+h^{2} / 2$ we want to find the center of the quantum algebra $\mathcal{A}(\hbar, q)$. It is not difficult to check that the element

$$
\operatorname{Cas}_{q}=q^{-1} x y+q y x+\frac{h^{2}}{2_{q}}
$$

is central in the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\hbar, q)$ and therefore, so are all elements $p\left(\mathrm{Cas}_{q}\right)$ where $p$ is a polynomial in one variable.

The matter is that the element $\mathrm{Cas}_{q}$ is not symmetric and it cannot be written in a symmetric form. The pairing $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ defined by the matrix inverse to the matrix

[^2]composed from the coefficients of the element $\mathrm{Cas}_{q}$ becomes ${ }^{5}$
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x, y\rangle=q^{-1}, \quad\langle y, x\rangle=q, \quad\langle h, h\rangle=2_{q} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The pairing is not symmetric either. This is a hint that this quantum algebra can be related to a braiding different from the usual permutation operator. In Section 5 we exhibit this relation after having considered the general case in Sections 3. In Section 4 we also consider general analogs of the quantum (braided) hyperboloids defined by the equation $\operatorname{Cas}_{q}=$ const $\neq 0$.
3. REA and corresponding Poisson pencils on super-spaces. In the previous section we considered an example of a Poisson pencil such that its brackets possess just the same center as the bracket $\{,\}_{s l(2)}$ has. Also, we quantized this pencil without using any braiding. In this section we consider a general case which includes the previous example. Our consideration also covers Poisson pencils on super-spaces $g l(m \mid n)^{*}$. Their quantization gives rise to algebras related to certain braidings as well. However, our presentation goes in the opposite direction: we begin with the quantum objects called (modified) Reflection Equation Algebras. Afterwards, we consider their Poisson counterparts. Each of these counterparts is a pencil comprising the linear bracket $\{,\}_{g l(m \mid n)}$ and having the center of $g l$ type. This property enables us to restrict the Poisson pencils in question to generic orbits in $g l(m \mid n)^{*}$.

Let us consider a super-space $V=V_{0} \oplus V_{1}$ with $\operatorname{dim} V_{0}=m$ and $\operatorname{dim} V_{1}=n$. We call the ordered pair $(m \mid n)$ the super-dimension of the super-space $V$. Let $R \in \operatorname{End}\left(V^{\otimes 2}\right)$ be a Hecke symmetry ${ }^{6}$ defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m+n}(-1)^{\bar{i}} q^{1-2 \bar{i}} e_{i}^{i} \otimes e_{j}^{j}+\sum_{i \neq j}(-1)^{\bar{i} \bar{j}} e_{i}^{j} \otimes e_{j}^{i}+\left(q-q^{-1}\right) \sum_{j>i} e_{i}^{i} \otimes e_{j}^{j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{i}^{j}$ stands for the $(m+n) \times(m+n)$ matrix with 1 at the intersection of the i-th row and j -th column and 0 otherwise and $\bar{i}$ is the parity of $i$, i.e.

$$
i=0 \quad \text { if } \quad 1 \leq i \leq m \quad \text { and } \quad i=1 \quad \text { if } \quad m+1 \leq i \leq m+n
$$

Note that for $q \rightarrow 1$ this braiding turns into a super-flip denoted in the sequel by $\sigma^{m, n}$.
Consider a unital associative algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$ generated by indeterminates $l_{i}^{j}, 1 \leq$ $i, j \leq m+n$ subject to the following multiplication rules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R L_{1} R L_{1}-L_{1} R L_{1} R=\hbar\left(R L_{1}-L_{1} R\right), \quad L_{1}=L \otimes I, \quad L=\left\|l_{i}^{j}\right\| \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]subject to the second degree equation
$$
(q I-R)\left(q^{-1} I+R\right)=0 .
$$

We assume $q \in \mathbb{K}$ to be generic. In particular, this means that $q \neq 0$ and $q^{n} \neq 1$ for $n=2,3,4, \ldots$

We call $L$ the generating matrix of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$. Here $\hbar \in \mathbb{K}$ and $q \in \mathbb{K}$ coming in the braiding $R$ are two deformation parameters. The one parameter algebra $\mathcal{L}(q):=\mathcal{L}(0, q)$ is called Reflection Equation Algebra (REA) while the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$ will be referred to as the modified REA.

Going back to the Hecke symmetry note that it is skew-invertible. By definition, this means that there exists an operator $\Psi \in \operatorname{End}\left(V^{\otimes 2}\right)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{2} R_{12} \Psi_{23}=\sigma_{13}
$$

Here $\operatorname{Tr}_{2}$ stands for the (usual) trace applied to the operator product $R_{12} \Psi_{23} \in \operatorname{End}\left(V^{\otimes 3}\right)$ in the second space and $\sigma_{13}$ is the usual flip transposing the first and third spaces in the tensor product $V^{\otimes 3}:=V_{1} \otimes V_{2} \otimes V_{3}$.

Consider two operators $B: V \rightarrow V$ and $C: V \rightarrow V$ defined as follows:

$$
B=\operatorname{Tr}_{1} \Psi, \quad C=\operatorname{Tr}_{2} \Psi
$$

Note the operators $B$ and $C$ are bound by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
B C=q^{2(n-m)} I \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided the Hecke symmetry $R$ is a deformation of the super-flip $\sigma^{m, n}$ (and even in a more general setting discussed in [GPS2]). Consequently, the operators $B$ and $C$ are invertible.

