VOL. 90

2001

NO. 1

THE MEAN VALUE OF $|L(k, \chi)|^2$ AT POSITIVE RATIONAL INTEGERS $k \ge 1$

BҮ

STÉPHANE LOUBOUTIN (Marseille)

Abstract. Let $k \ge 1$ denote any positive rational integer. We give formulae for the sums

$$S_{\text{odd}}(k, f) = \sum_{\chi(-1)=-1} |L(k, \chi)|^2$$

(where χ ranges over the $\phi(f)/2$ odd Dirichlet characters modulo f>2) whenever $k\geq 1$ is odd, and for the sums

$$S_{\text{even}}(k, f) = \sum_{\chi(-1)=+1} |L(k, \chi)|^2$$

(where χ ranges over the $\phi(f)/2$ even Dirichlet characters modulo f>2) whenever $k\geq 1$ is even.

1. Introduction. The aim of this paper is to prove the following two results:

THEOREM 1. Let $f > 2, k \ge 1$ and $l \ge 1$ denote rational integers. Set

$$\phi_l(f) = \prod_{p|f} (1 - 1/p^l) \quad and \quad \phi(f) = f\phi_1(f).$$

Then for any $k \ge 1$ there exists a polynomial $R_k(X) = \sum_{l=0}^{2k} r_{k,l} X^l$ of degree 2k with rational coefficients such that for all f > 2 we have

$$\frac{2}{\phi(f)} \sum_{\chi(-1)=(-1)^k} |L(k,\chi)|^2 = \frac{\pi^{2k}}{2((k-1)!)^2} \sum_{l=1}^{2k} r_{k,l}\phi_l(f) f^{l-2k}$$

where χ ranges over the $\phi(f)/2$ Dirichlet characters modulo f such that $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 11M06, 11M20, 11R18.

Key words and phrases: Dirichlet L-functions, characters.

THEOREM 2. Assume f > 2 and $k \ge 1$. Let

$$M_{\text{odd}}(k,f) = \frac{2}{\phi(f)} \sum_{\chi(-1)=-1} |L(k,\chi)|^2 \quad (k \ge 1 \text{ odd}),$$
$$M_{\text{even}}(k,f) = \frac{2}{\phi(f)} \sum_{\chi(-1)=+1} |L(k,\chi)|^2 \quad (k \ge 2 \text{ even})$$

denote the mean value of $|L(k,\chi)|^2$ where χ ranges over the $\phi(f)/2$ Dirichlet characters modulo f such that $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$. Then

$$M_{\text{odd}}(1,f) = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \phi_2(f) - \frac{\pi^2 \phi_1(f)}{2f},$$

$$M_{\text{even}}(2,f) = \frac{\pi^4}{90} \phi_4(f) + \frac{\pi^4}{9f^2} \phi_2(f),$$

$$M_{\text{odd}}(3,f) = \frac{\pi^6}{945} \phi_6(f) - \frac{\pi^6}{45f^4} \phi_2(f),$$

$$M_{\text{even}}(4,f) = \frac{\pi^8}{9450} \phi_8(f) + \frac{\pi^8}{2025f^4} \phi_4(f) + \frac{4\pi^8}{567f^6} \phi_2(f).$$

To prove these results, we follow the same line of reasoning as for proving [Lou1, Th. 2] (which is nothing else but our formula for $M_{\text{odd}}(1, f)$ and generalizes [Wal] who only considered the case of prime modulus f). First, in (1) we generalize [Lou1, Th. 1] by giving a formula for the values $L(k, \chi)$ for the χ 's that satisfy $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$. Second, we generalize [Lou1, Lemma (a)] in Proposition 4. Third, we prove in Proposition 5 that Theorem 1 holds with the polynomials $R_k(X)$ defined in (5)–(7). Finally, Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1 and the computation of the $R_i(X)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$.

2. Proof of the results

2.1. Formulae for $M_{\text{odd}}(k, f)$ and $M_{\text{even}}(k, f)$

PROPOSITION 3. Let $k \ge 1$ and f > 2 denote positive rational integers. Let $\cot^{(k)}$ denote the kth derivative of $x \mapsto \cot(x) = \cos(x)/\sin(x)$.

