VOL. 131

2013

NO. 1

ON E-S-SUPPLEMENTED SUBGROUPS OF FINITE GROUPS

ΒY

CHANGWEN LI (Xuzhou), XUEMEI ZHANG (Yancheng) and XIAOLAN YI (Hanzhou)

Abstract. The major aim of the present paper is to strengthen a nice result of Shemetkov and Skiba which gives some conditions under which every non-Frattini G-chief factor of a normal subgroup E of a finite group G is cyclic. As applications, some recent known results are generalized and unified.

1. Introduction. All groups considered in this paper will be finite. Most of the notation is standard and can be found in [4] and [13]. G always denotes a group, |G| is the order of G, $O_p(G)$ is the maximal normal p-subgroup of G, and $F^*(G)$ is the generalized Fitting subgroup of G, i.e., the product of all normal quasinilpotent subgroups of G. The symbol \mathcal{U} denotes the class of all supersoluble groups. Clearly, \mathcal{U} is a saturated formation.

Two subgroups A and B of a group G are said to be *permutable* if AB = BA. A subgroup H of G is said to be *S*-permutable or *S*-quasinormal in G if H permutes with every Sylow subgroup of G (see [6]). There are many interesting generalizations of *S*-permutability in the literature. For example, Ballester-Bolinches and Pedraza-Aguilera [2] called H *S*-permutably embedded in G if for each prime p dividing |H|, a Sylow p-subgroup of H is also a Sylow p-subgroup of some *S*-permutable subgroup of G. Again, Skiba [18] called H weakly *S*-permutable in G if there is a subnormal subgroup T of G such that G = HT and $H \cap T \leq H_{sG}$, where H_{sG} is the subgroup of H generated by all those subgroups of H which are *S*-permutable in G.

We introduce the following concept, which covers both weak S-permutability and S-permutable embeddability.

DEFINITION 1.1. A subgroup H of a subgroup G is said to be E-S-supplemented in G if there exists a subnormal subgroup T of G such that G = HT and $H \cap T \leq H_{eG}$, where H_{eG} denotes the subgroup of H generated by all those subgroups of H which are S-permutably embedded in G.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20D10; Secondary 20D15.

Key words and phrases: S-permutable, E-S-supplemented, p-nilpotent.

EXAMPLE 1.2. Suppose that $G = A_5$, the alternating group of degree 5. Then the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are E-S-supplemented in G, but not weakly S-permutable in G.

EXAMPLE 1.3. Suppose that $G = S_4$, the symmetric group of degree 4. Consider the subgroup $H = \langle (3, 4) \rangle$. Then H is E-S-supplemented in G, but not S-permutably embedded in G.

In [17], Skiba improved [15, Theorem 1.4] by replacing non-Frattini Gchief factor with G-chief factor. In this paper, we further weaken the hypotheses of Skiba's result from weak S-permutability to being E-S-supplemented and get the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.4. Let E be a normal subgroup of a group G and $X \leq E$. Suppose that for every noncyclic Sylow subgroup P of X, there is an integer n_p such that $1 < n_p < |P|$ and every subgroup H of P of order n_p , as well as every order 4 cyclic subgroup of P (when $n_p = 2$ and P is a nonabelian 2-group), is E-S-supplemented in G. If X = E or $X = F^*(E)$, then every G-chief factor of E is cyclic.

We shall prove Theorem 1.4 in Section 4. The following useful fact is an important stage in that proof.

THEOREM 1.5. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of a group G, where p is a prime divisor of |G| with (|G|, p-1) = 1. Suppose that there is an integer n_p such that $1 < n_p < |P|$ and every subgroup H of P of order n_p , and every cyclic subgroup of P of order 4 (if P is a nonabelian 2-group and $n_p = 2$), without a p-nilpotent supplement in G is E-S-supplemented in G. Then G is p-nilpotent.

2. Preliminaries

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose that H is S-permutably embedded in a group G, $L \leq G$ and $N \leq G$.