These operators play a crucial role in defining an intrinsic trace $\operatorname{Tr}_{R}$ related to the braiding $R$. Namely, we put by definition

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{k}:=\operatorname{Tr}\left(L^{k} C\right)
$$

We call the operation $\operatorname{Tr}_{R}$ the $R$-trace. The crucial property of the elements $\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{k}$ is that they are central in the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$. They are called braided Casimir elements. We are especially interested in the braided quadratic Casimir element $\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{2}$.

As for the operator $B$, we use it for constructing a representation of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$. Namely, in the basis $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ of the space $V$ coordinated with the matrix form (10) of the above Hecke symmetry we set

$$
\pi\left(l_{i}^{j}\right)\left(x_{k}\right)=B_{k}^{j} x_{i}
$$

Then the map

$$
\pi: \mathcal{L}(1, q) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)
$$

defines a representation of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(1, q)$ (see [GPS2]). Moreover, we get an embed$\operatorname{ding} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$ where $\mathcal{L}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{K}}\left(l_{i}^{j}\right)$ and consequently the family $\left\{l_{i}^{j}\right\}$ constitutes a basis of the space End $(V)$.

We also need a numerical $R$-trace operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}_{R}: \operatorname{End}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a braided analog of the usual numerical trace. In the basis $\left\{l_{i}^{j}\right\}$ it has the form $\operatorname{tr}_{R}\left(l_{i}^{j}\right)=\delta_{i}^{j}$. Note that the above embedding $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$ also enables us to present the usual product

$$
\circ: \operatorname{End}(V)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)
$$

in the basis $\left\{l_{i}^{j}\right\}$. Namely, we have $l_{i}^{j} \circ l_{k}^{m}=B_{k}^{j} l_{i}^{m}$. Consequently, we can define a pairing on the space $\mathcal{L}$ by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle,\rangle: \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}, \quad\left\langle l_{i}^{j}, l_{k}^{m}\right\rangle=\operatorname{tr}_{R}\left(l_{i}^{j} \circ l_{k}^{m}\right)=B_{k}^{j} \delta_{i}^{m} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this pairing is non-degenerate on the space $\mathcal{L}$. Also, as follows from the relation 12), the matrix of this paring is inverse (up to a factor) to the matrix of coefficients in the braided quadratic Casimir $\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{2}$.

In the case of the Hecke symmetry 10 the operator $C$ represented in the same basis $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ of the space $V$ has the form (see [I])

$$
C_{i}^{j}=(-1)^{\bar{i}} q^{2 n+(-1)^{\bar{i}}(2 i-2 m-1)} \delta_{i}^{j} .
$$

The operator $B$ can be found from the relation (12).
We treat the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$ corresponding to the Hecke symmetry 10) as a braided analog of the enveloping algebra $U\left(g l(m \mid n)_{\hbar}\right)$. Now, we want to define an analog of the algebra $U\left(s l(m \mid n)_{\hbar}\right)$, provided that $m \neq n$.

Let $\ell=\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L=\operatorname{Tr}(L C)$ be the linear braided Casimir element. Applying the numerical $R$-trace $\operatorname{tr}_{R}$ to this element we have (see GPS2])

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{R} \ell=\operatorname{Tr} C=q^{m-n}(m-n)_{q}
$$

This quantity vanishes iff $m=n$ (recall that $q$ is generic).
Assuming $m \neq n$, we put $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)=\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q) /\langle\ell\rangle$. The algebra $\mathcal{S L}(\hbar, q)$ is generated by the space $\mathcal{S L}$ of traceless elements (with respect to numerical $R$-trace $\operatorname{tr}_{R}$ ) of the space $\mathcal{L}$. Being restricted to the space $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}$, the pairing (14) is still non-degenerate. The algebra $\mathcal{S L}(\hbar, q)$ is considered as a braided analog of the enveloping algebra $U\left(s l(m \mid n)_{\hbar}\right)$.

Now, consider the Poisson structures corresponding to the algebras $\mathcal{L}(q)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$, assuming the Hecke symmetry entering their definition to be of the form 10). First, we consider the algebra $\mathcal{L}(q)$. This algebra has the good deformation property, i.e. $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{L}(q)^{k}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Sym}^{k}(g l(m \mid n))$ for any $k$ and generic $q$ (see GPS2). Thus, we can treat the product in the algebra $\mathcal{L}(q)$ as a new noncommutative product in the initial (super-commutative) algebra $\operatorname{Sym}(g l(m \mid n))$ so that this product depends smoothly on $q$.

