

ON MODULES AND RINGS  
WITH THE RESTRICTED MINIMUM CONDITION

BY

M. TAMER KOŞAN (Gebze) and JAN ŽEMLIČKA (Praha)

**Abstract.** A module  $M$  satisfies the restricted minimum condition if  $M/N$  is artinian for every essential submodule  $N$  of  $M$ . A ring  $R$  is called a right RM-ring whenever  $R_R$  satisfies the restricted minimum condition as a right module. We give several structural necessary conditions for particular classes of RM-rings. Furthermore, a commutative ring  $R$  is proved to be an RM-ring if and only if  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is noetherian and every singular module is semiartinian.

**1. Introduction.** Given a module  $M$  over a ring  $R$ , recall that  $N$  is an *essential submodule* of  $M$  if there is no non-zero submodule  $K$  of  $M$  such that  $K \cap N = 0$ . We say that  $M$  satisfies the *restricted minimum condition* (RMC) if for every essential submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , the factor module  $M/N$  is artinian. It is easy to see that the class of modules satisfying RMC is closed under taking submodules, factors and finite direct sums. A ring  $R$  is called a *right RM-ring* if  $R_R$  satisfies RMC as a right module. An integral domain  $R$  satisfying the restricted minimum condition is called an *RM-domain*, i.e.  $R/I$  is artinian for all non-zero ideals  $I$  of  $R$  (see [4]). Note that a noetherian domain has Krull dimension 1 if and only if it is an RM-domain [5, Theorem 1].

The purpose of the present paper is to continue on studies [3], [4], [5], [10] and [14], in which the basic structure theory of RM-rings and RM-domains was introduced by Albrecht and Breaz [1], which describes some properties of classes of torsion modules over RM-domains, and widely studied for corresponding classes of abelian groups. As the method of [1] appears to be fruitful, this paper focuses on the study of the structure of modules satisfying RMC, in particular singular ones. For a module  $M$  with the essential socle, we show that  $M$  satisfies RMC if and only if  $M/\text{Soc}(M)$  is artinian. It is also proved, among other results, that for a module  $M$  over a right RM-ring  $R$ , if  $M$  is singular, then  $M$  is semiartinian. These tools allow us to obtain ring-theoretical results for both non-commutative and commutative

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 16D40; Secondary 16E50.

*Key words and phrases*: restricted minimum condition, singular module, semiartinian ring, semilocal ring, max ring, perfect ring.

rings. Namely, if  $R$  is a right RM-ring and  $\text{Soc}(R) = 0$ , we prove that  $R$  is a non-singular ring of finite Goldie dimension. As a consequence, in Section 2 we obtain some characterizations of various classes of right RM-rings via some well-known and important rings (semiartinian, (von Neumann) regular, semilocal, max, perfect) plus some (socle finiteness) conditions: In the case when  $R$  is a semilocal right RM-ring and  $\text{Soc}(R) = 0$ , we show that  $R$  is noetherian if and only if  $J(R)$  is finitely generated if and only if the socle length of  $E(R/J(R))$  is at most  $\omega$ . If  $R$  is a right max right RM-ring, we prove that  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is right noetherian.

In Section 3, we focus on commutative rings. It is shown that such a ring  $R$  satisfies RMC if and only if  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is noetherian and every singular module is semiartinian.

Throughout this paper, rings are associative with unity and modules are unital right  $R$ -modules, where  $R$  denotes such a ring and  $M$  denotes such a module. We write  $J(R)$ ,  $J(M)$ ,  $\text{Soc}(R)$ ,  $\text{Soc}(M)$  for the respective Jacobson radicals and socles. We also write  $N \trianglelefteq M$  to indicate that  $N$  is an essential submodule of  $M$ , and  $E(M)$  for the injective hull of  $M$ .

**2. The structure of general right RM-rings.** Firstly, we prove the following lemma which is quite useful for the study of modules and rings with the right restricted minimum condition, and then recall a useful folklore observation (see [11, Lemma 3.6]).