- (1) If $H \leq L$, then H is S-permutably embedded in L.
- (2) The subgroup HN is S-permutably embedded in G and HN/N is S-permutably embedded in G/N.
- (3) If H is a p-subgroup of G contained in $O_p(G)$, then H is S-permutable in G.

Proof. (1) and (2) are from [2, Lemma 1]; (3) is [10, Lemma 2.4]. LEMMA 2.2. Suppose that H is E-S-supplemented in a group G.

- (1) If $H \leq L \leq G$, then H is E-S-supplemented in L.
- (2) If $N \trianglelefteq G$ and $N \le H \le G$, then H/N is E-S-supplemented in G/N.
- (3) If H is a π-subgroup and N is a normal π'-subgroup of G, then HN/N is E-S-supplemented in G/N.

- (4) Suppose H is a p-group for some prime p and $H \neq H_{eG}$. Then G has a normal subgroup M such that |G:M| = p and G = HM.
- (5) If $H \leq O_p(G)$ for some prime p, then H is weakly S-permutable in G.

Proof. By the hypothesis, there exists a subnormal subgroup K of G such that G = HK and $H \cap K \leq H_{eG}$.

(1) We have

$$L = L \cap HK = H(L \cap K)$$
 and $H \cap (L \cap K) = H \cap K \le H_{eG}$.

Let U_1, \ldots, U_s be all the subgroups of H which are S-permutably embedded in G. By Lemma 2.1(1), they are S-permutably embedded in L and so $H_{eG} \leq H_{eL}$. Obviously, $L \cap K$ is subnormal in L. Hence H is E-S-supplemented in L.

(2) We have

$$G/N = HK/N = H/N \cdot NK/N$$

and

$$(H/N) \cap (KN/N) = (H \cap KN)/N = (H \cap K)N/N \le H_{eG}N/N.$$

Let U_1, \ldots, U_s be all the subgroups of H which are S-permutably embedded in G. By Lemma 2.1(2), $U_1N/N, \ldots, U_sN/N$ are S-permutably embedded in G/N and so $H_{eG}N/N \leq (H/N)_{e(G/N)}$. Obviously, KN/N is subnormal in G/N. Hence H/N is E-S-supplemented in G/N.

(3) Since (|G:K|, |N|) = 1, we have $N \leq K$. It is easy to see that

$$G/N = HN/N \cdot KN/N = HN/N \cdot K/N$$

and

$$(HN/N) \cap (K/N) = (HN \cap K)/N = (H \cap K)N/N \le H_{eG}N/N \le (HN/N)_{e(G/N)}.$$

Obviously, K/N is subnormal in G/N. Hence HN/N is E-S-supplemented in G/N.

(4) If K = G, then $H = H \cap K \leq H_{eG} \leq H$, and so $H = H_{eG}$, contrary to the hypotheses. Consequently, K is a proper subgroup of G. Hence, Ghas a proper normal subgroup B such that $K \leq B$. Since G/B is a p-group, G has a normal maximal subgroup M such that |G:M| = p and G = MH.

(5) This follows from Lemma 2.1(3). \blacksquare

LEMMA 2.3 ([21, Lemma 2.2]). Let G be a group and p a prime dividing |G| with (|G|, p-1) = 1.

- (1) If N is normal in G of order p, then N lies in Z(G).
- (2) If G has cyclic Sylow p-subgroups, then G is p-nilpotent.
- (3) If $M \leq G$ and |G:M| = p, then $M \leq G$.

LEMMA 2.4. Let P be a noncyclic Sylow p-subgroup of a group G, where p is a prime divisor of |G| with (|G|, p-1) = 1. If every maximal subgroup of P has a p-nilpotent supplement in G, then G is p-nilpotent.

Proof. Let $M_1T_1 = G$ where T_1 is *p*-nilpotent and M_1 is maximal in P. We can assume that $T_1 = N_G(H_1)$ for some Hall *p'*-subgroup H_1 of G. Clearly, $P = M_1(P \cap T_1)$.