The explicit construction can be shortly described as follows. In GPS2 we discussed the projectors $P^{k}: \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$ (called $R$-symmetrizers). Explicitly they are known only for $k=2,3$. Define the map $\alpha_{k}: \operatorname{Sym}^{k}(g l(m \mid n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(q)^{k}$ as follows. Let us embed $\operatorname{Sym}^{k}(g l(m \mid n))$ into $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$ in a natural way (we identify $\mathcal{L}$ and $g l(m \mid n)$ as linear spaces). Then $\alpha_{k}$ is by definition the restriction of $P^{k}$ to $\operatorname{Sym}^{k}(g l(m \mid n))$. Using the family of maps $\left\{\alpha_{k}\right\}$ we can push the product in the algebra $\mathcal{L}(q)$ to that in $\operatorname{Sym}(g l(m \mid n))$ by

$$
f \star_{q} g=\alpha_{k+l}^{-1}\left(\alpha_{k}(f) \alpha_{l}(g)\right), \quad \text { if } \quad f \in \operatorname{Sym}^{k}(g l(m \mid n)), g \in \operatorname{Sym}^{l}(g l(m \mid n)) .
$$

Now develop this product in $\nu=\log (q)$

$$
f \star_{q} g=f g+\nu c_{1}(f, g)+\nu^{2} c_{2}(f, g)+\ldots, \quad c_{i}(f, g) \in \operatorname{Sym}(g l(m \mid n))
$$

Following the classical pattern (with one additional condition indicated below) we can show that the expression $\{f, g\}=c_{1}(f, g)-c_{1}\left(\sigma^{m, n}(f \otimes g)\right)$ is a super-Poisson bracket.

This means that the following axioms are fulfilled for all $f, g, h \in \operatorname{Sym}(g l(m \mid n))$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\{,\}=-\{,\} \sigma^{m, n}(f \otimes g), \\
\{,\}(\{,\} \otimes I)\left(I+\sigma_{12}^{m, n} \sigma_{23}^{m, n}+\sigma_{23}^{m, n} \sigma_{12}^{m, n}\right)(f \otimes g \otimes h)=0, \\
\sigma^{m, n}(\{f, g\} \otimes h)=(I \otimes\{,\}) \sigma_{12}^{m, n} \sigma_{23}^{m, n}(f \otimes g \otimes h) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that for even or odd elements $f$ and $g$ the third relation leads to the consequence $\{f, g\}=\bar{f}+\bar{g}$ where $\bar{f}$ is the parity of $f \in \operatorname{Sym}(g l(m \mid n))$.

The additional condition mentioned above is as follows. The terms $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ should be coordinated with the parity in the same manner:

$$
\sigma^{m, n}\left(c_{i}(f, g) \otimes h\right)=\left(I \otimes c_{i}\right) \sigma_{12}^{m, n} \sigma_{23}^{m, n}(f \otimes g \otimes h), \quad \forall f, g, h \in \operatorname{Sym}(g l(m \mid n)), i=1,2
$$

Assuming the Hecke symmetry to be of the form 10), we conjecture that the product $\star_{q}$ in the algebra $\operatorname{Sym}(g l(m \mid n))$ is such that the corresponding terms $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ possess this property. (In order to check this conjecture we need an explicit form of the $R$-symmetrizers $P^{k}$ mentioned above.)

Taking this conjecture for granted, it is not difficult to compute the corresponding Poisson bracket. Fist, we rewrite the relations (with $\hbar=0$ ) as follows:

$$
\mathrm{R} L_{1} \mathrm{R}_{21} L_{2}-L_{2} \mathrm{R} L_{1} \mathrm{R}_{21}=0
$$

where $\mathrm{R}=\sigma^{m, n} R, \mathrm{R}_{21}=R \sigma^{m, n}$ and $L_{2}=\sigma^{m, n} L_{1} \sigma^{m, n}$. Then by developing the operator $\mathrm{R}=I+\nu r+\cdots$ and comparing the terms linear in $\nu$, we find the corresponding Poisson bracket on the generators of the algebra $\operatorname{Sym}(g l(m \mid n))$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{,\}^{\prime}\left(L_{1} \otimes L_{2}\right)=-r L_{1} L_{2}-L_{1} r_{21} L_{2}+L_{2} L_{1} r_{21}+L_{2} r L_{1} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $r_{21}=\sigma^{m, n} r \sigma^{m, n}$ and $r \in \operatorname{End}\left(V^{\otimes 2}\right)$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i j}^{k l}=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m+n}(-1)^{\bar{i}}(1-2 \bar{i}) e_{i}^{i} \otimes e_{j}^{j}+2 \sum_{j>i} e_{j}^{i} \otimes e_{i}^{j} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to the classical case this Poisson bracket is compatible with the linear bracket $\{,\}_{g l(m \mid n)}$. These two brackets span the pencil which is the semi-classical counterpart of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$.

Besides, all elements $\operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma^{m, n}} L^{k}$ belong to the Poisson center of any bracket from the Poisson pencil spanned by the brackets $\{,\}_{g l(m \mid n)}$ and $\{,\}^{\prime}$. In other words, this pencil has the center of $g l$ type. So, any such a bracket restricts to all "super-orbits" defined by polynomial equations $\operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma^{m, n}} L^{k}=a_{k}$. These Poisson structures and their braided analogs are considered in the next Section.

Note that the third axiom from the list above for the bracket with $r$ given by (16) can be checked by direct calculations.
4. Poisson pencils on super-orbits and their quantization. As was shown in GPS1, if $R$ is any skew-invertible Hecke symmetry, then the generating matrix $L$ of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(q)$ satisfies a Cayley-Hamilton (CH) type identity. If $R$ is a deformation of
the super-flip $\sigma^{m, n}$ this identity takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} b_{i}(L) L^{i}=0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{i}(L)$ are non-trivial central elements of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(q)$. Moreover, if $n \neq 0$ the leading coefficient $b_{m+n}(L)$ is not a number.

