VOL. 128

2012

NO. 2

## DENSITY OF SOME SEQUENCES MODULO 1

BҮ

ARTŪRAS DUBICKAS (Vilnius)

**Abstract.** Recently, Cilleruelo, Kumchev, Luca, Rué and Shparlinski proved that for each integer  $a \ge 2$  the sequence of fractional parts  $\{a^n/n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is everywhere dense in the interval [0, 1]. We prove a similar result for all Pisot numbers and Salem numbers  $\alpha$ and show that for each c > 0 and each sufficiently large N, every subinterval of [0, 1] of length  $cN^{-0.475}$  contains at least one fractional part  $\{Q(\alpha^n)/n\}$ , where Q is a nonconstant polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[z]$  and n is an integer satisfying  $1 \le n \le N$ .

**1. Introduction.** Throughout, let  $\{x\}$  be the fractional part of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . In a recent paper [3] Cilleruelo, Kumchev, Luca, Rué and Shparlinski showed that for each integer  $a \geq 2$ ,

(1.1) the sequence  $\{a^n/n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is everywhere dense in [0,1]

and, furthermore, for any c > 0 and any sufficiently large integer N every interval  $J \subseteq [0,1]$  of length  $|J| \ge cN^{-0.475}$  contains an element of this sequence with the index n satisfying  $1 \le n \le N$ . In the proof of (1.1) they considered a subsequence A of the sequence  $\{a^n/n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  with indices n = pq, where both p and q are primes satisfying  $q \le \log p/\log a$ . Using exponential sums and other tools from analytic number theory they first proved an upper bound for the discrepancy of the sequence A which implies (1.1) (see Theorem 1 in [3]) and then gave an alternative (much shorter) argument which implies (1.1) as well (see Theorem 2 in [3]). The main result of this note (see Theorem 1.2 below) generalizes Theorem 2 of [3].

A reader familiar with the literature in analytic number theory may guess, from the constant 0.475 and the fact that prime numbers are involved in  $A_1$ , that the authors of [3] used some results on gaps between consecutive primes. A well-known result of Baker, Harman and Pintz [1] asserts there is a constant  $\theta < 0.525$  such that for each sufficiently large x the interval  $(x - x^{\theta}, x)$  contains a prime number. (Note that 0.475 = 1 - 0.525.) We shall use a version of this result which follows from a more general Lemma 2 of [3] (which itself is extracted from Theorem 10.8 in [7]):

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11K06; Secondary 11K31, 11R06. Key words and phrases: distribution modulo 1, gaps between primes.

LEMMA 1.1. If C is a positive constant and h is a positive integer satisfying  $h \leq (\log x)^C$  then for each sufficiently large x the interval  $(x - x^{\theta}, x)$ , where  $\theta < 0.525$  is some constant, contains a prime number which is equal to 1 modulo h.

Before stating our result we recall that an algebraic integer  $\alpha > 1$  is a *Pisot number* (resp. a *Salem number*) if all of its conjugates over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (if any) lie strictly inside the unit circle |z| = 1 (resp. in the disc  $|z| \leq 1$  with at least one conjugate lying on the circle |z| = 1). See [2] for some basic properties of Pisot and Salem numbers. For example, all rational integers greater than or equal to 2, the golden section  $(1 + \sqrt{5})/2 = 1.61803...$ and the number 1.32471... which is a root of the polynomial  $z^3 - z - 1$ are Pisot numbers. (Siegel [9] proved that the latter is the smallest Pisot number.) The smallest known Salem number 1.17628... is a root of the Lehmer polynomial  $z^{10} + z^9 - z^7 - z^6 - z^5 - z^4 - z^3 + z + 1$ .

We can now state the main result of this paper.