Suppose that $P \cap T_1 \neq P$. Then we can choose a maximal subgroup M_2 in P containing $P \cap T_1$. By assumption, $G = M_2T_2$ where T_2 is p-nilpotent. Again, we can assume that $T_2 = N_G(H_2)$ for some Hall p'-subgroup H_2 of G. If p = 2, then H_1 and H_2 are conjugate in G by applying a deep result of Gross. If p > 2, then G is a soluble group by the Feit–Thompson Theorem and so H_1 and H_2 are also conjugate in G. Then we have $H_1^x = H_2$ for some $x \in G$. Therefore, $G = M_1T_1 = M_2T_2 = M_2T_1^x = M_2T_1$ and $P = M_2(P \cap T_1) = M_2$, a contradiction.

Hence $P \cap T_1 = P$, which implies the *p*-nilpotency of G.

LEMMA 2.5 ([15, Lemma 2.6]). Let V be an S-permutable subgroup of order 4 of a group G.

- (1) If $V = A \times B$, where |A| = |B| = 2 and A is S-permutable in G, then B is S-permutable in G.
- (2) If $V = \langle x \rangle$ is cyclic, then $\langle x^2 \rangle$ is S-permutable in G.

LEMMA 2.6. Let G be a group and P a Sylow p-subgroup of G, where p is a prime dividing |G| with (|G|, p-1) = 1. If every cyclic subgroup of P of prime order or of order 4 (when P is a nonabelian 2-group) without a pnilpotent supplement in G is E-S-supplemented in G, then G is p-nilpotent.

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.3(2), this easily follows from the proof of [7, Theorem 3.3]. \blacksquare

LEMMA 2.7. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of a group G, where p is a prime divisor of |G| with (|G|, p - 1) = 1. If every maximal subgroup of P without a p-nilpotent supplement in G is E-S-supplemented in G, then G is p-nilpotent.

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.3(2), this easily follows from the proof of [7, Theorem 3.2]. \blacksquare

LEMMA 2.8 ([14, Lemma A]). If P is an S-quasinormal p-subgroup of a group G for some prime p, then $N_G(P) \ge O^p(G)$.

LEMMA 2.9 ([16, Theorem C]). Let E be a normal subgroup of a group G. If every G-chief factor of $F^*(E)$ is cyclic, then every G-chief factor of E is cyclic. LEMMA 2.10 ([3, IV, 3.11]). If \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are two saturated formations such that $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2$, then $Z_{\mathcal{F}_1}(G) \leq Z_{\mathcal{F}_2}(G)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.5. Suppose that the theorem is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. We will derive a contradiction in several steps.

(1) $n_p > p$.

This follows from Lemma 2.6.

(2) $|P|/n_p > p$.

This follows from Lemma 2.7.

(3) G has no subgroup of index p.

Suppose that G has a subgroup M such that |G : M| = p. Then M satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem by Step (2) and Lemma 2.2(1). The choice of G guarantees that M is p-nilpotent. By Lemma 2.3(3), $M \leq G$. It follows that G is p-nilpotent, a contradiction.

(4) If H is a subgroup of P with $|H| = n_p$, then either H has a pnilpotent supplement in G, or $H = H_{eG}$.

Let H < P with $|H| = n_p$. Assume that H has no p-nilpotent supplement in G. If $H \neq H_{eG}$, then we may assume G has a normal subgroup M such that |G:M| = p and G = HM by Lemma 2.2(4), contrary to Step (3).

(5) $O_{p'}(G) = 1.$

If $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$, Lemma 2.2(3) guarantees that $G/O_{p'}(G)$ satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. Thus $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is *p*-nilpotent by the choice of *G*. Then *G* is *p*-nilpotent, a contradiction.

(6) If H is a subgroup of P with $|H| = n_p$, then either H has a pnilpotent supplement in G, or H is S-permutable in G.