1. If χ is a Dirichlet character modulo f > 2 and if $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$ then

(1)
$$L(k,\chi) = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}\pi^k}{2f^k(k-1)!} \sum_{l=1}^{f-1} \chi(l) \cot^{(k-1)}(\pi l/f).$$

2. We have

(2)
$$\sum_{\chi(-1)=(-1)^k} |L(k,\chi)|^2 = \frac{\pi^{2k}\phi(f)}{4((k-1)!)^2 f^{2k}} \sum_{\substack{l=1\\(l,f)=1}}^{f-1} \left(\cot^{(k-1)}\left(\frac{\pi l}{f}\right)\right)^2$$

where the first sum ranges over all the $\phi(f)/2$ Dirichlet characters modulo f > 2 which satisfy $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$ and the second sum ranges over integers l relatively prime to f.

Proof. Recall that for 0 < b < 1 we have

$$\pi \cot(\pi b) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \left(\frac{1}{n+b} - \frac{1}{n+1-b} \right).$$

Therefore, for $k \ge 1$ we have

(3)
$$\frac{(-1)^{k-1}\pi^k}{(k-1)!}\cot^{(k-1)}(\pi b) = \sum_{n\geq 0} \left(\frac{1}{(n+b)^k} + \frac{(-1)^k}{(n+1-b)^k}\right)$$

Now, for b>0 we set $\zeta(s,b)=\sum_{n\geq 0}(n+b)^{-s}$ for $\Re(s)>1$ (Hurwitz's zeta function). For $\Re(s)>1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4) \qquad L(s,\chi) &= f^{-s} \sum_{l=1}^{f-1} \chi(l) \zeta(s,l/f) \\ &= f^{-s} \sum_{l=1}^{f-1} \chi(f-l) \zeta(s,1-(l/f)) \\ &= f^{-s} \chi(-1) \sum_{l=1}^{f-1} \chi(l) \zeta(s,1-(l/f)) \\ &= \frac{f^{-s}}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{f-1} \chi(l) (\zeta(s,l/f) + \chi(-1) \zeta(s,1-(l/f))) \\ &= \frac{f^{-s}}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{f-1} \chi(l) \sum_{n \ge 0} \left(\frac{1}{(n+(l/f))^s} + \frac{\chi(-1)}{(n+1-(l/f))^s} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $\chi(-1) = -1$ then it is easily seen that this last equality is valid for $\Re(s) > 0$. Therefore, if $k \ge 1$ and $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$ then, using (3) and (4), we do obtain (1). Let us recall that for f > 2 and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ we have

$$\sum_{\chi(-1)=\varepsilon} \chi(l) \overline{\chi(l')} = \frac{\phi(f)}{2} \langle l, l' \rangle_{\varepsilon}$$

where

$$\langle l, l' \rangle_{\varepsilon} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l' \equiv l \pmod{f} \text{ and } \gcd(l, f) = 1, \\ \varepsilon & \text{if } l' \equiv -l \pmod{f} \text{ and } \gcd(l, f) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We deduce the second point from these relations, (1) and $\cot^{(k)}(-x) = (-1)^{k-1} \cot^{(k)}(x)$.

2.2. Evaluation of the sums $\sum_{l=1}^{d-1} (\cot^{(k-1)}(\pi l/d))^2$. The derivative of cot is $-1 - \cot^2$. Therefore, if we define inductively polynomials $P_k(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ by means of $P_1(X) = X$ and $P_{k+1}(X) = (X^2+1)P'_k(X)$, then $\cot^{(k-1)} = (-1)^{k-1}P_k(\cot)$ and $(\cot^{(k-1)})^2 = Q_k(\cot^2)$ where $Q_k(X) = \sum_{l=0}^k q_{k,l}X^l \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ is defined by $Q_k(X^2) = (P_k(X))^2$.