THEOREM 1.2. If  $\alpha$  is a Pisot number or a Salem number and Q(z) is a nonconstant polynomial with integer coefficients then the sequence  $\{Q(\alpha^n)/n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is everywhere dense in [0,1]. Furthermore, for any c > 0 and any sufficiently large integer N every interval  $J \subseteq [0,1]$  of length  $|J| \ge cN^{-0.475}$  contains at least one element of this sequence with the index n in the range  $1 \le n \le N$ .

By the same method Theorem 1.2 can be proved for nonconstant polynomials Q with rational coefficients. It would be of interest to extend this result to sequences of the form  $\{Q(\alpha^n)/P(n)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , where  $P \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$  is a polynomial of degree at least 2, e.g., to the sequence  $\{2^n/(n^3+1)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ .

2. Preparation for the proof of Theorem 1.2. We begin with a short proof of (1.1) (following [3], i.e. taking n = pg, although without assuming that g is a prime) and then continue the proof of Theorem 1.2 along the same lines with a more subtle choice of g (see (2.3) and (3.1)) and p.

To prove (1.1) it suffices to show that the sequence  $\{a^n/n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , where  $a \geq 2$  is an integer, is everywhere dense in the open interval (0, 1). Fix any  $\lambda$  in the interval (0, 1). We will show that for each  $\varepsilon$  satisfying  $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda$  there is  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  of the form n = pg, where g is a large integer and p is a prime number, such that  $\lambda - \varepsilon < \{a^n/n\} < \lambda$ . Indeed, for each sufficiently large integer  $g > g_0(a, \lambda, \varepsilon)$  (which is assumed to be relatively prime to a) there is a prime number p > g which satisfies

(2.1) 
$$\frac{a^g}{g\lambda}$$

and  $\varphi(g) \mid (p-1)$ , where  $\varphi(g)$  is Euler's function. With this choice of p and g, by Euler's theorem, we see that the difference  $a^{(p-1)g} - 1$  is divisible by p and by g. Hence their product pg divides  $a^{pg} - a^g$ . Using (2.1) we find that for n = pg,

$$\{a^n/n\} = \{a^{pg}/pg\} = \{a^g/pg\} = a^g/pg \in (\lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda),$$

as claimed.

Let  $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_d$  be the full set of conjugates of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with minimal polynomial

$$F(z) = (z - \alpha_1) \cdots (z - \alpha_d) = z^d + b_{d-1} z^{d-1} + \dots + b_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[z].$$

Put

(2.2) 
$$S_n := \alpha_1^n + \dots + \alpha_d^n$$
 and  $R_n := S_n - \alpha_1^n = S_n - \alpha^n$ .

Note that, by the Newton formula,

$$S_n + b_{d-1}S_{n-1} + \dots + b_0S_{n-d} = 0$$

for each integer  $n \ge d+1$ .

Suppose that g is a positive integer satisfying

(2.3) 
$$gcd(b_0, g) = 1.$$

Then  $(S_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of integers which is purely periodic modulo g with period h in the range  $1 \leq h \leq g^d$ . (This result is known and can be easily proved in few lines; see, for instance, Lemma 2 in [5].) In particular, this implies that

(2.4) 
$$g | (S_l - S_k)$$
 if  $h | (l - k)$ .

Another useful result concerning  $S_n$  is that

$$(2.5) p \mid (S_{pk} - S_k)$$

for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and every prime number p. This is an old 1839 result of Schönemann [8], several times rediscovered by different authors. See, e.g., [4] and also [6], [10] for some generalizations; e.g., the latter paper contains the proof of  $n \mid \sum_{t \mid n} \mu(n/t) S_{tk}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $\mu$  is the Möbius function, which gives (2.5) when n is a prime number. We remark that the properties (2.4) and (2.5) hold for all algebraic integers  $\alpha$  (and not just for Pisot and Salem numbers).