Let H be a subgroup of P of order n_p without a p-nilpotent supplement in G. By Step (4), $H = H_{eG}$. Let U_1, \ldots, U_s be all the nontrivial subgroups of H which are S-permutably embedded in G. For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, there is an S-permutable subgroup K_i of G such that U_i is a Sylow p-subgroup of K_i . Obviously, $K_i \neq G$. Suppose that for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, we have $G = PK_i$. Then $|G : K_i|$ is p-power. From the S-permutability of K_i , we get $K_i \lhd \lhd G$. It follows that G has a normal subgroup of index p, contrary to Step (3). Thus, for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$, we have $G > PK_i$. Then PK_i satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem by Lemma 2.2(1). From the choice of G, PK_i is p-nilpotent and so K_i is p-nilpotent. Let $K_{ip'}$ be a normal p-complement of K_i . By Step (5), $K_{ip'} \leq O_{p'}(G) = 1$, which shows that $U_i = K_i$, and so H is S-permutable in G. (7) Suppose N is a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in P. Then $|N| \leq n_p$.

Suppose that $|N| > n_p$. Since $N \leq O_p(G)$, N is elementary abelian. If a subgroup H of N of order n_p has a p-nilpotent supplement T in G, then G = HT = NT. Hence $N \cap T \leq G$. By the minimality of N, either $N \cap T = 1$ or $N \cap T = N$. If $N \cap T = 1$, then $N = N \cap HT = H(N \cap T) = H$, a contradiction. Thus $N \cap T = N$ and G = NT = T, also a contradiction. Hence we may chose a subgroup H of N of order n_p such that $H \leq P$. In view of Lemma 2.8, $H \leq PO^p(G) = G$, contrary to the minimality of N.

(8) Suppose that p = 2, |P|/n_p > 2 and some subgroup H of P of order 4 has a 2-nilpotent supplement T in G. Then H is not cyclic, G/T_G ≅ A₄, no subgroup of H of order 2 is S-permutable in G, and T_G is a 2-group.

In view of Step (3), |G:T| = 4. By considering the permutation representation of G/T_G on the right cosets of T/T_G one can see that G/T_G is isomorphic to some subgroup of the symmetric group S_4 . But since G does not have a subgroup M with |G:M| = 2 by Step (3), we have $G/T_G \cong A_4$. It follows that $H \cong HT_G/T_G$ is not cyclic. Since $O_{2'}(G) = 1$ by Step (5), we deduce that $O_{2'}(T_G) = 1$. Hence T_G is a 2-group. Suppose that some subgroup V of H of order 2 is S-permutable in G and let Q be a Sylow 3-subgroup of T. Then $V \leq N_G(Q)$. On the other hand, since T is 2-nilpotent and $|T| = 2^n 3$, we have $T \leq N_G(Q)$. Hence $|G: N_G(Q)| = 2$, a contradiction.

(9) If P is a nonabelian 2-group and $|P|/n_p > 2$, then $n_p > 4$.

Since P is a nonabelian 2-group, it has a cyclic subgroup $H = \langle x \rangle$ of order 4. Suppose that $n_p = 4$. Then from Step (6) and $|P|/n_p > 2$ we know that every subgroup of P of order 4 without a 2-nilpotent supplement in G is S-permutable in G. Hence in view of Step (8), H is S-permutable in G. Then by Lemma 2.5(2), $\langle x^2 \rangle$ is S-permutable in G. Now note that if G has a subgroup $V = A \times B$ of order 4, where |A| = 2 and A is Spermutable in G, then V and B are S-permutable in G by Step (8) and Lemma 2.5(1). Therefore some subgroup Z of Z(P) with |Z| = 2 is Spermutable in G. Hence every subgroup of P of order 2 is S-permutable in G, which contradicts Step (1).

(10) If N is an abelian minimal normal subgroup of G contained in P, then the hypotheses of Theorem 1.5 are still true for G/N.

If either p > 2 and $|N| < n_p$, or p = 2 and $2|N| < n_p$, this is clear. So let p > 2 and $|N| = n_p$, or p = 2 and $|N| \in \{n_p, n_p/2\}$. By Step (6) every subgroup H of P of order n_p without a p-nilpotent supplement in Gis S-permutable in G. Moreover, in view of Step (1), $n_p > p$. Suppose that $|N| = n_p$. Then N is noncyclic and hence every subgroup of G containing N is noncyclic. Let $N \leq K \leq P$, where |K:N| = p. Since K is noncyclic, it has a maximal subgroup $L \neq N$. If L or N has a p-nilpotent supplement in G, then K does. Otherwise, K = LN is S-permutable in G, as it is the product of two subgroups S-permutable in G. Thus if either p > 2 or P/N is abelian, the hypotheses of the theorem are true for G/N by Lemma 2.2.