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $K$  and  $N$  be submodules of  $M$  such that  $K \trianglelefteq N$ . If  $M$  satisfies RMC, then  $N/K$  is artinian.*

*Proof.* If we choose a submodule  $A$  for which  $N \cap A = 0$  and  $N \oplus A \trianglelefteq M$ , then  $K \oplus A \trianglelefteq M$ . Hence  $M/(K \oplus A)$  and  $(N \oplus A)/(K \oplus A) \cong N/K$  are artinian modules. ■

A non-zero module  $M$  is called *uniform* if the intersection of any two non-zero submodules of  $M$  is non-zero, or equivalently, every non-zero submodule of  $M$  is essential in  $M$ .

A module  $M$  is said to have *Goldie dimension* (or *uniform dimension*)  $n$ , denoted  $\text{Gdim}(M) = n$ , if  $E(M)$  is a direct sum of  $n$  submodules, equivalently if  $M$  has an essential submodule which is a direct sum of  $n$  uniform submodules.

LEMMA 2.2. *If a module  $M$  satisfies RMC, then  $M/\text{Soc}(M)$  has finite Goldie dimension.*

*Proof.* Set  $S_0 := \text{Soc}(M)$ , and fix a submodule  $S_1$  of the module  $M$  such that  $S_0 \subseteq S_1$  and  $S_1/S_0 = \text{Soc}(M/S_0)$ . By Zorn's Lemma, we may choose a maximal set of elements  $m_i \in M$  such that  $S_1 \cap (\bigoplus_{i \in I} m_i R) = 0$ . It is easy to see that  $S_1 \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in I} m_i R) \trianglelefteq M$ . Since  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} m_i R \cap S_0 = 0$ , every module  $m_i R$

has zero socle. Hence  $m_i R$  is not simple, and any maximal submodule of  $m_i R$  is essential in  $m_i R$ . For every  $i \in I$ , let  $N_i$  be a fixed maximal submodule in  $m_i R$ . As  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in I} m_i R$ , the module  $L = S_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i$  is essential in  $M$ . Since  $M$  satisfies RMC, we see that  $M/L$  is an artinian module containing an isomorphic copy of  $(S_1/S_0) \oplus (\bigoplus_{i \in I} m_i R/N_i)$ , which implies that  $I$  is finite and  $S_1/S_0$  is a finitely generated semisimple module. By [12, Proposition 6.5], we conclude that the uniform dimension of  $M/\text{Soc}(M)$  is finite. ■

Following [7, Section 7.2], the class  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  of modules  $M$  of Krull dimension  $\alpha$ , written  $\text{Kdim}(M) = \alpha$ , is defined as follows. The class  $\mathcal{M}_{-1}$  consists of the module  $M = 0$ . If the class  $\mathcal{M}_\beta$  of modules of Krull dimension  $\beta$  has been defined for every  $\beta < \alpha$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  is defined as the class of all modules  $M$  such that

- (i)  $M \notin \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{M}_\beta$ ,
- (ii) for every decreasing chain  $M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \cdots$  of submodules of  $M$ , there exists  $n$  such that  $M_i/M_{i+1} \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{M}_\beta$  for all  $i \geq n$ .

We also note that:

- $\text{Kdim}(M_R) = -1$  if and only if  $M_R = 0$ .
- $\text{Kdim}(M_R) = 0$  if and only if  $M_R$  is a non-zero artinian module.
- Every module with Krull dimension has finite Goldie dimension (see [7, Proposition 7.13]).

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *If a module  $M$  satisfies RMC, then  $\text{Kdim}(M/\text{Soc}(M))$  is at most one.*

*Proof.* Let  $N_0 \supseteq N_1 \supseteq \cdots$  be a descending chain of submodules of  $M/\text{Soc}(M)$ . As  $M/\text{Soc}(M)$  has a finite Goldie dimension by Lemma 2.2, there exists  $n$  such that for each  $i \geq n$  either  $N_i = 0$  or  $N_{i+1} \subseteq N_i$ . Since  $N_i/N_{i+1}$  is artinian by Lemma 2.1, we conclude that  $M/\text{Soc}(M)$  has Krull dimension at most 1. ■

A module  $M$  is called *semiartinian* if every non-zero factor of  $M$  contains a non-zero socle. A ring  $R$  is called *right semiartinian* if  $R_R$  is a right semiartinian module. Note that every non-zero right module over a right semiartinian ring is semiartinian (see [9]).

