MARCINKIEWICZ CENTENARY VOLUME BANACH CENTER PUBLICATIONS, VOLUME 95 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES WARSZAWA 2011

NORM CONVERGENCE OF FEJÉR MEANS OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL WALSH-FOURIER SERIES

USHANGI GOGINAVA

Institute of Mathematics, Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences, Tbilisi State University Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia E-mail: zazagoginava@gmail.com

Abstract. The main aim of this paper is to prove that there exists a martingale $f \in H_{1/2}$ such that the Fejér means of the two-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series of f is not uniformly bounded in the space weak- $L_{1/2}$.

1. Introduction. The first result with respect to the a.e. convergence of the Walsh–Fejér means $\sigma_n f$ is due to Fine [1]. Later, Schipp [5] showed that the maximal operator $\sigma^* f := \sup_n |\sigma_n f|$ is of weak type (1, 1), from which the a.e. convergence follows by a standard argument. Schipp's result implies by interpolation also the boundedness of $\sigma^* : L_p \to L_p$ (1 . This fails to hold for <math>p = 1 but Fujii [2] proved that σ^* is bounded from the dyadic Hardy space H_1 to the space L_1 . Fujii's theorem was extended by Weisz [8]. Namely, he proved that the maximal operator of the Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series is bounded from the martingale Hardy space $H_p(G)$ to the space $L_p(G)$ for p > 1/2. Simon [6] gave a counterexample, which shows that this boundedness does not hold for 0 . In the endpoint case <math>p = 1/2 Weisz [11] proved that σ^* is bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G)$ to the space $L_{1/2}(G)$. By interpolation it follows that σ^* is not bounded from the Hardy space H_p to the space $L_{1/2}(G)$ to the space $L_{1/2}(G)$.

For the two-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series Weisz [9, 10] proved that the following is true.

THEOREM W1. Let p > 1/2. Then the maximal operator σ^* is bounded from the Hardy space H_p to the space L_p .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42C10.

Key words and phrases: Walsh function, Hardy space, maximal operator.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

DOI: 10.4064/bc95-0-18

The author [4] proved that in Theorem W1, for the maximal operator σ^* , the assumption p > 1/2 is essential. Moreover, we prove that the following is true.

THEOREM G. The maximal operator σ^* is not bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}$.

Weisz [9, 10] considered the norm convergence of Fejér means of the two-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series. In particular, the following is true.

THEOREM W2. Let p > 1/2. Then

$$\|\sigma_{n,m}f\|_{H_p} \le c_p \|f\|_{H_p} \quad (f \in H_p)$$

In [9] Weisz conjectured that for the uniformly boundedness of the operator $\sigma_{n,m}$ from the Hardy space $H_p(G \times G)$ to the space $H_p(G \times G)$ the assumption p > 1/2 is essential. We give an answer to the question, moreover, we prove that the operator $\sigma_{n,n}$ is not uniformly bounded from the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G \times G)$ to the space weak- $L_{1/2}(G \times G)$. In particular, the following is true.

THEOREM 1.1. There exists a martingale $f \in H_{1/2}(G \times G)$ such that

$$\sup_{n} \|\sigma_{n,n}f\|_{\text{weak-}L_{1/2}} = +\infty.$$

2. Dyadic Hardy spaces. Let **P** denote the set of positive integers, $\mathbf{N} := \mathbf{P} \cup \{0\}$. Denote by Z_2 the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$, where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. The Haar measure on Z_2 is given such that the measure of a singleton is 1/2. Let G be the complete direct product of the countable infinite copies of the compact groups Z_2 . The elements of G are of the form $x = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_k, \ldots)$ with $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$ $(k \in \mathbf{N})$. The group operation on G is the coordinate-wise addition, the measure (denoted by μ) and the topology are the product measure and topology. The compact Abelian group G is called the Walsh group. A base for the neighborhoods of G can be given in the following way:

$$I_0(x) := G, \ I_n(x) := I_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \{ y \in G : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots) \}$$
$$(x \in G, \ n \in \mathbf{N}).$$

These sets are called the dyadic intervals. Let $0 = (0 : i \in \mathbf{N}) \in G$ denote the null element of G, $I_n := I_n(0)$ $(n \in \mathbf{N})$. Set $e_n := (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots) \in G$ the *n*-th coordinate of which is 1 and the rest are zeros $(n \in \mathbf{N})$.

For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and $x \in G$ denote by

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k}$$

the k-th Rademacher function.