Let

$$Q(z) = a_t z^t + \dots + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[z],$$

where  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_t \neq 0$ . Without restriction of generality we may assume that  $a_t > 0$ , since otherwise one can consider the polynomial -Q instead

of Q. Put

(2.6) 
$$D_n := Q(\alpha^n) - \sum_{j=1}^t a_j S_{jn}.$$

From (2.2) and (2.6) it follows that  $D_n = a_0 - \sum_{j=1}^t a_j R_{jn}$ . Since  $\alpha$  is a Pisot or a Salem number, all its conjugates lie in  $|z| \leq 1$ , so  $|R_{jn}| \leq d-1$ . Hence

(2.7) 
$$|D_n| \le K := |a_0| + (d-1) \sum_{j=1}^{l} |a_j|.$$

As we already observed above, for any positive integer g as in (2.3), the sequence  $(S_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is purely periodic modulo g with period  $h \leq g^d$ . Assume that p > g is a prime which is equal to 1 modulo h. Take n = pg. Then  $p \mid (S_{jpg} - S_{jg})$ , by (2.5). Also,  $g \mid (S_{jpg} - S_{jg})$ , by (2.4), because jpg - jg = jg(p-1) is divisible by the period h. Hence  $pg \mid (S_{jpg} - S_{jg})$ , because gcd(p,g) = 1. It follows that pg divides the difference between  $\sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j S_{jpg}$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j S_{jg}$ . Thus, if g < p is a positive integer satisfying (2.3) then in view of (2.6) we obtain

(2.8) 
$$\{Q(\alpha^{pg})/pg\} = \left\{ (pg)^{-1}D_{pg} + (pg)^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j S_{jpg} \right\} = \{y(p)\},$$

where

(2.9) 
$$y(p) := (pg)^{-1} \Big( D_{pg} + \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j S_{jg} \Big).$$

In the next section we will select appropriate prime numbers p and using (2.8) complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**3.** Proof of Theorem 1.2. Fix a large positive integer N and take the largest  $g \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying (2.3) for which

(3.1) 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j S_{jg} - K \le N$$

with K given in (2.7). Observe that the main term of the expression on the left hand side of (3.1) is  $a_t \alpha^{tg}$  and at least one of  $|b_0|$  consecutive integers g satisfies the condition (2.3). Hence there are two positive constants  $c_1 \leq 1$  and  $c_2$  (depending on  $t, a_t, \alpha, b_0$  only and not on N) such that

(3.2) 
$$c_1 N \le \sum_{j=1}^t a_j S_{jg} - K,$$

$$(3.3) g \le c_2 \log N$$

for N large enough. In particular, in view of  $h \leq g^d$  the inequality (3.3) implies that

$$(3.4) h \le (\log N)^{d+1}$$

for each sufficiently large N.

For g chosen as in (3.1) we set

(3.5) 
$$L_1 := (g^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^t a_j S_{jg} + g^{-1} K)/2, \quad L_2 := g^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^t a_j S_{jg} - g^{-1} K.$$

Clearly, by (3.1), (3.2) and (3.5),

$$(3.6) c_1 N/g \le L_2 \le N/g$$

and, since  $2L_1 = L_2 + 2K/g$ ,

(3.7) 
$$c_1 N/2g \le L_1 \le (N+2K)/2g.$$

Let  $p_1 < \cdots < p_s$  be all the primes which are equal to 1 modulo h and are greater than  $L_1$  and smaller than  $L_2$ . Then, by (3.6), we have  $p_s < L_2 \le N/g$  and, by (3.7),  $p_1 > L_1 \ge c_1 N/2g$ . Hence

(3.8) 
$$c_1 N/2 < p_1 g < \dots < p_s g < N.$$

Note that  $p_1 > g$ , by (3.3) and (3.8), so the formula (2.8) holds for the primes  $p_1, \ldots, p_s$ .