Let  $M$  be a semiartinian module. By [8] or [13], every semiartinian module contains an increasing chain of submodules  $(S_\alpha \mid \alpha \geq 0)$  (called the *socle chain*) satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} S_0 &= 0, \\ S_{\alpha+1}/S_\alpha &= \text{Soc}(M/S_\alpha) \quad \text{for each ordinal } \alpha, \\ S_\alpha &= \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} S_\beta \quad \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a limit ordinal.} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the first ordinal  $\sigma$  such that  $S_\sigma = M$  is said to be the *socle length* of  $M$ .

Since every semiartinian ring contains the essential socle, we obtain the following easy observation.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $R$  be a right semiartinian ring. Then  $R$  is a right RM-ring if and only if  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is artinian.*

Obviously, the class of right RM-rings is closed under taking factors and finite products. But, in general, this is not true of taking extensions.

EXAMPLE 2.5. Let  $R$  be a right semiartinian ring of socle length 3 and  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  non-artinian. Hence  $R$  is not a right RM-ring by Lemma 2.4. Since  $R_0/\text{Soc}(R_0)$  is semisimple, we infer that  $R_0 = R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is a right RM-ring by Lemma 2.4. Clearly  $\text{Soc}(R)$  satisfies RMC as well. Hence the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Soc}(R) \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/\text{Soc}(R) \rightarrow 0$$

shows that the class of all modules satisfying RMC is not closed under extensions.

In particular, using constructions of [6], we can fix a field  $F$  and take as  $R_1$  the  $F$ -subalgebra of the  $F$ -algebra  $F^\omega$  of all countable sequences over  $F$  generated by the ideal of ultimately zero sequences  $F^{(\omega)}$ , where  $\omega$  denotes the first infinite ordinal. Note that this  $F$ -subalgebra contains exactly ultimately constant sequences. Now  $R_2$  is defined as an  $F$ -subalgebra of a natural  $F$ -algebra  $R_1^\omega$  generated by  $R_1^{(\omega)}$ . It is easy to see that  $R_2$  is a right semiartinian ring of socle length 3 and  $R_2/\text{Soc}(R_2)$  is non-artinian.

Let us recall the following well-known observation.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let  $M$  be an artinian  $R$ -module. If  $J(N) \neq N$  for every non-zero submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , then  $M$  is noetherian.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $M$  is not noetherian. Then it contains a semiartinian submodule of infinite socle length. As  $M$  is artinian, there is a minimal submodule  $N$  of infinite socle length. Thus  $N$  contains no maximal submodule, i.e.  $J(N) = N$ . ■

Now we are able to clarify the structure of RM-rings, which is similar (and in some sense dual) to the structure of semiartinian rings.

THEOREM 2.7. *Let  $R$  be a right RM-ring,  $S(R)$  the greatest right semiartinian ideal of  $R$ , and set  $A := R/\text{Soc}(R)$  and  $S(A) := S(R)/\text{Soc}(R)$ . Then:*

- (i)  $\bigcap_{n < \omega} J(A)^n$  is nilpotent,
- (ii)  $S(A) \cap J(A)$  is nilpotent,
- (iii)  $S(A)/(S(A) \cap J(A))$  is noetherian.

*Proof.* (i) Since the Krull dimension of  $A$  is 0 or 1 by Proposition 2.3, we deduce that  $\bigcap_n J(A)^n$  is a nilpotent by [7, Theorem 7.26].

(ii) Set  $K := S(A) \cap \bigcap_n J(A)^n$  and  $I := S(A) \cap J(A)$ . Note that  $K$  is nilpotent by (i). Since  $S(A)$  is artinian by Lemma 2.1, so is  $I$ . Moreover,  $I^n \subseteq J(A)^n$ , and so  $\bigcap_n I^n \subseteq K$ . Since  $I$  artinian, there exists  $n$  for which  $I^n \subseteq K$ , which finishes the proof.