Upon multiplying the identity 17 by $b_{m+n}(L)$, we can represent it in the following factorized form:

$$
\left(b_{m+n}(L) \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(L-\mu_{i}\right)\right)\left(b_{m+n}(L) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(L-\nu_{j}\right)\right)=0
$$

Here the eigenvalues $\mu_{i}$ and $\nu_{j}$ are elements of the algebraic extension of $Z(\mathcal{L}(q))_{\text {loc }}$ where $Z(\mathcal{L}(q))_{\text {loc }}$ stands for the localization of the center $Z(\mathcal{L}(q))$ by the set $\left\{b_{m+n}^{k}(L), k \geq 1\right\}$. The eigenvalues $\mu_{i}$ (resp., $\nu_{j}$ ) are called even (resp., odd).

The reason for this terminology is the following formula expressing the quantities $\operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma^{m, n}} L^{k}$ via these eigenvalues for a super-matrix:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma^{m}, n} L^{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}^{k}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu_{j}^{k}, \quad k \geq 0
$$

Below we give a braided analog of this formula (see 20, ,21).
In what follows we consider the quotient algebras

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}\right]=\operatorname{Sym}(g l(m \mid n)) / J_{\sigma^{m, n}}(\mu, \nu) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the ideal $J_{\sigma^{m, n}}(\mu, \nu)$ is generated by $m+n$ elements

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma^{m, n}} L^{k}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{i}^{k}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu_{j}^{k}\right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m+n
$$

The algebras $\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}\right]$ are super-analogs of (the coordinate algebras of) affine algebraic varieties.

Let $\{,\}_{a, b}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}}$ be the restriction of the bracket $\{,\}_{a, b}$ to this super-variety. Now, we want to discuss two questions.

For what values of $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{m}\right)$ and $\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \ldots, \nu_{n}\right)$ the quotient $\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}\right]$ can be considered as a regular super-variety and how to quantize the pencil $\{,\}_{a, b}^{\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}}$ ? Hopefully, a quantum analog of $\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}\right]$ is a braided variety which can be presented in a similar way

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(q) /\left\langle\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L-a_{1}, \operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{2}-a_{2}, \ldots, \operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{m+n}-a_{m+n}\right\rangle, \quad a_{i} \in \mathbb{K} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, first we should answer an analogous question in the quantum case: for which values of numbers $a_{i}$ the quotient 19 of the quantum algebra $\mathcal{L}(q)$ can be considered as a regular braided variety? We have to answer this question since it is natural to suppose that a quantum counterpart of a regular (super-)variety is a regular braided one.

It is known that in a classical case $(n=0, q=1)$ the variety $\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mu}\right]$ is regular iff it is a generic orbit, i.e. the orbit of a matrix with pairwise distinct eigenvalues $\mu_{i}$. If an affine algebraic variety $\mathcal{M}$ is defined by a system of polynomial equations $p_{i}=0,1 \leq i \leq k$ then it is regular iff the rank of a matrix formed by gradients of $p_{i}$ is maximal at each
point of the variety. If it is the case, then according to the known Serre result (see [ $\underline{S}]$ ) the space of sections of the cotangent bundle on $\mathcal{M}$ is a finitely generated projective $\mathbb{K}[\mathcal{M}]$-module. We call this module cotangent.

In GS2] we succeeded in constructing analogs of the cotangent module over superand braided varieties ( 18 ) and 19 respectively) for generic values of the quantities $\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{k}$. However, construction of such a module fails for some exceptional values of these quantities. We want to describe the set of exceptional values in terms of eigenvalues of the matrix $L$. To this end we employ the following formula expressing $\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{k}$ in terms of $\mu_{i}$ and $\nu_{j}$.

## Proposition 4.1 ([GPS3]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{k}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{i} \mu_{i}^{k}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{j}^{\prime} \nu_{j}^{k} \quad \forall k \geq 0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the quantum dimensions $d_{i}$ and $d_{j}^{\prime}$ read

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i}=q^{-1} \prod_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq i}}^{m} \frac{\mu_{i}-q^{-2} \mu_{p}}{\mu_{i}-\mu_{p}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_{i}-q^{2} \nu_{j}}{\mu_{i}-\nu_{j}}, \quad d_{j}^{\prime}=-q \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\nu_{j}-q^{-2} \mu_{i}}{\nu_{j}-\mu_{i}} \prod_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq j}}^{n} \frac{\nu_{j}-q^{2} \nu_{p}}{\nu_{j}-\nu_{p}} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, expressing the coefficients $a_{i}$ in 19) in terms of the eigenvalues $(\mu, \nu) \in$ $\mathbb{K}^{\oplus(m+n)}$ we present the algebra 19 as the quotient

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{K}_{q}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}\right]=\mathcal{L}(q) / J_{R}(\mu, \nu) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the ideal $J_{R}(\mu, \nu)$ is generated by the following elements:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{k}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_{i} \mu_{i}^{k}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{j}^{\prime} \nu_{j}^{k}\right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq m+n \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $d_{i}$ and $d_{j}^{\prime}$ given by 21 .
As was shown in GS2], the cotangent module exists on such a braided variety iff the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i} \neq q^{2} \mu_{j}, \quad \nu_{i} \neq q^{2} \nu_{j}, \quad \mu_{i} \neq q^{2} \nu_{j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be the set of eigenvalues $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{K}^{\oplus(m+n)}$ such that at least one of these conditions fails. We call the algebra $\mathbb{K}_{q}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}\right]$ with $(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{K}^{\oplus(m+n)} \backslash \mathcal{E}$ a braided generic orbit.