Now, for each  $p \in \{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$  using (2.7), (2.9) and (3.5) we find that

$$y(p) \ge (pg)^{-1} \left( -K + \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j S_{jg} \right) = L_2/p \ge L_2/p_s > 1.$$

Similarly,

$$y(p) \le (pg)^{-1} \left( K + \sum_{j=1}^{t} a_j S_{jg} \right) = 2L_1/p \le 2L_1/p_1 < 2.$$

Hence (2.8) yields

$$\{Q(\alpha^{pg})/pg\} = y(p) - 1$$

for each  $p \in \{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$ .

By (3.8), all the integers  $p_1g, \ldots, p_sg$  are smaller than N. We will show that for any c > 0 and any sufficiently large integer N every interval  $J \subseteq$ [0,1] of length  $|J| \ge cN^{-0.475}$  contains at least one number  $\{Q(\alpha^{pg})/pg\} =$ y(p) - 1 with  $p \in \{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$ . For a contradiction, suppose that there is an interval  $J \subseteq [0,1]$  of length  $cN^{-0.475}$  which contains no numbers of the form y(p) - 1 with  $p \in \{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$ . Our aim is to show that the number  $y(p_s) - 1$ is 'very close' to 0, the number  $y(p_1) - 1$  is 'very close' to 1 and, moreover, the difference between two consecutive values  $y(p_i) - 1$  and  $y(p_{i+1}) - 1$  is 'very small' too. If this is the case then moving from i = 1 (with  $y(p_1) - 1$  being almost the right endpoint of the interval [0, 1]) to i = s (with  $y(p_s) - 1$  being almost the left endpoint of the interval [0, 1]) step by step we will get values all over the interval [0, 1] lying in every interval of length  $cN^{-0.475}$ .

Indeed, observe first that, by (2.7), (2.9) and (3.5),

$$y(p_s) = (p_s g)^{-1} \left( D_{p_s g} + \sum_{j=1}^t a_j S_{jg} \right)$$
  
$$\leq (p_s g)^{-1} \left( K + \sum_{j=1}^t a_j S_{jg} \right) = 2L_1/p_s = L_2/p_s + 2K/p_s g_s$$

By Lemma 1.1, we have  $L_2 - L_2^{\theta} < p_s < L_2$  with  $\theta < 0.525$ . Using (3.3) and (3.6) we find that

(3.9) 
$$0 < y(p_s) - 1 < \frac{L_2 + 2K/g}{L_2 - L_2^{\theta}} - 1 = \frac{L_2^{\theta} + 2K/g}{L_2 - L_2^{\theta}} < cN^{-0.475}$$

in view of  $\theta < 0.525$ . Similarly, as

$$y(p_1) = (p_1g)^{-1} \left( D_{p_1g} + \sum_{j=1}^t a_j S_{jg} \right) \ge (p_1g)^{-1} \left( -K + \sum_{j=1}^t a_j S_{jg} \right) = L_2/p_1,$$

and, by Lemma 1.1,  $L_1 < p_1 < L_1 + L_1^{\theta}$ , applying (3.3) and (3.7) we find that

$$2 - y(p_1) < 2 - \frac{L_2}{L_1 + L_1^{\theta}} = 2 - \frac{2L_1 - 2K/g}{L_1 + L_1^{\theta}} = \frac{2L_1^{\theta} + 2K/g}{L_1 + L_1^{\theta}} < cN^{-0.475}.$$

Thus

(3.10) 
$$1 - cN^{-0.475} < y(p_1) - 1 < 1.$$

From (3.9) and (3.10) it follows that if such an interval J of length  $cN^{-0.475}$  (which contains no numbers of the form y(p) - 1, where  $p \in \{p_1, \ldots, p_s\}$ ) exists then J = [u, v] with  $y(p_s) - 1 < u$  and  $v < y(p_1) - 1$ . Moreover, for some  $i \in \{1, \ldots, s - 1\}$  the distance between two consecutive points  $y(p_i) - 1$  and  $y(p_{i+1}) - 1$  must be greater than  $cN^{-0.475}$ . So for a contradiction it suffices to show that

$$|y(p_{i+1}) - y(p_i)| < cN^{-0.475}$$

for each  $i \in \{1, ..., s - 1\}$ .