(iii) Note that  $S(A)$ , and so  $M = S(A)/(S(A) \cap J(A))$  is artinian and  $J(M) = 0$ . Hence  $J(N) = 0$  for each submodule  $N$  of  $M$ . The rest follows from Lemma 2.6. ■

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *If  $\text{Soc}(R) = 0$  and  $J(R)^2 = J(R)$  for a ring  $R$ , then  $R$  is not a right RM-ring.*

A ring  $R$  is *regular* if for every  $x \in R$  there exists  $y \in R$  such that  $x = yx$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.9.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a regular ring  $R$ :*

- (i)  $R$  is a right RM-ring,
- (ii)  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is artinian,
- (iii)  $R$  is semiartinian of socle length 2.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). By Lemma 2.2,  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is of finite Goldie dimension. Since  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is a regular ring which cannot contain an infinite set of orthogonal set idempotents, we conclude that  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is artinian.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). This is obvious because an artinian regular ring is semisimple.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). This follows from Lemma 2.4. ■

Recall that the *singular submodule*  $Z(M)$  of a module  $M$  is defined by

$$Z(M) = \{m \in M : mI = 0 \text{ for some essential right ideal } I \text{ of } R\}.$$

The module  $M$  is called *singular* if  $M = Z(M)$ , and *non-singular* if  $Z(M) = 0$ . Clearly, every regular ring is non-singular (for more properties cf. [15]).

**LEMMA 2.10.** *Let  $R$  be a right RM-ring. Then  $Z(M)$  is semiartinian for each right  $R$ -module  $M$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $m \in Z(M)$ . Clearly,  $r(m)$  is an essential right ideal of  $R$ , where  $r(m) = \{a \in A \mid ma = 0\}$ . Hence  $mR \cong R/r(m)$  is artinian and so semiartinian. ■

**THEOREM 2.11.** *Let  $R$  be a right RM-ring and  $M$  a right  $R$ -module.*

- (i) *If  $M$  is singular, then  $M$  is semiartinian.*
- (ii)  *$E(M)/M$  is semiartinian.*
- (iii) *If  $M$  is semiartinian, then  $E(M)$  is semiartinian. In particular,  $E(S)$  is semiartinian for every simple module  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $M$  is singular. By Lemma 2.10,  $Z(M) = M$  is semiartinian, hence (i) holds. Since  $E(M)/M$  is a singular module by [12, Example 7.6(3)] and the class of semiartinian modules is closed under taking essential extensions, (ii) and (iii) hold. ■

Since for a ring  $R$  with no simple submodule we obtain  $Z(R) = 0$  by Lemma 2.10, we can formulate the following observation which is a consequence of Lemma 2.2.

**COROLLARY 2.12.** *If  $\text{Soc}(R) = 0$  for a right RM-ring  $R$ , then  $R$  is a non-singular ring of finite Goldie dimension.*

Recall that a ring  $R$  is called *semilocal* if  $R/J(R)$  is semisimple artinian.

**LEMMA 2.13.** *If  $R$  is a semilocal ring, then  $J(R) + \text{Soc}(R) \leq R$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $J(R) + \text{Soc}(R)$  is not essential in  $R$ . Then there exists a non-zero right ideal  $I \subseteq R$  such that  $I \cap (J(R) + \text{Soc}(R)) = 0$ . Since  $\text{Soc}(I) = \text{Soc}(R) \cap I = 0$  and  $R/J(R)$  contains an ideal which is isomorphic to  $I$ , we find that  $\text{Soc}(R/J(R)) \neq R/J(R)$ . Hence  $R$  is not semilocal, a contradiction. ■

The following example shows that the converse of Lemma 2.13 is not true.

**EXAMPLE 2.14.** Suppose that  $R$  is a local commutative domain with maximal ideal  $J$ . It is easy to see that  $J^\omega$  is the Jacobson radical of the ring  $R^\omega$  and it is essential in  $R^\omega$ . However  $R^\omega$  is not semilocal.

Recall that  $J(R/J(R)) = \{0 + J(R)\}$  for an arbitrary ring  $R$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.15.** *Assume that  $R$  is a right RM-ring.*

- (i) *If  $\text{Soc}(R) = 0$ , then  $J(R) \leq R$  if and only if  $R$  is semilocal.*
- (ii) *If  $R$  is a semilocal ring, then  $J(R)/\text{Soc}(J(R))$  is finitely generated as a two-sided ideal.*

*Proof.* (i) Since  $J(R) \leq R_R$  and  $R_R$  satisfies right RMC, we see that  $R/J(R)$  is an artinian ring. On the other hand,  $J(R/J(R)) = \{0 + J(R)\}$  implies that  $R/J(R)$  is semisimple, and hence  $R$  is semilocal. The converse follows from Lemma 2.13.