For $j \ge 1$ we define polynomials $s_j(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[Y]$ of degree 2j by

(5)
$$s_j(X) = \frac{(X-1)(X-2)(X-3)\dots(X-2j)}{(2j+1)!}$$
 $(j \ge 1),$

and use them to define inductively on $j \ge 1$ polynomials $F_j(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ of degree $\le 2j$ by means of

(6)
$$F_j(X) - s_1(X)F_{j-1}(X) + \ldots + (-1)^{j-1}s_{j-1}(X)F_1(X) + (-1)^j js_j(X) = 0.$$

We finally set

(7)
$$R_k(X) = q_{k,0}(X-1) + 2\sum_{j=1}^k q_{k,j}F_j(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X].$$

Notice that for any $k \ge 1$ the degree of $R_k(X)$ is $\le 2k$ and that $R_k(1) = 0$ (this is because (5) yields $s_j(1) = 0$ for all $j \ge 1$ and (6) then yields $F_j(1) = 0$ for all $j \ge 1$). We will write

$$R_k(X) = \sum_{l=0}^{2k} r_{k,l} X^l$$

and we will prove that these $R_k(X)$ are the polynomials which appear in the statement of Theorem 1. Using (7), (6) and (5) we computed Table 1 opposite, according to which we deduce Theorem 2 from Theorem 1.

PROPOSITION 4. Let $k \ge 1$ be a given rational integer and let $R_k(X)$ be as in (7). Then for any rational integer d > 1 we have

(8)
$$R(k,d) := \sum_{l=1}^{d-1} (\cot^{(k-1)}(\pi l/d))^2 = R_k(d).$$

Proof. Let $k \ge 1$ be a given integer. Let j range from 1 to k. Let D range over the integers $D \ge k$. We set

$$S_j(X_1,\ldots,X_D) = \sum_{a=1}^D X_a^j$$

and

$$\sigma_j(X_1,\ldots,X_D) = \sum_{1 \le a_1 < \ldots < a_j \le D} X_{a_1} \ldots X_{a_j}.$$

Table 1

$P_1(X) = X$
$Q_1(X) = X$
$F_1(X) = s_1(X) = (X^2 - 3X + 2)/6$
$R_1(X) = 2F_1(X) = (X^2 - 3X + 2)/3$
$P_2(X) = 1 + X^2$
$Q_2(X) = 1 + 2X + X^2$
$F_2(X) = s_1(X)F_1(X) - 2s_2(X) = (X^4 - 20X^2 + 45X - 26)/90$
$R_2(X) = (X - 1) + 4F_1(X) + 2F_2(X) = (X^4 + 10X^2 - 11)/45$
$P_3(X) = 2X + 2X^3$
$Q_3(X) = 4X + 8X^2 + 4X^3$
$F_3(X) = s_1(X)F_2(X) - s_2(X)F_1(X) + 3s_3(X)$
$= (2X^6 - 42X^4 + 483X^2 - 945X + 502)/1890$
$R_3(X) = 8F_1(X) + 16F_2(X) + 8F_3(X) = 8(X^6 - 21X^2 + 20)/945$
$P_4(X) = 2 + 8X^2 + 6X^4$
$Q_4(X) = 4 + 32X + 88X^2 + 96X^3 + 36X^4$
$F_4(X) = s_1(X)F_3(X) - s_2(X)F_2(X) + s_3(X)F_1(X) - 4s_4(X)$
$= (3X^8 - 80X^6 + 924X^4 - 7920X^2 + 14175X - 7102)/28350$
$R_4(X) = 4(X-1) + 64F_1(X) + 176F_2(X) + 192f_3(X) + 72F_4(X)$
$= 4(3X^8 + 14X^4 + 200X^2 - 217)/1575$

For each $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ there exists $f_j = f_j(X_1, \ldots, X_j) \in \mathbb{Z}[X_1, \ldots, X_j]$ such that for all $D \ge k$ we have

(9)
$$S_j(X_1,...,X_D) = f_j(\sigma_1(X_1,...,X_D),...,\sigma_i(X_1,...,X_D)).$$

Newton's formulae

$$f_j - X_1 f_{j-1} + X_2 f_{j-2} + \dots (-1)^{j-1} X_{j-1} f_1 + (-1)^j j X_j = 0 \quad \text{(for } j \le D\text{)}$$