Next suppose that P/N is a nonabelian 2-group. Then P is nonabelian, so $n_p > 4$ by Step (9). Let $N \le K \le V$ where |V:N| = 4 and |V:K| = 2. Let K_1 be a maximal subgroup of V such that $V = K_1K$. Suppose that K_1 is cyclic. Then $N \not\subseteq K_1$, so $V = K_1N$, which implies |N| = 4. But then $n_p = 4$, which contradicts Step (9). Hence K_1 is noncyclic and hence as above one can show that K_1 either is S-permutable in G or has a 2-nilpotent supplement in G. Therefore every subgroup of P/N of order 2 or 4 without a p-nilpotent supplement in G/N is S-permutable in G/N.

Finally, suppose that $n_p = 2|N|$. If |N| > 2, then as above one can show that every subgroup of P/N of order 2 or 4 (if P/N is nonabelian) without a 2-nilpotent supplement in G/N is S-permutable in G/N. Now, suppose that |N| = 2 and P/N is nonabelian. Then P is nonabelian and $n_p = 4$, which contradicts Step (9).

(11) $O_p(G) = 1.$

If $O_p(G) \neq 1$, then $G/O_p(G)$ is *p*-nilpotent by Step (10). This means that G has a subgroup of index p, contrary to Step (3).

(12) If L is a minimal normal subgroup of G, then L is not p-nilpotent.

Assume that L is p-nilpotent. Let $L_{p'}$ be the normal p-complement of L. Since $L_{p'}$ char $L \trianglelefteq G$, we have $L_{p'} \trianglelefteq G$ and so $L_{p'} \le O_{p'}(G) = 1$ by Step (5). It follows that L is a p-group and so $L \le O_p(G) = 1$ by Step (11), a contradiction.

(13) If L is a minimal normal subgroup of G, then G = LP.

Obviously, LP satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. If LP < G, then the choice of G implies that LP is p-nilpotent. It follows that L is p-nilpotent, contrary to Step (12).

(14) G is a nonabelian simple group.

Take a minimal normal subgroup L of G. If L < G, then by Step (13), G = LP. Then G has a subgroup of index p, contrary to Step (3). Thus G = L is simple.

(15) The final contradiction.

If every subgroup H of P of order n_p has a p-nilpotent supplement in G, then every maximal subgroup of P has a p-nilpotent supplement in G. It follows that G is p-nilpotent by Lemma 2.4, a contradiction. Thus, there is a subgroup R of P of order n_p that is S-permutable in G by Step (6). The subnormality of R implies that G is not simple, contrary to Step (14).

4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

CASE I: X = E. Suppose that the theorem is false and consider a counterexample (G, E) for which |G| |E| is minimal. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of E, where p is the smallest prime dividing |E|.

(1) If K is a Hall subgroup of E, the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4 are still true for (K, K). Moreover, if K is normal in G, then the hypotheses also hold for (G, K) and for (G/K, E/K).

This follows directly from Lemma 2.2.

(2) If K is a nonidentity normal Hall subgroup of E, then K = E.

Since K is a characteristic subgroup of E, it is normal in G and by Step (1) the hypotheses are still true for (G/K, E/K) and (G, K). If $K \neq E$, the minimal choice of (G, E) implies that $E/K \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G/K)$ and $K \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G)$. Hence $E \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G)$, a contradiction.

(3) If $E \neq P$, then E is not p-nilpotent.

Indeed, if E is p-nilpotent, then by Step (2), p does not divide |E|, contrary to the choice of p.

(4) P is not cyclic.

This follows from Step (3) and Lemma 2.3(2).

(5) E = P.