(ii) We note that there exists a finitely generated right ideal  $F \subseteq J(R)$  such that  $F + (\text{Soc}(R) \cap J(R)) \leq J(R)$ , since  $J(R)/(\text{Soc}(R) \cap J(R))$  has a finite Goldie dimension by Lemma 2.2. Thus  $RF + \text{Soc}(R)$  is a two-sided ideal which is essential in  $R$  as a right ideal, by Lemma 2.13. By the hypothesis,  $R/(RF + \text{Soc}(R))$  is a right artinian ring. Since  $J(R) + \text{Soc}(R)/(RF + \text{Soc}(R))$

is finitely generated as a right ideal and

$$\begin{aligned} (J(R) + \text{Soc}(R))/(RF + \text{Soc}(R)) &\cong J(R)/(J(R) \cap (RF + \text{Soc}(R))) \\ &= J(R)/(RF + (J(R) \cap \text{Soc}(R))) \\ &= J(R)/(RF + \text{Soc}(J(R))), \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that the ideal  $J(R)/\text{Soc}(J(R))$  is finitely generated. ■

Recall that every artinian module is semiartinian, and  $\omega$  denotes the first infinite ordinal.

LEMMA 2.16. *The following are equivalent for an artinian  $R$ -module  $M$ :*

- (i) *The socle length of  $M$  is greater than  $\omega$ .*
- (ii)  *$M$  contains a cyclic submodule with infinitely generated Jacobson radical.*
- (iii)  *$M$  contains a cyclic submodule which is not noetherian.*

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let  $M$  be an artinian module of non-limit infinite socle length, and fix  $x \in M$  such that  $xR$  has socle length  $\omega + 1$ . Denote by  $S_\alpha$  the  $\alpha$ th member of the socle sequence of  $xR$ . Since  $xR$  is artinian,  $J(xR)$  is the intersection of finitely many maximal submodules, which implies that  $xR/J(xR)$  is semisimple. Because  $xR/S_\omega$  is semisimple as well, we have  $J(xR) \subseteq S_\omega$ . Hence the socle length of  $J(xR)$  is at most  $\omega$ . Assume that  $J(xR)$  is finitely generated. Then the socle length of  $J(xR)$  is non-limit, and hence finite. This implies that  $xR$  has a finite socle length, a contradiction, i.e.  $J(xR)$  is infinitely generated.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). This is clear.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). As a cyclic non-noetherian artinian module is of infinite non-limit socle length, the length has to be greater than  $\omega$ . ■

The next result characterizes semilocal right RM-rings further.

THEOREM 2.17. *The following conditions are equivalent for a semilocal right RM-ring  $R$  with  $\text{Soc}(R) = 0$ :*

- (i)  *$R$  is right noetherian.*
- (ii)  *$J(R)$  is finitely generated as a right ideal.*
- (iii) *The socle length of  $E(R/J(R))$  is at most  $\omega$ .*

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). This is obvious.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Note that every cyclic submodule of  $E(R/J(R))$  is artinian by Theorem 2.11. Suppose that the socle length of  $E(R/J(R))$  is greater than  $\omega$ . Hence  $E(R/J(R))$  contains an artinian submodule of socle length greater than  $\omega$ . By Lemma 2.16, there exists a cyclic module  $xR$  with infinitely generated Jacobson radical. Fix right ideals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  such that  $xR \cong R/I_1$ ,  $I_1 \subseteq I_2$  and  $I_2/I_1 = J(R/I_1)$ . It is easy to see that  $I_2$  is infinitely generated and  $J(R) \subseteq I_2$ . Since  $I_2/J(R)$  is a right ideal of the semisimple ring  $R/J(R)$ ,

it follows that  $I_2/J(R)$  is finitely generated, and hence  $J(R)$  is an infinitely generated right ideal.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let  $I$  be a right ideal. We show that  $I$  is finitely generated. By Lemma 2.2, there exist finitely generated right ideals  $F$  and  $G$  such that  $F \trianglelefteq I$ ,  $I \cap G = 0$  and  $F + G \trianglelefteq R$ . First we note that  $R/(F + G)$  is an artinian module with a submodule isomorphic to  $I/F$ . It is also easy to see that  $R/(F + G)$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $\bigoplus_{i \leq n} E(S_i)$  for some simple modules  $S_1, \dots, S_n$ . Since each  $E(S_i)$  is isomorphic to some submodule of  $E(R/J(R))$ , the socle length of  $\bigoplus_{i \leq n} E(S_i)$  and so of  $R/(F + G)$  is at most  $\omega$ . As  $R/(F + G)$  is a cyclic module, it is an artinian module of finite socle length, which implies that  $R/(F + G)$  is also a noetherian module. Therefore  $I/F$  and so  $I$  are finitely generated modules. ■

Recall that a ring  $R$  is called *right max* if every non-zero right module has a maximal proper submodule.