At the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ we get a similar condition for a generic super-orbit. In this case an analog of the restrictions (24) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i} \neq \mu_{j}, \quad \nu_{i} \neq \nu_{j}, \quad \mu_{i} \neq \nu_{j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, as a quantization of the Poisson bracket $\{,\}^{\prime}$ restricted to a generic super-orbit $\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}\right]$ we can consider the braided variety $\mathbb{K}_{q}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}\right]$ with the same eigenvalues $(\mu, \nu)$. It is evident that if $q-1$ is small enough, then the conditions (25) entail the conditions (24). Consequently, the corresponding braided variety $\mathbb{K}_{q}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}\right]$ is regular or, in other words, a braided generic orbit. However, another choice of the quantum object is also possible: we have only to verify the conditions (24).

In conclusion of this Section we want to emphasize that non-commutative super-(or braided) varieties can be considered in a similar manner. They are appropriate quotients
of the modified REA $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$. Namely, they are defined by the same formula 22 but with quantum dimensions given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{i}=q \prod_{\substack{p=1 \\
p \neq i}}^{m} \frac{\mu_{i}-q^{-2} \mu_{p}-q^{-1} \hbar}{\mu_{i}-\mu_{p}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\mu_{i}-q^{2} \nu_{j}+q \hbar}{\mu_{i}-\nu_{j}},  \tag{26}\\
& d_{j}^{\prime}=-q \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\nu_{j}-q^{-2} \mu_{i}-q^{-1} \hbar}{\nu_{j}-\mu_{i}} \prod_{\substack{p=1 \\
p \neq j}}^{n} \frac{\nu_{j}-q^{2} \nu_{p}+q \hbar}{\nu_{j}-\nu_{p}}
\end{align*}
$$

(see GS2]).
Consequently, the conditions (24) must be modified as well. Thus, the quotient of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$ is by definition a regular braided non-commutative orbit iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}-q^{-2} \mu_{j}-q^{-1} \hbar \neq 0, \quad \nu_{j}-q^{2} \nu_{j}+q \hbar \neq 0, \quad \mu_{i}-q^{2} \nu_{j}+q \hbar \neq 0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to regular braided varieties considered above, this definition is motivated by the fact that on such a regular braided non-commutative orbit there exists the cotangent module. For details the reader is referred to GS2.

So, the quotient $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q) / \hat{J}_{R}(\mu, \nu)$, where the ideal $\hat{J}_{R}(\mu, \nu)$ is defined by the formula similar to that 23) but with $d_{i}$ and $d_{j}^{\prime}$ given by formulae 26), is just a quantum counterpart of the pencil spanned by the brackets $\{,\}_{g l(m \mid n)}$ and restricted to the super-orbit $\mathbb{K}_{q}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}\right]$.

Thus, modulo the conjecture on the product $\star_{q}$ formulated in Section 3 we can state the following.

Proposition 4.2. Let $\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}\right]$ be (the coordinate algebra of) a generic super-orbit, i.e. $\mu$ and $\nu$ are assumed to satisfy (25). Consider its braided counterpart $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q) / \hat{J}_{R}(\mu, \nu)$ defined via $R$ given by (10) and with the same $\mu$ and $\nu$ (then for $\hbar$ and $q-1$ small enough this counterpart is also regular since the restrictions (27) are still valid). Then the semiclassical counterpart of the deformation

$$
\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}\right] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\hbar, q) / \hat{J}_{R}(\mu, \nu)
$$

is the pencil generated by the brackets $\{,\}_{g l(m \mid n)}$ and $\{,\}^{\prime}$ defined through 15), (16) and restricted to the algebra $\mathbb{K}\left[\mathcal{O}_{\mu, \nu}\right]$.

The fact that each bracket from the pencil generated by $\{,\}_{g l(m \mid n)}$ and $\{,\}^{\prime}$ has the same center as the bracket $\{,\}_{g l(m \mid n)}$ enables us to define the restriction of this pencil to any generic super-orbit in $\operatorname{Sym}(g l(m \mid n))$. We emphasize once more that the braided counterpart of this restricted pencil, realized as a quotient of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$, is introduced by means of the so-called R-matrix technique which is a part of braided geometry.
5. Examples. Let us complete the consideration of the example of Section 2. Here we treat it from the viewpoint developed in Sections 3 and 4 .