By Lemma 2.2(1), every subgroup H of P of order n_p , as well as every order 4 cyclic subgroup of P (when $n_p = 2$ and P is a nonabelian 2-group), is E-S-supplemented in E. By Theorem 1.5, E is p-nilpotent. By Step (3), E = P.

(6) Every subgroup H of P of order n_p, as well as every order 4 cyclic subgroup of P (when n_p = 2 and P is a nonabelian 2-group), is weakly S-permutable in E.

This follows from Lemma 2.2(5).

(7) The final contradiction.

By the Theorem in [17], each G-chief factor below E is cyclic, a contradiction.

CASE II: $X = F^*(E)$. The proof in the case X = E shows that $F^*(E) \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G)$, which implies that $E \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G)$ by Lemma 2.9.

5. Some applications. From Theorem 1.5, we obtain the following statement.

THEOREM 5.1. Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of a group G, where p is the smallest prime divisor of |G|. Suppose that there is an integer n_p such that $1 < n_p < |P|$ and every subgroup H of P of order n_p , and every cyclic subgroup of P of order 4 (if P is a nonabelian 2-group and $n_p = 2$), without a p-nilpotent supplement in G is E-S-supplemented in G. Then G is p-nilpotent.

COROLLARY 5.2 ([11, Theorem 3.2]). Let p be a prime dividing the order of a group G with (|G|, p - 1) = 1. If there exists a Sylow p-subgroup P of G such that every maximal subgroup of P is weakly s-permutable in G, then G is p-nilpotent.

COROLLARY 5.3 ([2, Theorem 1]). Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of a group G, where p is the smallest prime divisor of |G|. If every maximal subgroup of P is S-permutably embedded in G, then G is p-nilpotent.

From [19], we know that a subgroup H of a group G is c-normal in G if G has a normal subgroup T such that G = HT and $H \cap T \leq H_G$.

COROLLARY 5.4 ([5, Theorem 3.4]). Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of a group G, where p is the smallest prime divisor of |G|. If every maximal subgroup of P is c-normal in G, then G is p-nilpotent.

COROLLARY 5.5 ([8, Theorem 3.1]). Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of a group G, where p is the smallest prime divisor of |G|. If every maximal subgroup of P is weakly S-permutably embedded in G, then G is p-nilpotent.

THEOREM 5.6. Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing all supersoluble groups and let $X \leq E$ be a normal subgroup of a group G such that $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that for every noncyclic Sylow subgroup P of X, there is an integer n_p such that $1 < n_p < |P|$ and every subgroup H of P of order n_p , as well as every order 4 cyclic subgroup of P (when $n_p = 2$ and P is a nonabelian 2-group), is E-S-supplemented in G. If X is either E or $F^*(E)$, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Since $E \leq Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G)$ by Theorem 1.4 and $Z_{\mathcal{U}}(G) \leq Z_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ by Lemma 2.10, we have $E \leq Z_{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ and so $G/Z_{\mathcal{F}}(G) \cong (G/E)/(Z_{\mathcal{F}}(G)/E) \in \mathcal{F}$. It follows that $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

COROLLARY 5.7 ([12, Theorem 3.3]). Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing \mathcal{U} . If there is a normal subgroup H of a group G such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$ and every maximal subgroup of any Sylow subgroup of H is *c*-normal in G, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$. COROLLARY 5.8 ([12, Theorem 3.9]). Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing \mathcal{U} . Then $G \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if there is a normal subgroup H of G such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$ and all subgroups of H of prime order or of order 4 are c-normal in G.

COROLLARY 5.9 ([22, Theorem 3.1]). Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing \mathcal{U} . Suppose that G is a group with a normal subgroup H such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$. If all maximal subgroups of any Sylow subgroup of $F^*(H)$ are c-normal in G, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

COROLLARY 5.10 ([20, Theorem 1]). Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing \mathcal{U} . Suppose that G is a group with a solvable normal subgroup H such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$. If all maximal subgroups of all Sylow subgroups of F(H) are c-normal in G, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

COROLLARY 5.11 ([9, Theorem 1.1]). Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing \mathcal{U} and let G be a group. If there is a normal subgroup H such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$ and all maximal subgroups of any Sylow subgroup of $F^*(H)$ are S-permutably embedded in G, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