The dyadic rectangles are of the form

$$I_{n,m}(x,y) := I_n(x) \times I_m(y).$$

The σ -algebra generated by the dyadic rectangles $\{I_{n,m}(x,y) : (x,y) \in G \times G\}$ is denoted by $F_{n,m}$.

The norm (or quasinorm) of the space $L_p(G \times G)$ is defined by

$$||f||_p := \left(\int_{G \times G} |f(x,y)|^p \, d\mu(x,y) \right)^{1/p} \quad (0$$

The space weak- $L_p(G \times G)$ consists of all measurable functions f for which

$$\|f\|_{\text{weak-}L_p(G\times G)} := \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \mu (|f| > \lambda)^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

Let us denote by $f = (f^{(n,m)}, n, m \in N)$ a two parameter martingale with respect to $(F_{n,m}, n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ (for details see, e.g. [7, 10]). The maximal function of a martingale f is defined by

$$f^* = \sup_{n,m \in N} \left| f^{(n,m)} \right|.$$

If $f \in L_1(G \times G)$, the maximal function can also be given by

$$f^*(x,y) = \sup_{n,m \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{1}{\mu(I_{n,m}(x,y))} \Big| \int_{I_{n,m}(x,y)} f(u,v) \, d\mu(u,v) \Big|, \quad (x,y) \in G \times G.$$

For $0 the Hardy martingale space <math>H_p(G \times G)$ consists of all martingales for which

$$||f||_{H_p} := ||f^*||_p < \infty.$$

3. Walsh system and Fejér means. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then $n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i$, $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$ $(i \in \mathbf{N})$, i.e. n is expressed in the number system of base 2. Let $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbf{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$, that is, $2^{|n|} \le n < 2^{|n|+1}$.

The Walsh-Paley system is defined as the sequence of Walsh-Paley functions:

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x)(-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k} \qquad (x \in G, \ n \in \mathbf{P}).$$

The Walsh–Dirichlet kernel is defined by

$$D_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k(x).$$

Recall that

$$D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in I_n, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \in G \setminus I_n. \end{cases}$$
(1)

The Fejér kernel of order n of the Walsh–Fourier series is defined by

$$K_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} D_k(x)$$

The rectangular partial sums of the double Walsh–Fourier series are defined as follows:

$$S_{M,N}f(x,y) := \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \widehat{f}(i,j)w_i(x)w_j(y)$$

where the number

$$\widehat{f}(i,j) = \int_{G \times G} f(x,y) w_i(x) w_j(y) \, d\mu(x,y)$$

is said to be the (i, j)-th Walsh–Fourier coefficient of the function f.

If $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ then it is easy to show that the sequence $(S_{2^n,2^m}(f) : n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ is a martingale. If f is a martingale, that is $f = (f^{(n,m)} : n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ then the Walsh–Fourier coefficients must be defined in a little bit different way:

$$\widehat{f}(i,j) = \lim_{\min(k,l) \to \infty} \int_{G \times G} f^{(k,l)}(x,y) w_i(x) w_j(y) \, d\mu(x,y).$$

$$\tag{2}$$

The Walsh–Fourier coefficients of $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ are the same as the ones of the martingale $(S_{2^n,2^m}(f): n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ obtained from f.

For $n, m \in \mathbf{P}$ and a martingale f the Fejér mean of order (n, m) of the double Walsh–Fourier series of the martingale f is given by

$$\sigma_{n,m}f(x,y) = \frac{1}{nm} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} S_{i,j}f(x,y).$$

For the martingale f the maximal operator is defined by

$$\sigma^* f(x, y) = \sup_{n, m} |\sigma_{n, m} f(x, y)|.$$

A function $a \in L_2$ is called a rectangle *p*-atom if there exists a dyadic rectangle *R* such that

$$\begin{cases} \sup p(a) \subset R, \\ \|a\|_2 \le |R|^{1/2 - 1/p} \\ \int_G a(x, y) \, d\mu(x) = \int_G a(x, y) \, d\mu(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in G. \end{cases}$$

The basic result of atomic decomposition is

THEOREM W3. A martingale $f = (f^{(n,m)} : n, m \in \mathbf{N})$ is in H_p $(0 if there exists a sequence <math>(a_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of rectangle p-atoms and a sequence $(\mu_k, k \in \mathbf{N})$ of real numbers such that for every $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k S_{2^n, 2^m} a_k = f^{(n,m)}, \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$|f||_{H_p} \le \inf \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mu_k|^p\right)^{1/p}.$$

In this paper the constant C are absolute constants and may denote different constants in different contexts.