Consider the Hecke symmetry for $m=2, n=0$

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \lambda & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & q
\end{array}\right), \quad \lambda=q-q^{-1}
$$

The defining relations of the corresponding algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$ with the generating matrix $L=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
q a b-q^{-1} b a=\hbar b, \quad q c a-q^{-1} a c=\hbar c, \quad a d-d a=0 \\
q(b c-c b)=(\lambda a-\hbar)(d-a), \quad q(c d-d c)=c(\lambda a-\hbar), \quad q(d b-b d)=(\lambda a-\hbar) b .
\end{gathered}
$$

The operators $B$ and $C$ are given by the matrices

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & q^{-3}
\end{array}\right), \quad C=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q^{-3} & 0 \\
0 & q^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\ell=\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L=q^{-3} a+q^{-1} d, \quad \operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{2}=q^{-3}\left(a^{2}+b c\right)+q^{-1}\left(c b+d^{2}\right)
$$

These elements are central in the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$. For the numerical $R$-trace $\operatorname{tr}_{R}: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ on the space $\mathcal{L}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{K}}(a, b, c, d,) \cong \operatorname{End}(V)$ we get

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{R} a=1, \quad \operatorname{tr}_{R} b=0, \quad \operatorname{tr}_{R} c=0, \quad \operatorname{tr}_{R} d=1
$$

Therefore, the elements $b, c, h=a-d$ are traceless. Besides, the pairing (14) takes the form

$$
\langle a, a\rangle=q, \quad\langle d, d\rangle=q^{-1}, \quad\langle b, c\rangle=q^{-1}, \quad\langle c, b\rangle=q
$$

all other terms being zero. The first and second formulae above are equivalent to

$$
\langle h, h\rangle=2_{q}, \quad\langle\ell, \ell\rangle=q^{-4} 2_{q}
$$

On rewriting the defining relations for $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$ in the basis $\ell, b, c, h$ and setting $\ell=0$, we recover the defining relations of the algebra $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)=\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q) /\langle\ell\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{2} h b-b h=2_{q} \hbar b, \quad q^{2} c h-c h=2_{q} \hbar c, \quad\left(q^{2}+1\right)(b c-c b)+\left(q^{2}-1\right) h^{2}=2_{q} \hbar h . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

They coincide (up to a notation) with relations (8) where $A=B=C=2_{q} \hbar$. The quadratic central element $\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{2}$ being reduced to the algebra $\mathcal{S L}(\hbar, q)$ becomes $\operatorname{Cas}_{q}$ as in Section 2 where it was found by other means. Being restricted to the space $\mathcal{S L}=$ $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{K}}(b, h, c)$, the pairing (14) takes the form (9) (up to a factor).

The CH identity for the matrix $L$ reads:

$$
L^{2}-\left(q^{-2} a+d\right) L+\left(q^{-2} a d-c b\right) I=0
$$

Thus, according to our definition of eigenvalues we have

$$
\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}=q^{-2} a+d, \quad \mu_{1} \mu_{2}=q^{-2} a d-c b .
$$

Expressing the quantities $\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{2}$ via these eigenvalues we introduce a braided
variety by the following system of polynomial equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L=q^{-3} a+q^{-1} d=q^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{2}=q^{-3}\left(a^{2}+b c\right)+q^{-1}\left(c b+d^{2}\right)=q^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}^{2}+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(q^{-1}-q^{-3}\right) \mu_{1} \mu_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Such a variety is a braided generic orbit iff $\mu_{1} \neq q^{ \pm 2} \mu_{2}$. By imposing the condition $\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L=0$ we get a braided analog of a hyperboloid. This condition entails $\mu_{2}=-\mu_{1}$. So, the braided hyperboloid can be parameterized by one parameter, for instance by $\mu_{1}$. Explicitly, it is given by the equation

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{2}=\left(q^{-3}+q^{-1}\right) \mu_{1}^{2}
$$

For a generic $q$ it is a regular braided variety (and consequently generic orbit) for any $\mu_{1} \neq 0$.

Braided non-commutative orbits can be defined in a similar way as appropriate quotients of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$. For this purpose we have to replace the above system of equations by the following one:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L=q^{-3} a+q^{-1} d=q^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)-q^{-2} \hbar \\
\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{2}=q^{-3}\left(a^{2}+b c\right)+q^{-1}\left(c b+d^{2}\right) \\
\quad=q^{-1}\left(\mu_{1}^{2}+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(q^{-1}-q^{-3}\right) \mu_{1} \mu_{2}-q^{-2} \hbar\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

This braided non-commutative variety is a non-commutative generic orbit iff

$$
\mu_{1} \neq q^{-2} \mu_{2}-q^{-1} \hbar \quad \text { and } \quad \mu_{2} \neq q^{-2} \mu_{1}-q^{-1} \hbar .
$$

To obtain the corresponding bracket $\{,\}^{\prime}$ on the space $g l(2)$ it suffices to extend the bracket constructed in Section 2 by the generator $\ell$ which is Poisson commuting with other generators.

Now, consider another example related to the super-Lie algebra $g l(1 \mid 1)$. This algebra is generated by 4 elements $a, b, c, d$ subject to the relations

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[a, b]=b, \quad[a, c]=-c, \quad[a, d]=0, \quad[d, b]=b} \\
{[d, c]=-c, \quad[b, c]_{+}=d-a, \quad[b, b]_{+}=[c, c]_{+}=0}
\end{gathered}
$$

Notation $[,]_{+}$stands for the anti-commutator. Emphasize that this basis differs from the usual one by the sign at $b$ and $d$, our choice is motivated by that in the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$. The elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma^{1,1}} L=a-d \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Tr}_{\sigma^{1,1}} L^{2}=a^{2}+b c-c b-d^{2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

are central in the enveloping algebra $U(g l(1 \mid 1))$.
The corresponding Hecke symmetry is