COROLLARY 5.12 ([9, Theorem 1.2]). Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing \mathcal{U} and let G be a group. If there is a normal subgroup H such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$ and all subgroups of $F^*(H)$ of prime order or of order 4 are S-permutably embedded in G, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

COROLLARY 5.13 ([1, Theorem 3.3]). Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing \mathcal{U} and let G be a group. If there is a normal subgroup H such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$ and all maximal subgroups of all Sylow subgroups of H are S-quasinormally embedded in G, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

Acknowledgements. This research was partly supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 11101369) and the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

REFERENCES

- M. Asaad and A. A. Heliel, On S-quasinormally embedded subgroups of finite groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 165 (2001), 129–135.
- [2] A. Ballester-Bolinches and M. C. Pedraza-Aguilera, Sufficient conditions for supersolvability of finite groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 127 (1998), 113–118.
- [3] K. Doerk and T. Hawkes, *Finite Solvable Groups*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [4] W. Guo, *The Theory of Classes of Groups*, Science Press and Kluwer, Beijing, 2000.
- [5] X. Guo and K. P. Shum, On c-normal maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow p-subgroups of finite groups, Arch. Math. (Basel) 80 (2003), 561–569.
- [6] O. H. Kegel, Sylow Gruppen und subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen, Math. Z. 78 (1962), 205–221.
- [7] C. Li, A note on a result of Skiba, J. Group Theory 15 (2012), 385–396.

- Y. Li, S. Qiao and Y. Wang, On weakly s-permutably embedded subgroups of finite groups, Comm. Algebra 37 (2009), 1086–1097.
- Y. Li and Y. Wang, On π-quasinormally embedded subgroups of finite group, J. Algebra 281 (2004), 109–123.
- [10] Y. Li, Y. Wang and H. Wei, On p-nilpotency of finite groups with some subgroups π -quasinormally embedded, Acta Math. Hungar. 108 (2005), 283–298.
- [11] L. Miao, On weakly S-permutable subgroups, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. 41 (2010), 223– 235.
- [12] M. Ramadan, M. Ezzat-Mohamed and A. A. Heliel, On c-normality of certain subgroups of prime power order of finite groups, Arch. Math. (Basel) 85 (2005), 203–210.
- [13] D. J. S. Robinson, A Course in the Theory of Groups, Springer, 1982.
- P. Schmidt, Subgroups permutable with all Sylow subgroups, J. Algebra 207 (1998), 285–293.
- [15] L. A. Shemetkov and A. N. Skiba, On the XΦ-hypercentre of finite groups, J. Algebra 322 (2009), 2106–2117.
- [16] A. N. Skiba, A characterization of the hypercyclically embedded subgroups of finite groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 215 (2011), 257–261.
- [17] A. N. Skiba, Cyclicity conditions for G-chief factors of normal subgroups of a group G, Siberian Math. J. 52 (2011), 127–130.
- [18] A. N. Skiba, On weakly S-permutable subgroups of finite groups, J. Algebra 315 (2007), 192–209.
- [19] Y. Wang, c-Normality of groups and its properties, J. Algebra 180 (1996), 954–965.
- [20] H. Wei, On c-normal maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups, Comm. Algebra 29 (2001), 2193–2200.
- [21] H. Wei and Y. Wang, The c-supplemented property of fnite groups, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 50 (2007), 493–508.
- [22] H. Wei, Y. Wang and Y. Li, On c-normal maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups II, Comm. Algebra 31 (2003), 4807–4816.

Changwen Li School of Mathematics and Statistics Jiangsu Normal University Xuzhou, 221116, China E-mail: lcw2000@126.com Xuemei Zhang Department of Basic Sciences Yancheng Institute of Technology Yancheng, 224051, China E-mail: xmzhang807@sohu.com

Xiaolan Yi School of Science Zhejiang Sci-Tech University Hanzhou, 310018, China E-mail: yixiaolan2005@126.com

> Received 18 September 2012; revised 3 February 2013

(5764)