4. Auxiliary result. In order to prove the theorem we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1 ([4]). Let $2 < A \in \mathbf{P}$ and $q_A := 2^{2A} + 2^{2A-2} + \ldots + 2^2 + 2^0$. Then

$$q_{A-1}|K_{q_{A-1}}(x)| \ge 2^{2m+2s-1}$$

for $x \in I_{2A}^{m,s} := I_{2A}(0,\ldots,0,\frac{1}{2m},0,\ldots,0,\frac{1}{2s},x_{2s+1},\ldots,x_{2A-1}), m = 0,1,\ldots,A-3,$ $s = m+2,m+3,\ldots,A-1.$ **5. Proof of the main result.** Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $2^m/m \uparrow \infty$ it is easy to show that there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers $\{m_k : k \in \mathbf{P}\}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k^{1/2}} < \infty, \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} < \frac{2^{8m_k}}{m_k},\tag{4}$$

$$\frac{2^{8m_{k-1}}}{m_{k-1}} < \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k} \,. \tag{5}$$

Let

$$f^{(A,B)}(x,y) := \sum_{\{l: 2m_l < \min(A,B)\}} \lambda_l a_l(x,y),$$

where $\lambda_l := \frac{1}{m_l}$ and

$$a_l(x,y) := 2^{4m_l} \left(D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(x) \right) \left(D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(y) \right).$$

First, we prove that the martingale $f := (f^{(A,B)} : A, B \in \mathbf{N})$ belongs to the Hardy space $H_{1/2}(G \times G)$. Indeed, since $||a_l||_2 \leq c2^{6m_l}$ and

$$S_{2^{A},2^{B}}a_{k}(x,y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \min(A,B) \le 2m_{k}, \\ a_{k}(x,y), & \text{if } \min(A,B) > 2m_{k}, \end{cases}$$

we can write

$$f^{(A,B)}(x,y) := \sum_{\{l:2m_l < \min(A,B)\}} \lambda_l a_l(x,y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k S_{2^A,2^B} a_k(x,y).$$

From (3) and Theorem W3 we conclude that $f \in H_{1/2}(G \times G)$.

Now, we investigate the Fourier coefficients. Since

$$\begin{split} &\int_{G\times G} f^{(A,B)}(x,y)w_i(x)w_j(y)\,d\mu(x,y) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & (i,j) \notin \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1}-1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1}-1\}, \\ 0, & (i,j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1}-1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1}-1\}, \min(A,B) \leq 2m_k, \\ \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k}, & (i,j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1}-1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1}-1\}, \min(A,B) > 2m_k, \end{cases}$$

we can write (see (2))

$$\widehat{f}(i,j) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k}, & (i,j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1}-1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1}-1\}, \ k \in \mathbf{P}, \\ 0, & (i,j) \notin \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1}-1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, 2^{2m_k+1}-1\}. \end{cases}$$
(6)

Let $q_{m_k} := 2^{2m_k} + 2^{2m_k-2} + \ldots + 2^2 + 2^0$. Then we can write

$$\sigma_{q_{m_k},q_{m_k}}f(x,y) = \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=0}^{q_{m_k}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{q_{m_k}-1} S_{i,j}f(x,y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} S_{i,j}f(x,y) + \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} S_{i,j}f(x,y) + \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=2^{2m_k}-1}^{2^{2m_k}-1} S_{i,j}f(x,y)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} \sum_{j=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} S_{i,j}f(x,y) + \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=2^{2m_k}}^{2^{2m_k}-1} S_{i,j}f(x,y)$$

$$= I + II + III + IV.$$
(7)

Let
$$(i, j) \in \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, q_{m_k} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k}, \dots, q_{m_k} - 1\}$$
. Then from (6) we have

$$S_{i,j}f(x,y) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{i-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{j-1} \widehat{f}(\nu,\mu)w_{\nu}(x)w_{\mu}(y)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=2^{m_l}}^{2^{m_l+1}-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{m_l}}^{2^{m_l+1}-1} \widehat{f}(\nu,\mu)w_{\nu}(x)w_{\mu}(y) + \sum_{\nu=2^{2m_k}}^{i-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{2m_k}}^{j-1} \widehat{f}(\nu,\mu)w_{\nu}(x)w_{\mu}(y)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{2^{4m_l}}{m_l} (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(x)) (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(y))$$

$$+ \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k} (D_i(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x)) (D_j(y) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(y)).$$
(8)