**THEOREM 2.18.** *If  $R$  is a right max right RM-ring, then  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is right noetherian.*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be a right ideal of  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$ . It is enough to show that  $I$  is finitely generated. If we apply Lemma 2.2 to  $I$ , we see that there exists a finitely generated right ideal  $F$  such that  $F \trianglelefteq I$  and  $I/F$  is artinian. Since  $R$  is a right max ring, every non-zero submodule of  $I/F$  contains a maximal submodule, and so  $I/F$  is noetherian. By Lemma 2.6, it is finitely generated. Thus  $I$  is finitely generated as well. ■

As right perfect rings are right max, we get

**COROLLARY 2.19.** *If  $R$  is a right perfect right RM-ring, then  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is right noetherian.*

The following example shows that a perfect right RM-ring need not be a (right) noetherian ring.

**EXAMPLE 2.20.** Let  $F$  be a commutative field and  $V$  be a vector space over  $F$ . Consider the trivial extension  $R = F \times V$ . Then  $R$  is a local ring, hence it is perfect. The proper ideals of  $R$  are the  $0 \times W$ , where  $W$  is an  $F$ -subspace of  $V$ . Hence the only essential ideals of  $R$  are  $R$  and the maximal ideal  $0 \times V$ . Then  $R_R$  satisfies the right RMC. We note that if  $V$  is infinite-dimensional, then  $R$  is not noetherian.

Since every left perfect ring is right artinian, the following observation follows from Lemma 2.4.

**COROLLARY 2.21.** *If  $R$  is a left perfect right RM-ring, then  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is right artinian.*

**3. Characterizations of commutative RM-rings.** We recall the terminology that we need in this section. Let  $P$  be a maximal ideal of a domain  $R$ . For every  $R$ -module  $M$ , the symbol  $M_{[P]}$  denotes the sum of all finite length submodules  $U$  of  $M$  such that all composition factors of  $U$  are isomorphic to  $R/P$ .

A module  $M$  is *self-small* if the functor  $\text{Hom}(M, -)$  commutes with all direct powers of  $M$ . Recall that  $M$  is not self-small if and only if there exists a chain  $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M$  of submodules such that  $\bigcup_n M_n = M$  and  $\text{Hom}(M/M_n, M) \neq 0$  for each  $n$ .

Let  $\text{Max}(M)$  denote the set of all maximal submodules of  $M$ .

First, let us formulate some results of [1] in the following observation.

**THEOREM 3.1** ([1, Theorem 6, Lemma 3(2), Theorem 9]). *The following conditions are equivalent for a commutative domain  $R$ :*

- (i)  $R$  is an RM-domain,
- (ii)  $M = \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Max}(R)} M_{[P]}$  for all torsion modules  $M$ ,
- (iii)  $R$  is noetherian and every non-zero (cyclic) torsion  $R$ -module has an essential socle,
- (iv)  $R$  is noetherian and every self-small torsion module is finitely generated.

The following is, maybe, well-known.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Every cyclic artinian module over a commutative ring is noetherian.*

The following example shows that the assumption of commutativity in Lemma 3.2 is not superfluous.

**EXAMPLE 3.3.** Let  $F$  be a field and  $I = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$  be a countable set ( $I$  consists of all natural numbers plus a further index  $\omega$ ). The ring  $R$  is the ring of non-commutative polynomials with coefficients in  $F$  and in the non-commutative indeterminates  $x_i$ ,  $i \in I$ . The cyclic module will be a vector space  $V$  over  $F$  of countable dimension, with basis  $v_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , over the field  $F$ .