(and $f_1(X_1) = X_1$) allow us to compute inductively these polynomials $f_j = f_j(X_1, \ldots, X_j)$ for $1 \le j \le k$. In particular, the polynomials defined in (6) are given by

(10)
$$F_j(X) = f_j(s_1(X), \dots, s_j(X)) \quad (j \ge 1).$$

According to (7), (10) and (8), to complete the proof, we only have to show that if $k \ge 1$ is given, then for any d > 1 we have

(11)
$$R(k,d) = q_{k,0}(d-1) + 2\sum_{j=1}^{k} q_{k,j} f_j(s_1(d),\dots,s_j(d)).$$

Set d'=(d-1)/2 if $d\geq 3$ is odd, d/2 if $d\geq 2$ is even. Choose D such that $D\geq d'$ and $D\geq k,$ set

$$\alpha_l(d) = \begin{cases} \cot^2(\pi l/d) & \text{for } 1 \le l \le d', \\ 0 & \text{for } d' < l \le D, \end{cases}$$

and for $1 \leq j \leq k$ set

$$\sigma_j(d) = \sigma_j(\alpha_1(d), \dots, \alpha_D(d))$$

and

$$S_j(d) := S_j(\alpha_1(d), \dots, \alpha_D(d)) = f_j(\sigma_1(d), \dots, \sigma_j(d)) \quad (by (9)).$$

Since for d > 1 and $j \ge 1$ we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{d-1} \cot^{2j}(\pi l/d) = 2 \sum_{l=1}^{D} (\alpha_l(d))^j = 2S_j(d) = 2f_j(\sigma_1(d), \dots, \sigma_j(d)),$$

we obtain

(12)
$$R(k,d) = q_{k,0}(d-1) + 2\sum_{j=1}^{k} q_{k,j} f_j(\sigma_1(d), \dots, \sigma_j(d)).$$

Therefore, according to (11) and (12), it only remains to show that for any d > 1 we have $\sigma_j(d) = s_j(d)$ for $1 \le j \le k$. Since the $\cot(\pi l/d)$ for $1 \le l \le d-1$ are the roots of the polynomial $((X+i)^d - (X-i)^d)/(2id) =$ $X^{d-1}-s_1(d)X^{d-3}+s_2(d)X^{d-5}-\ldots$ (where $i^2 = -1$), we see that the $\alpha_l(d)$ for $1 \le l \le D$ are the roots of the polynomial $X^D-s_1(d)X^{D-1}+s_2(d)X^{D-2}-\ldots$ (for $s_j(d) = 0$ for $2j \ge d$), and we do obtain $\sigma_j(d) = s_j(d)$.

2.3. Proof of the main theorem

PROPOSITION 5 (proves Theorem 1). Let μ denote Möbius' function.

1. For f > 2 and $k \ge 1$ we have

(13)
$$\sum_{\chi(-1)=(-1)^k} |L(k,\chi)|^2 = \frac{\phi(f)}{4f^{2k}} \left(\frac{\pi^k}{(k-1)!}\right)^2 \sum_{\substack{d|f\\d>1}} \mu(f/d) R_k(d).$$

2. If $R_k(X) = \sum_{l=0}^{2k} r_{k,l} X^l$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq 2k$ such that $R_k(1) = 0$ then

(14)
$$\sum_{\substack{d|f\\d>1}} \mu(f/d)R_k(d) = \sum_{\substack{d|f\\d>1}} \mu(f/d)R_k(d) = \sum_{l=1}^{2k} r_{k,l}\phi_l(f)f^l.$$

Proof. Only the first point needs a proof. Since $\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = 1$ if n = 1 and 0 if n > 1, we deduce (13) from (2) and the following computation:

$$\sum_{\substack{a=1\\(a,f)=1}}^{f-1} \left(\cot^{(k-1)}\left(\frac{\pi a}{f}\right) \right)^2 = \sum_{a=1}^{f-1} \left(\cot^{(k-1)}\left(\frac{\pi a}{f}\right) \right)^2 \left(\sum_{\substack{d|a\\d|f}} \mu(d) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{d|f\\d < f}} \mu(d) \sum_{b=1}^{f/d-1} \left(\cot^{(k-1)}\left(\frac{\pi db}{f}\right) \right)^2$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{d|f\\d < f}} \mu(d) R_k \left(\frac{f}{d}\right) = \sum_{\substack{d|f\\d > 1}} \mu\left(\frac{f}{d}\right) R_k(d). \blacksquare$$