Substituting (8) in IV, we obtain

$$IV = \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} (q_{m_k} - 2^{2m_k})^2 \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{2^{4m_l}}{m_l} (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(x) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(x)) (D_{2^{2m_l+1}}(y) - D_{2^{2m_l}}(y)) + \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \frac{2^{4m_k}}{m_k} \sum_{i=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} \sum_{j=2^{2m_k}}^{q_{m_k}-1} (D_i(x) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(x)) (D_j(y) - D_{2^{2m_k}}(y)) = IV_1 + IV_2.$$

$$(9)$$

Since

$$D_{j+2^{2m_k}}(x) = D_{2^{2m_k}}(x) + w_{2^{2m_k}}(x)D_j(x), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^{2m_k} - 1,$$

we can write

$$IV_{2} = \frac{1}{q_{m_{k}}^{2}} \frac{2^{4m_{k}}}{m_{k}} w_{2^{2m_{k}}}(x) w_{2^{2m_{k}}}(y) \sum_{i=0}^{q_{m_{k}-1}-1} D_{i}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{q_{m_{k}-1}-1} D_{j}(y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{q_{m_{k}}^{2}} \frac{2^{4m_{k}}}{m_{k}} w_{2^{2m_{k}}}(x) w_{2^{2m_{k}}}(y) q_{m_{k}-1}^{2} K_{q_{m_{k}-1}}(x) K_{q_{m_{k}-1}}(y).$$
(10)

Since

$$|D_{2^n}(x)| \le 2^n, \quad n \in N, \quad x \in G,$$

by (4) and (5) we obtain

$$|IV_1| \le C \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} \le C \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k}.$$
(11)

Combining (9)–(11) we have

$$IV \ge \frac{Cq_{m_k-1}^2}{m_k} \left| K_{q_{m_k-1}}(x) \right| \left| K_{q_{m_k-1}}(y) \right| - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k}.$$
 (12)

Let

$$(i,j) \in (\{2^{2m_k}, \dots, q_{m_k} - 1\} \times \{0,1,\dots,2^{2m_k} - 1\}) \\ \cup (\{0,1,\dots,2^{2m_k} - 1\} \times \{2^{2m_k},\dots, q_{m_k} - 1\}) \\ \cup (\{0,1,\dots,2^{2m_k} - 1\} \times \{0,1,\dots,2^{2m_k} - 1\}).$$

Then from (6), (4) and (5) it is easy to show that

$$|S_{i,j}f(x,y)| \le \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \sum_{\nu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{2m_l}}^{2^{2m_l+1}-1} |\widehat{f}(\nu,\mu)| \le \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{2^{8m_l}}{m_l} \le \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k}.$$

Consequently,

$$|I| \le \frac{1}{q_{m_k}^2} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{2m_k}-1} |S_{i,j}f(x,y)| \le C \frac{2^{4m_k}}{q_{m_k}^2} \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k} \le \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k}$$
(13)

$$|II| \le \frac{2^{2m_k} (q_{m_k} - 2^{2m_k})}{q_{m_k}^2} \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k} \le C \frac{2^{m_k}}{km_k}$$
(14)

$$|III| \le \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k} \,. \tag{15}$$

Combining (7), (9)–(15) we obtain

$$\left|\sigma_{q_{m_{k}},q_{m_{k}}}f(x,y)\right| \ge \frac{Cq_{m_{k}-1}^{2}}{m_{k}}\left|K_{q_{m_{k}-1}}(x)\right|\left|K_{q_{m_{k}-1}}(y)\right| - \frac{C2^{m_{k}}}{km_{k}}.$$
(16)

Let $(x, y) \in I_{2m_k}^{l_1, l_1+2} \times I_{2m_k}^{l_2, l_2+2}, (l_1, l_2) \in \{0, 1, \dots, m_k - 3\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, m_k - 3\}$. Then from Lemma 4.1 we can write

$$q_{m_k-1} |K_{q_{m_k-1}}(x)| \ge C2^{4l_1}$$
 and $q_{m_k-1} |K_{q_{m_k-1}}(y)| \ge C2^{4l_2}$,

consequently,

$$q_{m_k-1}^2 |K_{q_{m_k-1}}(x)| |K_{q_{m_k-1}}(y)| \ge C2^{4l_1+4l_2}, |\sigma_{q_{m_k},q_{m_k}} f(x,y)| \ge \frac{C}{m_k} 2^{4l_1+4l_2} - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k}.$$
(17)