We must say how  $R$  acts on  $V$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set  $x_n v_i = v_n$  if  $i \geq n$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_n v_i = 0$  if  $i < n$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $x_n v_\omega = v_n$ . Moreover, set  $x_\omega v_i = 0$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $x_\omega v_\omega = v_\omega$ . Thus we obtain a left  $R$ -module  ${}_R V$ . Now  ${}_R V$  is cyclic generated by  $v_\omega$  (because  $x_n v_\omega = v_n$ ).

The  $R$ -submodules of  ${}_R V$  are

$$Rv_0 \subset Rv_1 \subset \cdots \subset \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Rv_i \subset Rv_\omega = V.$$

Thus the lattice of  $R$ -submodules of  ${}_R V$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$ , that is,

is order-isomorphic to the cardinal  $\omega + 1$ . Thus the cyclic  $R$ -module  ${}_R R$  is artinian but not noetherian.

The following observation generalizes [1, Lemma 3(2)].

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Then  $R$  is an RM-ring if and only if  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is noetherian and every singular module is semiartinian.*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $R$  be an RM-ring, and let  $A$  be the greatest semiartinian ideal in  $R$ . Then  $R/A$  has zero socle and  $\text{Soc}(R) \subseteq A$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $A/\text{Soc}(R)$  is artinian, and so is noetherian by Lemma 3.2. It remains to show that  $R/A$  is noetherian. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that  $\text{Soc}(R) = 0$ . Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . We show that it is finitely generated. Repeating the argument for (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) in the proof of Theorem 2.17, we can find finitely generated ideals  $F$  and  $G$  such that  $F \subseteq I$ ,  $I \cap G = 0$  and  $F + G \subseteq R$ . Hence  $R/(F + G)$  is artinian and it has a submodule which is isomorphic to  $I/F$ . Since  $R/(F + G)$  is noetherian by Lemma 3.2,  $I/F$  as well as  $I$  are finitely generated. The rest follows from Lemma 2.10.

( $\Leftarrow$ .) Suppose  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is noetherian and every singular module is semiartinian. Fix an ideal  $I \subseteq R$ . By Lemma 2.10,  $R/I$  is singular and so semiartinian. Moreover,  $R/I$  is noetherian and semiartinian, and hence it is artinian, which finishes the proof. ■

In light of Theorem 3.4, we ask the following.

**QUESTION 3.5.** *Is  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  noetherian for each non-commutative right RM-ring  $R$ ?*

Recall (Theorem 3.1) that  $R$  is an RM-domain if and only if

$$M = \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Max}(R)} M_{[P]}$$

for all torsion modules  $M$ .

**LEMMA 3.6.** *If  $M$  is a singular module over a commutative RM-ring  $R$ , then  $M = \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Max}(R)} M_{[P]}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $M \neq \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Max}(R)} M_{[P]}$  and fix  $m \in M \setminus \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Max}(R)} M_{[P]}$ . Since  $M$  is singular,  $mR$  is artinian and

$$mR \cong R/r(m) \cong \prod_{r(m) \subseteq I} A_I,$$

where each  $A_I$  is a local commutative artinian ring with maximal ideal  $I$ . As  $A_I \subseteq M_{[I]}$  and there are only finitely many  $I \in \text{Max}(R)$ , we get a contradiction. ■

We finish this paper with the following observation.