3. Remarks. 1. According to our proof, the polynomial

$$((2k+1)!)^{2k}R_k(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$$

has integral coefficients. Therefore, $((2k+1)!)^{2k}R(k,d) = ((2k+1)!)^{2k}R_k(d)$ is a rational integer (see (8)), and any entry $R_k(X)$ of Table 1 can be easily checked: verify that the polynomial $((2k+1)!)^{2k}R_k(X)$ of degree 2k has integral coefficients and that the 2k+1 rational integers $((2k+1)!)^{2k}R(k,d) - ((2k+1)!)^{2k}R_k(d)$ are equal to zero for $1 \le d \le 2k+1$.

2. After the publication of [Lou1], Qi Minggao sent us another proof of [Lou1, Th. 2] (see [QiM]). However, his proof was much more complicated than ours and cannot be generalized for computing the mean value of $|L(k,\chi)|^2$ where χ ranges over the Dirichlet characters modulo f such that $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$.

3. Since the values at non-positive integers of Dirichlet *L*-functions are generalized Bernoulli numbers (see [Was, Th. 4.2]), and since according to their functional equations these values at non-positive integers are related to their values at positive integers, one might think it would be easier to prove Theorem 1 by dealing with these values at non-positive integers. However, this approach is doomed to failure because functional equations are valid only for primitive characters, and according to [Lou3], there is no hope for ever finding similar simple formulae for the mean value of $|L(k,\chi)|^2$ where χ ranges over the primitive Dirichlet characters modulo f such that $\chi(-1) = (-1)^k$.

4. Whereas for any positive rational integer $n \ge 1$ asymptotic expansions exist of the type

(15)
$$\sum_{\chi \neq 1} |L(1,\chi)|^2 = \frac{\pi^2}{6}p - \log^2 p + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k p^{-k} + O(p^{-n})$$

for mean values of primitive *L*-functions modulo primes $p \ge 3$ (see [KM]), there is no known formula for such mean values. Hence, there is no hope of finding formulae for the mean values

$$M(k,f) := \frac{1}{\phi(f)} \sum_{\chi} |L(k,\chi)|^2 = \frac{1}{2} M_{\text{odd}}(k,f) + \frac{1}{2} M_{\text{even}}(k,f)$$

where χ ranges over the $\phi(f)$ Dirichlet characters modulo f > 2 (and where $k \ge 1$ is a positive rational integer). However, asymptotic formulae similar to (15) for these $M(k,\chi)$ are given in [KM].

REFERENCES

- [KM] M. Katsurada and K. Matsumoto, The mean values of Dirichlet L-functions at integer points and class numbers of cyclotomic fields, Nagoya Math. J. 134 (1994), 151–172.
- [Lou1] S. Louboutin, Quelques formules exactes pour des moyennes de fonctions L de Dirichlet, Canad. Math. Bull. 36 (1993), 190–196.
- [Lou2] —, Corrections à : Quelques formules exactes pour des moyennes de fonctions L de Dirichlet, ibid. 37 (1994), 89.
- [Lou3] —, On the mean value of $|L(1,\chi)|^2$ for odd primitive Dirichlet characters, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A 75 (1999), 143–145.
- [QiM] M. G. Qi, A kind of mean square formula for L-functions, J. Tsinghua Univ. 31 (1991), 34–41 (in Chinese).
- [Wal] H. Walum, An exact formula for an average of L-series, Illinois J. Math. 26 (1982), 1–3.
- [Was] L. C. Washington, Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields, Chapters 4 and 11, Grad. Texts in Math. 83, Springer, 2nd ed., 1997.

Institut de Mathématiques de Luminy, UPR 9016 163, avenue de Luminy Case 907 13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France E-mail: loubouti@iml.univ-mrs.fr

> Received 25 October 2000; revised 20 March 2001

(3986)