Let

$$A(m_k) := \left\{ (l_1, l_2) : 0 \le l_2 \le m_k - 3, \ 0 \le l_1 \le \frac{m_k}{4}, \ l_1 + l_2 \ge \frac{m_k}{4} \right\}$$

and

$$\alpha_k := \frac{C2^{m_k}}{m_k}$$

Since (see (17) and $(l_1, l_2) \in A(m_k)$)

$$\left|\sigma_{q_{m_k},q_{m_k}}f(x,y)\right| \geq \frac{C}{m_k} 2^{m_k} - \frac{C2^{m_k}}{km_k} \geq \frac{C2^{m_k}}{m_k} = \alpha_k \quad \text{for sufficiently large } k,$$

we have

$$\begin{split} & \mu \big\{ (x,y) \in G \times G : \left| \sigma_{q_{m_k},q_{m_k}} f(x,y) \right| \ge \alpha_k \big\} \\ & \ge \sum_{(l_1,l_2) \in A(m_k)} \mu \big\{ (x,y) \in I_{2m_k}^{l_1,l_1+2} \times I_{2m_k}^{l_2,l_2+2} : \left| \sigma_{q_{m_k},q_{m_k}} f(x,y) \right| \ge \alpha_k \big\} \\ & \ge C \sum_{l_1=0}^{[m_k/4]} \sum_{l_2=[m_k/4]-l_1}^{m_k-3} \sum_{x_{2l_1+5}=0}^{1} \cdots \sum_{x_{2m_k-1}=0}^{1} \sum_{x_{2l_2+5}=0}^{1} \cdots \sum_{x_{2m_k-1}=0}^{1} \mu \big(I_{2m_k}^{l_1,l_1+2} \times I_{2m_k}^{l_2,l_2+2} \big) \\ & \ge C \sum_{l_1=0}^{[m_k/4]} \sum_{l_2=[m_k/4]-l_1}^{m_k-3} \frac{1}{2^{2l_1+2l_2}} \ge \frac{Cm_k}{2^{m_k/2}} \,. \end{split}$$

Consequently,

$$\alpha_k \Big(\mu \big\{ (x,y) : |\sigma_{q_{m_k},q_{m_k}} f(x,y)| \ge C \alpha_k \big\} \Big)^2 \ge C \frac{2^{m_k}}{m_k} \frac{m_k^2}{2^{m_k}} = C m_k \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty,$$

$$\begin{split} \sup_{k} \left\| \sigma_{q_{m_{k}},q_{m_{k}}} f \right\|_{\text{weak-}L_{1/2}} \\ &:= \sup_{k} \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \left(\mu \left\{ (x,y) \in G \times G : \sigma_{q_{m_{k}},q_{m_{k}}} f(x,y) > \lambda \right\} \right)^{2} = +\infty. \end{split}$$

Theorem 1.1 is proved. \blacksquare

References

- N. J. Fine, Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 41 (1955), 558–591.
- N. Fujii, A maximal inequality for H¹ functions on a generalized Walsh-Paley group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1979), 111–116.
- U. Goginava, The maximal operator of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the d-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, East J. Approx. 12 (2006), 295–302.
- [4] U. Goginava, Maximal operators of Fejér means of double Walsh-Fourier series, Acta Math. Hungar. 115 (2007), 333–340.
- [5] F. Schipp, Uber gewissen Maximaloperatoren, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. 18 (1975), 189–195.
- P. Simon, Cesàro summability with respect to two-parameter Walsh system, Monatsh. Math. 131 (2000), 321–334.
- [7] F. Weisz, Martingale Hardy Spaces and their Applications in Fourier Analysis, Lecture Notes in Math. 1568, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [8] F. Weisz, Cesàro summability of one- and two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series, Anal. Math. 22 (1996), 229–242.
- [9] F. Weisz, The maximal (C, α, β) operator of two-parameter Walsh-Fourier series, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 6 (2000), 389–401.
- [10] F. Weisz, Summability of Multi-Dimensional Fourier Series and Hardy Spaces, Math. Appl. 541, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002.
- [11] F. Weisz, *∂*-summability of Fourier series, Acta Math. Hungar. 103 (2004), 139–175.