**THEOREM 3.7.** *The following conditions are equivalent for a commutative ring  $R$ :*

- (i)  $R$  is an RM-ring,
- (ii)  $M = \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Max}(R)} M_{[P]}$  for all singular modules  $M$ ,
- (iii)  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is noetherian and every self-small singular module is finitely generated.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). This follows from Lemma 3.6.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). We follow the proof of [1, Theorem 6]. Let  $I$  be an essential ideal of  $R$ . Then  $R/I$  is a cyclic singular module, and hence  $R/I \cong \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Max}(R)} A_{[P]}$  where each  $A_{[P]}$  is cyclic and only finitely many  $A_{[P]}$  are non-zero. Since every cyclic module  $A_{[P]}$  is a submodule of a sum of finite-length modules, it is artinian. Thus  $R/I$  is artinian and  $R$  is an RM-ring.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). By Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 2.16,  $R/\text{Soc}(R)$  is noetherian and every singular module is semiartinian of socle length less than or equal to  $\omega$ . Let  $M$  be a self-small singular module. Then  $M = \bigoplus_{P \in \text{Max}(R)} M_{[P]}$  by Lemma 3.6, and hence  $M_{[P]} \neq 0$  for only finitely many  $[P]$ . Since  $\text{Hom}(M_{[P]}, M_{[Q]}) = 0$  for all  $P \neq Q$ , we may suppose that  $M = M_{[P]}$  for a single maximal ideal  $P$  by [16, Proposition 1.6]. Let  $M_i$  denote the  $i$ th member of the socle sequence of  $M$ . It is easy to see that  $M_i = \{m \in M \mid mP^i = 0\}$ . Assume that the socle length of  $M$  is infinite, i.e.  $M_i \neq M_{i+1}$  and  $M = \bigcup_{i < \omega} M_i$ . Then for each  $i < \omega$ , there exist  $m_i \in M_{i+1} \setminus M_i$  and  $p_i \in P^i$  such that  $0 \neq m_i p_i \in \text{Soc}(M)$ . Then multiplication by  $p_i$  is a non-zero endomorphism on  $M$  for which  $M_i \subseteq \ker p_i$ , a contradiction because  $M$  is self-small. We have proved that there exists  $n$  such that  $M_n = M$  and so  $M$  has a natural structure of a self-small module over the commutative artinian ring  $R/P^n$ . Hence  $M$  is finitely generated by [2, Proposition 2.9].

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). We follow the proof of [1, Theorem 9]. If  $I$  is an essential ideal of  $R$ , then  $\text{Soc}(R) \subseteq I$ , hence  $R/I$  is noetherian. Moreover, every self-small module over  $R/I$  is singular as an  $R$ -module, and so it is finitely generated. Now, the conclusion follows immediately from [2, Proposition 3.17]. ■

**REMARK 3.8.** Note that Theorem 3.1 is a direct consequence of Theorems 3.4 and 3.7 since singular modules over commutative domains are exactly torsion modules.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] U. Albrecht and S. Breaz, *A note on self-small modules over RM-domains*, J. Algebra Appl. 13 (2014), 1350073, 8 pp.
- [2] S. Breaz and J. Žemlička, *When every self-small module is finitely generated*, J. Algebra 315 (2007), 885–893.

- [3] A. W. Chatters, *The restricted minimum condition in Noetherian hereditary rings*, J. London Math. Soc. 4 (1971), 83–87.
- [4] A. W. Chatters and C. R. Hajarnavis, *Rings with Chain Conditions*, Res. Notes Math. 44, Pitman, Boston, 1980.
- [5] I. S. Cohen, *Commutative rings with restricted minimum condition*, Duke Math. J. 17 (1950), 27–42.
- [6] P. C. Eklof, K. R. Goodearl and J. Trlifaj, *Dually slender modules and steady rings*, Forum Math. 9 (1997), 61–74.
- [7] A. Facchini, *Module Theory: Endomorphism Rings and Direct Sum Decompositions in Some Classes of Modules*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [8] L. Fuchs, *Torsion preradicals and ascending Loewy series of modules*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 239/240 (1969), 169–179.
- [9] J. S. Golan, *Torsion Theories*, Longman, Harlow, and Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [10] D. V. Huynh and P. Dan, *On rings with restricted minimum condition*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 51 (1988), 313–326.
- [11] S. K. Jain, A. K. Srivastava and A. A. Tuganbaev, *Cyclic Modules and the Structure of Rings*, Oxford Univ. Press, 2012.
- [12] T. Y. Lam, *Lectures on Modules and Rings*, Springer, New York, 1991.
- [13] C. Năstăsescu et N. Popescu, *Anneaux semi-artiniens*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 96 (1968), 357.
- [14] A. J. Ornstein, *Rings with restricted minimum condition*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 1145–1150.
- [15] B. Stenström, *Rings of Quotients*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 217, Springer, New York, 1975.
- [16] J. Žemlička, *When products of self-small modules are self-small*, Comm. Algebra 36 (2008), 2570–2576.

M. Tamer Koşan  
Department of Mathematics  
Gebze Technical University  
41400 Gebze/Kocaeli, Turkey  
E-mail: mtkosan@gyte.edu.tr  
tkosan@gmail.com

Jan Žemlička  
Department of Algebra  
Faculty of Mathematics and Physics  
Charles University in Prague  
Sokolovská 83  
186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic  
E-mail: zemlicka@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

*Received 19 June 2014;*  
*revised 5 December 2014*

(6292)