Since, by (2.9),

$$y(p_{i+1}) - y(p_i) = (p_{i+1}g)^{-1} \Big( D_{p_{i+1}g} + \sum_{j=1}^t a_j S_{jg} \Big) - (p_ig)^{-1} \Big( D_{p_ig} + \sum_{j=1}^t a_j S_{jg} \Big),$$

from  $|D_{p_{i+1}g}|, |D_{p_ig}| \leq K$  it follows that

$$|y(p_{i+1}) - y(p_i)| \le \frac{K}{p_{i+1}g} + \frac{K}{p_ig} + \frac{(p_{i+1} - p_i)|\sum_{j=1}^t a_j S_{jg}|}{p_{i+1}p_ig} < \frac{2K}{p_1g} + \frac{(p_{i+1} - p_i)|\sum_{j=1}^t a_j S_{jg}|}{p_i^2g}.$$

From (3.8) we see that the first term,  $2K/p_1g$ , is less than  $c_3/N$ . Using  $p_{i+1} - p_i < p_i^{\theta}$  (see Lemma 1.1) and (3.1), (3.2) we can bound the second term:

$$\frac{(p_{i+1}-p_i)|\sum_{j=1}^t a_j S_{jg}|}{p_i^2 g} < \frac{p_i^{\theta}(N+K)}{p_i^2} = \frac{N+K}{p_i^{2-\theta}} \le \frac{N+K}{p_1^{2-\theta}}.$$

In view of (3.3) and (3.8) this second term is less than

$$\frac{N+K}{(c_1N/2g)^{2-\theta}} < \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{1-\theta}}.$$

Therefore, as  $\theta < 0.525$ , we conclude that for N large enough

$$|y(p_{i+1}) - y(p_i)| < \frac{c_3}{N} + \frac{(\log N)^2}{N^{1-\theta}} < cN^{-0.475}$$

as claimed. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgements. I thank the referee for recommending various improvements in exposition.

## REFERENCES

- R. C. Baker, G. Harman and J. Pintz, *The difference between consecutive primes*. II, Proc. London Math. Soc. 83 (2001), 532–562.
- [2] J. W. S. Cassels, An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1957.
- [3] J. Cilleruelo, A. Kumchev, F. Luca, J. Rué and I. E. Shparlinski, On the fractional parts of  $a^n/n$ , Bull. London Math. Soc., to appear.
- [4] E. Dobrowolski, On the maximal modulus of conjugates of an algebraic integer, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 26 (1978), 291–292.
- [5] A. Dubickas, Sequences with infinitely many composite numbers, in: Analytic and Probabilistic Methods in Number Theory (Palanga, 2001), A. Dubickas et al. (eds.), TEV, Vilnius, 2002, 57–60.
- [6] K. G. Hare, D. McKinnon and C. D. Sinclair, Patterns and periodicity in a family of resultants, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux 21 (2009), 215–234.
- [7] G. Harman, *Prime-Detecting Sieves*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. Ser. 33, Princeton Univ. Press, 2007.
- [8] T. Schönemann, Theorie der symmetrischen Functionen der Wurzeln einer Gleichung. Allgemeine Sätze über Congruenzen nebst einigen Anwendungen derselben, J. Reine Angew. Math. 19 (1839), 231–243.

- C. L. Siegel, Algebraic integers whose conjugates lie in the unit circle, Duke Math. J. 11 (1944), 597–602.
- [10] C. J. Smyth, A coloring proof of a generalisation of Fermat's Little Theorem, Amer. Math. Monthly 93 (1986), 469–471.

Artūras Dubickas Department of Mathematics and Informatics Vilnius University Naugarduko 24 Vilnius LT-03225, Lithuania E-mail: arturas.dubickas@mif.vu.lt

Received 23 July 2012;	
revised 27 September 2012	(5723)

## 244