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
q & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & q-q^{-1} & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -q^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The operators $B$ and $C$ are

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & -q^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad C=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q & 0 \\
0 & -q
\end{array}\right)
$$

The defining relations in the corresponding algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q^{2} a b-b a=q \hbar b, \quad q^{2} c a-a c=q \hbar c, \quad a d-d a=0, \quad b^{2}=c^{2}=0, \\
& q^{-1} b c+q c b-\left(q-q^{-1}\right) a(a-d)=\hbar(a-d) \\
& b d-d b-\left(q^{2}-1\right) a b=q \hbar b, \\
& c d-d c+\left(q^{2}-1\right) c a=-q \hbar c .
\end{aligned}
$$

The related bracket $\{,\}^{\prime}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \{a, b\}^{\prime}=a b, \quad\{a, c\}^{\prime}=-a c, \quad\{a, d\}^{\prime}=0, \\
& \{d, b\}^{\prime}=a b, \quad\{b, b\}_{+}^{\prime}=\{c, c\}_{+}^{\prime}=0, \\
& \{d, c\}^{\prime}=-a c, \quad\{b, c\}_{+}^{\prime}=c b-a(a-d) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the elements $b, c, h=a-d$ generate the super-Lie subalgebra $s l(1 \mid 1)$. Its multiplication table is

$$
\begin{equation*}
[h, b]=[h, c]=0,[b, c]_{+}=-h \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the bracket $\{,\}^{\prime}$ has no restriction to the super-algebra $\operatorname{Sym}(s l(1 \mid 1))$. Also, note that the elements (29) are central for any bracket from the pencil spanned by the brackets $\{,\}_{g l(1 \mid 1)}$ and $\{,\}^{\prime}$.

In this case the CH identity for the matrix $L$ takes the form

$$
(a-d) L^{2}-\left(a^{2}-d^{2}+b c-c b\right) L+((a-d)(b c-a d)-a(b c-c b)) I=0
$$

This identity can be written in the factorized form (after additional multiplication by $\left.\ell=\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L=q(a-d)\right)$

$$
(\ell L-q S(L))\left(\ell L+q^{-1} A(L)\right)=0
$$

where the polynomials $S(L)$ and $A(L)$ read

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(L)=\frac{1}{2_{q}}\left(q^{-1} \ell^{2}+\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{2}\right), \quad A(L)=\frac{1}{2_{q}}\left(q \ell^{2}-\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{2}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the even $\mu$ and odd $\nu$ eigenvalues of $L$ are defined by the fractions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=q \frac{S(L)}{\ell}, \quad \nu=-q^{-1} \frac{A(L)}{\ell} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (31) and (32) allow us to express $\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{2}$ in terms of eigenvalues. Thus, according to our general approach a braided variety is defined in the algebra $\mathcal{L}(q)$ by the system of equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L=q(a-d)=q^{-1} \mu-q \nu \\
\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{2}=q\left(a^{2}+b c-c b-d^{2}\right)=(\mu+\nu)\left(q^{-1} \mu-q \nu\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

We emphasize that the braided variety defined by the equation

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L=q(a-d)=q^{-1} \mu-q \nu=0
$$

is not regular and according to our terminology is not a braided generic orbit.

Turning to non-commutative braided varieties we have to replace the above equations by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L=q(a-d)=q^{-1} \mu-q \nu+\hbar \\
\operatorname{Tr}_{R} L^{2}=q\left(a^{2}+b c-c b-d^{2}\right)=(\mu+\nu)\left(q^{-1} \mu-q \nu\right)+\hbar(\mu+\nu)
\end{gathered}
$$

The non-commutative braided varieties defined in the algebra $\mathcal{L}(\hbar, q)$ by these equations are regular iff $\mu \neq q^{2} \nu-q \hbar$.
6. Open problems and concluding remarks. Here we formulate some open problems. Consider a generalization of the bracket $\{,\}^{\prime}$ (see Section 2 ) realized in terms of the compact form of $s l(2, \mathbb{C})$. Namely, let $\{x, y, z\}$ be the standard basis in the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{K}\left[s o(3)^{*}\right] \cong \operatorname{Sym}(s o(3))$. In this algebra we introduce a Poisson bracket defined on the generators as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{x, y\}^{\prime}=z p(x, y, z), \quad\{y, z\}^{\prime}=x p(x, y, z), \quad\{z, x\}^{\prime}=y p(x, y, z) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(x, y, z)$ is a fixed polynomial in $x, y, z$. We leave checking the fact that all axioms of a Poisson bracket are fulfilled to the reader.

Also, observe that this Poisson bracket is compatible with $\{,\}_{s o(3)}$. Observe that the center of each bracket from the pencil spanned by $\{,\}_{s o(3)}$ and bracket 33 consists of the elements $q\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)$ where $q$ is a polynomial in one variable.

The following questions are of great interest.

1. How to quantize these brackets explicitly?
2. What is the center of a quantum algebra obtained by a quantization, what is the corresponding pairing, and whether is it possible to treat this pairing via a deformed trace?
3. How to quantize these brackets restricted to a sphere and is it possible to describe the corresponding quantum algebras in a way similar to that of getting the braided varieties above?
4. How to classify all Poisson structures on $g l(m)^{*}$ (or $\left.g l(m \mid n)^{*}\right)$ possessing the same center as the Poisson-Lie bracket $\{,\}_{g l(m)}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\{,\}_{g l(m \mid n)}\right)$ has and how to quantize them as well as their restrictions to a generic orbit in $g l(m)^{*}\left(\right.$ or $\left.g l(m \mid n)^{*}\right)$ ?

Anyway, the corresponding quantum objects cannot be described in the framework of the above braided geometry in its present limits which must be extended.

Remark 1. In conclusion we would like to stress that the above Poisson structures on the space $g l(m)^{*}$ (apart from the Poisson-Lie one) are not unimodular. Roughly speaking, a Poisson structure is called unimodular if there exists a volume form in a sense compatible with the defining bi-vector field. A particular case of unimodular Poisson structures are symplectic ones defined via closed 2 -forms. For a symplectic Poisson structure the role of such a volume form is played by the Liouville measure $\Omega$. For this measure the following relation is valid:

$$
\int\{f, g\} \Omega=0, \quad \forall f, g
$$

So, the map $f \rightarrow \int f \Omega$ is a Poisson analog of the trace. A quantization of such a Poisson structure gives rise to an algebra with a trace possessing the classical property $\operatorname{Tr}[.,]=$. (see [GR]).

A standard example of a symplectic Poisson structure is the restriction of the bracket $\{,\}_{s o(3)}$ to a sphere Cas $=r^{2}$. Its quantum analog is a proper quotient of the algebra $U(s o(3))$ (we put $\hbar=1$ ). For some discrete values of $r$ the quantum algebra can be represented in a finite dimensional Hilbert space endowed with the usual trace. However, the restriction to this sphere of the bracket (33) with $p(x, y, z)=z$ is not symplectic. Its Poisson leaves are two half-spheres $z>0, z<0$ and each point of the equator $z=0$. In general, the brackets from the corresponding Poisson pencil $\{,\}_{a, b}$ are not symplectic either. Nevertheless, their quantum counterparts which are appropriate quotients of the algebras $\mathcal{L}(1, q)$ can be represented in finite dimensional spaces (also for some special values of eigenvalues $\mu, \nu)$. The essential point here is that the usual trace should be replaced by a deformed (braided) trace. A category of such representation is considered in GPS2. Thus, though a Poisson analog of the trace does not exist on the whole sphere the corresponding quantum algebra can be endowed with a trace but this trace is braided.

Remark 2. We would like to emphasize a difference between a usual variety and a super-one. Consider again the algebra $s l(1 \mid 1)$. The quotient

$$
\operatorname{Sym}(s l(1 \mid 1)) /\left\langle h^{2}+b c-r^{2}\right\rangle, \quad r \in \mathbb{K}
$$

is a regular super-variety iff $r \neq 0$. A construction of the cotangent module (which is projective) in the case $r \neq 0$ is evident.

However, in virtue of the factorization

$$
h^{2}+b c-r^{2}=\left(h-\left(r-\frac{b c}{2 r}\right)\right)\left(h+\left(r-\frac{b c}{2 r}\right)\right)
$$

the super-variety in question is a union of two super-varieties: each of them is defined by one of the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
h-\left(r-\frac{b c}{2 r}\right)=0, \quad h+\left(r-\frac{b c}{2 r}\right)=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Nevertheless, these two super-varieties have no common points. Indeed, the system (34) is equivalent to that $h=0, b c=2 r^{2}$ where the second equation has no solution if $r \neq 0$. By contrast, the system (34) in the classical case (i.e. if all generators are even) describes a non-empty set of points. All these points of the variety defined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(h-\left(r-\frac{b c}{2 r}\right)\right)\left(h+\left(r-\frac{b c}{2 r}\right)\right)=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

are singular. Thus, the variety (35) is regular or not depending on the parity of the generators $b$ and $c$. Hopefully, braided deformations of super-orbits above can be presented in a form similar to 35.
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[^0]:    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 81R60; Secondary 81S10.
    Key words and phrases: Poisson pencil, Poisson center, (modified) reflection equation algebra, super-orbit, Cayley-Hamilton identity, eigenvalues of the generating matrix.
    The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.
    ${ }^{1}$ By the Poisson center (called in the sequel the center) we mean the set of functions $f$ Poisson commuting with any other function $g:\{f, g\}=0$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The notation $\mathfrak{g}_{\hbar}$ means that we introduce the factor $\hbar \in \mathbb{K}$ in the Lie bracket of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ If in formula (4) $a \neq d$ the space $I \otimes \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{A} \otimes I$ is trivial. This entails that $\operatorname{dim}(I \otimes \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A} \otimes I)$ differs from the classical one and therefore the algebra defined by 4 does not have the good deformation property.
    ${ }^{4}$ The factor $2_{q}$ will be motivated in Section 3 , see 28.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ Note that this way of defining the pairing is motivated by identification of the spaces $V$ and $V^{*}$ in the monoidal quasitensor rigid category generated by the space $V$ as described in GLS.
    ${ }^{6}$ Recall that by a Hecke symmetry we mean a braiding $R \in \operatorname{End}\left(V^{\otimes 2}\right)$, i.e. a solution of the quantum Yang-Baxter equation

    $$
    (R \otimes I)(I \otimes R)(R \otimes I)=(I \otimes R)(R \otimes I)(I \otimes R)
    $$

